Article XVIII. — HISTORY AND CHARACTERS OF THE FAMILY NATALIDÆ.

By GERRIT S. MILLER, Jr.

PLATE X.

On examining a specimen of Furipterus among the 'freetailed bats' in the American Museum of Natural History, I was impressed by the animal's resemblance to members of the genus Natalus, for one of which, in fact, I at first glance mistook it. Further study convinces me that this similarity is due to actual relationship, and that Natalus, Thyroptera, Furipterus, and Amorphochilus should be united to form a family Natalidæ distinct from the Vespertilionidæ, Phyllostomidæ, and Emballonuridæ, to each of which members of the group have been assigned. This family is exactly equivalent to the subfamily Natalini of Winge, regarded by its author as part of the Vespertilionidæ, and essentially the same as the Natalinæ of Harrison Allen, founded as a group of Phyllostomidæ. Before examining the characters of the group it will be of interest briefly to review the history of the four genera. In this I make no attempt at completeness of detail.

The first member of the family Natalidæ to be brought to the notice of naturalists was the genus Thyroptera, described by Spix in 1823 ('23, p. 61, pl. xxxvi). Five years later a second genus, Furia, was published by F. Cuvier ('28, p. 149). As the name Furia had been previously used in another sense by Linnæus ('58, p. 647) it was changed to Furipterus by Bonaparte ('37-'37, under *Plecotus auritus*). The third genus, *Natalus*, was described in 1838 by J. E. Gray ('38, p. 496). Gray's account of the original specimen of Natalus stramineus, from an unknown locality, was so unsatisfactory that the genus received in 1855 a second and a third name, Spectrellum Gervais and Nyctiellus Gervais ('55, pp. 51, 84). The year 1854 had brought still another synonym to the group, Hyonycteris Lichtenstein and Peters ('54, p. 335). This afterward proved to be the same as the Thyroptera of Spix (Peters, '65 b, pp. 580-581). The fourth and last known genus, Amorphochilus, was not described until 1877 (Peters, '77, p. 185). Still more recently, however, two species

of *Natalus* have been separated from the typical members of the genus under the subgeneric name *Chilonatalus* (Miller, '98, p. 326).

In the systematic arrangement of these few genera authors have shown the widest variance of opinion. Spix regarded Thyroptera as a member of the family Anistiophori, a group including all the American bats without nasal appendages ('23, p. 55). F. Cuvier ('28, p. 154) compared the genus Furipterus (= 'Furia') with the Asiatic Kerivoula, a member of the family Vespertilionidæ, though without assuming any close relationship. G. Cuvier regarded Thyroptera as nearly related to Molossus ('29, p. 116); an opinion in which he was followed by Bonaparte ('31, p. 15), Wagner ('40, p. 482) and Cantraine ('45, p. 402). Bonaparte, however, afterward referred the allied genus Furipterus to the Vespertilionidæ ('37, in text under Plecotus auritus). Gray, in 1838, placed Furipterus next to Natalus in the 'tribe Vespertilionina' ('38, p. 496). During the same year Gervais described the Cuban Natalus lepidus as a member of the genus Vespertilio ('38, p. 32). In 1840 the same species was redescribed by Gundlach, who also regarded it as a Vespertilio. Wagner's reference of Thyroptera to the Gymnura, a group about equivalent to the Molossidæ, was made with much hesitation; at the same time he placed Furipterus among the Vespertilionina ('40, p. 549). Afterward, he described a species of Natalus under the generic name Vespertilio ('45, p. 148). The genus Mosia, at first supposed to have come from Brazil, was described by Gray in 1843 ('43, p. 117) and figured during the following year ('44, p. 25, pl. vi.). It proved, however, to be one of the Old World Emballonuridæ (Peters, '65 b, p. 480, Dobson, '78, p. 363). Except for Gray's subsequent confusion of this genus with Furipterus ('66) it would not be mentioned here. Gervais in 1855 arranged the genera of Natalidæ known to him as follows: Thyroptera and Furipterus among the 'Emballonurine Vespertilionidæ' ('55, pp. 56, 69), and Natalus, specimens of which he supposed to represent two genera, divided between the 'Vampyrine Phyllostomidæ' ('Spectrellum,' '55, p. 51), and the 'Nycticeine Vespertilionidæ' ('Nyctiellus,' '55, p. 84). Tomes, on the other hand, grouped the same three genera under the Vespertilionidæ ('65, pp. 172-181). He was thus

the first writer to recognize their close relationship. In 1865 Peters published his synopsis of the genera of bats. Here the natural grouping adopted by Tomes is done away with. Natalus and Thyroptera, it is true, are left in the Vespertilionidæ, but Furipterus is removed to the 'Brachyura,' a group containing also the genera Mystacina, Noctilio, Taphozous, Emballonura (with Saccopteryx, etc., as subgenera), and Diclidurus ('65, p. 258). During the following year Gray proposed an arrangement of the Vespertilionidæ and Noctilionidæ differing considerably from the system adopted by Peters. The genera Natalus, Furipterus (renamed Furiella), and Thyroptera, all of which Gray appears to have known at first hand, are united with the Old World Miniopterus to form a subfamily Natalinia of the family Vespertilionidæ. For the Nyctiellus of Gervais (spelled Nycticellus), the same as Natalus, but unknown to Gray directly, a new subfamily of the Vespertilionidæ, Nycticellina is formed. Another genus based on a species of Natalus, Spectrellum Gervais, likewise known to Gray by the description only, is made a subfamily (Spectrellina) of the Noctilionidæ. Finally the 'Furipterus of Bonaparte,' 2 which Gray had never seen, but which he wrongly supposed to be different from that of Tomes, forms the 'subfamily Furipterina,' placed also under the Noctilionidæ. This was apparently due to the misidentification of the Furia of F. Cuvier and Furipterus of Bonaparte with Gray's own Mosia ('66, pp. 90-93). The Natalinia of Gray thus coincides with the Natalini of Winge except that the former includes Miniopterus and does not contain Amorphochilus, then unknown. Gill essentially followed Peters, though he regarded the genus Furia (= Furipterus) as representative of a subfamily Furiinæ ('72, p. 18). In 1877 Peters again associated Furipterus with the 'Brachyura,' now, however, placing Amorphochilus with it and recognizing the two genera as a group 'Furiæ' ('77, p. 185). The classification adopted by Dobson is essentially that of-Furipterus ('Furia') and Amorphochilus are placed among the Emballonuridæ as a group Furiæ, while Thyroptera and Natalus are united with Miniopterus to form the third division of the Vespertilionide, the group Miniopteri ('78, pp. 170,

^{1 &}quot; Furia, Temm., Furipterus, Tomes, not Bonap."

^{2 &}quot; Furipterus, Bonap. and Gervais, not Tomes = Mosia, Gray, Furia, F. Cuv."

345). Flower and Lydekker follow Dobson, though removing Thyroptera from association with Natalus and Miniopterus, and placing it alone in the 'Thyropterine division' of the Vespertilionidæ ('91, pp. 664-665, 666). Two important papers on the classification of the Natalidæ appeared in 1892, one by Harrison Allen, the other by Winge. The latter is probably the earlier in point of date. In it for the first time since the publication of Tomes's paper in 1865, Natalus, Thyroptera, and Furipterus are brought together without association with *Miniopterus*. To these is added the genus Amorphochilus, unknown to Tomes. group thus formed, exactly equivalent to the family Natalidæ of the present paper, stands as one of the three primary divisions of the Vespertilionidæ ('92, p. 36). Harrison Allen, from a study of Natalus alone, concluded that the genus could not be retained among the Vespertilionidæ. Like Gervais he was impressed by the resemblance of the genus to some of those usually placed among the Phyllostomidæ. To this family he therefore referred the genus as sole representative of the subfamily Natalinæ ('02. pp. 437-439). In a later work, however, he refers to Thyroptera, Furipterus, and Natalus as 'allied' genera, adding that "Thyroptera is in close relation with Natalus." Though he does not actually refer either to the subfamily Natalinæ, he clearly does so by inference ('94, pp. 23, 55). Zittel mentions Natalus among the Vespertilionidæ ('93, p. 578). In the most recent work to treat of the bats as a whole Trouessart divides the genera of Natalidæ among no less than three families. Thyroptera forms a section of the Vespertilionidæ, Furipterus and Amorphochilus stand as a section of the Emballonuridæ, while Natalus is removed to the Phyllostomidæ ('97, pp. 134, 135-136). grouping is simply that of Flower and Lydekker as modified by Harrison Allen in 1892.

The history of the family Natalidæ is summarized in the following table:

HISTORY OF THE FAMILY NATALIDÆ.

Year.	Author.	Family in which Genus was Placed.			
		Vespertilionidæ.	Emballonuridæ.	Phyllostomidæ.	
1828	F. Cuvier.	Furipterus.			
1829	G. Cuvier.	•	Thyroptera.		
183í	Bonaparte.		Thyroptera.		
1837		Furipterus.			
1838	Gray.	Furipterus.			
• •		Natalus.			
	Gervais.	Natalus.			
1840	Gundlach.	Natalus.			
**	Wagner.	Furipterus.	Thyroptera.		
1845		Natalus.			
-	Cantraine.		Thyroptera.		
1855	Gervais.	Natalus (' <i>Nyctiellus</i> ').	Thyroptera.	Natalus (' <i>Spectrellum</i> ')	
	**	, ,	Furipterus.	,	
1865	Tomes.	Thyroptera.	-		
"	**	Furipterus.			
"		Natalus.			
	Peters.	Natalus.	Furipterus.		
• •	**	Thyroptera.			
1866	Gray.	Natalus.	Natalus.		
"		Furipterus.	Furipterus.		
		Thyroptera.	-		
1872	Gill.		Furipterus.		
1877	Peters.	NT 4 3	Amorphochilus.		
1878	Dobson.	Natalus.	Furipterus.		
-0 -	771	Thyroptera.	Amorphochilus.		
1891	Flower and	Natalus.	Furipterus.		
	Lydekker.	T1	A L L 1 1		
"		Thyroptera.	Amorphochilus.		
1892		Natalus.		-	
1092	Winge.	,			
		Thyroptera. Furipterus.			
"		Amorphochilus.			
	H. Allen.	rinoi phocimus.		Natalus.	
1894	11. 7111011.			Natalus.	
	66			Furipterus.	
"	"			Thyroptera.	
"	Zittel.	Natalus.		·>	
1897	Trouessart.	Thyroptera.	Furipterus.	Natalus.	
ú'	**	7	Amorphochilus.		
1898	Miller.	1	1	Natalus.	

The characters of the group are as follows:

FAMILY NATALIDÆ.

Small, delicately formed bats with general aspect of the members of the Vespertilionine genus Kerivoula. Ears widely separate, funnel form, the

opening directed forward; inner surface of conch smooth or papillose, without cross striations. Muzzle neither simple nor with leaf-like outgrowths, its form occasionally (Chilonatalus, Amorphochilus) suggesting that of the Lobostomine Phyllostomidæ. Membranes ample, thin, and delicate. Thumb variable in form, its phalanges sometimes (Furipterus, Amorphochilus) rudimentary, the metacarpal never wholly free from membrane. Third manal digit normally with two phalanges, the second of which is longer than the first (in Thiroptera the distal phalanx is divided into two). Skull Vespertilionine in form, the braincase abruptly elevated above faceline. Vomer large, generally fused with posterior edge of bony palate, the anterior extremity always produced as a median spicule appearing at bottom of anterior palatine emargination. In Thyroptera the anterior extremity of the vomer is slightly bifurcate, while in Natalus it is joined by the palatine processes of the premaxillaries, thus isolating the two small palatine vacuities. Upper incisors four, in pairs, each pair widely separated from that of opposite side and from canine. Lower incisors six, small but well developed. Anterior premolars, both above and below, large, compressed laterally, and with well developed cutting edges. Maxillary molars with inner (lingual) side of crown narrow, the protocone well developed, but hypocone rudimentary or absent.

The family Natalidæ unites in a curious manner the characters of the Vespertilionidæ and Phyllostomidæ. In aspect the species are distinctly Vespertilionine, though the peculiar structure of the muzzle, notably in Amorphochilus and Chilonatalus, distinctly suggests the Lobostomine Phyllostomidæ. The skull is Vespertilionine in form, though the relation of the anterior end of the vomer to the premaxillaries is distinctly Phyllostomine. While the molar teeth and the incisors are perfectly Vespertilionine, the premolars are as clearly Phyllostomine in both size and form. Finally the third manal digit normally contains two phalanges as in the Vespertilionidæ, the distal, however, of unusual length. This long phalanx is divided into two in Thyroptera, thus exactly reproducing the normal condition in the Phyllostomidæ. With the Emballonuridæ the group has no close relationship.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

ALLEN, HARRISON.

'92. On a New Subfamily of Phyllostome Bats [Natalinæ]. < Proc. U. S. National Museum, XV, pp. 437-439. Oct. 28, 1892.

'94. A Monograph of the Bats of North America. = Bull. U. S. National Museum, No. 43. (1893) March 14, 1894.

BONAPARTE, C. S.

'31. Saggio di una Distribuzione metodica degli Animali Vertebrati.
1831.

'37. Iconografia della Fauna Italica, I, Mammiferi e Ucelli. Fasc. XXI, 1837.

CANTRAINE, F.

'45. Notice sur une nouvelle espèce du genre Thyroptera Spix. < Bull. de l'Acad. Roy. des Sci. et Belles-lettres de Bruxelles, XII, pt. I, pp. 489-495. 1845.

CUVIER, F.

'28. Description d'un nouveau genre de Chauve-Souris sous le nom de Furie. < Mém. du Mus. d'Hist. Nat., Paris, XVI, pp. 149-155. 1828.

CUVIER, G.

'29. Le Règne Animal, Nouv. éd. I. 1829.

Dobson, G. E.

'76. On peculiar Structures in the Feet of certain species of Mammals which enable them to walk on smooth perpendicular surfaces: < Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1876, pp. 526-540. June 20, 1876.

'78. Catalogue of the Chiroptera in the Collection of the British Museum. 1878.

'80. Description of a new species of the Genus Natalus (Vespertilionidæ) from Jamaica. < Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, 1880, pp. 443-444. June 1, 1880.</p>

FLOWER, W. H., AND LYDEKKER, R.

'91. An Introduction to the Study of the Mammalia, Living and Extinct. 1891.

GERVAIS, PAUL.

'38. [Murciélagos de la Isla de Cuba.] < Historia Fisica Politica y Natural de la Isla de Cuba, por D. Ramon de la Sagra, pt. II, tom. III (Mammiferos), pp. 31-34. 1838.

'55. Documents zoologiques pour servir à la Monographie des Chéiroptères Sud-Americaines. < Exped. dans les parties centrales de l'Amerique du Sud sous la direction du Compte Francis de Castelnau, pt. VII, Zoologie [tome II], Mammifères, pp. 25– 88. 1855.

'56. Documents pour servir à la Monographie des Chéiroptères Sud-Americaines. < Comptes Rendus de l' Acad. des Sciences, XLII, pp. 547-550. Jan.-June, 1856.

GILL, THEODORE.

'72. Arrangement of the Families of Mammals. = Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, No. 230. November, 1872.

GRAY, J. E.

38. A Revision of the Genera of Bats (Vespertilionidæ), and the Description of some new Genera and Species. < Mag. Zoöl. and Bot., II, pp. 483-505. December, 1838.

'43. Descriptions of some new genera and species of Mammalia in the British Museum Collection. < Ann. and Mag. Nat. Hist., XI, pp. 117-119. February, 1843.

- '43. Zoölogy of the Voyage of H. M. S. Sulphur, Mammalia. 1843.
 - '66. Synopsis of the Genera of Vespertilionidæ and Noctilionidæ. <Ann. and Mag. Nat. Hist., 3d ser., XVII, pp. 89-93. February, 1866.

GUNDLACH, J.

'40. Beschreibung von vier auf Cuba gefangenen Fledermäusen. < Wiegmann's Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Jarg. VI, Bd. I, pp. 356-358. 1840.

LINNÆUS, C.

'58. Systema Naturæ, I, ed. 10, 1758.

LICHTENSTEIN, H., and PETERS, W.

'54. Über neue merkwürdige Säugethiere des Köngl. Zoologischen Museums. < Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 1854, pp. 334-336.

MILLER, GERRIT S., Jr.

- '96. The Central American Thyroptera. < Proc. Biolog. Soc. Washington, X, pp. 109-112. July 22, 1896.
- '98. Descriptions of Five New Phyllostome Bats. < Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia, 1898, pp. 326-337. July 25, 1898.

PETERS, W.

- '65 a. Vorlage von Abbildungen zu einer Monographie der Chiropteren, und uebersicht der von ihm befolgten systematischen Ordnung der hieher gehörigen Gattungen. < Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 1865, pp. 256-258.
- '65 b. Über die zu den Gattungen Mimon und Saccopteryx gehörigen Flederthiere. < Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 1865, pp. 469-481.
- '65 c. Über die brasilianischen, von Spix beschreibenen Flederthiere. < Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 1865, pp. 568-588.
- 65 d. Über einige weniger bekannte Flederthiere (Phyllostoma brachyotum, Cαlops, Furia, Lasionycteris). < Monatsber. K. Preuss. Akad. Wissensch. Berlin, 1865, pp. 641-648.</p>
- '77. Über eine neue Gattung von Flederthieren, Amorphochilus, aus Peru und über eine neue Crocidura aus Liberia. < Monatsber.

 K. Preuss. Akad. Wissench. Berlin, 1877, pp. 184-188.

Spix, J. B. v.

- '23. Simiarum et Vespertilionum brasiliensium species novæ. 1823. Tomes, R. F.
 - '65. On three Genera of Vespertilionidæ, Furipterus, Natalus, and Hyonycteris. < Proc. Zoöl. Soc. London, XXIV, pp. 172-181. 1865.

TROUESSART, E.-L.

'97. Catalogus Mammalium tam viventium quam fossilum. Fasc. I, 1897.

WAGNER, J. A.

'40. Schreber's Säugethiere, Supplementband, I, 1840.

'45. Diagnosen einiger neuen Arten von Nagern und Handflüglern.

< Wiegmann's Archiv für Naturgeschichte, Jahrg. XI, Bd. I,
pp. 145-149. 1845.

WINGE, HERLUF.

'92. Jordfundne og nulevende Flagermus (Chiroptera) fra Lagoa Santa, Minas Geræs, Brasilien < E. Museo Lundii, II, pp. 3-65. 1892.

ZITTEL, K. A.

'93. Handbuch der Palæontologie, IV (Mammalia), Lief. ii, 1893.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE X.

Fig. 1.—Thyroptera discifera (Lischtenstein and Peters).

Fig. 2.—Furipterus horrens (F. Cuvier).

Fig. 3.—Natalus micropus (Dobson).

For each species a = ear (x $1\frac{1}{2}$), b = side view of skull (x 2), c = dorsal view of skull (x 2), d = palatal view of skull (x 2), e = maxillary teeth (x 4), f = mandibular teeth (x 4).