AMERICAN MUSEUM NOVITATES

Number 582

Published by
The American Museum of Natural History
New York City

Nov. 14, 1932

59.9, 32 A (801)

THE TAXONOMIC HISTORY OF THE SOUTH AND CENTRAL AMERICAN AKODONT RODENT GENERA: THALPOMYS, DELTAMYS, THAPTOMYS, HYPSIMYS, BOLOMYS, CHROEOMYS, ABROTHRIX, SCOTINOMYS, AKODON (CHALCOMYS AND AKODON), MICROXUS, PODOXYMYS, LENOXUS, OXYMYCTERUS, NOTIOMYS, AND BLARINOMYS

By G. H. H. TATE

In this paper, the sixth of my series, I have continued the treatment given in earlier papers. Since neither the several new akodont genera proposed by Thomas nor his "groups" of the subgenus Akodon (sensu stricto) appear to be sharply defined, geographic arrangement of the species has been particularly difficult and may prove unsatisfactory. Though wishing to preserve, as much as possible, the arrangement of genera made by Thomas (1916), I have felt that certain changes were desirable: Zygodontomys has been treated in my fifth paper, and the akodont genera are rearranged so as to include Scotinomys and the new genera Deltamys and Hypsimys and to place Akodon (Akodon) next to Microxus.

HISTORICAL STATEMENT¹

THALPOMYS Thomas

- 1841. Lund described (p. 280) Mus lasiotis (n. sp.). The original description of "the smallest of all kinds" of Lagoa Santa rodents reads very like that of a Hesperomys.
- 1854. Burmeister added information about (p. 177) lasiotis, placing it in Hesperomys (Calomys).
- 1887. Winge gave a detailed description (p. 29) of "Habrothrix" lasiotis.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 536) lasiotis in Akodon.
- 1916c. Thomas erected (p. 339) *Thalpomys*, n. g., with type *Mus lasiotis* Lund.

¹A copy of the newly published 'A Manual of Neotropical Sigmodont Rodents,' by Nils Gyldenstolpe (Kungl. Svenska Vetenskapsakad. Handlingar, (3) XI, No. 3, pp. I-164 and plates, 1932) has just been received. This work should be consulted for each cricetid genus. It reached my hands too late to receive treatment under the generic headings.

DELTAMYS Thomas

1917b. Thomas erected (p. 98) Deltamys, n. g., related to Akodon, with type Deltamys kempi, n. sp. (which he compared with Akodon arenicola).

THAPTOMYS Thomas

- 1827. Lichtenstein described (Pl. xxxv) Mus nigrita (n. sp.).
- 1843. Wagner wrote of (p. 523) nigrita under Hesperomys (Habrothrix).
- 1854. Burmeister gave further information (p. 181) concerning nigrita.
- 1872. Hensel described (p. 44) Hesperomys subterraneus, n. sp., made type of Thaptomys by Thomas, in 1916.
- 1886. Leche described (p. 697) "Hesperomys subterraneus Hens. var. henseli var. nov."
- 1893. Von Ihering, in 'Os Mammiferos do Rio Grande do Sul.,' doubted (p. 17) the validity of Leche's separation of henseli from subterraneus.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 537) nigrita, subterraneus, and subterraneus henseli in Akodon.
- 1902a. Thomas discussed (p. 62) under Akodon subterraneus the possible identity of that name with nigrita, fuliginosus (an Akodon), and orycter. (This last was a fossil form described by Winge (1887), who thought it near Akodon cursor.)
- 1916c. Thomas erected (p. 339) *Thaptomys*, n. g., with type *Hesperomys* subterraneus Hensel, and suggested that nigrita also belonged in it.

Hypsimys Thomas

- 1918. Thomas erected (p. 190) *Hypsimys*, n. g., which he compared with *Akodon*, *Deltamys*, and *Microxus*. The type species was *Hypsimys budini*, n. sp.
- 1920a. Thomas declared that $Akodon\ simulator\$ was intermediate in hypsodontism between Hypsimys and $Akodon\$ arenicola.
- 1921c. Thomas described (p. 613) Hypsimys deceptor, n. sp.

BOLOMYS Thomas

- 1858. Philippi and Landbeck described (p. 77) Mus andinus, n. sp., supposed representatives of which were compared by Thomas (1920) with jucundus.
- 1897b. Thomas described (p. 217) Akodon albiventer, n. sp.

- 1898b. Thomas described (p. 281) Akodon berlepschii, n. sp., pointing out the similarity of the skull to that of Akodon mollis.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 535) the above species under Akodon.
- 1900b. Thomas described (p. 468) Akodon amænus, n. sp., "probably most nearly allied to . . . A. punctulatus."
- 1900. Philippi further described (p. 22) and figured (Pl. vi) andinus.
- 1902c. Thomas remarked (p. 226) upon the near relationship of albiventer and berlepschii to one another.
- 1913a. Thomas described (p. 140) Akodon jucundus, n. sp., comparing it with albiventer, and inus, and puer.
- 1916c. Thomas erected (p. 339) Bolomys, n. g., with type Akodon amænus Thomas, listing also in it albiventer and berlepschii.
- 1918. Thomas described (p. 188) Akodon lactens, n. sp.
- 1919d. Thomas described (p. 496) Akodon orbus, allied to lactens.
- 1920b. Thomas described (p. 418) Akodon gossei, n. sp., based upon material which he had earlier considered to be andinus (Philippii). He contrasted it with jucundus and andinus.
- 1926a. Thomas described (p. 312) Bolomys negrito, n. sp., comparing it with albiventer and "B." lactens. Lactens when described (1918) was not placed in Bolomys.
- 1926c. Thomas remarked (p. 323) that m¹ of albiventer is notched.
- 1926d. Thomas wrote (p. 605): "... the curious blackish species recently described as B. negrito... may be merely a dark or semi-melanoid race of B. lactens."

CHROMYS Thomas

- 1847. Gay described (p. 108) Orymicterus (sic) scalops (n. sp.) (a Notiomys,—see remark under that genus).
- 1884. Thomas wrote of "scalops" (p. 455): "... his [Gay's] description ... is too exact to admit any doubt that the present [specimen] is really his species ... H. scalops, owing to its long claws, was placed in the subgenus Oxymycterus by its describer, but ... the skull proves it to belong to Habrothrix, of which it is by far the most lightly marked member." (Renamed jelskii in 1894.)
- 1894. Thomas (pp. 360-361) applied the names Acodon jelskii, n. sp., and Acodon jelskii pyrrhotis, n. subsp., to the mice which he had identified as scalops (Gay in 1884).
- 1897d. Thomas described (p. 459) Akodon pulcherrimus, n. sp.

- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 535) the described species of $Chr \omega omys$ under Akodon.
- 1901a. Thomas, discussing the distribution of "Akodon pulcherrimus and its subspecies" (p. 184), described, besides typical pulcherrimus, Akodon pulcherrimus cayllomæ, n. subsp., Akodon pulcherrimus inambarii, n. subsp., and Akodon pulcherrimus cruceri, n. subsp.
- 1902b. Thomas described (p. 138) Akodon bacchante, n. sp.
- 1905. J. A. Allen suggested (p. 71) that *pulcherrimus* might represent a distinct subdivision of *Akodon*.
- 1913a. Thomas described (p. 141) Akodon bacchante sodalis, n. subsp.
- 1916c. Thomas erected (p. 340) Chræomys, n. g., with type Akodon pulcherrimus, listing also in the genus bacchante, jelskii, "and probably scalops Gay" (the last a Notiomys).
- 1917a. Thomas described (p. 2) Chraomys inornatus, n. sp.
- 1921d. Thomas added a great amount of additional description (p. 238) of *inornatus*.
- 1926b. Thomas suggested (pp. 317-318) that jelskii pyrrhotis might represent immature specimens of jelskii jelskii.

ABROTHRIX Waterhouse

- 1837. Waterhouse erected (p. 21) Abrothrix, n. subg. of Mus, with type Mus longipilis (n. sp.). He also referred to it (pp. 16-18) Mus obscurus (n. sp.) and Mus olivaceus (n. sp.) (both Akodon), Mus brachiotis (n. sp.) (an Abrothrix), Mus xanthorhinus (n. sp.), Mus canescens (n. sp.), and Mus arenicola (n. sp.) (the last three Akodon).
- 1839. Waterhouse further described (p. 49) brachyotis (sic) and (p. 55) longipilis.
- 1843. Gray raised (p. 114) Abrothrix to generic rank.
- 1843. Bridges wrote concerning the habits of Mus longipilis (p. 129).
- 1847. Gay wrote (pp. 113-116) concerning longipilis and brachyotis (sic).
- 1872. Philippi described (p. 446) Mus brevicaudatus (n. sp.), considering it near brachiotis (an Abrothrix).
- 1895. Thomas described (p. 370) Acodon hirtus, n. sp., comparing it with longipilis.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 535) the species of Abrothrix under Akodon.
- 1900. Philippi described a large number of species of "Mus," three of which (dumetorum, brachitarsus, and fusco-ater) Wolffsohn (1910) synonymized with longipilis.

- 1903c. Thomas described (p. 241) Akodon suffusus, n. sp., which he compared with hirtus and longipilis. Suffusus was made a subspecies of hirtus in 1927.
- 1908. Thomas described (p. 497) Akodon francei, n. sp., allied to longipilis, hirtus, and suffusus.
- 1910. Wolffsohn synonymized three of Philippi's (1900) names with longipilis.
- 1916c. Thomas reinstated, in restricted form distinct from Akodon (p. 340), Abrothrix Waterhouse, which, since 1894, had been considered a synonym of the former. He listed in it longipilis (the type), hirtus, suffusus, and francei.
- 1919b. Thomas described (p. 202) Abrothrix suffusus modestior, n. subsp., and Abrothrix suffusus mærens, n. subsp. He remarked that brachiotis Waterhouse should be listed in Abrothrix.
- 1925b. Thomas described (p. 582) Abrothrix illutea, n. sp.
- 1927b. Thomas listed (p. 551) the British Museum lectotype of brachiotis, 55.12.24.166, Islet in Midship Bay, Chonos Archipelago, Chile; and lectoparatype, 55.12.24.166, Islet off east coast of Chiloe. "This latter specimen is not an Abrothrix, but is referable to a species of Akodon."
- 1927d. Thomas stated (p. 201) "A. hirtus and A. suffusus . . . pass into each other and should be united specifically under the former name."
- 1929. Thomas gave (p. 40) a brief diagnosis of the subspecies of hirta and described Abrothrix hirta nubila, n. subsp.
 He remarked (p. 41) upon the high altitude habitat of illutea, correcting the original statement of altitude (400 m.) to 3000-4000 meters.

SCOTINOMYS Thomas

- 1876. Alston described (p. 755) Hesperomys teguina, n. sp.¹
- 1880. Alston remarked further upon teguina (p. 144). He placed it (p. 142) provisionally in "Hesperomys (Vesperomys)."
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 537) teguina under Akodon.
- 1902. Bangs described (p. 40) Akodon teguina apricus, n. subsp., and (p. 41) Akodon xerampelinus, n. sp.
- 1904a. J. A. Allen described (p. 46) Akodon irazu, n. sp.

1913b. Thomas erected (p. 408) Scotinomys, n. g., with type Hesperomys teguina Alston, and included teguina apricus, xerampelinus, and irazu. He compared the new genus with Akodon and Zygodontomys.

AKODON (CHALCOMYS) Thomas

- 1893. Allen and Chapman remarked upon (p. 217) "Abrothrix caliginosus" from Trinidad. (Redescribed in 1897 as Akodon urichi.)
- 1897. Allen and Chapman described (p. 19) Akodon urichi, n. sp., based upon the material referred by them in 1893 to caliginosus. They also described (p. 20) Akodon frustrator, n. sp., based upon two juveniles which I believe are the young of Zugodontomus brevicauda.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 535) the species of Chalcomys in Akodon.
- 1899. J. A. Allen described (p. 203) Akodon venezuelensis, n. sp., superficially like Melanomys.
- 1904b. J. A. Allen described (p. 329) Akodon meridensis, n. sp. In 1913 (p. 408) Thomas suggested that it might be a Zygodontomys. I have examined the type, however, and it seems to be Akodon.
- 1913b. Thomas described (p. 406) Akodon ærosus, n. sp. (Specimens of this animal had previously been alluded to by J. A. Allen and Thomas in various papers under Tomes's name caliquinosus, which is a Melanomys of rather similar appearance.)
- 1913a. J. A. Allen described (p. 480) Akodon tolimæ, n. sp.
- 1913b. J. A. Allen described (p. 600) Akodon chapmani, n. sp.
- 1915. Osgood described (p. 192) Akodon ærosus baliolus, n. subsp.
- 1916. Osgood described (p. 208) Akodon dayi, n. sp. "The only available species of this region which shows even slight similarity is A. cursor"
- 1916c. Thomas erected (p. 338) Chalcomys, n. subg. of Akodon (restricted in same paper), with type Akodon ærosus. He placed urichi, venezuelensis, and meridensis in Chalcomys.

AKODON (AKODON) Meyen

- 1802. Azara described (p. 94) his agreste, given the scientific name Mus? (sic) azaræ by Fischer in 1829. I have suggested in Amer. Mus. Novit., No. 557, that this mouse was an Akodon.
- 1827. Lichtenstein described (Pl. xxxv) Mus nigrita (n. sp.) (a Thaptomys).

- 1829. Fischer applied (p. 324) the name Mus? (sic) azaræ to Azara's AGRESTE.
- 1832. Meyen erected (p. 600) the genus Akodon to contain Akodon "boliviense," n. sp.
- 1837. Waterhouse erected (p. 21) Abrothrix, n. subg. of Mus (until 1916 treated as a synonym of Akodon) with type Mus longipilis (n. sp.). He also referred to it (pp. 16-18) Mus obscurus (n. sp.), Mus olivaceus (n. sp.), Mus xanthorhinus (n. sp.), Mus canescens (n. sp.), and Mus arenicola (n. sp.). (All five names belong in Akodon.)
- 1839. Waterhouse further described (p. 48) arenicola; (p. 51) olivaceus which he renamed renggeri, a synonym; (p. 52) obscurus; (p. 53) xanthorhinus; and (p. 54) canescens. (He corrected a slight error in the tail measurements of the last, given in 1837.)
- 1841. Lund described briefly (p. 280) Mus lasiotis (made type of Thalpomys by Thomas, 1916).
- 1842. Gervais described (p. 51) Mus rupestris from a skeleton picked up in Cobija, Bolivia.
- 1843. Wagner retained (p. 466) Akodon with its then single species boliviense as a full genus. Hesperomys (Habrothrix) (p. 516) was made to include the remaining species as follows: longipilis (an Abrothrix), olivaceus (=renggeri), obscurus, arenicola, xanthorhinus, canescens, and nigrita (a Thaptomys).
- 1844. Waterhouse described (p. 154) Hesperomys megalonyx, n. sp. (a Notiomys).
- 1844. Tschudi commented upon (p. 177) boliviense, and emended the spelling of Akodon Meyen to Acodon.
- 1845. Wagner described (p. 148) fuliginosus (n. sp.) and caniventris (n. sp.).
- 1847. Gay gave notes (pp. 113-116) on olivaceus, "rupestris," and "xanthorhinus."

 He tried to show that a certain Chilean mouse was identical with the rupestris Gervais of Cobija, Bolivia. Both were illustrated in the 'Atlas,' plates vi and vii.
- 1850. Wagner added (pp. 314-315) to his descriptions of fuliginosus and caniventris. He stated that he was ignorant of the place of origin of caniventris in Brazil.
- 1855. Burmeister (1854) commented upon (pp. 11-12) Akodon boliviense Meyen.

- 1858. Philippi described (p. 77) Mus andinus, n. sp. (apparently a Bolomys, see Thomas (1920)), and (p. 79) Mus pusillus (probably Akodon olivaceus), with which Allen compared lutescens in 1901.
- 1872. Philippi described (p. 446) Mus brevicaudatus (n. sp.) (=?Akodon olivaceus), considering it nearest to brachiotis (an Abrothrix).
- 1872. Hensel referred (p. 39) a mouse from Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, doubtfully to arenicola of Uruguay.
- 1879. Burmeister listed (pp. 216-217) arenicola and obscurus in Hesperomys (Habrothrix). He placed the AGRESTE of Azara (=Mus azaræ Fischer) in the synonymy of arenicola. Canescens was put at the end of the subgenus Calomys.
- 1883. Pelzeln commented upon fuliginosus (p. 70).
- 1884. Thomas, after defining Habrothrix, subgenus of Hesperomys, included (p. 450) all Akodon-like mice except Oxymycterus in Habrothrix, mentioning specifically longipilis, olivaceus, xanthorhinus, . . . "about 20 in number."

 His "scalops" included Chræomys jelskii and C. j. pyrrhotis. His "olivaceus" from Peru was probably an Akodon of a different species and his "xanthorhinus" was Akodon puer (see 1926).
- 1886. Leche, writing of arenicola from Rio Grande do Sul, stated (p. 698) that Thomas had compared his material with Waterhouse's original animal and had found them identical. He pointed out discrepancies in Waterhouse's drawings of the skull ('Voyage of the "Beagle"') and gave much additional data upon the species.
- 1888. Winge described (p. 25) *Habrothrix cursor*, n. sp. (probably an *Akodon*).
- 1891. Thomas in Milne-Edwards published plates (v and vi) showing olivaceus, "xanthorhinus," and longipilis. The skin of "xanthorhinus" was described by Thomas as Oxymycterus lanosus (a Microxus) in 1897.
- 1894. Thomas decided (p. 360) that *Habrothrix*, which he had hitherto employed for all akodonts, must be placed in the synonymy of *Acodon* (sic) Meyen.
 - He described (pp. 360-361) Acodon jelskii and Acodon jelskii pyrrhotis, n. subsp. (both Chræomys).
 - He described Acodon punctulatus, n. sp. (remarking upon certain Oryzomys-like features of the skull), Acodon

- macronyx, n. sp., (a Notiomys), and Acodon mollis, n. sp., "northern representative of A. olivaceus."
- 1895. Thomas described (p. 369) Acodon bogotensis, n. sp. (a Microxus), comparing it with "Melanomys caliginosus" (probably Akodon ærosus) and Scotinomys teguina.

He described (p. 370) Acodon hirtus, n. sp. (an Abrothrix, as restricted by Thomas, 1916).

- 1896a. Thomas, in 'Genera of Rodents,' listed "Acodon Meyen," with Abrothrix Waterhouse as a synonym.
- 1897a. Thomas described (p. 496) Akodon fuscinus, n. sp. (a Zygodontomys), comparing it with lasiurus (Lund), which I have suggested in an earlier paper may also have been a Zygodontomys, and with olivaceus (Waterhouse).
- 1897b. Thomas described (p. 216) Akodon spegazzinii, n. sp., compared with olivaceus but stated to be colored more like a fulvous Oryzomys.

He also described (p. 217) Akodon albiventer, n. sp. (a Bolomys), comparing it with spegazzinii.

- 1897d. Thomas described (p. 549) Akodon pulcherrimus (n. sp.) (a Chræomys).
- 1898a. Thomas doubted (p. 211) the distinctness of canescens and arenicola.
- 1898b. Thomas described (p. 281) Akodon berleppschii, n. sp. (a Bolomys).
- 1898c. Thomas described (p. 271) Akodon lenguarum, n. sp., comparing it with obscurus.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 535) Akodon full genus with Abrothrix or Habrothrix as a synonym. The genus was divided into two subgenera, Drymomys and Akodon.

Akodon included all the generic groups distinguished by Thomas in 1916, as well as Scotinomys.

Furthermore, the following species, belonging to modern genera as indicated, were listed in Akodon.—Oryzomys: magellanicus, caliginosus. Zygodontomys: fuscinus, lasiurus. Euneomys: micropus. Delomys: dorsalis, dorsalis obscura.

Euneomys: micropus. Delomys: dorsalis, dorsalis obscura. Notiomys: megalonyx, macronyx, valdivianus, niger.

Philippi's names andinus, melanonotus, porcinus, pusillus (all 1858), and brevicaudatus (1872) were listed in Akodon.

1898. Matschie wrote (pp. 4-5) concerning valdivianus and michaelseni (both Notiomys) under "Hesperomys (Acodon)."

His notes (pp. 6-7) upon olivaceus and xanthorhinus were also placed under Hesperomys (Acodon).

- 1899. J. A. Allen described (p. 203) Akodon venezuelensis, n. sp. (a Chalcomys) and Akodon columbianus, n. sp., removed in 1904 (Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XX, p. 437), to Oryzomys (Melanomys).
- 1900b. Thomas described (p. 468) Akodon amænus, n. sp. (a Bolomys).
- 1900. Philippi described a large number of Chilean mice under the generic name Mus, seven of which—lepturus, trichotis, vinealis, senilis, germaini, nasica, and ruficaudus—have been synonymized by Wolffsohn (1910) with Akodon olivaceus. He further described andinus and pusillus. For Philippi's names which are synonymous with longipilis, see Abrothrix.
- 1901a. Thomas, discussing (p. 184) "Akodon pulcherrimus and its subspecies" (Chræomys), described three new subspecies: pulcherrimus cayllomæ, pulcherrimus inambarii, and pulcherrimus cruceri.
- 1901. J. A. Allen described (p 46) Akodon lutescens, n. sp. He compared it with "A. pusillus (Philippi)," one of the many dubious names proposed by Philippi. Philippi's description and figure of pusillus probably refer to a specimen of Akodon olivaceus.
- 1901. J. A. Allen described (p. 410) Akodon tucumanensis, n. sp. of the olivaceus group.
- 1902. Bangs described (p. 40) Akodon teguina apricus, n. subsp., and (p. 41) Akodon xerampelinus, n. sp. (both Scotinomys).
- 1902a. Thomas discussed (p. 60) Akodon cursor (Winge) and described (p. 61) Akodon serrensis, n. sp., which he compared with arenicola. He also discussed Akodon subterraneus (see under Thaptomys).
- 1902b. Thomas described (p. 134) Akodon varius, n. sp., which he compared with but distinguished sharply from hirtus (an Abrothrix); (p. 135) Akodon pacificus, n. sp., which he likened to olivaceus and mollis, mentioning the difficulty of working out the olivaceus species; (p. 136) Akodon puer, n. sp., a member of the olive-colored group allied to xanthorhinus; Akodon fumeus, n. sp., which he compared with mollis; and Akodon bacchante, n. sp. (a Chraomys).
- 1903c. Thomas erected (p. 242) Chelemys, n. subg. of Akodon and described Akodon (Chelemys) vestitus, n. sp. (placed by Osgood in Notiomys in 1925).

- 1904a. J. A. Allen described (p. 46) Akodon irazu, n. sp. (a Scotinomys).
- 1904b. J. A. Allen described (p. 329) Akodon meridensis, n. sp. (by Thomas, 1916, placed in subgenus Chalcomys).
- 1904. Palmer recited (p. 87) modifications of spelling in *Akodon* employed by various authors.
- 1905. J. A. Allen proposed tentatively (pp. 70-71) several divisions of the genus Akodon. He redescribed xanthorhinus and canescens and included in Akodon, suffusus, A. (Chelemys) vestitus and A. (Chelemys) michaelseni.
- 1905. Ribeiro described (p. 188) Hesperomys (Akodon) serrensis leuco-gula (n. subsp.).
- 1905. Trouessart altered (pp. 432-435) his subgeneric arrangement of 1898 (listing Akodon with three subgenera, Akodon, Drymomys, and Chelemys). Apart from the inclusion of new species, and the separation of Chelemys, no marked changes were made in the previous list of species.
 - A list of Philippi's (1900) names was given (p. 435) with the suggestion that they might belong in Akodon.
- 1908. Thomas described (p. 497) Akodon francei, n. sp. (an Abrothrix).
- 1910. Wolffsohn synonymized a number of Philippi's (1900) names under $Akodon\ olivaceus$.
- 1913a. Thomas described (pp. 140-141) Akodon jucundus, n. sp. (a Bolomys), comparing it with andinus (Philippi), albiventer, spegazzinii, and puer; he also described Akodon bacchante sodalis, n. subsp. (a Chræomys).
- 1913b. Thomas described (p. 404) Akodon mollis altorum, n. subsp.; and (p. 405) Akodon arviculoides montensis, n. subsp., comparing it with cursor. (It seems probable that arviculoides Wagner was a Zygodontomys rather than an Akodon and I have so placed it in Amer. Mus. Novit., No. 581. It is unlikely, however, that Thomas had before him anything other than a true Akodon when he described montensis, and I have therefore included Akodon montensis in the list on page 25).
 - He described (p. 406) Akodon ærosus, n. sp. (in 1916 made type of subgenus Chalcomys).
- 1913a. J. A. Allen described (p. 480) Akodon tolimæ, n. sp. (a Chalcomys).
- 1913b. J. A. Allen described (p. 600) Akodon chapmani, n. sp. (a Chalcomys).
- 1913. Osgood described (pp. 98-100) Akodon mollis orophila, n. subsp.,

- and Akodon mollis orientalis, n. subsp. Thomas (see Microxus, 1921 and 1926) was inclined to place these forms in Microxus, but returned them (Microxus, 1927) to Akodon.
- 1914. Osgood constructed (p. 163) a short key to the four subspecies of mollis.
- 1915. Osgood described (p. 192) Akodon ærosus baliolus, n. subsp. (a Chalcomys).
- 1916a. Thomas corrected (p. 187) his determination of xanthorhinus, which in Milne-Edwards, 1890, he had referred to "olivaceus." His "xanthorhinus" of the same paper was renamed by him lanosus in 1897 (see under Microxus).
- 1916b. Thomas described (p. 334) Akodon dolores, n. sp., allied to obscurus, lenguarum, and varius; and (p. 335) Akodon simulator, n. sp., allied to dolores, but colored as Abrothrix.
- 1916c. Thomas divided (pp. 336-340) the old genus Akodon into six distinct generic groups: Akodon (restricted); Thalpomys, containing only lasiotis (Lund); Thaptomys, containing subterraneus and nigrita (?); Bolomys, containing amænus, albiventer, and berlepschii; Chræomys, containing pulcherrimus, bacchante, jelskii, "and probably scalops" (a♥Notiomys); and Abrothrix, with the species longipilis, hirtus, suffusus, and francei.

Zygodontomys was removed from the oryzomine assemblage and added to the above akodont genera. Scotinomys was not mentioned.

Furthermore, the restricted Akodon was divided into two subgenera, Akodon and Chalcomys, the latter to contain the Melanomys-like forms ærosus, urichi, venezuelensis, meridensis, etc.

Thomas suggested (p. 339) that Akodon, subgenus, might be even further divided.

- 1916. Osgood described (p. 208) Akodon dayi, n. sp. (a Chalcomys?).
- 1917a. Thomas described (p. 2) Akodon surdus, n. sp., "allied to Akodon mollis."
- 1917b. Thomas described (p. 97) Akodon arenicola hunteri, n. subsp.
- 1918. Thomas described (p. 188) Akodon lactens, n. sp. (a Bolomys), allied in skull characters to obscurus, and Akodon puer cænosus, n. subsp.
- 1919a. Thomas described (p. 116) Akodon glaucinus n. sp., very close to varius and simulator.

1919b. Thomas described (p. 204) Akodon beatus, n. sp., allied to "olivaceus-arenicola group."

He stated (p. 205) that the "primary type" of canescens was British Museum No. 55.12.24.157, and that of xanthor-hinus 55.12.24.156. Remarking that two distinct groups of Akodon, which he briefly characterized and styled A and B, exist in "the area concerned" (the Patagonian region?) he added that since both canescens and xanthorhinus belonged in B, a new name was needed for A. He proposed iniscatus, new name, and referred certain of Darwin's specimens to it.

He described Akodon iniscatus collinus, n. subsp. He suggested (p. 207) that canescens might prove to be a "grey seasonal phase of the yellowish xanthorhinus."

- 1919c. Thomas described (p. 213) Akodon neocenus, n. sp., allied to varius (but see Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., 1927, XX, p. 205), and (p. 214) Akodon benefactus, n. sp., related to obscurus and lenguarum.
- 1919d. Thomas described (p. 496) Akodon alterus, n. sp., allied to spegazzinii, remarking upon the color of the latter, and Akodon orbus, "a proodont Akodon allied to lactens" (a Bolomys?).
- 1919e. Thomas described (p. 155) Akodon tartareus, n. sp., allied to varius.
- 1920a. Thomas pointed out (p. 192) that *simulator* is intermediate as regards hypsodontism between *arenicola* and *Hypsimys* and that its color is unusually variable.

He recognized (p. 192) cænosus, formerly a subspecies of puer, as a full species.

- 1920b. Thomas described (p. 418) Akodon gossei, n. sp. (a Bolomys?), based upon material which he had previously considered to be andinus (Philippi). He contrasted it with Bolomys jucundus.
- 1921a. Thomas described (p. 178) Akodon toba, n. sp., allied to simulator.
- 1921b. Thomas described (p. 184) Akodon sylvanus, n. sp., allied to arenicola hunteri.
- 1921d. Thomas further described (p. 236) surdus and recorded 86 specimens of an Akodon which he referred to boliviensis Meyen.

 He considered (p. 240) that Osgood's (1914) key to the subspecies of "mollis" showed in reality those differences in the zygomatic plates which he (Thomas) considered as of

generic value in distinguishing *Microxus* from *Akodon*. In consequence he concluded that *Akodon mollis orientalis* Osgood was almost if not quite equivalent to *Microxus torques* Thomas.

He concluded by stating that the reduced eyes and long head visible in spirit specimens of Microxus showed it to be quite distinct from Akodon.

- 1924. Anthony suspected (p. 4) Microxus affinis Allen to be an Akodon (see Microxus).
- 1925a. Thomas indicated (p. 579) that obscurus, lenguarum, benefactus, lactens, and orbus belonged in a single group; and that glaucinus and simulator might be subspecies of varius.

 He described (p. 579) Akodon sylvanus pervalens, n. subsp.
- 1926b. Thomas stated (p. 317) that his "Hesperomys (Habrothrix) xanthorhinus" of 1884 should be corrected to Akodon puer.
- 1926c. Thomas commented (p. 322) upon tartareus and pacificus.

 He suggested (pp. 322-323) that his sylvanus pervalens might be quite distinct from sylvanus and closer to tartareus.
- 1926d. Thomas, after stating that certain specimens which he had named cænosus were really tucumanensis, wrote (p. 604): "Most readily to distinguish skulls of the three Akodons [varius simulator, tucumanensis and cænosus] in the present collection, dividers may be set at 3.2 mm., a dimension which will approximately fit the first molar+half the second of A. varius simulator, the two first molars of tucumanensis and the whole row of cænosus."

He referred lactens (1918) to Bolomys.

- 1926f. Thomas described (p. 636) Akodon nucus n. sp., "most allied to the latter [iniscatus]."
- 1926. Cabrera described (p. 320) Akodon leucolimnaeus, n. sp., from Laguna Blanca, Catamarca. (Not to be confused with Lago Blanco, type locality for iniscatus.)
- 1927a. Thomas transferred (p. 370) Microxus torques to Akodon (see also Microxus).
- 1927b. Thomas listed (pp. 550-551) the British Museum lectotypes of *Akodon* as follows:

obscurus 55.12.24.161, Maldonado, Uruguay, with lectoparatype 55.12.24.165, Maldonado.

olivaceus 55.12.24.200, Valparaiso, Chile, with lectoparatypes 55.12.24.160 and 164, Coquimbo, Chile.

- canescens 55.12.24,157, Sta Cruz, Patagonia, with lectoparatype 55.12.24.173, Port Desire, Patagonia. "This latter is an immature A. iniscatus Thomas."
- xanthorhinus 55.12.24.168 (with footnote correcting errors in Allen, 1905, and Thomas, 1919, p. 205), 55.12.24.158, Hardy Peninsula, Tierra del Fuego, with lectoparatype, 55.12.24.168.
- 1927d. Thomas remarked (pp. 204-205) that neocenus appeared to be nearest to dolores and compared it with nucus.
- 1929. Thomas wrote (p. 41) "I am now fairly satisfied that A. canescens should be united with A. xanthorhinus . . . "

 He gave (p. 42) distinguishing characters for iniscatus and xanthorhinus.

MICROXUS Thomas

- 1872. Hensel wrote of "nasutus" (p. 43), renamed iheringi by Thomas in 1896.
- 1886. Leche added remarks (p. 700) concerning "nasutus" of Hensel.
- 1891. Thomas in Milne-Edwards applied the name "xanthorhinus" to a skin and skull which in 1897 he redescribed as lanosus.
- 1895. Thomas described (p. 369) Acodon bogotensis, n. sp. (transferred in 1901 to Oxymycterus and in 1909 to Microxus).
- 1896b. Thomas described (p. 308) Oxymycterus iheringi, n. sp. (see Hensel, 1872, and Leche, 1886). He added that it was atypical for Oxymycterus and probably near the fossil talpinus Winge (Lund?). He suggested its possible relationship to Blarinomys.
- 1897b. Thomas described (p. 218) Oxymycterus lanosus, n. sp., based upon a skin and skull identified by him in 1891 as Hesperomys xanthorhinus (an Akodon).
- 1901a. Thomas discussed (p. 184) bogotensis under the generic name Oxymycterus.
- 1905. Cabrera described (p. 15) Oxymycterus delfini, n. sp. (perhaps a Microxus).
- 1909. Thomas erected (p. 237) Microxus, n. g., with type Oxymycterus mimus, including in addition iheringi, lanosus and bogotensis.
- 1912. Osgood remarked (p. 52) upon specimens of bogotensis from Tama on the border of Colombia and Venezuela.
- 1912. J. A. Allen described (p. 89) Microxus affinis, n. sp., comparing it with bogotensis.

- 1916. J. A. Allen stated (p. 216) that affinis was much larger than bogotensis and in coloration nearer to mimus.
- 1917a. Thomas described (p. 3) Microxus torques, n. sp., "near Microxus mimus."
- 1921d. Thomas further described (p. 239) torques.

He emphasized the distinctness of *Microxus* from *Akodon* as shown by the long head and small eyes of the former, but admitted a certain amount of intergradation in the degree of slant of the zygomatic plate. He implied that *Akodon mollis orophilus* and *A. m. orientalis* of Osgood, 1914 (see *Akodon*), might in reality be *Microxus*. This view, I suspect, was based upon their anomalous zygomatic plates rather than upon other *Microxus*-like characters. (See also Thomas, 1927.)

- 1924. Anthony described (p. 3) *Microxus latebricola*, n. sp. He suspected (p. 4) *affinis* Allen to be an *Akodon*.
- 1926c. Thomas definitely removed (pp. 615-616) orophilus and orophilus orientalis, both described by Osgood (1913) as Akodon, to Microxus.
- 1927a. Thomas, following the advice of Hinton, more or less reversed (p. 370) his opinion of 1921 and 1926, and removed orophilus and torques to Akodon. However he maintained that Microxus was a good genus, retaining in it mimus, bogotensis and lanosus.

PODOXYMYS Anthony

1929. Anthony erected (p. 4) Podoxymys, n. g., with type Podoxymys roraimæ, n. sp.

LENOXUS Thomas

- 1900. J. A. Allen described (p. 224) Oxymycterus apicalis, n. sp.
- 1909. Thomas erected (p. 236) Lenoxus, n. g., with type Oxymycterus apicalis Allen.

OXYMYCTERUS Waterhouse

- 1801. Azara described (p. 94) RAT CINQUIÈME OU RAT ROUX, the basis of Mus rufus Desmarest (an Oxymycterus).
- 1802. Azara wrote concerning the same rat (p. 80) under the name HOCICUPO.

- 1819. Desmarest named (p. 62) the RAT ROUX of Azara Mus rufus (n. sp.).
- 1826. Wied described (p. 425) Hypudæus dasytrichus (n. sp.).
- 1830. Rengger gave his own observations (p. 230) on rufus.
- 1837. Waterhouse erected (p. 20) Oxymycterus, n. subg. of Mus, including in it (p. 16) nasutus (n. sp.)
- 1839. Waterhouse further described (p. 56) nasutus.
- 1842. Wagner described (p. 361) Hesperomys (Oxymycterus) rostellatus (n. sp.), stating that it was purchased from the nature dealer, Brandt.
- 1843. Pictet described (p. 211) Oxymycterus hispidus, n. sp.
- 1843. Wagner, under Hesperomys (Oxymycterus), listed (p. 514) nasutus and rostellatus, amplyfying the description of the latter. Rufus, whose home he described as "Paraguay in the neighborhood of Asuncion," was listed (p. 540) in Hesperomys.
- 1845. Schinz described (p. 179) Mus hispidulus (n. sp.), apparently a renaming of hispidus Pictet and therefore a synonym.
- 1847. Gay described (p. 108) Oxymicterus (sic) scalops (n. sp.) (a Notiomys) and transferred to Oxymicterus Waterhouse's Hesperomys megalonyx (a Notiomys).
- 1854. Burmeister commented upon (p. 183) "rufus," including in its synonymy rostellatus and dasytrichos (sic).
- 1858. Philippi described (p. 303) Oxymycterus valdivianus (n. sp.) (a Notiomys).
- 1861. Tomes raised (p. 285) Oxymicterus (sic) to generic rank.
- 1872. Philippi described (p. 445) Oxymycterus niger (n. sp.), doubtfully referred by Osgood (1925, p. 121) to Notiomys.
- 1872. Hensel wrote of "nasutus" (p. 43) renamed *iheringi* by Thomas in 1896, comparing it with Akodon arenicola. It is now in Microxus.
- 1879. Burmeister wrote some generalized remarks (p. 215) upon "nasutus."
- 1883. Pelzeln wrote of "nasutus" (p. 74), recording it from Ypanema, Brazil. He also recorded "rufus" from Ytararé, near Ypanema.
- 1884. Thomas defined (p. 450) Oxymycterus, subgenus of Hesperomys, listing as species nasutus, hispidus, and rufus.
- 1886. Leche added remarks (p. 700) concerning "nasutus" of Hensel, 1872.

- 1887. Winge wrote extensively (p. 36) upon "Oxymycterus rufus Desm."
- 1895. Thomas described (p. 369) Acodon bogotensis, n. sp. (listed in 1901 as an Oxymycterus and in 1909 as a Microxus).
- 1896b. Thomas described (p. 308) Oxymycterus iheringi, n. sp. (a Microxus).
- 1897b. Thomas described (p. 218) Oxymycterus lanosus, n. sp. (transferred in 1909 to Microxus).
- 1898. Trouessart placed rostellatus and dasytrichos in the synonymy of rufus, and hispidulus Schinz in the synonymy of hispidus Pictet.
- 1900a. Thomas described (p. 298) Oxymycterus inca, n. sp.
- 1900. J. A. Allen described (p. 223) Oxymycterus juliacæ, n. sp., near inca, and (p. 224) Oxymycterus apicalis, n. sp. (a Lenoxus).
- 1900. Philippi described several rats under "Mus (Oxymycterus)," which are referable to different genera.
- 1901a. Thomas described (p. 183) Oxymycterus iris, n. sp., which he compared with inca and juliacæ, and Oxymycterus mimus, n. sp., a "member of the group of small Akodon-like Oxymycteri, the nearest ally being O. bogotensis . . . (p. 184) the cranial characters show it to be a member of the group of Oxymycteri which contains O. bogotensis Thomas and O. lanosus Thomas." (In 1909 it was made type of Microxus.)
- 1901b. Thomas described (p. 530) Oxymycterus roberti, n. sp., comparing it with nasutus.
- 1902a. Thomas gave color notes (p. 62) upon iheringi.
- 1902b. Thomas described (p. 139) Oxymycterus paramensis, n. sp., allied to roberti of Minas Geraes.
- 1903a. Thomas described (p. 226) Oxymycterus quaestor, n. sp., "allied to O. nasutus." He compared it with nasutus and hispidus and with O. rostellatus.
- 1903b. Thomas described (p. 489) Oxymycterus delator, n. sp., a markedly distinct form.
- 1903. J. A. Allen described (p. 189) Oxymycterus microtis, n. sp. (a Notiomys).
- 1905. J. A. Allen re-characterized (p. 82) the genus Oxymycterus, comparing several of the species together. He gave further descriptions of lanosus and microtis (the latter according to Osgood, 1925, = Notiomys michaelseni).
- 1905. Cabrera described (p. 15) Oxymycterus delfini, n. sp. (perhaps a Microxus).

1909. Thomas, revising the Oxymycterus alliance of species, divided (pp. 235-239) Oxymycterus into three genera: Oxymycterus (restricted), Lenoxus, n. g., and Microxus, n. g. Lenoxus contained only apicalis.

Microxus, with type mimus, contained also bogotensis, lanosus and iheringi.

He described Oxymycterus angularis, n. sp., allied to hispidus and Oxymycterus judex, n. sp., which he compared with quæstor.

- 1914. Thomas described (p. 244) Oxymycterus platensis, n. sp., "closely allied to O. rufus."
- 1916d. Thomas described (p. 478) Oxymycterus doris, n. sp., allied to juliacæ and inca.
- 1921c. Thomas described (p. 615) Oxymycterus akodontius, n. sp., which he compared with paramensis.
- 1925a. Thomas described (p. 580) Oxymycterus paramensis jacentior, n. subsp.
- 1931. Sanborn described (p. 1) Oxymycterus misionalis, n. sp.

NOTIOMYS Thomas

- 1844. Waterhouse described (p. 154) Hesperomys megalonyx, n. sp.
- 1847. Gay described (p. 108) Oxymicterus (sic) scalops (n. sp.).
 - Note.—I have compared skulls of *Chræomys pulcherrimus*, *Abrothrix longipilis*, and *Notiomys* species with that part of the skull of *scalops* shown in Gay's Pl. vi, fig. 3 and, both on the basis of the pattern of the teeth and of the wide flare of the zygoma from the zygomatic plate, I have concluded that *scalops* Gay must have been a *Notiomys*. Furthermore, the tail of *scalops* was far too short to be that of a *Chræomys*.
- 1858. Philippi described (p. 303) Oxymycterus valdivianus (n. sp.) and remarked upon its nearness to megalonyx.
- 1872. Philippi described (p. 445) Oxymycterus niger (n. sp.), comparing it with megalonyx, valdivianus, and scalops.
- 1891. Thomas, in Milne-Edwards, described (p. 24) Hesperomys (Notiomys) edwardsii (n. sp.), Notiomys representing a new subgenus of Hesperomys.
- 1894. Thomas described (p. 362) Acodon macronyx, n. sp., comparing it with valdivianus and niger.
- 1896a. Thomas raised (p. 1020) Notiomys to generic rank.
- 1898. Trouessart listed (p. 540) only edwardsii in Notiomys. Valdivianus, niger, megalonyx, and macronyx were placed in Akodon (pp. 537, 538).

- 1898. Matschie commented upon (p. 4) Hesperomys (Acodon) valdivianus (Philippi) and described (p. 5) Hesperomys (Acodon) michaelseni, n. sp.
- 1900. Philippi described (p. 57) Mus microtis, a young specimen from Maule, Chile, with tail length 33 mm. and claws of the manus 5 mm. It was figured on Pl. xxv, fig. 2, and the tiny ears and large claws are easily noted.
 This name, if referable to Notiomys, preoccupied microtis Allen (1903).
- 1903. J. A. Allen described (p. 189) Oxymycterus microtis, n. sp., pre-occupied? by microtis Philippi (1900).
- 1903c. Thomas erected (p. 242) Chelemys, n. subg. of Akodon with type Hesperomys megalonyx ("Akodon megalonyx") and described Akodon (Chelemys) vestitus, n. sp. He distinguished (p. 243) the new subgenus from Notiomys. He suggested that both michaelseni and microtis Allen belonged in Notiomys.
- 1905. J. A. Allen characterized (pp. 78-80) "vestitus" (renamed vestitus alleni by Osgood, 1925) and michaelseni under Akodon (Chelemys) and (p. 81) edwardsii under Notiomys.
- 1919b. Thomas proposed (p. 209) Geoxus, n. g., with type Oxymycterus valdivianus Philippi and described (p. 208) Geoxus fossor, n. sp.

He supported Allen's (1905) assertion that microtis Allen was not closely related to Notiomys, thus reversing his own opinion of 1903; and he linked microtis, michaelseni, and valdivianus together under Geoxus. On page 209 Notoxus, misprint for Geoxus, occurs.

He still considered (p. 207) Chelemys a distinct genus.

- 1925. Osgood revised (pp. 113-125) the genus Notiomys, placing Chelemys and Geoxus in its synonymy. He listed (p. 119) microtis Allen in the synonymy of michaelseni and questioningly niger in that of megalonyx. He described valdivianus araucanus, n. subsp., valdivianus
 - He described valdivianus araucanus, n. subsp., valdivianus chiloensis, n. subsp., connectens, n. sp., and vestitus alleni, n. subsp. (this last based upon specimens determined by Allen, in 1905, as vestitus).
- 1927b. Thomas selected (p. 551) the lectotype of "Chelemys megalonyx," male, 44.10.7.37 of the British Museum collection, from Lake Quintero, Chile, with lectoparatype, 43.12.30.39, Lake Quintero.

- 1927c. Thomas described (p. 654) Chelemys vestitus fumosus, n. subsp., and Chelemys angustus, n. sp., allied to Notiomys connectens. He criticized (pp. 655-656) Osgood's union of Chelemys, Notiomys, and Geoxus in a single genus (mentioning that the type of edwardsii is now in the British Museum, No. 18. 12.21.1), and maintained that Notiomys was markedly distinct from Chelemys and Geoxus. But he admitted that the last two genera might be "annectant."
- 1929. Thomas recorded (p. 42) a well-made, well-measured specimen of *edwardsii*, commenting upon the "excessively small ears."

BLARINOMYS Thomas

- 1877. Winge described (p. 34) Oxymycterus breviceps, n. sp., based upon fossil material from a cavern in Lagoa Santa.
- 1896b. Thomas erected (p. 310) Blarinomys, n. g., to contain Oxymycterus breviceps Winge.

Goeldi had sent Thomas a recent specimen from near Rio de Janeiro, and on the basis of that animal Thomas drew up his specific description and set up his new genus.

It seems to me that *talpinus* Lund, whose humerus that author described (1841, p. 276) as distinctly fossorial in character, may belong in *Blarinomys*.

1902. Goeldi recorded (p. 167) the finding of his specimen of *Blarinomys* breviceps and reviewed the history of the genus.

PRESENT STATUS OF THE GENERA AND SUBGENERA

Genus Thalpomys Thomas Type by original designation: Mus lasiotis Lund Genus Deltamys Thomas Type by original designation: Deltamys kempi Thomas Type by original designation: Hesperomys Genus Thaptomys Thomas subterraneus Hensel Genus Hypsimys Thomas Type by original designation: Hypsimys budini Thomas Genus Bolomys Thomas Type by original designation: Akodon amænus Thomas Genus Chroeomys Thomas Type by original designation: Akodon pulcherrimus Thomas Genus Abrothrix Waterhouse Type by original designation: Mus longipilis Waterhouse Genus Scotinomys Thomas Type by original designation: Hesperomys teguina Alston

Genus Akodon Meyen Subgenus Akodon Meyen Subgenus Chalcomys Thomas

Genus Microxus Thomas

Genus Podoxymys Anthony

Genus Lenoxus Thomas

Genus Oxymycterus Waterhouse Genus Notiomys Thomas

Genus Blarinomys Thomas

Type by monotypy: Akodon boliviensis Meyen

Type by original designation: $Akodon \ xrosus$ Thomas

Type by original designation: Oxymycterus mimus Thomas

Type by original designation: Podoxymys roraimae Anthony

Type by original designation: Oxymycterus apicalis Allen

Type by monotypy: Mus nasutus Waterhouse Type by monotypy: Notiomys edwardsi Thomas

Type by original designation: Oxymycterus breviceps Winge

LIST OF NAMED FORMS WITH TYPE LOCALITIES

The method of grouping the species of large genera geographically has been used in this paper for the subgenus Akodon only. A map showing the geographical areas is reproduced herewith. For fuller definitions of the areas, the original paper (Amer. Mus. Novit., 1932, No. 579) should be consulted.

Thalpomys

lasiotis (Lund)

Deltamys

kempi Thomas

Thaptomys

nigrita (Lichtenstein)

subterraneus subterraneus (Hensel)

subterraneus henseli (Leche)

Hupsimys

budini Thomas deceptor Thomas Lagoa Santa, Brazil

Isla Ella, delta of Rio Parana, Argentina

Region of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

In burrows in forest, Rio Grande do Sul,

Brazil Taquara do Mundo Novo, Rio Grande do Sul,

Brazil (received from von Ihering)

Leon, Jujuy, Argentina, 1500 m.

Higuerilla, Dept. of Valle Grande, 10 miles east of Zenta Range and 20 km. east of town of Tilcara, Jujuy, Argentina, 2000 m.

Bolomys

When first he erected *Bolomys*, Thomas apparently considered it a rather distinct division of *Akodon*, inhabiting the higher parts of the southern Andes. His later remarks (see *Akodon*, 1925) to the effect that *lactens* and *orbus* belonged in a single group with *obscurus*, *lenguarum*, and *benefactus* seemed, however, to belie this. In 1926 he again wrote of *lactens*, a species from relatively low country, under the generic name

Bolomys. Orbus and gossei are only doubtfully referred by me to Bolomys.

Philippi's species andinus, treated by Thomas as a Bolomys, was described as having the long claws, dark dorsal color, and Andean distribution of that genus. His figure, however, rather resembles a subadult Abrothrix longipilis.

andinus (Philippi)	High Andes, of Prov. of Santiago, Chile
albiventer (Thomas)	Lower Cachi, Prov. of Salta, Argentina
berlepschii (Thomas)	Esperanza, Mt. Sahama, Bolivia, 4000 ft.
amænus (Thomas)	Calalla, Rio Colca, near Sumbay, Peru, 3500 m.
jucundus (Thomas)	Cerro de la Lagunita, east of Maimara, Jujuy, Argentina, 4500 m.
lactens (Thomas)	Leon, Jujuy, Argentina, 1500 m.
orbus (Thomas)	Otro Cerro (45 kilometers west of Chumbicha), Rioja, Argentina, 3000 m.
gossei (Thomas)	Puente del Inca, Andes of Mendoza, Argentina, 10,000 ft.
negrito Thomas	Las Paras, Aconquiza, Tucuman, Argentina, 4000 m.

Chræomys

Akodon-like mice, colored dark fuscous brown, white, and chestnut, which inhabit the high Andes of Peru, Bolivia, and northern Chile.

jelskii jelskii (Thomas)	Junin, Central Peru.
jelskii pyrrhotis (Thomas)	Maraynioc, Central Peru.
pulcherrimus (Thomas)	Puno, Peru, 4000 m.
pulcherrimus cayllomæ (Thomas)	Caylloma, southeast Peru, 4300 m.
pulcherrimus inambarii (Thomas)	Limbane, Inambari River, upper River Madre de Dios, southeast Peru, 3400 m.
pulcherrimus cruceri (Thomas)	Crucero, on pass between Puno and upper Inambari River, southeast Peru, 4550 m.
bacchante bacchante (Thomas)	Choro, northwest of Cochabamba, Bolivia, 3500 m.
bacchante sodalis (Thomas)	Cerro de la Langunita, east of Maimara, Jujuy, Argentina, 4500 m.
inornatus Thomas	Ollantaytambo, Cuzco Region, Peru, 13,000 ft.
Abrothrix	

This genus, as restricted by Thomas in 1916, represents a group of medium to large-sized akodonts inhabiting the lowlands (excepting *illutea*) of Chile and Argentina, adjoining the Andes. Probably the greatest altitude reached by most members of the genus is 4000 ft.

IIt is doubtful whether Thomas thought of andinus and gossei as Bolomys. He compared them with jucundus which in 1913 he had compared with alviventer and puer.

longipilis (Waterhouse) brachiotis (Waterhouse)

brevicaudata (Philippi) hirta hirta (Thomas) hirta suffusa (Thomas)

hirta modestior Thomas

hirta mærens Thomas

hirta nubila Thomas

francei (Thomas)
illutea Thomas

Scotinomys

teguina teguina (Alston) teguina apricus (Bangs) xerampelinus (Bangs) irazu (Allen)

Akodon (Chalcomys)

Coquimbo, Chile

On a little island near Midship Bay, Chonos Archipelago, Chile

Puerto Montt, Valdivia, Chile

Fort San Rafael, Mendoza, Argentina

Valle del Lago Blanco, Cordillera region of southern Chubut, Argentina

Maiten, upper Chubut River, 42° S., 71° W., Argentina

Beatriz, Nahuel Huapi, Nequen, Argentina, 800 m.

Estancia, Alta Vista, Lago Argentino, Santa Cruz, Argentina, 600 m.

Santa Maria, Tierra del Fuego.

Concepcion, Tucuman, Argentina, 400 m. (corrected in 1929 to 3000-4000 meters)

Coban, Guatemala Boquete, Chiriqui, Panama, 4000 to 5000 ft. Volcan de Chiriqui, Panama, 10,300 ft. Volcan de Irazu, Costa Rica

In the subgenus *Chalcomys* we have apparently a group of akodonts of the humid subtropics, rather closely confined to the forested eastern slopes of the Andes, except in Colombia, where it occurs in the valleys of the Cauca and Magdalena rivers and in eastern Venezuela and Trinidad, where it descends in suitable environments almost to sea-level. A *Chalcomys* is present on the upper parts of Mts. Duida and Roraima of the Guyana Mountains. On the Pacific side of the Andes it seems to be replaced by the very similar-appearing *Oryzomys* (*Melanomys*).

I feel fairly convinced that *dayi* is a *Chalcomys*. The extension of distribution into eastern Bolivia suggests that one or more of the akodonts of Brazil—*fuliginosus*, *caniventer*, etc.,—may ultimately be shown to belong in *Chalcomys*.

urichi Allen and Chapman venezuelensis Allen

meridensis Allen tolimæ Allen

chapmani Allen ærosus ærosus Thomas ærosus baliolus Osgood dayi Osgood Caparo, Trinidad

Quebrada Seca, near Cumana, Prov. Sucre, Venezuela

Mérida, Venezuela, 1630 m.

Rio Toché, Quindio Andes, Tolima, Colombia, 7000 ft.

Chipaque, Eastern Andes, Colombia, 8500 ft.

Mirador, Baños, Ecuador, 1500 m.

Inca Mines, Inambari River, Peru Todos Santos, Chaparé River, Bolivia

Akodon (Akodon)

It will be seen that with the exception of the *mollis* group, which extends north of the equator along the Andes and along the western coastal strip of South America, the subgenus Akodon is almost confined to the southern half of South America and is excluded apparently from Amazonia (Region 6). However I have collected a species of Akodon just east of Pará.

Due to the conflicting views held from time to time by Thomas concerning the probable relationships of the species of *Akodon*, I have been unable to reach any definite conclusion regarding his groups of species, although such doubtless will be worked out eventually. Accordingly, I have again listed them under general regional headings with the hope that in this way some relationships at least may be suggested.

Region 3 (Andes north of Chile)¹

punctulatus Thomas	Pallatanga (?) Ecuador
mollis altorum Thomas	Cañar, Prov. Azuay, Ecuador
mollis orophilus Osgood	Six miles west of Leimabamba (in mountains
	near headwaters of Utcubamba River), Peru
mollis orientalis Osgood	Poco Tambo, between Chachapoyas and Rioja, Peru, 6000 ft.
surdus Thomas	Huadquiña, Cuzco Region, Peru, 5000 ft.
boliviensis Meyen	Village of "Pichu-pichun," western cordillera,
	Peru, 14,000 ft. (Probably on Mt. Pichupichu, near Arequipa)
lutescens Allen	Tirapata, Peru, 15,000 ft.

Region 4 (coast, from Ecuador to northern Chile)

_	•	,		,	
77 *	77 ' MI		/D1		ъ
mollis me	ms $\pm r$	iomas	1 umbez	, northwestern	Peru

Region 7 (Ceará to Matto Grosso and northern Chaco, Juiuv. etc.)

		,	,	,
cursor (Winge)	Lagoa Santa,	Brazil		
lenguarum Thomas	Waikthlatingn	nayalwa, no	orthern C	haco, Para-
	guay			
toba Thomas	Jesematathla,	northern	Chaco,	Paraguay,
	100 m			

Region 8 (southern Brazil and Paraguay)

· ·	G (,
fuliginosus (Wagner)	Ypanema, São Paulo, Brazil
serrensis serrensis Thomas	Roça Nova, on railway between Paranangua
	and Curitiba, Prov. Parana, Brazil, 1000 m.
serrensis leucogula (Ribeiro)	Retiro de Ramos, Serra Itatiaya, São Paulo,
, ,	Brazil
caniventris (Wagner)	Brazil
montensis Thomas	Sapucay, Paraguay

¹For explanation and map of geographical regions, see Amer. Mus. Novitates, No. 579, 1932, pp. 12-14.

Region 9 (Pampas)

arenicola arenicola (Waterhouse) arenicola hunteri Thomas obscurus (Waterhouse) azaræ (Fischer) benefactus Thomas

dolores Thomas

glaucinus Thomas

cænosus Thomas sylvanus sylvanus Thomas

sulvanus pervalens Thomas

tartareus Thomas tucumanensis Allen spegazzinii Thomas nucus Thomas neocenus Thomas iniscatus iniscatus Thomas

iniscatus collinus Thomas

Maldonado, Uruguay

Isla Ella, delta of Rio Parana, Argentina

Maldonado, Uruguay

32½° S (=latitude of Entre Rios) Argentina Bonifacio, southwest Buenos Ayres Province,

Argentina

Yacanto, near Villa Dolores, southwestern slopes of Sierra de Cordova, Argentina,

900 m.

Chumbicha, 60 kilom. southwest of Catamarca, Argentina, 600 m.

Leon, Jujuy, Argentina, 1500 m.

Sunchal, Sierra de Santa Barbara, south-

eastern Jujuy, Argentina, 1200 m.

Carapari, 35 km. north of Yacuiba, Tarija, Bolivia, 1000 m.

Tartagal, Salta, Argentina, 600 m.

Tucuman, Argentina

Lower Cachi, Prov. Salta, Argentina Chos Malal, 37° S., Nequen, Argentina Rio Limay, Rio Negro, Nequen, Patagonia Valle de Lago Blanco, Koslowsky region,

Patagonia, 46° S.

Maiten, West Chubut, Argentina, 700 m.

Region 10 (slopes of the southern Andes at high altitudes)

fumeus Thomas

puer Thomas

pacificus Thomas varius varius Thomas

varius simulator Thomas

rupestris (Gervais) ? leucolimnaeus Cabrera

alterus Thomas

beatus Thomas

Choro, northwest of Cachabamba, Bolivia, 3500 m.

Choquecamate, northwest of Cochabamba, Bolivia, 4000 m.

La Paz, Bolivia, 4000 m.

Tapacari, west of Cochabamba, Bolivia, 3000 m.

Villa Nouges, San Pablo, Tucuman, Argentina, 1200 m.

High mountains of Cobija, Bolivia Laguna Blanca, Catamarca, Argentina,

3100 m.

Chumbicha, 60 km. southwest of Catamarca, Argentina

Beatriz, Nahuel Huapi, north western Patagonia

Region 11 (Chilean lowlands)

olivaceus (Waterhouse) pusillus (Philippi)¹ brevicaudatus (Philippi)¹ Valparaiso, Chile Valparaiso, Chile Puerto Montt, Chile

¹Probably synonyms of olivaceus.

Region 12 (arid southern Patagonia)

canescens (Waterhouse)
xanthorhinus (Waterhouse)

Port Desire, Patagonia Santa Cruz, Patagonia

Microxus

It is difficult to understand how such strongly akodont species as torques, bogotensis, and affinis were originally placed in Oxymycterus (sensu lato) rather than in Akodon. Once this had been done, however, it was inevitable that species which differed so markedly from the truly oxymycterine genera Oxymycterus (sensu stricto) and Lenoxus should very soon be set off from those as a separate genus. Thus Microxus was erected. Most of the discussion of recent years hinges upon efforts to keep separate the two groups Akodon (sensu lato) and Microxus, which obviously are very close allies.

If we abandon the idea that the species in question are intimately related to Oxymycterus, we can readily see that they fit closely into the Akodon complex. Considered in this way, the dark-colored affinis of Colombia appears to be a Chalcomys, and torques, orophilus, and orientalis of Peru seem to belong in the mollis group. Furthermore, it will be noted that in 1927 Thomas reached the conclusion that torques should be removed to Akodon. Perhaps that character which has been chiefly invoked to separate Microxus from Akodon and to ally it with Oxymycterus—the slope of the zygomatic plate—is less important than it appeared to be at first sight. In listing the species, however, I have provisionally left torques and affinis in Microxus.

iheringi (Thomas) lanosus (Thomas) mimus (Thomas) bogotensis (Thomas) affinis Allen

torques Thomas

latebricola Anthony

tateor toota minumony

delphini (Cabrera)

Podoxymys

Oxymycterus

roraimæ Anthony

Lenoxus

apicalis (Allen)

Taquara, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil Monteith Bay, Straits of Magellan Limbane, Dept. of Puno, Peru, 2600 m.

Plains of Bogotá, Colombia

San Antonio, near Cali, Cauca, Colombia, 8000 ft.

Matchu Picchu, Cuzco Region, Peru, 10,000 ft.

Hacienda San Francisco, east of Ambato, on Rio Cusutagua, Ecuador, 8000 ft.

Punta Arenas, Patagonia

Summit of Mt. Roraima, British Guiana

Juliaca, Peru, 6000 ft.

This genus (sensu stricto) appears to extend from the coast of Brazil (from Pernambuco southwards to Uruguay), across the Matto Grosso

and Chaco country to the Andes of Central Peru, Bolivia, and northern Argentina. True Oxymycterus appears to be absent from Chile.

angularis Thomas dasytrichus (Wied)

rostellatus (Wagner) quaestor Thomas

roberti Thomas

hispidus (Pictet) judex Thomas nasutus (Waterhouse) platensis Thomas rufus (Desmarest)

delator Thomas doris Thomas

iris Thomas

inca Thomas

juliacæ Allen paramensis paramensis Thomas

paramensis jacentior Thomas

akodontius Thomas

misionalis Sanborn

São Lourenço, near Pernambuco, Brazil Type locality not fixed. One specimen from

R. Mucuri; another from Camamu, south of and not far from Bahia de Todos Santos, Brazil

Eastern Brazil

Roça Nova, on railway between Paranangua and Curitiba, Prov. Parana, Brazil, 1000 m.

Rio Jordao, district of Araguary, southwest Minas Geraes, Brazil

Bahia, Brazil

Joinville, Santa Catharina, Brazil

Maldonado, Uruguay

Enseñada, Rio Santiago, La Plata, Argentina

No definite locality. Taken near a stream. Another specimen, Azara's HOCICUDO (1802) was shot in an arroyo in Entre Rios, Argentina

Sapucay, Paraguay

Charuplaya, upper Mamoré River, 65° 5′

W., 16° S., Bolivia, 1350 m.

San Ernesto, near Mapiri, Mapiri River, upper Beni River, Bolivia, 1000 m.

Perené River, Ucayali watershed, Dept. of Junin, Peru, 800 m.

Juliaca, Peru

Choquecamate, northwest of Cochabamba, Bolivia, 4000 m.

Carapari, 35 km., north of Yacuiba, Tarija, Bolivia, 1000 m.

Higuerilla, Dept. Valle Grande, 10 km. east of Zenta Range and 20 km. east of towns of Tilcara, Jujuy, Argentina, 2000 m.

Caraguatay, R. Paranay, Prov. Misiones, Argentina

Notiomys (including Chelemys and Geoxus)

scalops (Gay)
megalonyx (Waterhouse)
valdivianus valdianus (Philippi)
valdivianus chiloensis Osgood
valdivianus araucanus Osgood
niger (Philippi)
macronyx (Thomas)

edwardsii Thomas

In fields in the Central Provinces, Chile

Lake Quintero, Chile Near Valdivia, Chile

Quellon, Chiloe Island, Chile Tolhuaca, Prov. Malleco, Chile

Peine, Prov. of Peine, Chile

Near Fort San Rafael, Prov. of Mendoza, Argentina

South of Santa Cruz, towards 50° S. lat., Patagonia michaelseni (Matschie) vestitus vestitus (Thomas)

vestitus alleni Osgood vestitus fumosus (Thomas)

microtis Philippi microtis Allen (preoccupied by microtis Philippi?) fossor (Thomas) connectens Osgood angustus (Thomas)

Blarinomys Thomas breviceps (Winge)

In mountain forest, Punta Arenas, Patagonia
Valle del Lago Blanco, cordillera region of
southern Chubut Territory, Patagonia
Upper Rio Chico, Santa Cruz, S. Argentina
Sierra de Pilpil, 15 km. south of San Martin,
40° 15′ S., 71° 20′ W. southwest Nequen
Territory Argentina, 1200–2000 m.
Prov. Maule, Chile
Pacific slope of cordillera, near headwaters of
Rio Chico de Santa Cruz, Patagonia
Maiten, Western Chubut, Argentina, 700 m.
Villa Portales, Prov. Cautin, Chile
Bariloche, east of Lake Nahuel Huapi, Nequen,
Argentina, 800 m.

Fossil skull: in caves at Lagoa Santa, Brazil. Recent specimen: Colonia Alpina, Theresopolis, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

LIST OF REFERENCES			
ALLEN, J. A.	1899.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XII, pp. 195-218.	
·	1900.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIII, pp. 219-227.	
	1901.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIV, pp. 41-46.	
	1903.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XIX, pp. 185-196.	
	1904a.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XX, pp. 29-80.	
		Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XX, pp. 327-335.	
	1905.	'Report Princeton Pat. Exped.,' III, (1).	
	1913a.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XXXII, pp. 469-484.	
		Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XXXII, pp. 597-602.	
	1916.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., XXXV, pp. 191-238.	
ALLEN, J. A., AND		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CHAPMAN, F. M.	1893.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., V, pp. 203-234.	
	1897.	Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., IX, pp. 13-30.	
Anthony, H. E.	1924.	Amer. Mus. Novit., No. 139.	
Azara, F.	1801.	'Essais Hist. Nat. Quadr. Paraguay,' II.	
	1802.	'Apuntamientos Hist. Nat. Quadr. Paraguay y Rio de	
		la Plata,' II.	
Bangs, O.	1902.	Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., XXXIX, pp. 17-51.	
Bridges, T.	1843.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London.	
Burmeister, H.	1854.	'Syst. Ubersicht Thiere Brasilien.'	
	1855.	Abh. Naturf. Ges. Halle, II (1), (1854), Sitz.	
~ .	1879.	'Descrip. Phys. Rep. Argentina,' III.	
Cabrera, A.	1905.	Rev. Chilena Hist. Nat., IX.	
	1926.	Rev. Chilena Hist. Nat., XXX.	
DESMAREST, A. G.	1819.	Nouv. Dict. d'Hist. Nat., 2nd Ed., XXIX.	
FISCHER, J. B.	1829.	'Synopsis Mammalium.'	
Gay, C.	1847.	'Hist. Fis. y. Politica de Chile.' Zoologia, I.	
GERVAIS, P.	1841.	In Eydoux and Soulevet. 'Voyage autour du Monde	
		sur La Bonițe, I—Mammiferes.	
GOELDI, E. A.	1902.	Bol. Mus. Paraense, III, pp. 166–169.	
Gray, J. E.	1843.	'List Spec. Mamm. Brit. Mus.'	
HENSEL, R.	1872.	Abh. Ak. Wiss. Berlin.	
IHERING, H. VON	1893.	'Os Mammiferos do Rio Grande do Sul.' Annuario	
		do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul, para o anno 1893,	
* ***	1000	de Graciano a de Azambuja.	
LECHE, W.	1886.	Zool. Jahrb., I.	
Lichtenstein, H.	1827.	'Darstellung neue oder wenig bekannter Säugethiere.'	
LUND, P.	1841.	Afh. k. d. Vidensk. Selsk Skrifter, VIII.	
MATSCHIE, P.	1898.	'Ergebnisse der Hamburger Magalhaenischen Sammel- reise 1892–3.' Band I. Säugethiere.	
MEYEN, F. J. F.	1832.	Nova Acta Ac. Leop., XVI.	
MILNE-EDWARDS, A.	1891.	'Mission Sci. Cap. Horn,' VI (1).	
Osgood, W. H.	1912.	Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool. Ser., X, pp. 33-66.	
	1914.	Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool. Ser., X, pp. 143–185.	
	1915.	Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool. Ser., X, pp. 187–198.	
	1916.	Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool., Ser., X, pp. 199–216.	
	1925.	Field Mus. Nat. Hist., Zool., Ser. XII, pp. 113-125.	

PALMER, T. S.	1904.	North American Fauna, No. 23.
Pelzeln, A. von	1883.	Verh. Zool. Bot. Ges. Wien, XXXIII, Beiheft.
Philippi, R. A.	1858.	Arch. f. Naturg., I.
	1872.	Zeitschr. gesammten Naturwiss., New Series, VI.
	1900.	Anales Mus. Nac. Chile, No. 14.
PICTET, FJ.	1843.	Mem. Soc. Phys. Hist. Nat. Geneve, X.
RENGGER, J. R.	1830.	'Naturg. Säug. Paraguay.'
RIBEIRO, A. DE M.	1905.	Arch. Mus. Rio de Janeiro, XIII, pp. 174-188.
Sanborn, C. C.	1931.	Proc. Biol. Soc., Wash., XLIV, p. 1.
Schinz, H.	1845.	'Syst. Verzeichn. Säug. oder Synopsis Mamm.,' II.
THOMAS, O.	1884.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London.
•	1894.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XIV, pp. 346-366.
	1895.	Ann. Mag. Hat. Hist., (6) XIV, pp. 367-370.
	1896a.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London, pp. 1012-1028.
	1896b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XVIII, pp. 301-314.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XIX, pp. 494-501.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XX, pp. 214-218.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XX, pp. 218-221.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (6) XX, pp. 544-552.
		Proc. Zool. Soc. London, pp. 210-212.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) I, pp. 277-283.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) II, pp. 265-275.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) VI, pp. 294-302.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) VI, pp. 466-469.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) VII, pp. 179-190.
	1901b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) VIII, pp. 526-536.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) IX, pp. 59-64.
	1902b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) IX, pp. 125-143.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) IX, pp. 222-230.
	1903a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) XI, pp. 226-229.
	1903b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) XI, pp. 487-493.
	1903c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) XII, pp. 234-243.
	1908.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) II, pp. 496-497.
	1909.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) IV, pp. 230-242.
	1913a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XI, pp. 136-143.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XI, pp. 404-409.
	1914.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XIV, pp. 240-244.
	1916a	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XVII, pp. 182–187.
	1916b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XVIII, pp. 334-336.
	1916c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XVIII, pp. 336-340.
	1916d	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XVIII, pp. 478–480.
	1917a	Smiths. Misc. Coll., LXVIII, No. 4.
	1917b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (8) XX, pp. 95-100.
	1918	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) I, pp. 186-193.
	1919a	. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) III, pp. 115-118.
	19196	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) III, pp. 199–212.
	1919c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) III, pp. 213-214.
	1919d	. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) III, pp. 489-500.

THOMAS, O.	1919e.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) IV, pp. 154-156.
	1920a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) V, pp. 188-196.
	1920b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) VI, pp. 417-422.
	1921a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) VII, pp. 177-179.
	1921b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) VII, pp. 183-187.
	1921c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) VIII, pp. 608-617.
	1921d.	Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., LVIII, pp. 217-249.
	1925a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist,. (9) XV, pp. 575-582.
	1925b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XV, pp. 582-586.
	1926a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVII, pp. 311-312.
	1926b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVII, pp. 313-318.
	1926c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVII, pp. 318-328.
	1926d.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVII, pp. 602-609.
		Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVII, pp. 610-616.
	1926f.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XVIII, pp. 635-641
	1927a.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XIX, pp. 361-373.
	1927b.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XIX, pp. 545-554.
	1927c.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XIX, pp. 650-658.
	1927d.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (9) XX, pp. 199-205.
•	1929.	Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (10) IV, pp. 35-45.
Tomes, R. F.	1865.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London.
TROUESSART, EL.	1898.	'Cat. Mamm. viv. foss.'
	1905.	'Cat. Mamm. viv. foss.,' Supplement.
TSCHUDI, J. J. VON	18 45 .	'Untersuchungen Fauna Peruana,' I (1844).
WAGNER, A.	1842.	Arch. f. Naturg., I.
	1843.	'Schreber's Säugethiere,' Supplement III.
	1845.	Arch. f. Naturg., I.
	1850.	Abh. Ak. München, V.
WATERHOUSE, G. R.	1837.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London.
	1839.	'Zool. Voy. "Beagle."
	1844.	Proc. Zool. Soc. London.
WIED, M.	1826.	'Beiträge z. Naturg. v. Brasilien,' II.
WINGE, H.	1887.	E. Mus. Lundii, I, pt. 3.
Wolffsohn, J. A.	1910.	Bol. Mus. Nac. Chile, II, pp. 84-102.
		·