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Overall tree topology resulting from neighbor-joining analysis of 4283 ingroup specimens based on $\sim 1044 \mathrm{bp}$ of NADH2 sequence data. Numbers refer to figures 1-77 illustrating the respective subtrees; OG, outgroup.

## CONTENTS

Abstract ..... 5
Introduction ..... 5
Taxon coverage ..... 8
DNA barcoding ..... 8
Cautionary remarks ..... 8
Choice of distance measure ..... 9
Clusters do not reflect phylogenetic relationships ..... 10
Materials and methods ..... 11
Cluster analysis ..... 15
Distance measures ..... 15
Haplotype networks ..... 17
Species boundaries and names ..... 17
Specimen choice and vouchering ..... 18
Specimen deposition ..... 19
Results ..... 19
Carcharhiniformes ..... 20
Carcharhinidae (part 1) ..... 20
Sphyrnidae ..... 31
Carcharhinidae (continued) ..... 33
Hemigaleidae ..... 34
Leptochariidae ..... 35
Triakidae ..... 35
Scyliorhinidae: group 1 ..... 42
Pseudotriakidae ..... 46
Proscylliidae ..... 47
Scyliorhinidae: group 2 ..... 47
Lamniformes ..... 49
Lamnidae ..... 49
Cetorhinidae ..... 50
Odontaspididae: group 1 ..... 51
Alopiidae ..... 51
Megachasmidae ..... 51
Odontaspididae: group 2 ..... 51
Pseudocarchariidae ..... 52
Mitsukurinidae ..... 52
Orectolobiformes ..... 52
Hemiscylliidae ..... 52
Stegostomatidae ..... 53
Rhincodontidae ..... 53
Ginglymostomatidae ..... 54
Orectolobidae ..... 54
Brachaeluridae ..... 55
Parascylliidae ..... 55
Heterodontiformes ..... 55
Heterodontidae ..... 55
Squaliformes ..... 56
Squalidae ..... 56
Centrophoridae ..... 59
Somniosidae, in part ..... 61
Oxynotidae. ..... 62
Somniosidae (continued) ..... 62
Etmopteridae ..... 63
Dalatiidae ..... 65
Squatiniformes ..... 65
Squatinidae ..... 65
Echinorhinidae ..... 66
Pristiophoriformes ..... 66
Pristiophoridae ..... 66
Hexanchiformes ..... 67
Hexanchidae. ..... 67
Chlamydoselachidae ..... 67
Rajiformes ..... 67
Dasyatidae ..... 67
Urotrygonidae ..... 78
Potamotrygonidae. ..... 78
Rhinopteridae. ..... 79
Mobulidae ..... 81
Myliobatidae ..... 82
Gymnuridae ..... 85
Plesiobatidae ..... 87
Urolophidae ..... 87
Hexatrygonidae ..... 88
Zanobatidae ..... 88
Pristidae ..... 88
Rhinobatidae: group 1 ..... 89
Rhynchobatidae ..... 89
Rhinidae ..... 90
Rhinobatidae: group 2 ..... 90
Torpedinidae ..... 91
Narkidae (Typhlonarke) ..... 92
Narcinidae ..... 92
Narkidae (Narke) ..... 93
Platyrhinidae ..... 93
Rajidae ..... 93
Arhynchobatidae ..... 100
Anacanthobatidae ..... 104
Discussion ..... 104
Intraspecific p-distances (average of \% pairwise differences) ..... 105
Intrageneric p-distances (average of \% pairwise differences) ..... 105
Biogeography ..... 107
Comparisons to COI barcode data ..... 109
Implications for parasitology ..... 109
Outlook and future work ..... 110
Author contributions ..... 111
Acknowledgments ..... 111
References ..... 113


#### Abstract

In an effort to provide a framework for the accurate identification of elasmobranchs, driven in large part by the needs of parasitological studies, a comprehensive survey of DNA sequences derived from the mitochondrial NADH2 gene was conducted for elasmobranchs collected from around the world. Analysis was based on sequences derived from 4283 specimens representing an estimated 574 (of $\sim 1221$ ) species ( 305 sharks, 269 batoids), each represented by 1 to 176 specimens, in 157 (of 193 described) elasmobranch genera in 56 (of 57 described) families of elasmobranchs (only Hypnidae was not represented). A total of 1921 (44.9\%) of the samples were represented by vouchers and/or images available in an online host specimen database (http://elasmobranchs.tapewormdb.uconn.edu). A representative sequence for each of the 574 species identified in this survey, as well as an additional 11 sequences for problematic complexes, has been deposited in GenBank. Neighbor-joining analysis of the data revealed a substantial amount of previously undocumented genetic diversity in elasmobranchs, suggesting 79 potentially new taxa ( 38 sharks, 41 batoids). Within-species p-distance variation in NADH2percent sequence divergence ranged from 0 to 2.12 with a mean of 0.27 ; within-genus p-distance variation ranged from 0.03 to 27.01 , with a mean of 10.16 . These values are roughly consistent with estimates from prior studies based on barcode COI sequences for elasmobranchs and fishes. While biogeographic influences have likely shaped the diversification of the entire group, the traces left by older influences tend to be overprinted by newer ones. As a result, the most clearly interpretable influences are those associated with recently diverged taxa. Among closely related elasmobranchs, four regions appear to be of particular importance: (1) the Atlantic Ocean, (2) Arabian Sea, Persian Gulf, and Red Sea, (3) Southeast Asia, and (4) Australia. Each of these regions has a substantial proportion of taxa that are genetically distinct from their closest relatives in other regions. These results suggest that great care should be taken in establishing the identities of elasmobranch hosts in parasitological studies. Furthermore, it is likely that many existing host records require confirmation.


## INTRODUCTION

Sharks, rays, and chimeras (chondrichthyan fishes) are widely recognized important constituents of vertebrate biodiversity. They constitute one of the two primary divisions of the gnathostome tree. Comparative anatomists, physiologists, and biochemists have long valued the basal phylogenetic position of chondrichthyans as a proxy for the primitive gnathostome condition. Yet, surprisingly, in spite of their phylogenetic importance, chondrichthyans remain poorly known in terms of their extant biodiversity relative to other vertebrate classes. This is reflected in the relative immaturity of chondrichthyan taxonomy. In the most recent comprehensive authoritative checklist of species of elasmobranchs, Compagno (2005a) recognized 1125 species. However, included in that list were tens of species that had not yet been described. Since 2005, more than 50 of these have been formally treated and named, while over 80 species not treated by Compagno (2005a) have also been described. This brings the total number of known
species of elasmobranchs to 1221 . The fact that so many new species have been discovered and described over such a short time span, suggests that more remain to be discovered. Much of this increase is a result of reassessment of geographic variation; some of the increase represents recognition of subtle morphological variants among congeneric forms that nevertheless exhibit substantial molecular sequence divergence.

Recent advances in elasmobranch taxonomy have had a major impact on parasitological studies involving elasmobranch hosts. The accurate identification of hosts is of paramount importance in studies of any parasitological system if the results are to be meaningful. However, as our understanding of elasmobranch diversity has grown, so too has the number of instances in which longstanding concepts of host associations have been challenged (e.g., Caira et al., 2007; Jensen and Caira, 2008; Fyler and Caira, 2010; Desjardins and Caira, 2011; Koch et al., 2012; Cielocha and Jensen, 2011; White et al., 2010c). The current project grew out of a global survey of elasmobranch

TABLE 1
Taxon coverage relative to known genera and species of elasmobranchs, by family
The number in parentheses after number of species included indicates number of species potentially new to science recovered from the analysis.

| Taxa | No. of described genera | No. of genera included | No. of known species | No. of species included |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Galeomorphi |  |  |  |  |
| Heterodontiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Heterodontidae | 1 | 1 | 9 | 6 (1) |
| Orectolobiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Parascylliidae | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| Brachaeluridae | 2 | $1^{a}$ | 2 | 2 |
| Orectolobidae | 3 | 2 | 11 | 7 |
| Hemiscylliidae | 2 | 2 | 16 | 7 (1) |
| Ginglymostomatidae | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 (1) |
| Stegostomatidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhincodontidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lamniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Odontaspididae | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Pseudocarchariidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mitsukurinidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Megachasmidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Alopiidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Cetorhinidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Lamnidae | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Carcharhiniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Scyliorhinidae | 17 | 15 | 170 | 54 (8) |
| Proscylliidae | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 (1) |
| Pseudotriakidae | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 (1) |
| Leptochariidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Triakidae | 9 | 8 | 51 | 34 (4) |
| Hemigaleidae | 4 | 3 | 8 | 6 |
| Carcharhinidae | 12 | 12 | 56 | 70 (10) |
| Sphyrnidae | 2 | 2 | 8 | 10 (3) |
| Squalomorphi |  |  |  |  |
| Hexanchiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Chlamydoselachidae | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Hexanchidae | 3 | 3 | 4 | $5^{b}$ |
| Squaliformes |  |  |  |  |
| Echinorhinidae | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Squalidae | 2 | 2 | 26 | 19 (4) |
| Centrophoridae | 2 | 2 | 18 | 14 (4) |
| Etmopteridae | 5 | 2 | 53 | 13 |
| Somniosidae | 7 | 6 | 18 | 10 |
| Oxynotidae | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |
| Dalatiidae | 7 | 4 | 10 | 5 |
| Squatiniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Squatinidae | 1 | 1 | 23 | 7 |
| Pristiophoriformes |  |  |  |  |
| Pristiophoridae | 2 | 2 | 9 | 3 |
| SHARK TOTAL | 108 | 92 | 541 | 305 (38) |

TABLE 1
(Continued)

| Taxa | No. of described genera | No. of genera included | No. of known species | No. of species included |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Pristidae | 2 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
| Rhinidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhynchobatidae | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 |
| Rhinobatidae | 5 | 5 | 51 | 15 (3) |
| Platyrhinidae | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Zanobatidae | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Narcinidae | 4 | 1 | 35 | 3 |
| Narkidae | 5 | 2 | 14 | 2 |
| Hypnidae | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Torpedinidae | 1 | 1 | 31 | 8 (1) |
| Arhynchobatidae | 12 | 11 | 101 | 32 |
| Rajidae | 19 | 12 | 178 | 60 (7) |
| Anacanthobatidae | 3 | 2 | 25 | 2 |
| Plesiobatidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Urolophidae | 2 | 2 | 28 | 13 |
| Urotrygonidae | 2 | 2 | 15 | 6 |
| Hexatrygonidae | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Potamotrygonidae | 6 | 3 | 30 | 8 (2) |
| Dasyatidae | 8 | 8 | 89 | 62 (19) |
| Gymnuridae | 2 | $1^{c}$ | 12 | 9 (2) |
| Myliobatidae | 4 | 4 | 23 | 20 (5) |
| Rhinopteridae | 1 | 1 | 11 | 8 (2) |
| Mobulidae | 2 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
| RAY TOTAL | 85 | 65 | 680 | 269 (41) |
| TOTAL | 193 | 157 | 1221 | 574 (79) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Heteroscyllium is considered a synonym of Brachaelurus.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Hexanchus vitulus is recognized as valid.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Aetoplatea is considered a synonym of Gymnura.
tapeworms that was initiated in the mid-1980s by J.N.C. and was later substantially expanded through collaboration with K.J., in which a strategy to manage the issue of tracking the identities of novel (potentially undescribed) hosts was developed. Host tissue samples were routinely taken from each host individual examined, to serve as a voucher for subsequent sequence validation of host identity. The project was further expanded through collaboration with G.J.P.N. to include sequences from as diverse a set of chondrichthyans as possible, whether examined for parasites or not, with the goal of providing a baseline assessment of sequence variation among and within elasmobranch species in general. It is anticipated that this baseline will be useful to taxonomists, biogeographers, commercial fisheries, and conservation biologists. We hope this data set will
serve as a valuable resource to aid investigators working on elasmobranch parasites in the identification of their host taxa.

The fast-evolving protein-coding gene NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (NADH2) was selected by G.J.P.N. to provide a means of distinguishing among very closely related species, cryptic species, or geographic variants, while still ensuring that sequence alignments could be rigorously validated at the amino acid level. NADH2 is one of the fastest, if not the fastest, evolving of the 13 mitochondrial protein-coding genes when measured at the amino acid level (Broughton and Reneau, 2006). In elasmobranchs, it is generally between 347 and 349 amino acids (1041-1047 bp) long. Patterns of nuclotide substitution in NADH2 show a high transition bias as is typical for most protein-coding genes. The base composition is often hetero-
geneous among taxa especially at third codon positions.

## Taxon coverage

We have made every effort to include as much phylogenetic diversity as possible. However, the taxon coverage is most comprehensive at higher taxon levels. The analysis includes representation of 56 ( $98.3 \%$ ) of the 57 families, 157 (81.4\%) of the 193 genera, and as many as 574 species of elasmobranchs. Hypnidae is the only family for which no representatives were included. Also not included from Compagno (2005a) were representatives of the following 15 shark genera: Aculeola, Cephalurus, Chaenogaleus, Cirrhoscyllium, Ctenacis, Euprotomicroides, Gogolia, Heteroscymnoides, Miroscyllium, Mollisquama, new genus (Pseudotriakidae), Pentanchus, Scymnodalatias, Sutorectus, and Trigonognathus; and also the following 19 ray genera: Anacanthobatis, Benthobatis, Breviraja, Crassinarke, Dactylobatus, Diplobatis, Discopyge, Fenestraja, Gurgesiella, Heteronarce, Hypnos, n. gen. 1 (Potamotrygonidae), n. gen. 1 (Rajidae), n. gen. 2 (Potamotrygonidae), n. gen. 2 (Rajidae), Platyrhina, Plesiotrygon, Pseudoraja, and Temera. In total, the data set consists of NADH2 sequences for 4283 specimens; the number of replicate specimens of each species ranges from 1-176. Details of the taxon coverage are provided in table 1, as is a breakdown of elasmobranch species included by family of sharks versus rays. We anticipate that as researchers encounter additional species they will be able to contrast the sequences of their animals against those contained in our database and build on the initial data set to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the group.

## DNA barcoding

There has been much recent interest in exploring elasmobranch sequence variation using the barcode marker cytochrome oxidase 1 (COI) (e.g., Holmes et al., 2009; Moura et al., 2008; Toffoli et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009; Ward and Holmes, 2007; Wong et al., 2009; Zemlak et al., 2009). While such barcode work will provide a useful parallel assessment of sequence variation, the
fragment of COI used is both shorter (650 vs. 1044 bp ) and more slowly evolving in chondrichthyans than is the NADH2 fragment (Moore et al., 2011). As such, we feel it may not be as useful for distinguishing among some closely related forms. This is not intended as criticism of the barcode scheme. The fragment of COI used for barcoding was selected because of its utility across a broad suite of eukaryotes, rather than any particular group. Thus, while we expect results to be broadly concordant, we anticipate that NADH2 data will be generally better able to distinguish recently evolved elasmobranch sister species than the barcode COI fragment.

## CAUTIONARY REMARKS

Just as COI barcode data are restricted in their utility so too are the NADH2 data we present. We emphasize at the outset that we regard mitochondrial sequence data as only a part of a suite of tools that can inform the biodiversity of any group. While we find them useful, they have shortcomings that can be misleading if not interpreted in context (see Moritz and Cicero, 2004). As a consequence, in selecting samples for inclusion here, we have strived, but not fully succeeded, to choose those for which images and/or voucher specimens were available. In the cases of some recently described species, sequence data were taken from holotype and/or paratype specimens. It is important to emphasize that the possibility of incorrect identification is greater for specimens that are not represented by images and/or vouchers.

Hybridization. In the event that two species hybridize, the mitochondrial sequence of the hybrid progeny will be identical to that of the mother. Hybridization between species that are morphologically different from one another can lead to situations in which the progeny are morphologically distinct from one or both parental species yet identical in mitochondrial sequence to the maternal species. Such discordance can lead to confusion. It is likely that some of the groupings in the current study have been affected by hybridization, especially where relationships implied by morphology or color pattern appear discordant with those implied by the sequence data. Where such cases are suspected to occur, it
will be important to target fast-evolving, single-copy nuclear markers such as introns (Lyons et al., 1997, Li et al., 2010) to allow for an independent assessment of relationships based on both parental genomes.

Ancestral polymorphism. If an ancestral polymorphism persists over more than two nodes in an evolutionary tree and is subsequently fixed differentially in descendant lineages, it is possible to have paraphyletically distributed alleles (Patton and Smith, 1994; Funk and Omland, 2003). This can yield what appear to be nonmonophyletic species, in which different populations of the same species appear not to be each others' closest relatives. This is more prevalent when populations are large, harboring substantial polymorphism and the time between vicariant events (i.e., internodal branch length) is short. When populations are small and the time between vicariant events is long, the alleles are more likely to coalesce and appear monophyletically distributed. Such differential coalescence is best identified using a suite of multiple independent nuclear markers (Liu et al., 2009; Degnan and Rosenberg, 2009).

Rate variation among lineages. Most surveys that employ mitochondrial sequence variation reveal substantial rate variation among lineages. Because rates vary among lineages, it is not possible to set a "sequence divergence threshold" for species distinctness. Among the data provided below there are a few cases in which species are clearly different based on multiple independent criteria such as morphology, color pattern, and/or reproductive isolation, but show little divergence at mitochondrial loci. At the other end of the spectrum, there are also cases in which distinct populations of a species exhibit greater sequence divergence than that seen among some species. These cases notwithstanding, we generally found that the more overall sequence divergence observed between forms, the more likely they are to be two different species.

Missing data. Clearly, gaps in sequence data induce differences in pairwise distances from which the neighbor-joining (Saitou and Nei, 1987) tree is derived. Missing data can also have topological and branch length consequences for phylogenetic reconstruction under parsimony and likelihood (unless the model is entirely consistent with the process that
generated the data, which is rarely, if ever, the case for real data sets). Because of these issues we have restricted our analysis to samples for which we have generated at least 1000 bp (the entire alignment is 1044 bases). Nevertheless, some of the variation observed among some of the closely related taxa may be the consequence of minor differences in pairwise distances induced by small sections of missing data.

Experimental error. Sequencing is prone to error at multiple levels. Errors can occur during PCR amplification due to imperfect Taq polymerase fidelity. The sequencing reaction itself can also be prone to error. The interpretation of chromatograms can be ambiguous and lead to assignment errors. Finally, errors can be introduced at the alignment stage. However, the use of a protein-coding gene, which can be aligned at both the nucleotide and amino acid level, goes a long way toward eliminating such problems, relative to markers based on nonprotein coding sequences.

## Choice of distance measure

Several distance measures have been proposed to summarize divergence among sequences. Most accommodate the fact that as more distant comparisons are made, the probability of multiple hits at a site increases. The various measures differ in the assumptions they make about the molecular evolutionary process. Jukes-Cantor distance (Jukes and Cantor, 1969), for example, assumes that the rate of change from every nucleotide to every other nucleotide is equal and the base composition is even and stationary. The Kimura 2-parameter model (K2P) (Kimura, 1980) allows for a different rate of transitional changes relative to transversional changes but assumes equal base frequencies. The choice of distance measure is critically important if the goal is to use the distances obtained to estimate phylogeny. Indeed, if a distance could be found that perfectly captures the amount of evolutionary change that has taken place, the tree deduced from the resulting distance matrix would accurately reflect phylogeny. To date no such distance has been found.

In our case, we were interested in exploring the within-species (i.e., intraspecific) versus

TABLE 2
Summary p-distances and number of base pair differences in NADH2 within species and within genera of elasmobranchs

|  | NADH2 (current study) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of contributing taxa (total number of taxa) | No. of comparisons | Mean $\pm$ SD | Min | Max |
| Within-species p-distance (\%) | $468^{a}$ (574) | $468{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $0.27 \pm 0.28$ | 0 | 2.12 |
| Within-species no. of base pair differences | $468^{a}$ (574) | 468 | $2.86 \pm 2.93$ | 0 | 22.00 |
| Within-genus p-distance (\%) (among congeneric species) | $85^{b}$ (157) | $2841{ }^{e}$ | $10.16 \pm 4.89$ | 0.03 | 27.01 |
| Within-genus no. of base pair differences | $85^{b}$ (157) | 2841 | $106.05 \pm 51.05$ | 0.30 | 282.00 |
| Within-genus p-distance (\%) (among congeneric specimens) as calculated in BOLD | $143^{c}$ (157) | $331425^{f}$ | $9.68 \pm 3.65$ | 0 | 27.09 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of species represented by two or more specimens.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of genera represented by two or more species.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Number of genera represented by two or more specimens.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Number of comparisons among within-species means. See appendix 1.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Number of pairwise comparisons among members of different species within genera, pooled over all genera.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Number of pairwise comparisons among all specimens of all species in each genus, pooled across genera, as calculated in BOLD.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; Min, minimum distance observed; Max, maximum distance observed.
within-genus (i.e., intrageneric) differences among closely related forms, and so have chosen to use p-distance (Jukes and Cantor, 1969). This simple measure represents the uncorrected distance, expressed as the number, or proportion when expressed as a percentage, of nucleotide differences between two sequences without estimating any unobserved changes (multiple hits) whatsoever. This has the effect of emphasizing differences among close relatives relative to differences among distant relatives. We also provide intrageneric p-distance summary statistics (table 2) as calculated in the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD Systems; http://www. boldsystems.org) using all specimens from all genera represented by more than one specimen and K2P distances as calculated in the BOLD Systems (v. 2.5; http://www.boldsystems.org) for all intraspecific and intrageneric comparisons (appendix 1 and table 3, respectively).

## Clusters do not reflect PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS

Our primary goal was to develop a molecular framework for the identification of
elasmobranch specimens to species. Neighborjoining (Saitou and Nei, 1987) analysis, as employed here, provides an appropriate method of gauging specific identities based on sequence similarity from a single locus. There is, however, a tendency to interpret illustrations depicting cluster structure resulting from neighbor-joining analyses as faithful reflections of phylogenetic relationships. While there is undoubtedly some phylogenetic information in the clustering, it is almost entirely restricted to the tips of the tree. Larger, more inclusive clusters away from the tips cannot be reliably interpreted to reflect phylogenetic signal as the distance measure is inappropriate for such purposes. The neighbor-joining procedure is also known to be less reliable than character-based approaches to phylogenetic reconstruction such as parsimony and maximum likelihood. As a consequence, our results are not comparable with those of investigators looking to explore the phylogenetic relationships within or among one or more elasmobranch groups (e.g., Maisey et al., 2004 [molecules vs. morphology]; Naylor et al., 2005 [ordinal-level phylogeny]; Human et al., 2006 [Scyliorhinidae]; Cavalcanti, 2007
[supertree]; Lim et al., 2010 [Sphyrnidae]; López et al., 2006 [Triakidae]; Corrigan and Beheregarey, 2009 [Orectolobidae]; Stelbrink et al., 2010 [Squatinidae]; Rocco et al., 2007 [Batoidea]). To avoid the temptation of interpreting our results in a phylogenetic context, the entire topology of the tree is presented in schematic form only (see frontispiece). Furthermore, individual figures have been designed to generally encompass only discrete clusters of specimens. However, the results and implications of a formal model-based phylogenetic analysis, of the NADH2 sequence data for one exemplar of each of the potential species examined here, are available in Naylor et al. (2012).

With these limitations in mind, we undertook a molecular survey of elasmobranchs using tissue samples acquired over the course of several different projects carried out over the past 24 years. Tissues were acquired primarily through field-collecting efforts undertaken by J.N.C., K.J., and G.J.P.N. in the waters of Australia, Azores, Belize, Chile, Gulf of Aqaba, Gulf of California, India, Indonesia, Madagascar, Malaysia, Philippines, Puerto Rico, South Africa, Taiwan, Thailand, the United States, and West Africa. These samples were supplemented by additional samples kindly provided by researchers around the globe (see Acknowledgments).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Liver or muscle tissue was sampled in the field from elasmobranch specimens that were either speared by the authors or their collaborators, collected on research vessels, purchased in local fish markets, or obtained in conjunction with local fishermen. A number of the samples collected by G.J.P.N. in the initial part of the survey were put in cryotubes, and placed in liquid nitrogen until they could be taken to the laboratory for storage at $-80^{\circ}$ C. Samples collected later in the survey were stored in $95 \%$ alcohol or DMSO depending on availability. In early years, total DNA was extracted using phenol chloroform extraction (Sambrook et al., 1989), but in later years using High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit by Roche Diagnostics (Indianapolis, IN). Extracted total DNA was stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until used
for PCR amplification. Samples were amplified using Fermentas Taq with primers designed to target the complete coding sequence for NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (NADH2). In most cases, a single set of universal primers (Naylor et al., 2005) designed to bind to the ASN and ILE tRNA regions of the mitochondrial genome were successful in amplifying the targeted fragment. However, for some taxa it was necessary to design taxon-specific primers. A complete list of primers for amplification and sequencing is shown in table 4. PCR products were purified by centrifugation through size-selective filters (Millipore, Bedford, MA) according to manufacturer's recommendations. Subsequent to 2008, samples were purified using ExoSAP-IT from USB (Cleveland, Ohio). The purified PCR products were sent off to commercial sequencing centers for sequencing (SeqWright, Houston, TX; Beckman-Coulter Genomics, Beverly, MA; Retrogen, San Diego, CA). The software packages Phred and Phrap (Ewing et al., 1998) were used to read sequence traces, assign quality values, make base calls, and produce output files for subsequent alignment. Sequences were translated to amino acids and aligned using the software package MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). The aligned amino acid sequences were translated back, but in frame to their original nucleotide sequences, to yield a nucleotide alignment. The full protein-coding alignment was 1053 nucleotides long. Of the sequences that resulted, only those that were 1000 bp or greater were included in the alignment. To minimize the amount of missing data at the leading and trailing ends of some sequences, all sequences were truncated to a length of 1044 bp . Although our original goal was to generate 1044 bp of NADH2 sequence data for each of the 4283 specimens (of the 574 species) included in the analysis, in the end, we achieved this for 4220 ( $98.5 \%$ ) of the specimens. Of the 63 remaining specimens, 45 had sequences that were three bases shorter and 18 had sequences that were up to 39 bases shorter; in such cases the missing data were mostly at the beginning and/or end of the sequence. We have not attempted to correct for this, given that the data generated for $99.5 \%$ of the specimens was between 1041 and 1044 bp in length.

TABLE 3
Mean intrageneric distances (\%) for NADH2 ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{8 5}$ genera)
P-distance among species within a genus is given as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation, range, and number of congeners ( $n$ ) included in the estimation. K2P distance is given as the mean $\pm$ standard deviation, range, and number of comparisons (c) of all constituent, nonconspecific specimens (as calculated in BOLD).

|  | Genus | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcharhiniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus | 1-13 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.14 \pm 1.88 \\ & \quad(0.37-19.1 ; n=40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.94 \pm 2.49 \\ & \quad(0-14.95 ; \mathrm{c}=216341) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Galeocerdo | 20 | $1.06(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.08 \pm 0.18 \\ & \quad(0.68-1.66 ; \mathrm{c}=198) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.41 \pm 2.67 \\ & \quad(0.72-9.84 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.82 \pm 3.07 \\ & \quad(0.48-11.16 ; c=89) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Lamiopsis | 14 | $2.29(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.34 \pm 0.08 \\ & \quad(2.25-2.45 ; \mathrm{c}=26) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Loxodon | 18 | $2.86(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.94 \pm 0.19 \\ & \quad(2.45-3.26 ; c=54) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Negaprion | 14 | $8.22(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.88 \pm 0.11 \\ & \quad(8.79-9.23 ; c=84) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon | 15-17 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.88 \pm 2.79 \\ & \quad(0.96-11.25 ; n=10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.66 \pm 3.26 \\ & \quad(0.77-13.21 ; \mathrm{c}=7597) \end{aligned}$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Scoliodon | 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.39 \pm 0.42 \\ & \quad(3.07-3.87 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \pm 0.33 \\ & \quad(2.94-4.49 ; \mathrm{c}=646) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hemigaleidae | Hemigaleus | 21 | $9.06(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.86 \pm 0.22 \\ & \quad(9.34-10.36 ; \mathrm{c}=186) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hemigaleidae | Paragaleus | 21 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.8 \pm 0.52 \\ & \quad(11.3-12.35 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \pm 0.49 \\ & \quad(12.24-14.15 ; \mathrm{c}=125) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pseudotriakidae | Gollum | 31 | $5.75(n=2)$ | 6.05 ( $\mathrm{c}=1)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus | 28, 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.96 \pm 5.44 \\ & \quad(1.87-19.73 ; n=18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.66 \pm 6.26 \\ & \quad(1.85-23.56 ; \mathrm{c}=1701) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Asymbolus | 31 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.04 \pm 4.07 \\ & \quad(3.35-10.68 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.85 \pm 3.21 \\ & \quad(3.45-11.84 ; \mathrm{c}=31) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Atelomycterus | 33 | $14.9(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.05 \pm 0.16 \\ & \quad(16.72-17.23 ; \mathrm{c}=30) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \pm 2.57 \\ & \quad(4.24-11.06 ; n=7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.95 \pm 1.94 \\ & \quad(4.37-12.35 ; \mathrm{c}=213) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Figaro | 31 | $1.85(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.88 \pm 0.12 \\ & \quad(1.75-2.15 ; \mathrm{c}=18) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.35 \pm 4 \\ & \quad(4.92-16.57 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.78 \pm 5.75 \\ & \quad(4.98-19.42 ; \mathrm{c}=177) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus | 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.81 \pm 3.24 \\ & \quad(5.8-13.61 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.75 \pm 3.43 \\ & \quad(6.05-15.64 ; \mathrm{c}=41) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Parmaturus | 33 | $8.67(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.33 \pm 0.12 \\ & \quad(21.13-21.51 ; \mathrm{c}=30) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Poroderma | 34 | $0.6(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.61 \pm 0.08 \\ & \quad(0.48-0.77 ; \mathrm{c}=192) \end{aligned}$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.44 \pm 0.62 \\ & \quad(5.51-7.02 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.35 \pm 0.59 \\ & \quad(5.74-8.21 ; \mathrm{c}=82) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna | 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \pm 2.74 \\ & \quad(1.28-12.8 ; n=9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.18 \pm 2.58 \\ & \quad(0.97-14.72 ; \mathrm{c}=4754) \end{aligned}$ |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis | 26 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.85 \pm 1.89 \\ & \quad(0.8-5.71 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.32 \pm 2.2 \\ & \quad(0.58-6.37 ; \mathrm{c}=122) \end{aligned}$ |
| Triakidae | Iago | 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \pm 5.64 \\ & \quad(1.2-11.93 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.53 \pm 5.63 \\ & \quad(0.97-13.56 ; \mathrm{c}=157) \end{aligned}$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus | 23-24 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.45 \pm 2.5 \\ & \quad(0.8-9.72 ; n=18) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.87 \pm 2.59 \\ & \quad(0.67-11.19 ; \mathrm{c}=7444) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3
(Continued)

|  | Genus | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triakidae | Triakis | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.29 \pm 4.3 \\ & \quad(3.78-12.36 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.45 \pm 2.53 \\ & \quad(9.2-15.32 ; \mathrm{c}=60) \end{aligned}$ |
| Heterodontiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.4 \pm 2.64 \\ & \quad(1.25-10.09 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.51 \pm 1.72 \\ & \quad(1.26-11.3 ; \mathrm{c}=695) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hexanchiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus | 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.87 \pm 1.07 \\ & \quad(7.73-9.87 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.12 \pm 1.17 \\ & \quad(8.29-11.64 ; \mathrm{c}=11) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lamniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Alopiidae | Alopias | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.72 \pm 1.24 \\ & \quad(9.29-11.5 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.68 \pm 1.35 \\ & \quad(9.94-13.4 ; \mathrm{c}=499) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lamnidae | Isurus | 35 | $10.57(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.78 \pm 0.41 \\ & \quad(11.23-12.77 ; \mathrm{c}=144) \end{aligned}$ |
| Lamnidae | Lamna | 35 | $6.51(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.96 \pm 0.18 \\ & \quad(6.63-7.18 ; \mathrm{c}=20) \end{aligned}$ |
| Odontaspididae | Odontaspis | 35 | $8.91(n=2)$ | $9.72(\mathrm{c}=2)$ |
| Orectolobiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Brachaeluridae | Brachaelurus | 38 | $10.87(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.07 \pm 0.81 \\ & \quad(11.56-13.27 ; \mathrm{c}=4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Ginglymostoma | 37 | $1.52(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.55 \pm 0.07 \\ & \quad(1.46-1.66 ; \mathrm{c}=27) \end{aligned}$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.55 \pm 3 \\ & \quad(2.66-15.53 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.59 \pm 2.29 \\ & \quad(2.45-18.57 ; \mathrm{c}=4295) \end{aligned}$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.18 \pm 1.69 \\ & \quad(1.48-6.9 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.57 \pm 1.56 \\ & \quad(1.36-7.37 ; \mathrm{c}=276) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pristiophoriformes |  |  |  |  |
| Pristiophoridae | Pristiophorus | 48 | $13.17(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.95 \pm 0.08 \\ & \quad(14.89-15 ; \mathrm{c}=2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Squaliformes |  |  |  |  |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.29 \pm 1.99 \\ & \quad(0.84-8.26 ; n=10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.03 \pm 1.65 \\ & \quad(0.77-9.44 ; \mathrm{c}=2906) \end{aligned}$ |
| Centrophoridae | Deania | 44 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.06 \pm 1.53 \\ & \quad(2.16-6.37 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.38 \pm 0.5 \\ & \quad(2.15-7 ; \mathrm{c}=225) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dalatiidae | Squaliolus | 47 | $13.84(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.28 \pm 0.18 \\ & \quad(15.05-15.55 ; \mathrm{c}=6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Echinorhinidae | Echinorhinus | 48 | $5.68(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \pm 0.05 \\ & \quad(5.96-6.07 ; \mathrm{c}=6) \end{aligned}$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus | 46 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8 \pm 4.29 \\ & \quad(1.34-17.14 ; n=12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.05 \pm 5.59 \\ & \quad(1.16-20.33 ; \mathrm{c}=3034) \end{aligned}$ |
| Oxynotidae | Oxynotus | 45 | $5.3(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.55 \pm 0.06 \\ & \quad(5.52-5.73 ; \mathrm{c}=56) \end{aligned}$ |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.22 \pm 3.57 \\ & \quad(7.16-13.34 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.04 \pm 1.65 \\ & \quad(7.46-15.09 ; \mathrm{c}=341) \end{aligned}$ |
| Somniosidae | Somniosus | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.38 \pm 1.29 \\ & \quad(0.88-3.14 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.61 \pm 1.09 \\ & \quad(0.77-3.25 ; \mathrm{c}=29) \end{aligned}$ |
| Squalidae | Cirrhigaleus | 42 | $5.6(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.94 \pm 0.15 \\ & \quad(5.83-6.04 ; \mathrm{c}=2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Squalidae | Squalus | 41-42 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.48 \pm 1.74 \\ & \quad(0.82-7.16 ; n=17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.96 \pm 1.84 \\ & \quad(0.58-8.01 ; \mathrm{c}=15721) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3
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|  | Genus | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Squatiniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Squatinidae | Squatina | 48 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.58 \pm 1.92 \\ & \quad(4.41-13.12 ; n=7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.58 \pm 2.33 \\ & \quad(4.07-14.83 ; \mathrm{c}=772) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Arhynchobatidae | Atlantoraja | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.97 \pm 2.82 \\ & \quad(3.72-8.62 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.3 \pm 2.83 \\ & \quad(3.75-9.39 ; \mathrm{c}=11) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja | 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.83 \pm 0.68 \\ & \quad(1.68-5.65 ; n=14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \pm 0.77 \\ & \quad(1.26-6.15 ; \mathrm{c}=1314) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Brochiraja | 77 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.39 \pm 2.73 \\ & \quad(2.42-9.53 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.51 \pm 1.9 \\ & \quad(2.34-10.39 ; \mathrm{c}=566) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Pavoraja | 77 | $5.14(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.38 \pm 0.12 \\ & \quad(5.31-5.52 ; \mathrm{c}=3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Rhinoraja | 76 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.58 \pm 1.37 \\ & \quad(0.93-6.03 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.97 \pm 1.81 \\ & \quad(0.77-5.95 ; \mathrm{c}=36) \end{aligned}$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Sympterygia | 77 | $12.4(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.78 \pm 0.06 \\ & \quad(13.73-13.83 ; \mathrm{c}=4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis | 55-56 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.56 \pm 4.44 \\ & \quad(1.96-22.53 ; n=15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.67 \pm 7.32 \\ & \quad(1.95-27.96 ; \mathrm{c}=2572) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura | 50-54, 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.7 \pm 3.37 \\ & \quad(0.03-23.37 ; n=30) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.89 \pm 3.37 \\ & \quad(0-29.25 ; c=41804) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Neotrygon | 58 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.3 \pm 3.82 \\ & \quad(1.97-11.88 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.53 \pm 3.83 \\ & \quad(1.46-13.46 ; \mathrm{c}=850) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Pastinachus | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.91 \pm 0.64 \\ & \quad(7.78-9.68 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.87 \pm 0.66 \\ & \quad(7.82-11.44 ; \mathrm{c}=545) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Taeniura | 55, 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.14 \pm 7.76 \\ & \quad(2.18-15.8 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.26 \pm 8.35 \\ & \quad(1.95-21.69 ; \mathrm{c}=340) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dasyatidae | Urogymnus | 54 | $2.39(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.45 \pm 0.11 \\ & \quad(2.35-2.55 ; c=8) \end{aligned}$ |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura | 64 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \pm 2.76 \\ & \quad(11.54-20.39 ; n=9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.12 \pm 4.03 \\ & \quad(12.07-27.41 ; \mathrm{c}=1053) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.72 \pm 4.25 \\ & \quad(3.01-14.52 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.35 \pm 3.51 \\ & \quad(3.04-16.82 ; \mathrm{c}=402) \end{aligned}$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus | 63 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.48 \pm 4.74 \\ & \quad(1.43-12.53 ; n=7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.35 \pm 5.22 \\ & \quad(1.16-15.33 ; \mathrm{c}=1449) \end{aligned}$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.98 \pm 5.8 \\ & \quad(1.7-18.72 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18.63 \pm 5.29 \\ & (1.55-23.24 ; \mathrm{c}=433) \end{aligned}$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis | 62 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.41 \pm 2.51 \\ & \quad(4.68-12 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.45 \pm 2.22 \\ & \quad(4.71-13.45 ; \mathrm{c}=921) \end{aligned}$ |
| Narcinidae | Narcine | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.65 \pm 10.93 \\ & \quad(8.03-27.01 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.61 \pm 13.22 \\ & \quad(8.6-33.79 ; \mathrm{c}=9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Potamotrygonidae | Potamotrygon | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.04 \pm 3.89 \\ & \quad(0.24-12.21 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \pm 3.93 \\ & \quad(0.19-13.55 ; \mathrm{c}=33) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pristidae | Pristis | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.86 \pm 3.45 \\ & \quad(1.59-13.73 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \pm 2.65 \\ & \quad(1.36-16.67 ; \mathrm{c}=828) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Amblyraja | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.08 \pm 1.13 \\ & \quad(1.79-3.86 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.74 \\ & \quad(1.36-4.58 ; \mathrm{c}=195) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Dipturus | 71, 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.18 \pm 2.73 \\ & \quad(1.6-15.79 ; n=17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.55 \pm 3.95 \\ & \quad(1.56-18.11 ; \mathrm{c}=1673) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja | 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 10.78 \pm 3.54 \\ & \quad(3.59-13.7 ; n=6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.39 \pm 4.74 \\ & \quad(3.45-15.53 ; \mathrm{c}=841) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Okamejei | 72, 75 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.35 \pm 3.84 \\ & \quad(9.2-17.84 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.44 \pm 3.36 \\ & \quad(9.73-21.19 ; \mathrm{c}=381) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3
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|  | Genus | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajidae | Raja | 71, 73 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.57 \pm 3.63 \\ & \quad(2.21-17.75 ; n=12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.91 \pm 4.69 \\ & \quad(1.85-21.17 ; \mathrm{c}=2244) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.01 \pm 1.25 \\ & \quad(3.16-6.49 ; n=5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.43 \pm 1.19 \\ & \quad(3.25-7.02 ; \mathrm{c}=333) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rajidae | Zearaja | 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.35 \pm 1.2 \\ & \quad(0.99-3.25 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.01 \pm 1.06 \\ & \quad(0.67-3.55 ; \mathrm{c}=79) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Aptychotrema | 69 | $4.89(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.09 \pm 0.14 \\ & \quad(4.99-5.19 ; \mathrm{c}=2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus | 69 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.74 \pm 0.71 \\ & \quad(2.06-3.48 ; n=3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.65 \pm 0.44 \\ & \quad(1.85-3.67 ; \mathrm{c}=132) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos | 68,69 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.52 \pm 4.29 \\ & \quad(0.47-19.01 ; n=8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.53 \pm 5.26 \\ & \quad(0.38-22.58 ; \mathrm{c}=1136) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera | 61 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.45 \pm 3.24 \\ & \quad(0.44-10.69 ; n=8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.36 \pm 3.17 \\ & \quad(0-12.71 ; \mathrm{c}=1068) \end{aligned}$ |
| Rhynchobatidae | Rhynchobatus | 68 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.84 \pm 1.52 \\ & \quad(0.29-4.24 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.71 \pm 1.16 \\ & \quad(0.19-4.5 ; \mathrm{c}=113) \end{aligned}$ |
| Torpedinidae | Torpedo | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.26 \pm 5.49 \\ & \quad(0.53-17.92 ; n=8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.32 \pm 6.95 \\ & \quad(0.48-21.32 ; \mathrm{c}=316) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urolophidae | Trygonoptera | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.26 \pm 1.51 \\ & \quad(12.6-16.28 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.71 \pm 1.55 \\ & \quad(14-18.71 ; \mathrm{c}=15) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urolophidae | Urolophus | 65 | $\begin{aligned} & 13.69 \pm 5.48 \\ & (1.44-21.07 ; n=9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.2 \pm 6.93 \\ & \quad(1.46-25.6 ; \mathrm{c}=95) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urobatis | 60 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.93 \pm 6.69 \\ & \quad(1.06-16.25 ; n=4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.96 \pm 6.04 \\ & \quad(0.87-18.98 ; \mathrm{c}=153) \end{aligned}$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urotrygon | 60 | $17.77(n=2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.96 \pm 0.1 \\ & \quad(20.89-21.03 ; \mathrm{c}=2) \end{aligned}$ |

## Cluster analysis

The aligned sequences were subjected to a constrained neighbor-joining analysis using PAUP* (v4.0b106). The constraint tree used for this analysis was derived from a maxi-mum-likelihood analysis of a representative and phylogenetically diverse subset of 360 taxa that had previously been sequenced for multiple genes (Naylor, unpubl. data). A sequence for one individual representative of each of the 574 named clusters recognized in this study has been deposited in GenBank (see appendix 2), as have 11 additional sequences of species in particularly problematic complexes (e.g., Bathyraja spp.); in most instances, these exemplars are each represented by a museum specimen and/or one or more images. The resulting neighbor-joining tree was broken into subtrees of taxa; these taxa are treated on a case-by-case basis in the results section. This serves two purposes. First, it breaks up what would otherwise be an unwieldy amount of information into
manageable subunits and, second, it focuses the reader's attention on the relationships among close relatives (within and among closely related species) while excluding the frequently misleading inferences about relationships that occur at deeper nodes on the tree due to the shortcomings of this type of data and this type of analysis for estimating deeper phylogenetic relationships.

## Distance measures

Distance measures were employed to assess both intraspecific and intrageneric variation. For all species represented by two or more specimens in the analysis, p-distances were calculated and are given as total number of base pair differences in individual species treatments, and as a percent of the 1044 base pairs (along with mean, standard deviation, and range) in appendix 1. P-distances were also calculated for all genera represented by two or more species in the analysis; these are presented as a percent of the 1044 base pairs

TABLE 4

## Complete list of primers used for amplification and sequencing

Location of first nucleotide of primer is given relative to first base of start codon in the multiple alignment. Negative numbers indicate that primer is upstream of start codon. Primer sequences are shown in the $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ direction even though their placement on the multiple alignment is numbered with respect to the coding strand.

| Primer Name | Primer Sequence | Start | End | Notes |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| External primers |  |  |  |  |
| ILEM | 5'-AAGGAGCAGTTTGATAGAGT-3' | -124 | -104 | universal primer |
| ASNM | 5'-AACGCTTAGCTGTTAATTAA-3' | 1217 | 1237 | universal primer |
| NZ_ND2_Fwd | 5'-AGAGATCAAAACYCTCCG-3' | -110 | -92 | for NZ Apristurus |
|  |  |  |  | and Parmaturus |

(along with mean, standard deviation, and range) in table 3 . It should be noted that the pdistance data in table 3 represent the average p-distance among species within a genus, rather than among all constituent specimens of all species in each genus as, for example, calculated in BOLD Systems (v. 2.5; http:// www.boldsystems.org). We believe that the approach adopted here for summarizing intrageneric p-distances provides a more conservative and biologically reasonable assessment of intrageneric variation. Furthermore, comparisions made between or among
clusters or subclusters are presented as the mean of the mean p-distance of each cluster or subcluster.

Nonetheless, to facilitate comparisons with BOLD Systems-based studies (e.g., Ward et al., 2005; Ward and Holmes, 2007; Ward et al., 2007, 2008, 2009), intraspecific K2P distances (appendix 1) and intrageneric K2P distances (table 3), as calculated in BOLD, were also computed. Both of these distance measures are represented as a percent of the 1044 base pairs (along with mean, standard deviation, and range). As a consequence,
TABLE 5
Comparison of intraspecific and intrageneric K2P distances (\%) for elasmobranchs between NADH2 (current study) and CO1 (Ward et al., 2008) sequences
NADH2 (current study)


|  | NADH2 (current study) |  |  |  |  | CO1 (Ward et al., 2008) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of contributing taxa (total number of taxa) | No. of comparisons | Mean $\pm$ SD | Min | Max | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { contributing taxa } \\ \text { (total number of taxa) } \end{gathered}$ | No. of comparisons | Mean $\pm$ SD | Min | Max |
| Within-species K2P distance | $468{ }^{\text {a }}$ (574) | 468 | $0.27 \pm 0.001$ | 0 | 3.14 | $171{ }^{\text {a }}$ (210) | 2901 | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ | 0 | 10.91 |
| Within-genus K2P distance (among congeneric specimens) as calculated in BOLD | $143^{b}$ (157) | 331425 | $10.81 \pm 0.08$ | 0 | 33.79 | $38^{\text {b }}$ (76) | 16557 | $7.48 \pm 0.04$ | 0 | 24.18 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Number of species represented by two or more specimens.
${ }^{6}$ Number of genera represented by two or more specimens. Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; Min, minimum distance observed; Max, maximum distance observed.
these intrageneric K2P distances were calculated, as in BOLD, based on comparisons among all specimens of all species in a particular genus. Summary statistics for intraspecific and intrageneric p-distances are presented in table 2. Similar statistics for intraspecific and intrageneric K2P distances are presented in table 5 .

## Haplotype networks

To augment interpretation of the p-distance data for the more problematic species complexes, we generated parsimony haplotype networks for the NADH2 sequences based on median joining networks as implemented in the Network 4.6 software package (Fluxus technology Ltd, 2010). Networks were generated for 27 species complexes, as well as for the least divergent pair of congeners (Poroderma species), and for one of the most genetically variable species (Isurus oxyrinchus) encountered in this study. We present paired versions for each haplotype network; one colored by phenotype, the other by geography (figs. 79-102). The colors were chosen to emphasize differences within each network and were not standardized across species. The number of base pair differences between haplotypes is shown in red on branches of the phenotype maps where haplotypes differ by more than two mutations. Branch lengths were selected for graphical clarity and are not directly proportional to the number of differences between haplotypes. In each case, circle diameter corresponds to haplotype frequency.

## Species boundaries and names

Specimens that formed distinct clusters in the neighbor-joining analysis were considered candidates for recognition as distinct species. However, only clusters that also exhibited distinct morphological and/or geographic differences relative to other clusters were assigned unique designations. For example, although Isurus oxyrinchus showed a substantial amount of genetic variation (figs. 35A and 91A), no geographic pattern to this variation was observed (fig. 91B), nor are we aware of any phenotypic differences consistent with this genetic variation. As a
consequence, we have treated I. oxyrinchus as a single species. In contrast, specimens of Aetobatus collected from Vietnam (fig. 101B) are both genetically distinct from their congeners in other parts of the world (figs. 63 and 101 A ) and exhibit a distinct color pattern. Accordingly, these specimens have been given a distinct species designation (Aetobatus sp.).

Based on these criteria, the analysis yielded evidence of 79 potentially undescribed species (see table 1). In a number of cases, candidate species names are available but are not in common use. Rather than resurrecting these names, we have used numerical designations to recognize potentially undescribed species in both the figures and text but have given candidate species names in the species treatments in an effort to further taxonomic work on these species. Examples include Glyphis siamensis for $G$. sp. 1, Carcharhinus pleurotaenia for the Indo-Pacific C. cf. limbatus, C. japonicus for the Indo-Pacific C. cf. plumbeus, C. cerdale for the eastern Pacific $C$. cf. porosus, Rhizoprionodon crenidens for $R$. cf. acutus 2, Rhizoprionodon fissidens for $R$. cf. acutus 1, Rhizoprionodon walbeehmi for $R$. cf. acutus 3, Scoliodon muelleri for S. cf. laticaudus, Cephaloscyllium pictum for Cephaloscyllium sp. 1, and Scymnodalatias albicauda for Centroscymnus sp. 1, Galeocerdo arcticus for the Atlantic form of G. cuvier, and Okamejei meerdervoortii for $O$. cf. porosa. In order to be as conservative as possible, we have not included these taxa in the count of potentially novel species revealed by the analysis, for the existence of possible names for these species attests to the fact that they may not, in fact, be new to science.

Among the novel taxa, are several species that have been previously treated in the literature but never formally described. In such instances, we have attempted to adhere to designations used by previous authors (e.g., Compagno, 2005a; Compagno et al., 2005b). In cases in which entirely novel species were encountered, the taxon label used reflects the nominal species the undescribed species most closely resembles. In cases in which complexes of multiple undescribed species were encountered, each designation was assigned a unique number. The classification follows Compagno (2005a). Common names for species described up to 2005 are generally those
formally presented by Compagno (2005a); for species described after 2005, common names were taken from original descriptions. Type locality data was taken from Eschmeyer and Fricke (2011).

## Specimen choice and vouchering

In selecting elasmobranch specimens to include in the analysis, we emphasized those deposited in museums and/or those for which photo vouchers were available in our online Host Specimen Database (http://elasmobranchs. tapewormdb.uconn.edu). In total 1921 (44.9\%) of the 4283 elasmobranch specimens are represented by images and/or vouchers. The inclusion of such vouchered specimens helped anchor the identities of the remaining specimens that did not come from museums or for which images were not available. We also made an effort to include specimens from as broad a range of the geographic distribution of each species as possible.

Each of the 4283 specimens has been given a unique GN number, which serves as the identifier for the associated molecular data. In the trees in figures $1-77$ this number is provided near the end of the string of information given in each taxon label. Following the GN number, the collection code and collection number are provided for all specimens for which images and/or data are available in our host specimen database. The data and images for such specimens can be accessed by entering the collection code and collection number (e.g., BO-43, AF-106, etc.) in the online Host Specimen Database. Furthermore, specimens for which images are available are indicated with the designation "yes" at the end of the taxon label in figures $1-77$; those for which images are not available have been given the designation "no." An asterisk indicates the sample came from a museum specimen. Given the number of potentially new forms represented by our samples, rather than flood GenBank with provisional designations, we have elected to deposit sequence data for one specimen of each nominal taxon. Taxon labels of specimens for which NADH2 sequence data have been deposited in GenBank are indicated in bold in figs. 1-77 (also see appendix 2).

The outgroup consisted of a total of six specimens of four chimera species. These, and the GenBank accession numbers for their NADH2 sequence data are as follows: Chimaera phantasma (3 specimens: JQ518717, JQ518718, JQ518719), Chimaera monstrosa ( 1 specimen: JQ518716), Hydrolagus collei (1 specimen: JQ518720), and Hydrolagus novaezealandiae (1 specimen: JQ518721).

## Specimen deposition

In the cases of specimens deposited in museums, the accession numbers are provided in the section of the text treating each taxon. The museum abbreviations used are as follows:

| AMNH | American Museum of Natural <br> History, New York, New York <br> Australian Museum, Sydney, <br> AMS <br> Australia |
| :--- | :--- |
| ANFC | CSIRO Australian National Fish <br> Collection, Hobart, Tasmania, <br> Australia |
| CAS | California Academy of Sciences, <br> San Franciso |
| HUMZ | Hokkaido University Museum, <br> Sapporo, Japan |
| IBUNAM |  |
| Instituto de Biologia, Universidad |  |
| Nacional Autonóma de México, |  |
| Mexico City, Mexico |  | ción y Desarrollo Pesquero, Mar del Plata, Argentina

IPMB $\begin{aligned} & \text { Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota } \\ & \text { Kinabalu, Malaysia }\end{aligned}$
IPPS Institut Penyelidikan Perikanan Sarawak, Kuching, Malaysia
KAUM Kagoshima University Museum, Kagoshima, Japan
KUI University of Kansas Ichthyology Collection, Lawrence, Kansas
LACM Los Angeles County Museum, Los Angeles, California
MCZ Museum of Comparative Zool-ogy-Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts
MMF Museu de História Natural e Aquário, Funchal, Madeira
MZB Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense, Bogor-Cibinong, Indonesia

MZUSP Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil
NMNZ Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa, Wellington, New Zealand
NTM Northern Territories Museum (Darwin, Northern Territories), Australia
ROM Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada
SMEC Zoology Department of the Sabah State Museum, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia
TCWC Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection, College Station, Texas
TU Tulane University Museum of Natural History, New Orleans, Louisiana
UFFC University of Florida Fish Collection, Gainesville
UMMZ University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, Ann Arbor, Michigan University of Washington Fish Collection, Seattle, Washington Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences, Gloucester Point, Virginia Vietnam Natural Museum of Nature, Hanoi, Vietnam
WAM Western Australian Museum, Welshpool, Western Australia, Australia
Yale Peabody Museum, New Haven, Connecticut.

In addition, the acronyms BRU, JPAG, MMLM, and RSE are used for unregistered specimens collected as part of a WWF-funded project, deposited in the SUML (Silliman University Marine Laboratories, Dumaguete City, Philippines) (see Compagno et al., 2005a).

## RESULTS

The frontispiece provides a schematic overview of figures $1-77$ as they relate to the topology of the full neighbor-joining tree. Given the taxonomic scope of this work and that, for some groups, our results suggest that congeners do not form monophyletic groups, we have treated taxa below in the order in which they appear in figures 1-77, regardless
of their current generic or familial placements. This allows readers to use the figures as a guide to locate species treatments within the text (also see table 3 and appendix 1).

## CARCHARHINIFORMES (ground sharks) <br> Carcharhinidae (requiem sharks), in part

Carcharhinus falciformis (silky shark) (fig. 1)
A total of 48 specimens representing much of the circumtropical distribution of this species were analyzed. These consisted of 30 specimens taken from Pacific regions including the Philippines, Hawaii, Gulf of California, Borneo, and India, and 18 from Atlantic regions including Senegal, Trinidad, Florida, Gulf of Mexico as well as coastal Georgia. The analysis yielded two weakly divergent subclusters. One subcluster was comprised primarily of specimens from the Pacific localities and the other was comprised of specimens from Atlantic localities. One of the specimens from the Philippines (i.e., GN2242 $=$ RSE 001) was treated by Compagno et al. (2005a). The range in pairwise differences among specimens within the Pacific subcluster was $0-2$, and among specimens within the Atlantic subcluster was $0-2$. However, it should be noted that the Pacific cluster also included six specimens collected from Atlantic localities. The average of the pairwise differences between these two subclusters was 8.4. The range in pairwise differences among all specimens of C. falciformis was $0-10$; the average of the pairwise differences among these specimens was 4.2. It should further be noted that a specimen (i.e., GN2214 $=$ BRU 023) from the Philippines considered of uncertain identity but tentatively identified by Compagno et al. (2005a) as "Hemitriakis cf. japanica (var PP)," grouped among the specimens in the Pacific subcluster of $C$. falciformis.

Prionace glauca (blue shark) (fig. 1)
In total 23 specimens were included. These were collected from across much of the global distribution of this species, consisting of samples from specimens from the western North Atlantic, Gulf of California, Hawaii, and Tasmania. One sample in this cluster came from a specimen from Tasmania in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4917 = ANFC H 4223-01). The range in pairwise
differences seen among specimens was $0-5$; the mean was 2.5 . No geographic structure was seen among specimens for this species. This species grouped among species of Carcharhinus, supporting the contention of previous authors (e.g., Compagno, 1988; Naylor, 1992; Dosay-Akbulut, 2008) that the monophyly of Carcharhinus is challenged by recognition of the monotypic Prionace as an independent genus.
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos (gray reef shark) (fig. 1)
A total of 18 specimens, coming from Borneo, Philippines, Egypt, and Madagascar, and thus spanning much of the Indo-West and central Pacific distribution of this species, were analyzed. The analysis yielded a single cluster; one of the samples from Sarawak, Malaysia, is represented by a museum specimen (GN3672 = IPPS BO461). The range in pairwise differences seen among specimens in this cluster was $0-10$; the mean was 3.8. Essentially no geographic structure was seen among specimens for this species.

Carcharhinus wheeleri (blacktail reef shark) (fig. 1)
A single specimen that was morphologically consistent with and collected from the type locality of C. wheeleri (i.e., the Red Sea) was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered most closely with but still outside the specimens of C. amblyrhynchos. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimen of C. wheeleri and those in the C. amblyrhynchos cluster was 16.8. This result provides support for the recognition of $C$. wheeleri as a species distinct from $C$. amblyrhynchos despite suggestions to the contrary (e.g., Compagno, 2005b).
Carcharhinus albimarginatus (silvertip shark) (fig. 1)
The analysis included five specimens, four from Taiwan and one from the Philippines, and thus represented only a subset of the Indo-Pacific distribution of this species. The analysis yielded two subclusters; one subcluster consisted of some specimens from Taiwan and the single specimen from the Philippines, the other subcluster consisted of the remaining specimens from Taiwan. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within these two subclusters was $0-1$
and 2 , respectively. The mean of the pairwise differences between subclusters was 19.3. The range in pairwise differences among all specimens of this species was $0-21$; the mean was 12 .

## Carcharhinus borneensis (Borneo shark) (fig. 2)

A total of 13 specimens, all collected from Mukah on the island of Borneo, were analyzed. The range in pairwise differences seen among specimens was $0-5$; the mean was 2.4. Six of these samples are represented by museum specimens (GN3638 = IPPS BO426, GN3639 = IPPS BO427, GN3660 = IPPS BO449, GN3667 $=$ IPPS BO456, GN3670 $=$ IPPS BO459, and GN3640 = ANFC H 6212$01)$. This species grouped most closely with the specimens in the $C$. macloti cluster.
Carcharhinus macloti (hardnose shark) (fig. 2)
A total of 13 specimens were analyzed. These came from the Gulf of Oman, India, Borneo, and northern Australia and thus spanned much of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. The analysis yielded essentially three subclusters: one consisting of the specimens from India and the Gulf of Oman, one consisting of the specimens from Borneo, and one consisting of the Australian specimens. The range in pairwise differences within these subclusters was $0-4,1-5$ and 1 , respectively. The mean of the pairwise differences between the Borneo and Australian cluster was 11.8; between the Gulf of Oman/ India and Borneo cluster was 10.0, and between the Gulf of Oman/India and Australian cluster was 12.8. The range in pairwise differences among all 13 specimens was $0-14$; the mean was 7.8 . These results suggest that some consideration should be given to the existence of several distinct species of hardnosed sharks.

Carcharhinus sealei (blackspot shark) complex (fig. 3)
A total of 51 specimens originally identified as $C$. sealei were included in the analysis. These were collected from the more eastern portions of the distribution of this species and included the Philippines, Borneo, Singapore, and a diversity of localities in western, northern, and eastern Australia. Two distinct subclusters resulted from the analysis, one consisting of all 16 specimens from Australia,
and the other consisting of the 35 specimens from the remaining areas in the Indo-West Pacific, including Malaysian Borneo. The range in pairwise differences within the latter subcluster was $0-8$; the mean was 1.8 . The range in pairwise differences within the Australian subcluster was $0-6$; the mean was 1.6. The average of the pairwise differences between the two subclusters, however, was 20.8 . Given that the type locality of this species is in eastern Malaysia, we have provisionally referred to the specimens in the Australian subcluster as Carcharhinus cf. sealei, reserving the name Carcharhinus sealei for those belonging to the subcluster that includes the type locality. Four samples of $C$. sealei from Borneo have voucher specimens (GN4454 = CAS 229028, GN2962 = IPPS HBO40, GN2960 = IPPS HBO35, and GN4188 = MZB 15.503). In addition, three samples of $C$. cf. sealei from Western Australia are vouchered (GN4904 $=$ ANFCH4009-01, GN4905 = ANFC H6582-06, and GN4906 = ANFC H6582-09).

Further support for the recognition of these as separate species comes from the haplotype maps. These reveal two distinctly different tight clusters of haplotypes, one representing each species (fig. 79A), with no overlap in the geographic distribution of the haplotypes in these clusters (fig. 79B).

Carcharhinus dussumieri (whitecheek shark) complex (fig. 3)
The analysis included seven specimens initially identified as C. dussumieri from Borneo and the Persian Gulf. Two distinct subclusters resulted from the analysis, one consisting of the specimens from Borneo, and the other of the specimens from the Persian Gulf. The range in pairwise differences observed within the Borneo subcluster was $0-1$ and within the Persian Gulf subcluster was 1. However, the mean of the pairwise differences between these widely divergent subclusters was substantial, at 54.7. In recognition of this difference we have designated specimens from the Persian Gulf as Carcharhinus cf. dussumieri, and referred to the specimens from Borneo as C. dussumieri. However, given that the type locality (Pondichéry, India) falls between these two regions, these designations
are essentially arbitrary. One of the specimens from Borneo was vouchered (GN4597 = CAS 229042).

The haplotype maps also support the recognition of two species of whitecheek sharks. The haplotype map colored by phenotype reveals two distinctly different tight clusters of haplotypes, one representing each species (fig. 79A), with no overlap in the geographic distribution of the haplotypes in these clusters (fig. 79B).
Carcharhinus obscurus (dusky shark) (fig. 4) and Carcharhinus galapagensis (Galapagos shark) (fig. 4)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of 46 specimens with evidence of two subclusters. Somewhat unexpectedly, the first subcluster consisted of a combination of specimens considered to represent two distinct species. Specifically, these were four specimens identified as C. galapagensis, all collected from Hawaii, as well as 35 specimens of Carcharhinus obscurus collected primarily from Indo-West Pacific localities (i.e., Taiwan, the northern half of Australia, including both west and east coasts, and South Africa), as well as a single specimen from Senegal. Two of the samples from Australia were taken from specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4908 = ANFC H 4778-01 and GN4909 = ANFC H 6358$01)$. This result suggests that, either the gene used here failed to resolve these two species, or it questions the distinctiveness of these two species. The defining characteristics for distinguishing these two species are habitat and precaudal vertebral counts (Garrick, 1982). The animals identified as C. galapagensis were fully consistent with these criteria. The range in pairwise differences among specimens identified as C. galapagensis was 1-4. However, the average of the pairwise differences between the four specimens identified as $C$. galapagensis and the specimens of C. obscurus in the first (i.e., Indo-West Pacific and Senegal) subcluster was 3 ; the average of the pairwise differences between the Atlantic and Indo-West Pacific/Senegal clusters of $C$. obscurus was 9.3 (see below). If the NADH2 data are correct, it is possible that C. galapagensis may merely represent the oceanic form of C. obscurus.

The second subcluster in this cluster was comprised of seven specimens, representing the majority of those collected from Atlantic localities including the Gulf of Mexico and the western Atlantic. The exception was that the specimen from Senegal clustered with the Indo-Pacific specimens. The ranges in pairwise differences seen within the primarily Indo-West Pacific (excluding specimens identified as C. galapagensis) and Atlantic subclusters were $0-15$ and $0-2$, respectively. The range of pairwise differences between the two subclusters of C. obscurus (i.e., excluding the specimens identified as C. galapagensis) was 7-21; the average of the pairwise differences (see above) was 9.3. The range in pairwise differences among all 46 specimens was $0-21$; the average was 4.7. The range in pairwise differences among all 42 specimens of $C$. obscurus $0-21$; the average was 4.8 .

The haplotype map generated for $C$. obscurus and C. galapagensis (fig. 80A) underscores the lack of distinction between these two species. Not only were two of the three haplotypes exhibited by the four specimens of C. galapagensis shared by specimens of $C$. obscurus, but the third haplotype of $C$. galapagensis clustered among haplotypes of specimens identified as C. obscurus. Although the haplotypes of the Atlantic cluster of $C$. obscurus were divergent from those of the Indo-Pacific specimens, one of the latter specimens (collected from Taiwan) exhibited a haplotype that was conspicuously divergent relative to the other specimens in its cluster, (fig. 80B).
Carcharhinus longimanus (oceanic whitetip shark) (fig. 4)
Our analysis included seven specimens collected from Taiwan and Hawaii. Thus, these specimens represent only a very small portion of the global distribution of this species. These specimens grouped in a single cluster, with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-3$ and an average of 1.7. They grouped most closely with the cluster comprised of the specimens of $C$. obscurus and C. galapagensis. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the C. longimanus cluster and those in the $C$. obscurus and C. galapagensis cluster was 34.8 .

Carcharhinus perezi (Caribbean reef shark) (fig. 4)
A total of 14 specimens, from Belize, the Bahamas, and the Gulf of Mexico, were included. These were found to comprise a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences of $0-10$ among specimens. One of the specimens from Belize was responsible for much of this difference. If this specimen is excluded, the range in pairwise differences among specimens within the cluster was $0-1$. The average of pairwise differences among all 14 specimens was 1.4.

Carcharhinus sorrah (spottail shark) complex (fig. 5)
The analysis included 46 specimens of this species that came from Borneo, India, Singapore, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam, and northern Australia and thus span much of the eastern regions of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. Three samples from Borneo are represented by vouchers (GN4449 = CAS 229026, GN2957 = IPPS HBO32, and GN4185 = MZB 15.504). The analysis yielded two subclusters, one consisting of the four specimens from Australia, and the other consisting of the remaining 42 specimens. The range in pairwise differences within the Australian subcluster was $1-5$; the mean was 3 . Within the second subcluster, the range in pairwise differences was $0-17$, and the mean 3.8. The mean of the pairwise differences between the two clusters was 15.9 , suggesting that this cluster may include two distinct taxa. Given the type locality of $C$. sorrah is Java, the specimens comprising the Australian subcluster have been provisionally referred to as C. cf. sorrah, reserving C. sorrah for the subcluster that includes specimens from Indonesia. The existence of genetic differences between Australian and Indonesian specimens of $C$. sorrah was also noted by Ovenden et al. (2009).

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 81A) shows two distinct clusters consistent with the existence of two species of spottail sharks. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 81B) shows interesting contrasting patterns of variation in C. sorrah. While several specimens collected from throughout Borneo and Vietnam share the same haplotype, specimens from Indian waters exhibit substantial variation.

Carcharhinus limbatus (blacktip shark) complex (fig. 6)
A total of 98 specimens identified as C. limbatus were analyzed. These specimens span much of the reported global distribution of this species and include a diversity of localities throughout the Indo-Pacific (i.e., Philippines, Taiwan, Borneo, Vietnam, northern Australia, India, Madagascar, Gulf of California, and Hawaii), as well as several localities in the eastern Atlantic (i.e., Sierra Leone and South Africa) and a diversity of localities in the western Atlantic (including the Gulf of Mexico, Belize, and Puerto Rico). The analysis yielded two distinct clusters, one consisting of the specimens collected from the western Atlantic, and the other consisting of the specimens collected throughout the IndoPacific Ocean and the eastern Atlantic. This result is fully consistent with that of Keeney and Heist (2006). The range in pairwise differences among the 39 specimens within the western Atlantic cluster was $0-5$, and the average was 0.8 ; the range in pairwise differences among the 59 specimens within the second cluster was $0-18$, and the average was 2.8. The specimens in the two clusters exhibited an average of pairwise differences of 22.2. However, of particular note was the fact that the specimens identified as C. limbatus did not comprise a single cluster independent of the other species of Carcharhinus. In fact, the two clusters of blacktip sharks were separated from one another by a cluster of the 36 specimens of Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides included in the analysis. Given that the type locality of the blacktip shark is assumed to be Martinque Island, West Indies, specimens comprising the western Atlantic cluster have been given the provisional designation Carcharhinus limbatus, and those comprising the Indo-Pacific and eastern Atlantic cluster have been provisionally designated as Carcharhinus cf. limbatus. We note that one of the specimens from the Philippines was treated by Compagno et al. (2005a) as C. limbatus (i.e., GN2260 = JPAG 180). A detailed taxonomic revision of this group is required. If the IndoPacific C. cf. limbatus is deemed to be a valid species, Carcharhinus pleurotaenia (Bleeker, 1852) might need to be resurrected.

A haplotype map was generated that included C. limbatus, C. cf. limbatus, C. tilstoni, as
well as C. amblyrhynchoides given their similarities and the historical confusion surrounding these taxa. The haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 82A) shows four distinctive, relatively tight clusters of haplotypes that correspond to each of these four species. The greatest amount of variation within a species was seen in C. cf. limbatus. For example, that cluster included a specimen from the South China Sea near Borneo that was conspicuously divergent from its conspecifics. The haplotype map for geography (fig. 82B) illustrates the allopatric nature of C. limbatus and $C$. cf. limbatus. It also shows that three of these species (i.e., all but C. limbatus) cooccur in Australia.

Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides (graceful shark) (fig. 6)
The analysis included a total of 36 specimens collected from northern Australia ( 17 specimens), Borneo ( 14 specimens), Vietnam ( 1 specimen), and India (4 specimens); these represent much of the eastern half of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among the 36 specimens was $0-9$; the average was 4.3. The analysis can be interpreted to yield three weak subclusters, one for each of these three regions. The range in pairwise differences within the subclusters was $0-4$ for Australia, 0-4 for Borneo and Vietnam and 0 for India. The average of the pairwise differences between subclusters was 5.7 between the Australia and Borneo-Vietnam subclusters, 7.9 between the Borneo and India clusters, and 7.8 between the Australia and India clusters. One sample from northern Australia (GN1235 = NTM S.04689-006) and one from Borneo (GN2959 = IPPS HBO34) are represented by vouchers. As noted above, the specimens of this species grouped among specimens of the C. limbatus complex. The average of the pairwise differences between C. amblyrhynchoides specimens and those of C. limbatus was 20; and between C. amblyrhynchoides specimens and those of C. cf. limbatus was 17.3.
Carcharhinus tilstoni (Australian blacktip shark) (fig. 6)
Eleven specimens taken from the Timor Sea and the Arafura Sea, off northern Australia, were included in the analysis. A
single cluster consisting of these specimens was found. The range in pairwise differences within this cluster was $0-5$; the mean was 2 . The existence of this cluster, independently from that of the C. limbatus complex, supports C. tilstoni as a valid species (Stevens and Wiley, 1986; Lavery and Shacklee, 1991). However, the vertebral count data collected for these specimens failed to support this as a valid key characteristic for distinguishing between C. tilstoni and C. limbatus. The average of the pairwise differences between C. tilstoni and C. limbatus was 20.6 , between C. tilstoni and C. cf. limbatus 24.2, and between C. tilstoni and amblyrhynchoides 19.1.

The haplotype map colored by phenotype in figure 82A underscores the distinctness of C. tilstoni from C. limbatus. The haplotypes of the 11 specimens of C. tilstoni included in the analysis are very similar to one another, but conspicuously different from those of specimens of both $C$. limbatus and $C$. cf. limbatus. Haplotypes consistent with C. limbatus appear to be restricted to Australia (fig. 82B). Our results suggest that additional work is required to identify morphological features that allow for the reliable distinction between C. tilstoni and the other species of blacktip sharks.

Carcharhinus fitzroyensis (creek whaler) (fig. 6)
A total of three specimens, all collected from Fog Bay, Australia, were included in the analysis. These comprised a single cluster; the sequences for these three specimens were identical. One of these samples is represented by a voucher in the Northern Territories Museum (GN1267 $=$ NTM S.14690-002).

Carcharhinus melanopterus (blacktip reef shark)
complex (fig. 7)
The analysis included a total of 26 specimens originally identified as this species. These were collected from the Philippines, Thailand, Borneo, the Timor Sea, the Gulf of Carpentaria in northern Australia, and Egypt. Despite our specimens being biased toward the eastern sector of the reported distribution of this species, the analysis yielded two subclusters; one consisting of the two specimens from Egypt, and the other consisting of the 24 specimens from a diversity of localities throughout the Indo-Pacific. The
specimens from Egypt differed from one another by one base; the range in pairwise differences within the larger subcluster was $0-9$; the average was 4.3 . The mean of the pairwise differences between the two subclusters was 15.3. In recognition of this difference, the subcluster from Egypt has been provisionally referred to as Carcharhinus cf. melanopterus, reserving C. melanopterus for the subcluster comprised of specimens more proximal to the type locality (i.e., eastern Indonesia).

## Carcharhinus cautus (nervous shark) (fig. 7)

The five specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Buffalo Creek in northern Australia and were all identical in sequence. Two of these samples are represented by vouchers in the Northern Territory Museum (GN1233 and GN1234 = NTM S.14689-005). These specimens grouped most closely with specimens in the $C$. melanopterus complex. The average of the pairwise differences between C. cautus and those in the latter complex was 25.7.

## Carcharhinus leucas (bull shark) complex (fig. 8)

A total of 24 specimens originally identified as Carcharhinus leucas were included in the analysis. These came from a diversity of localities that emphasize the Atlantic, rather than Pacific, elements of the distribution of this species, including the western North Atlantic (e.g., Alabama and Florida) as well as Belize, Sierra Leone, Senegal, and South Africa. In addition, seven of the specimens were collected in Borneo. The analysis yielded three potential subclusters, one consisting of the specimens from the western Atlantic, Belize, Senegal, and Sierra Leone, a second consisting of the three specimens from South Africa, and a third consisting of the seven specimens from Borneo. These results suggest that C. leucas may represent a complex of closely related species. Given that the type locality of C. leucas is in the western North Atlantic (i.e., the Antilles), we have used the provisional designation $C$. leucas for specimens comprising the primarily Atlantic subcluster. The specimens in the Borneo subcluster are referred to as C. cf. leucas 1. The three specimens in the South African subcluster are referred to as $C$. cf. leucas 2. The range of pairwise differences
among specimens in the C. leucas subcluster was $0-1$, among specimens the $C$. cf. leucas 1 subcluster was $0-12$, and among specimens in the C. cf. leucas 2 subcluster was 1-7. The average of pairwise differences within the $C$. cf. leucas 1 subcluster was 4.8 , and within the $C$. cf. leucas 2 subcluster was 4.7. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of C. leucas and C. cf. leucas 1 was 17.3 , between specimens of $C$. leucas and C. cf. leucas 2 was 17.1, and between specimens of $C$. cf. leucas 1 and $C$. cf. leucas 2 was 13.1.

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 83A) shows that, although there is notable variation in haplotypes within each cluster, there is no overlap in haplotypes among the three potential species of bull sharks. The haplotype map for geography (fig. 83B) illustrates that the haplotypes of all three bullshark species are allopatrically distributed. Members of this complex would benefit greatly from further investigation.

Carcharhinus amboinensis (pigeye shark) complex (fig. 8)
The 10 specimens of this species included here were collected from India, South Africa, and several localities in western and northern Australia and thus represent much of the reported distribution of this species. The analysis yielded a single cluster consisting of two conspicuous subclusters. One subcluster consisted solely of specimens taken from western and northern Australia, whereas the other subcluster consisted of specimens from India, South Africa, and northern Australia. What makes this pattern somewhat puzzling is the occurrence of specimens from northern Australia in both subclusters, particularly given that some of these specimens were collected from the same exact locality on the same day. The range in pairwise differences within the solely northern Australian subcluster was $0-1$, whereas range for the other subcluster was $0-10$, with an average of 4 . We note that one of the Australian samples came from a specimen deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4903 = ANFC H 6655-01). Given that the mean of the pairwise differences between these two subclusters was 26.6 , it seems appropriate to nominally recognize both subclusters. In the absence of
specimens collected from the type locality (i.e., Ambon Island, Indonesia), we have used the designation $C$. amboinensis 2 for the solely Australian subcluster, and C. amboinensis 1 for the second subcluster.

The haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 83A) supports the notion that there are two distinct species of pigeye sharks. There is no overlap in haplotypes between specimens of the two putative species. The haplotype map for geography (fig. 83B), illustrates that, although C. amboinensis 1 also occurs in South Africa, haplotypes of both species cooccur in Australia. This complex needs to be explored in more detail.

Carcharhinus plumbeus (sandbar shark) complex (fig. 9)
A total of 74 specimens originally identified as C. plumbeus were included in the analysis. These came from a diversity of localities in the western North Atlantic, as well as Hawaii, Borneo, Vietnam, the Philippines, and Taiwan. However, with respect to the overall reported distribution of C. plumbeus, our sample was conspicuous in its lack of representation from the Indian and eastern Atlantic oceans. Nonetheless, the analysis yielded two distinct clusters of sandbar sharks, one of which included the 22 specimens of Carcharhinus altimus in the analysis. The first cluster of sandbar sharks consisted solely of the 17 specimens from the IndoPacific. The second cluster consisted of 57 specimens originally identified as C. plumbeus from the western Atlantic localities, in addition to all of the specimens of C. altimus. The range in pairwise differences observed among the 17 specimens comprising the Indo-Pacific cluster of sandbar sharks was $0-8$; the average within this cluster was 1.7. The range in pairwise differences seen among the 57 sandbar shark specimens comprising the western Atlantic cluster (excluding C. altimus) was $0-$ 13; the average was 1.2. The average of the pairwise differences between the Indo-Pacific and the western Atlantic clusters of sandbar sharks (again, excluding C. altimus) was 14.9. Given this result, and the fact that the type locality of C. plumbeus is the Adriatic Sea, we have provisionally given specimens in the cluster collected from the western Atlantic the designation of $C$. plumbeus and those
from the Indo-Pacific the designation of $C$. cf. plumbeus. If further taxonomic investigation reveals this latter species to be valid, Carcharhinus japonicus (Temminck and Schlegal, 1850) might need to be resurrected. The affinities between Atlantic sandbar sharks and C. altimus, to the exclusion of the Pacific sandbar sharks, have been observed previously by a number of authors (e.g., Heist and Gold, 1999; Greig et al., 2005).

The haplotype map of phenotypes for all 74 sandbar shark specimens and the specimens of C. altimus (fig. 84A) supports recognition of the two sandbar shark species and C. altimus as distinct taxa. However, in the Atlantic C. plumbeus cluster, one specimen exhibited a particularly divergent haplotype relative to its conspecifics (fig. 84A). The geography haplotype map (fig. 84B) illustrates that C. plumbeus and C. altimus are sympatric in the western Atlantic and its environs, while $C$. cf. plumbeus appears to be restricted to the Indo-Pacific.

## Carcharhinus altimus (bignose shark) (fig. 9)

The analysis included 22 specimens of this species, collected from the western North Atlantic, as well as the Gulf of Mexico, Hawaii, and Taiwan. These specimens, which admittedly lack representation of the eastern Atlantic, eastern Pacific, and Indian Ocean elements of the distribution of this species, were found to comprise a single cluster, exhibiting a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-9$; the average was 1.8 . However, as noted above, the C. altimus cluster was nested among the Atlantic specimens of C. plumbeus. Given the substantial morphological differences between C. altimus and the Atlantic form of C. plumbeus, we have treated them as distinct here.

## Carcharhinus brevipinna (spinner shark) (fig. 10)

In total, 35 specimens of this species were included. Collectively, these specimens represent much of the distribution of this species, coming from the western Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Senegal, Sierra Leone, India, Philippines, Borneo, Vietnam, and Taiwan. The analysis yielded only a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within the cluster was 0-20 and the average was 6.2.

## Carcharhinus brachyurus (bronze whaler) complex

 (fig. 10)In total, 10 specimens initially identified as this species were included in the analysis. These were collected from South Africa, Madeira (Portugal), southern Australia, and Taiwan, and thus span much of the distribution of this species, with the exception of the Americas. The analysis yielded two subclusters, one consisting of the specimen from Madeira and the seven specimens from South Africa, and the other consisting of the single specimen from each of Australia and Taiwan. The pairwise difference between the two specimens in the latter subcluster was 2; the range in pairwise differences among specimens within the African subcluster was $0-7$, with an average of 2.4 . The mean of the pairwise differences between these two subclusters was 23.3. Given that the type locality of C. brachyurus is New Zealand, we have provisionally referred to the smaller subcluster of specimens from Taiwan and southern Australia as C. brachyurus, and have given the specimens in the larger subcluster the designation $C$. cf. brachyurus. One specimen of C. cf. brachyurus from Portugal is represented by a voucher (GN6628 = MMF 39543). If further taxonomic investigation reveals the latter taxon as a distinct species, one of the species currently placed in the synonymy of $C$. brachyurus might need to be resurrected.

Carcharhinus acronotus (blacknose shark) (fig. 10)
All 11 specimens of this species were collected from the western Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences within this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 2.1 .

## Nasolamia velox (whitenose shark) (fig. 10)

The analysis included a single specimen of this species, collected from Panama. This specimen represents a southern element of the distribution of this species, which occurs from Baja to Peru. It grouped most closely with the specimens of C. acronotus; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 23.7.

## Carcharhinus isodon (finetooth shark) (fig. 11)

All 16 specimens of this species included here were collected from the western North

Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico and thus represent only the northeastern elements of the distribution of this species. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences within this cluster was 0 4 , with a mean of 1.3.
Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus (daggernose shark) (fig. 11)
The five specimens included in the analysis were all collected from Maranhao, Brazil. As this species is apparently restricted in distribution to the northeastern regions of South America these specimens are representative of its range. A single cluster resulted from the analysis. Sequences of the five specimens were essentially identical (i.e., the maximum difference seen among specimens was 1 ).

Carcharhinus porosus (smalltail shark) complex (fig. 11)
Our analysis included a total of 18 specimens, 15 from Trinidad, and three from the Gulf of California. As this species is reported from much of the western Atlantic seaboard, and also from throughout the west coasts of Mexico, Columbia, and Ecuador, our sample is somewhat limited with respect to the overall distribution of this species. Nonetheless, the analysis resulted in two subclusters, one representing the Atlantic and one the Pacific localities. The range in pairwise differences within the Trinidad subcluster was $0-10$, with an average of 4.4 ; the sequences of the three specimens comprising the Gulf of California subcluster were identical. The mean of the pairwise differences between the two subclusters was 18.5 . Given these differences, and the fact that the type locality is Brazil, we have provisionally designated the specimens from Trinidad as Carcharhinus porosus, and refer to the specimens from Baja as Carcharhinus cf. porosus. One specimen of the latter taxon is represented by a voucher (GN1107 = IBUNAM PE9494). A detailed taxonomic revision of this species is required and if the eastern Pacific population is deemed to be a valid species, Carcharhinus cerdale Jordan and Evermann, 1898, might need to be resurrected.

Carcharhinus signatus (night shark) (fig. 12)
Our analysis included a total of six specimens, all taken from the western North

Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico. However, the south and eastern Atlantic elements of the distribution of this species were not sampled. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among the six specimens of this cluster was $0-3$, with a mean of 1.7.

Triaenodon obesus (whitetip reef shark) (fig. 13)
In total, the analysis included nine specimens of this species. These came from Hawaii (or possibly Christmas Island), Sulawesi, Borneo, and the Red Sea and Gulf of Aqaba, and thus span much of the distribution of this species with the exception of the eastern Pacific. The analysis resulted in essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among the specimens comprising this cluster was $0-9$; the mean was 4.3

Lamiopsis tephrodes (Borneo broadfin shark) and Lamiopsis temmincki (broadfin shark) (fig. 14)
Lamiopsis tephrodes was originally collected by Fowler (1905), from the Baram River in Sarawak, Malaysia. Until recently, it has been considered to be a synonym of Lamiopsis temmincki (e.g., Compagno, 1984a, 1988), which was originally collected from India. However, L. tephrodes has recently been resurrected by White et al. (2010b) for the Indo-Malay form. Our sample included 26 specimens collected from Borneo (both Malaysian and Indonesian regions), as well as a single specimen collected from India; the analysis showed the 26 specimens from Borneo to cluster outside the specimen from India. Four of the Borneo specimens are represented by vouchers (GN4241 = CAS 229045, GN4240 = ANFC H 7083-01, GN4803 = ANFC H 7084-01, and GN3476 = IPPS BO259). The range in pairwise differences within the Borneo cluster was $0-3$; the average of the pairwise differences between members of the Borneo cluster and the specimen from India was 23.9. Thus, our results support the decision to resurrect L. tephrodes.

## Glyphis species (fig. 14)

Specific designations within this genus have recently come under much scrutiny. In these treatments (i.e., Compagno et al., 2008, 2010; Fahmi and Adrim, 2009), the genus has been considered to include a minimum of five valid species: Glyphis garricki recently de-
scribed by Compagno et al. (2008) from northern Australia and Papua New Guinea ( $=$ Glyphis sp. C of Compagno and Niem, 1998) and confirmed as distinct from $G$. glyphis by Wynen et al. (2009); Glyphis glyphis, recently redescribed by Compagno et al. (2008) from material from northern Australia and Papua New Guinea (and includes Glyphis sp. A of Compagno and Niem, 1998, and Last and Stevens, 1994); Glyphis gangeticus from the Ganges River, India (and possibly Pakistan see Compagno et al., 2005b); Glyphis siamensis (Steindachner, 1896) from the Irrawaddy River in Burma; and the recently described Glyphis fowlerae Compagno, White and Cavanagh, 2010 (Glyphis sp. B of Compagno and Niem, 1998), from the Kinabatangan River in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. In addition, Fahmi and Adrim (2009) reported, as Glyphis sp., a specimen from Sampit, Kalimantan, Borneo, which may represent another undescribed taxon.

Our analysis included a total of 15 specimens of Glyphis: five from the West Alligator River, Australia, collected and identified by Louise McMahon of Charles Darwin University, Darwin, and consisting of three specimens of G. garricki and two of Glyphis glyphis; four specimens of Glyphis fowlerae consisting of the holotype (GN3376 = IPMB 38.14.02; also Fahmi and Adrim, 2009: fig. 7), a paratype $($ GN3377 $=\mathrm{IPMB} 38.14 .03)$, and a third specimen, all from the Kinabatangan River in Sabah, Borneo, as well as one from Java, Indonesia; three specimens from Pakistan and India, which we have provisionally identified as G. gangeticus, primarily because Pakistan is included among the localities of this species; one specimen from the Bay of Bengal, off Bangladesh, and two from Malaysian Borneo, tentatively identified as Glyphis sp. 1.

The analysis yielded four primary clusters of specimens. One cluster consisted of a subcluster of the four specimens of Glyphis fowlerae and a subcluster of the three specimens identified as Glyphis gangeticus. A second cluster consisted of the three specimens of Glyphis sp. 1. A third cluster consisted of the three specimens of $G$. garricki. The fourth cluster consisted of the two specimens of G. glyphis. The range in pairwise differences among specimens of $G$.
gangeticus was $0-3$, with an average of 2 . The range in pairwise differences among specimens of Glyphis fowlerae was $0-6$, with an average of 3 . The range in pairwise differences among specimens of Glyphis sp. 1 was $5-7$, with an average of six bases. The two specimens of G. glyphis differed by two bases, and the three specimens of G. garricki were identical in sequence. The means of the pairwise differences between clusters ranged from 7.5 (G. gangeticus vs. G. fowlerae) to 102.7 (G. glyphis vs. G. sp. 1). Pairwise differences among the five nominal species are as follows. The difference between $G$. fowlerae and G. gangeticus is 7.5, and Glyphis sp. 1 is 83.3 , and G. garricki is 89 , and $G$. glyphis is 85 ; the difference between $G$. gangeticus and Glyphis sp. 1 is 81.3 , and $G$. garricki is 88 , and G. glyphis is 83 ; the difference between Glyphis sp. 1 and $G$. garricki is 100.3, and between Glyphis sp. 1 and G. glyphis is 102.7 ; the difference between G. garricki and G. glyphis is 54 .

In summary, our results support the distinction between G. garricki and G. glyphis despite their sympatry. While the correct name to apply remains uncertain, our results suggest that a species (perhaps G. gangeticus) occurs in Pakistan and India, and is very close to G. fowlerae from Borneo. Furthermore, our results suggest that there exists a species, distinct from all of those included here, that occurs in Bangladesh and Malaysian Borneo. Whether this represents a species new to science or is conspecific with one of those not included in our analysis (e.g., G. siamensis) remains to be determined. We have designated this specimen Glyphis sp. 1 to distinguish it from other recognized but as yet unnamed species of the genus.

Negaprion acutidens (sharptooth lemon shark) (fig. 14)
The analysis included 14 specimens of this lemon shark species, all collected from northern Australia, either the Gulf of Carpentaria or the Timor Sea. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 0.9 . Unfortunately, our samples were relatively restricted in distribution and thus did not allow us to assess the variation among local-
ities seen in this species by Schultz et al. (2008).

Negaprion brevirostris (lemon shark) (fig. 14)
In total, six specimens were included in the analysis. These all came from the Gulf of Mexico, the east coast of Florida, and the Caribbean Sea. The analysis yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among specimens within the cluster of $0-4$, with an average of 1.3. Once again, our samples represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species and thus did not allow us to assess variation among localities in this species reported by Schultz et al. (2008). The mean of the pairwise differences between specimens of $N$. brevirostris and $N$. acutidens was 85.8.

Rhizoprionodon acutus (milk shark) complex (fig. 15)
In total, 61 specimens originally identified as this species were included in the analysis. These samples were relatively representative of the complete distribution of this species, consisting of 14 specimens from the west coast of Africa, 7 from the Gulf of Oman, 7 from India, 20 from Borneo, 3 from the Philippines, and 10 from northern Australia. The analysis yielded four distinct subclusters. The first cluster consisted of the specimens from west coast of Africa. The second cluster consisted of the specimens from the Gulf of Oman and the majority of the specimens from India. The third subcluster consisted of the specimens collected from Australia, and the fourth of all the specimens from Borneo and the Philippines as well as two of the specimens from India. The ranges in pairwise differences within these subclusters were 0-2 (western Africa subcluster), 0-6 (Gulf of Oman etc. subcluster), 0-8 (Australia subcluster), and 0-8 (Borneo, etc.); the means were $0.6,1.5,2.4$, and 3.2 , respectively. The means of the pairwise differences among subclusters were as follows: 10 (western Africa vs. Gulf of Oman), 16.6 (western Africa vs. Australia), 17.6 (western Africa vs. Borneo), 15.6 (Gulf of Oman vs. Australia), 18.8 (Gulf of Oman vs. Borneo), and 15.3 (Australia vs. Borneo). Based on these differences we have given the four subclusters separate designations. Since the type locality of $R$. acutus is the Red Sea, the specimens in the
cluster from the Gulf of Oman and India have been given the designation $R$. acutus. The remaining three subclusters have been referred to as: Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 (western Africa cluster), Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 (Australia cluster), and Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 (Borneo, etc., cluster). We note that two of the specimens of $R$. cf. acutus 2 came from specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4918 = ANFC H 4559-01 and GN4919 = ANFC H 6582-12) and one specimen of $R$. cf. acutus 3 came from the Institut Penyelidikan Perikanan Sarawak in Kuching, Malaysia (GN2955 $=$ IPPS HBO30). This potential complex of species is in need of further investigation. If a taxonomic revision reveals that the three subclusters listed above are distinct from R. acutus, the following species currently placed in synonymy with $R$. acutus might need to be resurrected as valid species: $R$. crenidens (Klunzinger, 1880) for the Australian species; R. fissidens (Bennett, 1831) for the western Africa species; $R$. walbeehmi (Bleeker, 1856) for the western central Pacific species.

A haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 85A) supports the idea that $R$. acutus is a complex of four species. It shows four relatively tight haplotype clusters consistent with the four species treated above. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 85B) indicates that all four species are allopatric, with the exception of the cooccurrence of $R$. acutus and $R$. cf. acutus 3 in India.

Rhizoprionodon terraenovae (Atlantic sharpnose shark) (fig. 16)
Our analysis included a total of 24 specimens, which came from the western North Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea, and Belize, and thus represent much of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this relatively divergent cluster was $0-16$; the mean was 4.9.

## Rhizoprionodon porosus (Caribbean sharpnose

 shark) (fig. 16)Two specimens were included. Both came from Trinidad, located in the northern region of the distribution of this species, which extends throughout the eastern coast of South America. These two specimens differed
in sequence by two bases. They were found to cluster most closely with the specimens of $R$. terraenovae. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $R$. porosus and those of $R$. terraenovae was 17.9.

## Rhizoprionodon longurio (Pacific sharpnose shark)

 (fig. 16)The eight specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the Gulf of California and thus represent only the more northern region of the distribution of this species, which extends south from Baja along the western coast of North and Central America to Peru. These specimens comprised a relatively divergent cluster; two of the specimens (both collected from Bahia de Los Angeles) grouped together to the exclusion of the remaining six specimens (5 from Puertecitos and 1 from San Jose del Cabo). The range in pairwise differences among all eight specimens in the cluster was $1-8$, with an average of 4.2 ; the two specimens from Puertecitos differed by 1 ; the range in pairwise differences among the remaining six specimens was $0-7$.
Rhizoprionodon lalandii (Brazilian sharpnose shark) (fig. 16)
The six specimens of this species included in the analysis came from Trinidad. They represent the more northern regions of the distribution of this species. The analysis yielded a single cluster with the range in pairwise differences observed among specimens in this cluster being $0-2$.

Rhizoprionodon taylori (Australian sharpnose shark) (fig. 16)
A total of 14 specimens, collected from the Timor Sea and the Gulf of Carpentaria off northern Australia, was included. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with a range in pairwise differences of $0-9$ among specimens, with a mean of 4.4. One sample in this cluster was taken from a specimen in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4920 = ANFC H 6655-02) and two from samples from specimens in the Northern Territory Museum (GN1254 and GN1255 = NTM S.14690-001).
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx (gray sharpnose shark) (fig. 17)
In total, 17 specimens were included; these came from Borneo and India and thus are
generally representative of the distribution of this species. These specimens comprised a loose cluster with some structure reflecting these two regions. The range in pairwise differences among all 17 specimens was $0-15$, with an average of 5.8 . Two specimens are represented by vouchers (GN3685 $=$ IPPS BO474 and GN3686 = IPPS BO475).

## Scoliodon species (fig. 18)

Our analysis included 61 specimens of Scoliodon: 29 from Borneo, 17 from Vietnam, 2 from Taiwan, 11 from India, and 2 from the Bay of Bengal, east of Thailand. Up until recently, this genus was considered monotypic (e.g., Compagno et al., 2005a), containing only Scoliodon laticaudus. However, White et al. (2010a) resurrected Scoliodon macrorhynchos from Java, Indonesia as a valid species. Our analysis yielded three distinct clusters of Scoliodon. The first cluster consisted of the S. macrorhynchos specimens from Borneo, Vietnam, and Taiwan; the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-8$, with an average of 2.2. Two of these specimens are deposited in the IPPS $($ GN3449 $=$ IPPS BO222 and GN3450 $=$ IPPS BO223). The second cluster consisted of the S. laticaudus specimens from India, which had a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-2$. The third cluster consisted of the two specimens from the Bay of Bengal west of Thailand; their sequences differed by 5 . Between-cluster differences were found to be substantial and not only supported $S$. laticaudus and $S$. macrorhynchos as separate species, but suggested the specimens from Thailand represent a distinct species. The latter have been given the designation Scoliodon cf. laticaudus, but taxonomic investigation is required to determine whether they represent an undescribed species or whether S. muelleri (Müller and Henle, 1839) should be resurrected as a valid species. The average of the pairwise differences between the $S$. laticaudus and $S$. macrorhynchos clusters was 32.1, between the $S$. laticaudus and $S$. cf. laticaudus clusters 33.8, and between the S. macrorhynchos and S. cf. laticaudus clusters 40.4 .

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 86A) supports recogniton of three species of Scoliodon; in each case haplotypes
of replicate specimens within a species are tightly clustered and conspicuously different from specimens in other species clusters. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 86B) illustrates that, although our specimes of S. macrorhynchos were collected from a diversity of localities in the central Indo-Pacific, they exhibit relatively little haplotype variation in NADH2.
Loxodon macrorhinus (sliteye shark) complex (fig. 18)
In total, 21 specimens of Loxodon were included in the analysis. These came from Borneo ( 14 specimens), India (4 specimens), the Philippines ( 2 specimens), and Madagascar ( 1 specimen). At present this genus is considered to include only the single valid species, Loxodon macrorhinus, with the type locality unknown (probably Indian Ocean). Our analysis yielded two clusters, one consisting of two of the specimens from India and all the specimens collected from Borneo and the Philippines (with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-11$, and an average of 4.6), and the other consisting of the remaining two specimens from India and a specimen from Madagascar (with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-1$ ). We have provisionally referred to specimens in the former cluster as Loxodon macrorhinus, whereas those in the latter cluster have been given the designation $L$. cf. macrorhinus; the average of the pairwise differences between these clusters was 29.9. Our results support the existence of a second species in this currently monotypic genus. Further taxonomic research is required to determine which species represents the true L. macrorhinus, and whether the second species truly represents an undescribed taxon.

## Sphyrnidae (hammerhead sharks)

Our analysis includes representation of seven of the eight described species of hammerhead sharks. However, we believe it also includes specimens of three undescribed species of hammerheads. To allow comparison of genetic variation across species, the haplotype maps presented for hammerheads (fig. 87A, B) include all 10 of these species. The implications of these maps for the three species complexes (S. lewini, S. zygaena, and
S. tiburo) are each treated separately below. However, from the standpoint of the family in general, it is interesting to note that the haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 87B) illustrates that hammerheads are among the most widely distributed species of elasmobranchs. For example, the same haplotype of $S$. lewini 1 is found in animals from the western Indian Ocean, India, and South China Sea. Similarly, the same haplotype of S. zygaena is found in animals from Taiwan, Borneo, and northern Australia. Little variation is seen in the haplotypes of individuals of S. lewini 2 that occur in Borneo, Taiwan, and the Gulf of California. Little variation is seen in the haplotypes of individuals of S. zygaena from the Gulf of Mexico, western North Atlantic, Senegal, Japan, Taiwan, Vietnam, and the Gulf of California. Nevertheless, it seems there is a great deal of sympatry. For example, the western Atlantic (including the Gulf of Mexico and Trinidad) is home to six species of hammerheads ( $S$. lewini 2, S. mokarran 1, S. tiburo, S. cf. tiburo, S. tudes, and S. zygaena).

Sphyrna lewini (scalloped hammerhead) complex (fig. 19)
Our analysis included a total of 45 specimens initially identified as the scalloped hammerhead S. lewini. These came from the western North Atlantic (11 specimens), the Gulf of Mexico (6 specimens), Senegal (4 specimens), Madagascar (3 specimens), India (5 specimens), Borneo (10 specimens), Gulf of California ( 2 specimens), and Taiwan (4 specimens). Given that the type locality of this species is southern Australia, the unavailability of specimens from Australia was unfortunate. Our analysis yielded considerable structure among $S$. lewini. First, the analysis yielded two strongly divergent clusters, each with some substructure. However, at this time we have recognized only the two main clusters. The 32 specimens comprising the first cluster, from the western Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, Senegal, Madagascar, India, and Malaysian Borneo, have been designated Sphyrna lewini 1, and the 13 specimens comprising the second cluster, from the Gulf of California, Borneo, and Taiwan, have been designated Sphyrna lewini 2; one specimen from the latter cluster is vouchered
(GN4187 = CAS 229024). Within the $S$. lewini 1 cluster, the specimens from the Gulf of Mexico and the western North Atlantic comprised a weak subcluster; the specimens from Senegal comprised a second weakly supported subcluster, and the specimens from India, Madagascar, and those from Malaysian Borneo comprised a third weakly supported subcluster. In addition, the specimens from Madagascar and India and three of the specimens from Borneo comprised a subcluster. Within the Sphyrna lewini 2 cluster, there was evidence of a subcluster consisting of a specimen from Taiwan and one from Malaysian Borneo. The range in pairwise differences among all 45 specimens of S. lewini was $0-78$. The range in pairwise differences within the S. lewini 1 cluster was $0-12$, with an average of 4.4. The range within the $S$. lewini 2 cluster was $0-21$, with an average of 7.2 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $S$. lewini 1 and S. lewini 2 was 64.2. These results suggest that undescribed diversity exists among scalloped hammerheads, which may include sympatric species. This result is consistent with those of a number of previous authors who also reported genetic diversity within S. lewini (e.g., Abercrombie et al., 2005; Duncan et al., 2006; Quattro et al., 2006; Zemlak et al., 2009).

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 87A) supports recognition of $S$. lewini 1 and $S$. lewini 2 as they exhibit distinct, but relatively tight clusters of haplotypes. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 87B) illustrates the relatively broad distributions of both of these clusters of haplotypes (fig. 87B).

Sphyrna tiburo (bonnethead shark) complex (fig. 19)
All 14 specimens originally identified as $S$. tiburo were collected from the Gulf of Mexico (12 specimens) and Trinidad ( 2 specimens). As a consequence, our sample represents only a portion of the distribution of this species along the western Atlantic seaboard, and we have no representation of the eastern Pacific portions of the distribution of this species. The analysis yielded some geographic structure in that the specimens from the Gulf of Mexico clustered together as did those from Trinidad. The range in pairwise differences among bonnetheads overall was $0-28$. The range in pairwise differences within the Gulf of Mexico
cluster was $0-3$, with an average of 0.6 , and the two specimens from Trinidad differed by 4. The average of the pairwise differences between the two clusters was 25.3 . In recognition of the fact that the type locality of $S$. tiburo is America, we have given the Trinidad specimens the designation Sphyrna cf. tiburo. However, the type locality of $S$. tiburo is imprecise so the nomenclature of this group needs to be examined more thoroughly.

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 87A) supports the distinction between $S$. tiburo and S. cf. tiburo.

## Sphyrna tudes (smalleye hammerhead) (fig. 19)

Our analysis included four specimens, all collected from Trinidad. Thus, our sample comes from a relatively northerly locality within the distribution of this species, which extends along the east coast of South American to Argentina. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within the cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 3 .

Sphyrna corona (mallethead shark) (fig. 19)
The six specimens included in the analysis all came from the western coast of Panama. Thus, they represent the central region of the distribution of this species, which extends along the western seaboard of the Americas from the Gulf of California to Peru. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within this cluster was $0-7$, and the average was 3.3.

Sphyrna mokarran (great hammerhead) complex (fig. 19)
In total, 22 specimens were included. These were collected from the Gulf of Mexico (9 specimens), the western North Atlantic coast from Massachusetts to Florida (7 specimens), Malaysian Borneo (1 specimen; GN3471 = IPPS BO254), and northern Australia (5 specimens). Given that this species has been reported to occur in a global band (Last and Stevens, 2009), on continental shelves throughout the tropics and subtropics, our sample largely underrepresents the distribution of this species, and does not include the type locality (Red Sea). Nonetheless, the analysis yielded two distinct clusters: one comprised of the specimens collected from the Atlantic, which we refer to as Sphyrna mokarran 1,
and a second consisting of specimens from Australia and Borneo, which we refer to as Sphyrna mokarran 2. The range in pairwise differences among $S$. mokarran specimens overall was $0-16$. The range in pairwise differences among specimens of $S$. mokarran 1 was $0-3$ (with an average of 0.5 ) and the range in pairwise differences among specimens of S. mokarran 2 was 0-4 (with an average of 1.9). However, the average of the pairwise differences between these two clusters was 14.1.

Although they are not among the most divergent of the hammerhead species complexes treated here, there is no haplotype overlap between specimens of $S$. mokarran 1 and S. mokarran 2 (fig. 87A), which supports recognition of these as distinct allopatric species (fig. 87B).

Sphyrna zygaena (smooth hammerhead) (fig. 19)
The 16 specimens of this species were collected from the Gulf of California (4 specimens), western North Atlantic (6 specimens), Senegal (1 specimen), Vietnam (1 specimen), Taiwan (3 specimens), and Japan (1 specimen), and thus represent at least the longitudinal (if not the latitudinal) distribution of this species. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster, with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-8$, with an average of 2.5 . One of the specimens from the Gulf of California was vouchered (GN1097 = IBUNAM PE9519).

Eusphyra blochii (winghead shark) (fig. 19)
All nine of our specimens of this species were all collected from Fog Bay, in the Timor Sea of northern Australia and thus represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which includes much of the coastal regions of the Indo-West Pacific. The analysis yielded a single cluster of specimens with a very low range in pairwise differences among specimens (i.e., $0-2$ ); one of these samples was vouchered (GN1256 = NTM S.14689-004).

## Carcharhinidae (requiem sharks), continued

## Galeocerdo cuvier (tiger shark) complex (fig. 20)

Our 29 specimens of this species represent much of the longitudinal distribution of this species, having come from the western North

Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico (18 specimens), as well as the Gulf of California ( 1 specimen), Hawaii ( 1 specimen), Borneo ( 1 specimen), the Timor Sea off the coast of northern Australia (7 specimens), and the Red Sea ( 1 specimen). The specimen from the Gulf of California was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5271 = TCWC 7574.01). The analysis yielded two clusters of tiger sharks. One of these consisted of specimens from the Atlantic localities, and the second consisted of the specimens from Australia, Hawaii, Borneo, Gulf of California, and Red Sea (i.e., the Pacific and Indian Ocean localities). The range in pairwise differences among all 29 tiger shark specimens was $0-17$; the average was 6.2 . The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the Atlantic cluster was $0-5$ (with an average of 1.1), and among specimens in the Pacific and Indian Ocean cluster was 0-7 (with an average of 2.9). The average of the pairwise differences between the two clusters was 11.1. Given that the type locality of G. cuvier is northwestern Australia, we have given the 11 specimens comprising this cluster the designation $G$. cuvier and the 18 specimens in the second cluster the designation $G$. cf. cuvier .

Both haplotype maps for this genus (figs. 88 A and B) support the above conclusions. There is no haplotype overlap among specimens of the two potential species of tiger sharks, and the haplotype map colored by geography clearly shows that the two species are allopatrically distributed. The notion that multiple species may exist within this genus needs to be further explored. If taxonomic investigation reveals that Atlantic populations are not conspecific with G. cuvier, G. arcticus (Faber, 1829), described from Iceland and Norway, might need to be resurrected.

## Hemigaleidae (weasel sharks)

Hemigaleus microstoma (sicklefin weasel shark) (fig. 21)
In total, 31 specimens of this species were included. They consist of one specimen collected from Singapore, six from the Philippines, and 24 from Borneo and thus represent only some of the more central elements of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. Two of the specimens from the Philippines were treated by Com-
pagno et al. (2005a) (i.e., GN4324 = BRU 123 and GN4325 = JPAG 216) as $H$. microstoma. One of the specimens from Borneo was also vouchered (GN3694 = IPPS BO483). These 31 specimens comprised a single cluster, including a weakly supported subcluster comprised of a subset of specimens from Borneo. The range in pairwise differences among all 31 specimens of this species was $0-13$ and the average was 4.9.

Hemigaleus australiensis (Australian weasel shark) (fig. 21)
Our analysis included six specimens of this newly described species (see White et al., 2005) from Western Australia, and the Timor and Arafura seas off the coast of northern Australia. Two of these specimens came from the Australian National Fish Collection (the holotype GN4913 = ANFC H 5949-01 and GN4914 = ANFC H 5949-02). Within this cluster, two specimens, one from Western Australia and one from the Arafura Sea, grouped together in a subcluster distinct from the other four specimens. The range in pairwise differences among all six specimens of this species was $0-11$, with an average of 6.5. The two specimens in the former subcluster differed by one base, and the range in pairwise differences among the remaining four specimens was $0-5$ (with an average of 3.2). The mean of the pairwise differences between the two subclusters of $H$. australiensis was 9.8. The mean of the pairwise differences between specimens of H. australiensis and those of H. microstoma was 94.6; this result strongly supports the distinction between these two congeners.

Paragaleus sp. (fig. 21)
A single specimen of Paragaleus from Phuket, Thailand, grouped outside both of the known species of Paragaleus, along with the two species of Hemigaleus. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $P$. randalli was 128.9. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $P$. pectoralis was 122.7. We have given this specimen the designation Paragaleus sp. It possibly represents an undescribed Paragaleus species, however, comparisons with the two described species of Paragaleus not included here would also be valuable. Given
its substantial difference from the other two Paragaleus species, it may, however, be a case of incorrect generic identification, and the possibility that it represents a species of Chaenogaleus should be explored.
Paragaleus randalli (slender weasel shark) (fig. 21)
Our analysis included a total of 17 specimens belonging to this species, two of which were vouchered (GN4182 = MZB 15.506 and GN4191 = MZB 15.507). The analysis yielded a single cluster. The specimens in this cluster included 16 samples from Borneo and a single specimen from the Philippines. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-9$; the average of pairwise differences among specimens of this species was 2.9. All of the localities sampled in this study fall well outside the originally described range of Paragaleus randalli (i.e., Bahrain and western Indian Ocean), but within the range of the similar looking congener Paragaleus tengi (straighttooth weasel shark) which might lead to the suspicion that these tissue samples were actually derived from specimens of $P$. tengi. However, unpublished sequence data from NADH2 indicate no significant differences in sequence between specimens identified as $P$. randalli from the Persian Gulf and those of the 17 specimens from Southeast Asia used in the current study. Furthermore, vertebral counts examined (by PL and WW) from three specimens originally identified as Paragaleus tengi from Borneo, range in number between 164 and 171 which is outside the reported range for $P$. tengi (131-135) but consistent with the range given for $P$. randalli (165-186). Taken together, these observations suggest that $P$. randalli has a more extensive distribution than suggested in its original description (Compagno et al., 1996) extending from the western Indian Ocean to Southeast Asia. They also suggest that $P$. randalli, rather than $P$. teng $i$, is the dominant form of Paragaleus in Borneo.

Paragaleus pectoralis (Atlantic weasel shark) (fig. 21)
The analysis yielded a cluster consisting of six specimens of this species, all from western Africa (i.e., from Mauritania, Senegal, and Sierra Leone). These specimens are generally representative of the distribution of this species, which extends throughout the northern half
of the western coast of Africa. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 2.9. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $P$. pectoralis and $P$. randalli was 118.
Hemipristis elongata (snaggletooth shark) (fig. 21)
Our analysis included a total of 14 specimens of this monotypic genus, nine from Borneo and five from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia; these represent only a subset of the distribution of this species which includes much of the Indo-West Pacific, with the Red Sea as the type locality. One of these specimens is represented by a voucher (GN4195 $=$ CAS 229035). The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-5$; the average was 2 . There is some evidence of geographic substructure within this cluster with the Australian specimens grouping apart from the Borneo specimens, however the signal is weak as the average of the pairwise differences between specimens from the two localities was only 3.5 .

## Leptochariidae (barbeled houndsharks)

Leptocharias smithii (barbeled houndshark) (fig. 22)
All three of our specimens were collected off of Senegal and represent the northern region of the distribution of this species which extends south from there along the coast of western Africa to Angola. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among these three specimens was $0-1$.

## Triakidae (houndsharks)

Mustelus (smoothhounds) (figs. 23, 24)
This was among the most problematic of genera included in our analysis. At present, the genus includes $\sim 31$ species of which five have been described since 2005; clearly a substantial amount of diversity in this genus remains to be explored. Analysis of 129 specimens yielded 18 clusters, each of which we believe represents a distinct species of Mustelus. However, assigning correct names to clusters without vouchers was problematic; particularly challenging were the specimens collected from the Gulf of California. For nine clusters, photo vouchers are available for one or more of the included
specimens. For three additional clusters, identifications were based on one or more museum specimens. In the remaining six cases we have relied on geographical range and/or the taxonomic expertise of individuals providing samples. Ultimately, while we are confident in the existence of 18 species among these specimens, the specific identifications of many of the clusters remain to be confirmed. We hope that the generation of comparable sequence data for additional specimens of known identity will serve to place the data presented here into a broader perspective. Each of the 18 species clusters is treated separately below.

Mustelus widodoi (whitefin smoothhound) and Mustelus ravidus (Australian gray smoothhound) (fig. 23)
In total, 31 specimens were analyzed, consisting of 30 specimens of $M$. widodoi from Borneo and one specimen of M. ravidus from a specimen deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4898 = ANFC H 5947-01). The analysis yielded a cluster consisting of the 30 M . widodoi specimens from Borneo, with the $M$. ravidus specimen from Australia grouping outside. The specimens from Borneo were consistent morphologically with M. widodoi of White and Last (2006); however, they were collected further north than the type specimens and represent a distributional extension for this species (see Last et al., 2010c). The range of pairwise differences among the $M$. widodoi specimens was $0-5$; the average was 1 . The average of the pairwise differences between $M$. widodoi and M. ravidus was 8.5 . We note that M. ravidus was referred to as Mustelus sp. A by Last and Stevens (1994) and Gardner and Ward (2002).

## Mustelus mosis (Arabian smoothhound) (fig. 23)

The three specimens included in the analysis were collected from India and thus represent the eastern region of the distribution of this species, which extends from India, westward to Somalia, and possibly as far southwest as South Africa. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within this cluster was $3-9$; the average was 6.7 . This is one of only two species of Mustelus known to occur in India. These specimens were found to group well outside those of the other Indian species, M.
manazo, the identity of which is grounded by specimens.

## Mustelus mustelus (smoothhound) (fig. 23)

In total, nine specimens of this species were included in our analysis, three from Senegal, one from Angola, and five from South Africa. Thus, these specimens come from much of the known distribution of this species, which extends from the North Sea along the coast of Europe and the western coast of Africa to South Africa. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with some evidence of substructure. The range in pairwise differences among all nine specimens was $0-8$; the average was 3.4. The range in pairwise differences among the specimens from Senegal was $1-3$ (with an average of 2 ). The range among the other five specimens was $0-1$ (with an average of 0.3 ). The average of the pairwise differences between specimens from Senegal and those from southern Africa was 6.2. The identities of a number of specimens in this cluster are grounded with photographs.

Mustelus cf. lunulatus (sicklefin smoothhound) (fig. 23)
The analysis yielded a cluster of eight specimens that we have tentatively identified as $M$. cf. lunulatus. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-7$, with an average of 2.9. The identity of this cluster is problematic. All eight specimens were collected from the Gulf of California and all possess teeth with low, rounded cusps. They were initially identified as $M$. lunulatus, but dissections and x-rays revealed a number of inconsistencies. For example, while two of the specimens (BJ-802 and BJ-796) have precaudal vertebral counts (77 and 81, respectively) that are within the range for this species (74-82) presented by Heemstra (1973), their monospondylic vertebral count (37 in both cases) is outside the range for $M$. lunulatus (28-34) presented by Heemstra (1973). Moreover, the cluster includes a specimen (BJ-803) that has a precaudal vertebral count (87) that falls within the range for $M$. intermedius (83-93) of Heemstra (1973) but has a monospondylous vertebral count (41) that falls within the range (41-44) for Heemstra's " M. platyrhinus." The identity of this cluster should be considered
tentative until confirmed specimens of $M$. lunulatus can be included in the analysis.
Mustelus canis (dusky smoothhound) (fig. 23)
The analysis included eight specimens identified as this species; seven were collected from the western North Atlantic coast from Rhode Island to North Carolina and one from the Bahamas. Only some of the Northern Hemisphere elements of the distribution of this species are represented and the Southern Hemisphere elements are unrepresented. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with the specimen from the Bahamas grouping outside those from the more northern latitudes. The range in pairwise differences among the eight specimens within this cluster was $0-23$; the average was 7.9. Some consideration should be given to the possibility that additional species of Mustelus may be represented by these specimens. Heemstra's (1997) recognition of Mustelus canis insularis from several islands of the Caribbean, may, for example be relevant to the identity of the specimen included here from the Bahamas.

## Mustelus sp. 2 (fig. 23)

The analysis yielded a cluster of four specimens from the Gulf of California with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-5$ and an average of 2.5 . We have been unable to definitively identify this cluster to species. Like candidate species from the Gulf of California, these specimens possess teeth with low rounded cusps. However they lack the white posterior margins of the dorsal, pectoral, pelvic, and anal fins described by Castro-Aguirre et al. (2005) in $M$. albipinnis and also described by Pérez-Jimé nez et al. (2005) in M. hacat, a potential junior synonym of the former species (Eschmeyer and Fricke, 2011). Moreover, all four specimens were collected by artisanal fishermen using bottom gill nets and thus, unlike specimens of M. albipinnis, came from waters substantially shallower than 100 m . While one of the specimens (GN1565 = BJ671) in this cluster has a monospondylic vertebral count (41) that is generally consistent with that of M. albipinnis (40), it has a precaudal vertebral count (97) that is above that reported by Castro-Aguirre et al. (2005) for M. albipinnis (i.e., 92), and slightly lower
than the range (101-102) reported in the original description of M. hacat. The possibility that this species represents one of the two undescribed species from the Gulf of California treated by Heemstra (1973) should not be ruled out.

## Mustelus henlei (brown smoothhound) (fig. 23)

Material from the Gulf of California also included six specimens of Mustelus henlei. These specimens represent only a small portion of the distribution of this relatively easy to identify species, which has been reported from as far north as Washington state and as far south as Peru. Analysis of these specimens yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences of $2-9$, and an average of 5.6. The identity of this cluster is grounded with images.

Mustelus norrisi (narrowfin smoothhound) (fig. 23)
A single specimen, collected and identified by NOAA Fisheries biologist Lisa Jones, was included in the analysis. This specimen grouped independently of all of the other specimens of Mustelus included in the analysis. However, clearly this specimen represents only one point in the distribution of this species which extends along the eastern coast of North and South America, from Florida to Venezuela, and Argentina.

Mustelus californicus (gray smoothhound) (fig. 23)
Our analysis included 15 specimens of this species, all collected from the Gulf of California. Given that this species occurs along coastal California, the Gulf of California, and possibly as far south as Puerto Vallarta, our specimens represent the center of the relatively limited overall distribution of this species. Three of these specimens were deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN2284 = TCWC 7561.03, GN5291 = TCWC 7561.05, and GN5292 = TCWC 7561.04). The analysis yielded a single tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster was $0-2$ and the average of the pairwise differences among specimens was 1.4. The identity of this cluster is grounded not only with photographs, but also in that dissections and/or x-rays revealed that specimens had an extra symphyseal cartilage on
the palatoquadrate, which other sympatric Mustelus species lack (Compagno, 1984a).
Mustelus sp. 1 (fig. 23)
The analysis yielded an additional cluster of two specimens from the Gulf of California, which were identical in sequence. We have been unable to definitively identify this cluster to species. These specimens, like those of Mustelus sp. 2, possess teeth with low, rounded cusps and lack the white posterior margins of the dorsal, pectoral, pelvic and anal fins described by Castro-Aguirre et al. (2005) in M. albipinnis as well as in its potential junior synonym M. hacat (see Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2005). Like the four specimens of Mustelus sp. 2, both specimens were also collected by artisanal fishermen using bottom gill nets and thus, unlike $M$. albipinnis, came from waters substantially shallower than 100 m . The possibility that these specimens represent one of the two undescribed species from the Gulf of California treated by Heemstra (1973) can also not be ruled out. These specimens clustered together, independent of the remaining Mustelus species. They clustered most closely with M. californicus; the average of pairwise differences between these two species was 40.1 .

Mustelus antarcticus (gummy shark) (fig. 24)
A total of nine specimens of this species were included in the analysis. All nine came from southeastern and southwestern Australia and are representative of much of the range of this species. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with a range in pairwise differences of $0-4$ and an average of 1.9. The identity of this cluster is based on a specimen in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4902 = ANFC H 6571-03).

Mustelus lenticulatus (spotted estuary smoothhound) (fig. 24)
This species occurs throughout New Zealand, which was the site of collection of all three specimens included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences seen within the cluster that resulted from the analysis was $0-1$. The identity of this cluster is based on two specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4896 = ANFC H 555101 and GN4897 = ANFC H 5551-02).

Mustelus stevensi (whitespotted gummy shark) (fig. 24)
Two specimens of this recently described species (see White and Last, 2006) were included in the analysis. Both specimens were collected from the type locality, from the Dampier Archipelago off northwestern Australia. These clustered together, independently of the remaining Mustelus species. The sequences of the two specimens differed by 2 . The identity of this cluster is confirmed given that one of the samples comes from a paratype $(\mathrm{GN} 4900=$ ANFC H 4650-01); the second sample comes from a voucher (GN4899 = ANFC H 4649-08). The average of the pairwise differences between $M$. stevensi and M. lenticulatus was 14.3, between M. stevensi and M. antarcticus was 17.2 , and between M. stevensi and M. ravidus was 83 . Our results support the clear distinctions reported by Gardner and Ward (2002) between M. stevensi ( $=$ Mustelus sp. B of Last and Stevens, 1994, and Gardner and Ward, 2002) and each of M. lenticulatus, M. antarcticus, and M. ravidus ( $=$ Mustelus sp. A of Last and Stevens, 1994, and Gardner and Ward, 2002).

Mustelus manazo (starspotted smoothhound) (fig. 24)
In total, 13 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These consist of seven specimens from Taiwan, two from Vietnam, three from Japan, and one specimen that was landed in Borneo. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within this cluster is $0-6$ and the average was 2.8. However, our specimens represent only the eastern central elements of the distribution of this species. Four samples from this cluster are represented by specimens (GN972, GN989, GN1019 and GN1020 = UMMZ 231357).

Mustelus palumbes (whitespot smoothhound) (fig. 24)
Six specimens of this species, all collected from South Africa, were included. These are representative of the distribution of this species which occurs from Namibia to central Natal. The range in pairwise differences of this cluster was $0-4$, and the average was 2.6 .

Mustelus asterias (starry smoothhound) (fig. 24)
The analysis included two specimens, both collected from the eastern North Atlantic. The sequences of these two specimens differed by one base. However, our specimens represent only the northernmost region of the distribution of this species, which extends along the coast as far south as Senegal. These specimens clustered most closely with those of $M$. palumbes. The average of the pairwise differences between specimen of these two species was 8.3.

Mustelus schmitti (narrownose smoothhound) (fig. 24)
In total, seven specimens of this species, all collected and identified by Gustavo Chiaramonte of the Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales, Buenos Aires, were included. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of this species of $0-4$ and an average of 1.9 . These specimens are fairly representative of the distribution of this species, which extends along the coasts of southern Brazil, Uruguay, and Argentina.

Scylliogaleus quecketti (flapnose houndshark) (fig. 24)
The analysis included four specimens of this species, all from South Africa; one donated by Mark Harris and three others by Geremy Cliff of the KwaZulu-Natal Sharks Board. The analysis resulted in a single cluster of all four specimens of this monotypic genus. These specimens are representative of the distribution of this species, which is known only from the southeastern coast of South Africa. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster was $0-2$ and the average was 1.2 .

Triakis megalopterus (spotted gulley shark) (fig. 24)
Two specimens of this species were included in the analysis; both from South Africa and are representative of the distribution of this species, which occurs only along the coast of South Africa. These specimens clustered together, well away from the other two species of Triakis included in the analysis. The two specimens of T. megalopterus differed by two bases. The average of the pairwise differences between $T$. megalopterus and T. semifasciata (fig. 27) was

129 , and the average of the pairwise differences between $T$. megalopterus and $T$. scyllium (fig. 27) was 139.5. Differences between T. megalopterus and $T$. semifasciata relative to $T$. scyllium were noted earlier by Compagno (1988) who suggested that Triakis may constitute two subgenera: T. (Triakis) containing T. semifasciata and $T$. scyllium, and $T$. (Cazon) containing not only $T$. megalopterus but also $T$. maculata and $T$. acutipinna, neither of which is represented here. Our results suggest that these two clades are likely not each other's closest relatives and thus should be considered as independent genera, rather than subgenera of Triakis.

Iago omanensis (bigeye houndshark) complex (fig. 25)
A total of 19 specimens was included in the analysis, which yielded three clusters, each of which corresponds to the geographic origins of the included specimens: Gulf of Oman (11 specimens), the Red Sea (6 specimens), and India ( 2 specimens). Unfortunately, the identities of these clusters are difficult to assign to nominal taxa. At present, only two species of Iago have been formally described (Compagno, 1984a). These are Iago omanensis, occurring from the Red Sea and Gulf of Oman to Pakistan, and Iago garricki from the western central Pacific. However, additional forms, mostly from the northeastern Indian Ocean, but also one from the Philippines, have been reported in the literature (e.g., Compagno, 1988). Based on available morphological data (i.e., images), the specimens comprising the Gulf of Oman subcluster appear to be consistent with I. omanensis, so we have used this designation for the members of this cluster. Although several additional informal names have been applied to additional forms from the Gulf of Oman (e.g., Compagno, 1988: 240, referred to "Iago sp. nov., low fins"; Compagno et al., 2005b, included an Iago sp. A from the Gulf of Aden to India), we are uncomfortable applying any of these names to the Indian or Red Sea clusters in the absence of additional morphological data. Thus, specimens within these clusters have been referred to as Iago cf. omanensis 1 and Iago cf. omanensis 2, respectively. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in
the I. omanensis cluster was $0-8$ (with an average of 3.5); the two specimens of I. cf. omanensis 1 differed by seven bases, and the range in pairwise differences among the specimens of the $I$. cf. omanensis 2 cluster was $0-$ 3 (with an average of 1.5). The averages of the pairwise differences between clusters were as follows: 12.5 (between I. omanensis and I. cf. omanensis 1), 13 (between I. omanensis and I. cf. omanensis 2), and 17.2 (between I. cf. omanensis 1 and I. cf. omanensis 2).

The haplotype map for Iago species colored by phenotype (fig. 89A) shows no overlapping haplotypes among specimens of the three forms of Iago omanensis. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 89B) confirms that haplotypes are restricted to the Gulf of Oman, the Red Sea, or India. Also shown on these maps is the clearly distinctive I. garricki from the Sulu and China Seas.
Iago garricki (longnose houndshark) (fig. 25)
Samples from three specimens collected from the Philippines and treated by Compagno et al. (2005b), were included in the analysis (i.e., GN2224 $=$ JPAG 083, GN2228 $=$ JPAG 152, and GN4330 $=$ JPAG 346). These specimens clustered together, grouping most closely with the other specimens of Iago species. We have followed the identification indicated by Compagno et al. (2005b) for these specimens, who considered the Philippine specimens to be conspecific with those of I. garricki from Australia and New Caledonia. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was 4-6 (with an average of 4.7). The average of the pairwise differences between I. garricki and those of the other Iago species are as follows: 124.5 (between I. garricki and I. omanensis), 123.5 (between and I. garricki and I. cf. omanensis 1), and 116.7 (between I. garricki and I. cf. omanensis 2).

## Galeorhinus galeus (topeshark) (fig. 26)

Our analysis included 18 specimens of this species, which were found to comprise a single cluster. These came from South Africa (5 specimens), the Tasman Sea off Australia ( 1 specimen), New Zealand (7 specimens), California (3 specimens), the Azores (1 specimen), and the eastern North Atlantic (1 specimen); as such they represent much
of the reported global distribution of this species, with the exception of South America and the western coast of Africa. The range in pairwise differences among all 18 specimens was $0-13$, with an average of 4.9. The analysis yielded a group composed of three weak subclusters. The first subcluster consisted of the specimens from New Zealand, Australia, and South Africa with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of 0-4. The second consisted of the specimens from California with a range in pairwise differences of $0-1$, and the third subcluster consisted of the specimens from Atlantic Ocean localities that were identical in sequence. At present only the single species, Galeorhinus galeus, with the type from European waters, is recognized in this genus. However, our results are consistent with those of others, for example, Compagno (1988) and Chabot and Allen (2009), who found variation in vertebral counts and genetic structure, respectively, among populations. Nonetheless, we have used the designation G. galeus for specimens from all three subclusters but note that the average of the pairwise differences between the New Zealand/Australia and California clusters is 6.7 , between the New Zealand/Australia and Atlantic clusters 10.4, and between the California and Atlantic clusters 8.3. It seems likely that further investigation will result in the resurrection of synonyms of G. galeus for some of these regional subclusters.

Hypogaleus hyugaensis (blacktip topeshark)
(fig. 26)
Thirteen specimens of this species, collected and identified by Adrian Kitchingman of Western Australian Fisheries, were included in the analysis. These all came from southwestern Australia and thus represent only a small region of the distribution of this species, which also includes Japan, Taiwan, the Persian Gulf, and the east coast of Africa. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences within the cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 0.9 . These belong to what is currently considered a monotypic genus.
Hemitriakis japanica (Japanese topeshark) (fig. 26)
In total, our analysis included nine specimens of this species collected from Japan, Taiwan,
and Vietnam, thus well representing the western North Pacific distribution of this species. Many of these specimens were sent to us by Kazuhiro Nakaya from the Hokkaido University Museum (GN2597 = HUMZ 162467, GN2598 = HUMZ 162468, GN2599 = HUMZ 162469, and GN2600 $=$ HUMZ 176993). The four specimens from Taiwan are deposited at the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN1000, GN1008, GN1009, and GN1010 = UMMZ 231964). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster with the range in pairwise differences within the cluster being $0-7$, with an average of 3.6.
Hemitriakis leucoperiptera (whitefin topeshark) (fig. 26)
The analysis included three specimens of this species collected from the Philippines and treated by Compagno et al. (2005b). The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-1$. This result may help to eliminate concerns over the identification of Philippine H. leucoperiptera raised by Compagno et al. (2005b). Their samples included a specimen (GN2232 = JPAG 169) with a low vertebral count identified as $H$. leucoperiptera, a specimen (GN2225 = JPAG 161) of unknown vertebral count and thus included by those authors among a series of specimens of uncertain identity, tentatively considered to be $H$. leucoperiptera, and also a specimen (GN4366 = JPAG 139) of unknown vertebral count, tentatively identified by those authors as "Hemitriakis cf. japanica (var PP)." Our results suggest that all three specimens are conspecific. These specimens grouped most closely with those of H. japanica. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $H$. leucoperiptera and those of $H$. japanica was 8.3.

Hemitriakis complicofasciata (ocellate topeshark) (fig. 26)
Our analysis was based on five samples, all taken from museum specimens (GN2592 = HUMZ 165233, GN2593 = HUMZ 165255, GN2594 = HUMZ 162464, GN2595 = HUMZ 162465, and GN2596 $=$ HUMZ162466) cited by Takahashi and Nakaya (2004) in the original description of this species from the Ryuku Islands, Japan. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences
among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 1.4.

Hemitriakis falcata (sicklefin houndshark) (fig. 26)
The single specimen of this species that was included in our analysis was taken from a specimen (GN4894 = ANFC H 5946-01) from Western Australia. This specimen grouped most closely with those of $H$. complicofasciata. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 10.8 .

## Hemitriakis sp. (fig. 26)

Interestingly, one of the specimens originally identified as Hemitriakis complicofasciata and also referenced in the original description of the species by Takahashi and Nakaya (2004), was found to group outside the cluster consisting of the specimens of H. complicofasciata and $H$. falcata. The average of the pairwise differences between this sample and those from the five specimens in the $H$. complicofasciata cluster was 29.8. The difference between this specimen and that of H. falcata was 27. The sample was taken from a specimen deposited in the Hokkaido University Museum (GN2591 = HUMZ 165225); this specimen needs to be more closely examined in light of these findings. It is possible this specimen represents an undescribed species.
Furgaleus macki (whiskery shark) (fig. 26)
Two specimens, both collected from Western Australia, were included in the analysis. These are fairly representative of the distribution of this species, which is known only from the western and southern regions of Australia. These two specimens comprised a single cluster; they were identical in sequence.

## Triakis scyllium (banded houndshark) (fig. 27)

Six specimens of this species, all collected from the Izu Peninsula in Japan, and identified by Sho Tanaka, were included. The analysis yielded a single cluster with the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster being $0-4$, with an average of 2.1.

## Triakis semifasciata (leopard shark) (fig. 27)

In total six specimens, one from the Gulf of California and five from coastal California, were included in the analysis. These represent much of the distribution of this species. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences within the cluster was
$0-1$. The average of the pairwise differences between $T$. scyllium and $T$. semifasciata was 91. Given that our specimens from western California all came from the same locality, our data did not allow us to examine the differences seen by Lewallen et al. (2007) between northern and southern specimens of this species.

## Scyliorhinidae (catsharks): group 1

Apristurus melanoasper (black roughscale catshark) complex (fig. 28)
The analysis included 10 specimens initially identified as Apristurus melanoasper; two from the western North Atlantic, three from Australia, and five from New Zealand. The analysis yielded two clusters, one consisting of the two Atlantic specimens (which differed from one another by three bases, one of which was deposited in the Yale Peabody Museum [GN1076 = YPM ICH.010136]) and a second cluster comprised of the eight specimens from Australia and New Zealand (all of which were identical in sequence). The average of the pairwise differences between members of these two clusters was 19.5. Given that A. melanoasper was described from the North Atlantic, we have given the specimens in the Atlantic cluster the designation of $A$. melanoasper. Although recent work extended the known distribution of this species to include Australia and New Zealand (Nakaya et al., 2008), the results in this paper do not fully support this decision, so the Australasian cluster has been given the provisional designation of Apristurus cf. melanoasper. Several of the samples in the latter cluster came from specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4868 = ANFC H 1391-01 and GN4869 $=$ ANFC H 1391-03), and several from specimens in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6723 $=$ NMNZ P.041310, GN6738 = NMNZ P.042336, GN6740 = NMNZ P. 042569 , GN6754 = NMNZ P.045140). Detailed taxonomic revision of this complex is required in the future.

## Apristurus brunneus (brown catshark) (fig. 28)

The two specimens of this species were collected from California and thus generally represent the northern, but not the potential
southern hemisphere elements of this species, which has been reported from Panama, Ecuador, and Peru. These specimens clustered together, independently from the other species of Apristurus included in the analysis; pairwise difference between these two specimens was three bases.

Apristurus laurussonii (Iceland catshark) (fig. 28)
A total of seven specimens, all collected from the North Sea off the coast of Scotland, were included in the analysis. These specimens represent only one of the eastern components of the rather disjunct distribution of this species, which also includes isolated localities off northwestern Africa, Iceland, Massachusetts, and the Gulf of Mexico. The seven specimens were found to comprise a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences seen among these specimens was $0-3$, with a mean of 1.4.

Apristurus cf. sinensis (South China catshark) (fig. 28)
A total of six samples taken from specimens collected from New Zealand, mostly from the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6728 = NMNZ P.042126, GN6749 = NMNZ P.045142, GN6757 = NMNZ TMP004690, GN6745 = NMNZ P. 044309 , and GN6752 $=$ NMNZ P.045139), were included in the analysis. They yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-3$ (with an average difference of 1 ). These specimens were originally identified as $A$. sinensis by Kazuhiro Nakaya. However, no specimens from near the type locality of this species (South China Sea) were included in this analysis. Given that a thorough taxonomic revision of this complex is required, the New Zealand form is provisionally referred to here as $A$. cf. sinensis.

## Apristurus sp. 1 (fig. 28)

This sample, collected from Western Australia, came from a museum specimen (GN4863 $=$ ANFC H 6411-02). It clustered most closely with $A$. cf. sinensis; the range in pairwise differences between these two taxa was 21.2. This result suggests that it represents either an undescribed species, or a known species that was not otherwise represented in the analysis.

Apristrus platyrhynchus (spatulasnout catshark) (fig. 28)
The analysis included three specimens collected from deepwater near Bass Strait, Australia. It yielded a single cluster with a pairwise difference of $1-2$. Although recent taxonomic work on this species extended its known distribution to include Australia (Kawauchi et al., 2008), it would be ideal to obtain specimens from the type locality for this species, i.e., Japan, for comparison with the Australian specimens. Thus, the specific designation used here is provisional until such time as its identity can be examined in more detail.

## Apristurus sp. 2 (fig. 28)

This specimen was collected from near Bass Strait, Australia, and clustered most closely with $A$. platyrhynchus; the range in pairwise differences between these two taxa is 33.3. This result suggests that it too represents either an undescribed species, or a known species that was not otherwise represented in the analysis.

## Apristurus exsanguis (flaccid catshark) (fig. 28)

The analysis included nine samples, five of which came from specimens at the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6753 $=$ NMNZ P. 045130 , GN6743 = NMNZ TMP004689, GN6736 = NMNZ P. 042520 , GN6733 $=$ NMNZ P. 042176 , and GN6732 = NMNZ P.042519). These specimens are representative of the distribution of this species, which appears to be endemic to New Zealand. Essentially a single cluster resulted from the analysis. The range in pairwise differences within the cluster was $0-4$, with an average of 1.9.

Apristurus macrorhynchus (flathead catshark)
(fig. 28)
Our sample included a total of four samples all taken from specimens in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN1013, GN1014, GN1015, and GN1016 = UMMZ 231973), and all of which were collected from Taiwan. These specimens are generally representative of the distribution of this species, which is known only from Taiwan and southern Japan. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences
among specimens within this cluster was 2-6; the average of pairwise differences among specimens was 4.7.

Apristurus ampliceps (roughskin catshark) complex and Apristurus manis (fig. 28)
The relatively newly described species $A$. ampliceps from Australia and New Zealand (see Sasahara et al., 2008), was represented by eight New Zealand samples, all of which came from specimens deposited in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa. Also included in the analysis was a single specimen from the Atlantic Ocean, tentatively identified as Apristurus manis. The analysis yielded two clusters, one consisting solely of five New Zealand specimens of $A$. ampliceps (with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-3$ and an average of 1.6), the other consisting of three specimens from New Zealand (with a range of pairwise differences among these three specimens of 4-6 and an average of 5.5) and the specimen of $A$. manis. The average of the pairwise differences between members of the two clusters of $A$. ampliceps (excluding the $A$. manis specimen) was 21.5 suggesting that conspecificity of specimens in these two clusters is doubtful. However, it is unclear which of the two clusters represents the true $A$. ampliceps. Similarly, the identity of the $A$. manis specimen remains to be confirmed. Until such time as this subgroup of Apristurus can be examined in more detail, we have designated specimens comprising the first cluster as $A$. ampliceps 1 and those comprising the second cluster as $A$. ampliceps 2 . We have, however, provisionally retained the designation $A$. manis for the Atlantic specimen in the second cluster. The average of pairwise differences between $A$. manis and $A$. ampliceps 1 was 27.2; the average of pairwise differences between $A$. manis and A. ampliceps 2 was 20.7. All five specimens of Apristurus ampliceps 1 were vouchered (GN6726 = NMNZ P.041688, GN6724 = NMNZ P.041689, GN6725 = NMNZP.041994, GN6735 = NMNZP.042385, and GN6751 = NMNZ P.045206); this is also the case for the three specimens of Apristurus ampliceps 2 (GN6727 = NMNZ P.041993, GN6742 = NMNZ TMP004687, GN6744 = NMNZ TMP004691).

Apristurus profundorum (deepwater catshark)
(fig. 28)
Our material included two specimens that we have tentatively identified as $A$. profundorum. Both specimens were collected from the western North Atlantic but neither is vouchered or represented by images and thus the identity of this cluster remains to be verified; these specimens differed by 2.0 .
Galeus sauteri (blacktip sawtail catshark) (fig. 28)
The five specimens of this species included in our analysis were all collected from Taiwan and thus represent the center of the distribution of this species, which is also known from southern Japan and the Philippines. All five samples came from specimens deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN1021, GN1022, and GN1023 $=$ UMMZ 231966 and GN991 and GN993 = UMMZ 231974). The analysis yielded a single cluster, with a range of pairwise difference among specimens of $0-5$, with an average difference of 3.2 bases. It is interesting to note that this cluster occurred well outside those containing the other species of Galeus included in the analysis (see fig. 29). The average pairwise differences between these were as follows: 173 (between G. sauteri and G. melastomus), 154.4 (between G. sauteri and G. arae), 149.4 (between G. sauteri and G. murinus), and 166.0 (between G. sauteri and G. polli).

Parmaturus xaniurus (filetail catshark) (fig. 28)
The five specimens of Parmaturus xaniurus included in the analysis are generally representative of the distribution of this species given that they were collected from Monterey Bay, California, and this species occurs only in the eastern Pacific from California to Mexico. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among members of this cluster was $1-5$, with an average of 3.4.

## Haploblepharus edwardsii (puffadder shyshark)

 (fig. 29)The analysis included 19 specimens, all collected from South Africa and identified as Haploblepharus edwardsii. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster were $0-12$, with an average of 4.4. This identification requires confirmation.

Halaelurus buergeri (blackspotted catshark) (fig. 29)
The analysis included five specimens from the Philippines (GN2219 = JPAG 005, GN2220 = JPAG 008, GN2222 = JPAG 115, GN2234 $=$ JPAG 114, and GN2252 = RSE 003), examined by Compagno et al. (2005b). It yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-4$; the average was 2 . Compagno et al. (2005b) noted that, while these specimens resembled Halaelurus buergeri, they differed somewhat in body shape and spotting pattern from those collected elsewhere (e.g., Taiwan, Indonesia, and Japan) and thus gave their specimens the designation $H$. cf. buergeri. However, as a result of work conducted in conjunction with the description of Halaelurus maculosus from Indonesia by White et al. (2007b), W.W. now considers these Philippine specimens to represent $H$. buergeri.

## Halaelurus sellus (speckled catshark) (fig. 29)

This species was represented in the analysis by only a single specimen collected from Western Australia. This specimen was deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4893 = ANFC H 6367-01). It was identified by P.L. as $H$. sellus, a species recently described from northwestern Australia by White et al. (2007b). This specimen clustered most closely with those of $H$. maculosus; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 60.6 .

## Halaelurus natalensis (tiger catshark) (fig. 29)

The analysis included three specimens of this South African endemic species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $3-5$, with an average of 4 .

Halaelurus lineatus (lined catshark) (fig. 29)
Both of the specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from KwaZulu-Natal in South Africa and identified by Geremy Cliff. They were found to comprise a single cluster; their sequences differed from one another by 4 . These specimens are representative of the relatively limited distribution of this species. This species clustered most closely with $H$. natalensis. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 80.3.

## Holohalaelurus regani (Izak catshark) (fig. 29)

All 17 specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected off the coast of Western Cape Province and the western regions of Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 2 . Our analysis did not include specimens, formerly considered as the "Natal" form of this species, which were described as Holohalaelurus favus by Human et al. (2006).

Galeus melastomus (blackmouth catshark) (fig. 29)
A total of eight specimens identified as this species were included in this analysis. These were collected from a diversity of localities in the eastern Atlantic including Ireland, Scotland, and Madeira and thus represent the northwestern elements of the distribution of this species. The sample from Madeira was taken from a specimen in the Museu de História Natural e Aquáio in Funchal (GN6627 = MMF 36798). The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-4$; the average of pairwise differences was 2.

Galeus polli (African sawtail catshark) (fig. 29)
The six specimens of this species, all collected from western South Africa were included. These represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the west coast of Africa, and also in the Mediterranean Sea. The range in pairwise differences among specimens of this species was $0-3$. This species clustered most closely with $G$. melastomus. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 51.4.

Galeus arae (roughtail catshark) (fig. 29)
The analysis included a single Atlantic specimen identified provisionally as this species by Jose Castro. It was divergent from all other included Galeus species. For example, the average pairwise differences between the species with which it clustered most closely were 72.8 ( $A$. melastomus) and 81.5 ( $A$. polli).

## Galeus murinus (mouse catshark) (fig. 29)

Both specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the central to eastern Atlantic; one of these was identified
by Neils Roar Hareide. The specimens clustered together independent of the other species of Galeus. The sequences of these two specimens differed by 2 .

Apristurus species (second major cluster) (fig. 30)
Seven additional specimens belonging to the genus Apristurus were included in the analysis. These clustered together, but well outside the 56 specimens considered to represent $\sim 14$ other species of Apristurus (see fig. 28), questioning the integrity of this genus as currently circumscribed.

Three of the seven specimens in this cluster were identified as one of the long-snouted catsharks, Apristurus australis (pinocchio catshark). These specimens are representative of the known distribution of this Australian endemic species as they were collected from Tasmania and Western Australia. Both samples from Western Australia came from museum specimens (GN4877 = ANFC H 2573-01 and GN4878 = ANFC H 2600-04) and clustered with a specimen from Tasmania. The range of pairwise differences among these three specimens was $2-8$ (with an average of 6 ).

Also included in the analysis was a single specimen from New Zealand. While this specimen clustered most closely with the specimens identified as Apristurus australis, the average of the pairwise differences between it and the specimens in the latter subcluster was 62.3 . Thus, we have given this specimen the distinct designation Apristurus sp. 3 as it may represent an undescribed species. Although there are no photographs or retained specimens of this catshark, it could be another long-snout species; it could be the long-snouted Apristurus sp. A of Paulin et al. (1989), which has also been informally referred to as $A$. cf. herklotsi in unpublished checklists of New Zealand fishes. This cluster is most likely referable to the $A$. longicephalus group defined by Nakaya and Sato (1999).

Two specimens collected from the northeastern Atlantic clustered together (with a sequence difference of 5), independently from all four of the above specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between these Atlantic specimens and those of $A$. australis was 153.8 , and between the Atlantic specimens and Apristurus sp. 3 was 161.5. Thus, specimens
in the Atlantic cluster have been given the provisional designation Apristurus sp. 4; it is possible they also represent an undescribed species. Clustering with, but well outside these two specimens was a single specimen from the University of Kansas Ichthyology Collection (GN2533 = KUI 29258), collected from California and identified as Apristurus kampae. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimen of $A$. kampae and the two specimens of Apristurus sp. 4 was 69.
Asymbolus rubiginosus (orange spotted catshark) (fig. 31)
Three specimens from Ken Graham, identified as Asymbolus rubiginosus, from off New South Wales, Australia, were included in the analysis. These specimens clustered together and had a range in pairwise differences of $0-$ 4, with an average of 2.7 .

## Asymbolus parvus (dwarf catshark) (fig. 31)

Both samples of this species included in the analysis came from museum specimens (GN4879 = ANFC H 6415-01 and GN4880 $=$ ANFC H 6415-02) collected from Western Australia. These specimens are representative of the distribution of this species, which is endemic to Western Australia. The sequences of these specimens differed from one another by 4 . The specimens of this species grouped most closely with those of A. rubiginosus; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 35 .

Asymbolus analis (gray spotted catshark) (fig. 31)
All five specimens of this Australian endemic species included in the analysis were collected in the central part of its range. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-2$.

Figaro boardmani (Australian sawtail catshark) complex (fig. 31)
The nine specimens included in the analysis are generally representative of the distribution of this Australian endemic; six come from southeastern Australia and three from Western Australia. The analysis yielded two distinct clusters: one consisting of specimens from southeastern Australia and one consisting of specimens from Western Australia. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within the southeastern cluster was $0-6$, with an
average of 2.8 ; the range for the Western Australian cluster was $1-2$, with an average of 1.3. The average of the pairwise differences between clusters was 19.3 suggesting that, as noted by Gledhill et al. (2008), regional variation occurs within this species. In recognition of this variation, we have given the specimens from Western Australia the designation Figaro cf. boardmani, reserving the designation Figaro boardmani for those in the southeastern cluster. The three samples of $F$. cf. boardmani were taken from specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4890 = ANFC H 6414-07, GN4891 = ANFC H 6414-08, and GN4892 = ANFC H 6414-10). Taxonomic revision of this species complex is currently being undertaken by researchers at the Australian National Fish Collection.

Bythaelurus dawsoni (New Zealand catshark) (fig. 31)
Six specimens of this New Zealand endemic were included in the analysis and they yielded a single tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the cluster was $0-1$. The specimens from which five of these six samples were taken are deposited in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6731 = NMNZ P.042162, GN6737 = NMNZ P.042731, GN6739 = NMNZ P.042162, GN6746 = NMNZ P. 044374 , and GN6748 $=$ NMNZ P.044375).

## Pseudotriakidae (false catsharks)

## Pseudotriakis microdon (false catshark) (fig. 32)

Our analysis included three specimens of this species, all from the mid-Atlantic ridge. Thus, our material represents only one element of the wide and patchy distribution of this monotypic genus. The analysis yielded a single cluster with the three specimens being identical in sequence.

## Gollum species (fig. 32)

At present this genus formally includes only the New Zealand endemic, Gollum attenuatus. However, Compagno et al. (2005b) noted that a second, potentially undescribed species (Gollum sp. 1), occurs in the Philippines (treated as Gollum sp. A by Compagno et al., 2005a). Our analysis included one specimen of G. attenuatus from New Zealand,
and one specimen (GN2440 = JPAG 229) of the potentially undescribed species, Gollum sp. 1, from the Philippines. These two Gollum specimens were found to group together, but their sequences differed by 60, thus this supports the notion of these being separate species.

## Proscylliidae (finback catsharks)

Proscyllium habereri (graceful catshark) (fig. 32)
Four specimens of this species collected and identified by Kazuhiro Nakaya from Okinawa and the East China Sea were included in the analysis. The specimen from Okinawa is deposited in the Hokkaido University Museum (GN2601 = HUMZ 175853). These specimens represent the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends as far south as Java. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The sequences of the three specimens from the East China Sea were identical. The average of the pairwise differences between these three specimens and the one from Okinawa was 6 .

Eridacnis sp. 1 (Philippine ribbontail catshark) (fig. 32)
A single specimen, provisionally identified as belonging to the genus Eridacnis, from the Philippines was included in the analysis. This specimen was identified as "? Eridacnis sp. 1" by Compagno et al. (2005b) and who considered it (GN2212 = BRU 004) potentially represented a new species. This specimen grouped potentially most closely with the specimens of Proscyllium habereri, but the average pairwise difference between specimens of these two species was 164.5 .

## Scyliorhinidae (catsharks): group 2

Atelomycterus marmoratus (coral catshark) (fig. 33)
In total, 10 specimens of this species were included in the analysis: nine were collected from Malaysian Borneo and one from the Philippines. These specimens represent eastern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends as far west as Pakistan. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences within the cluster was $0-11$, with an average of pairwise differences of 3.8. There was some evidence of geographic structure within the cluster in that the spe-
cimens from Borneo grouped together. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the Borneo cluster and the specimen from the Philippines was 9.9. We note that the sample from the Philippines, which came from a museum specimen (GN2235 = JPAG 044), was treated by Compagno et al. (2005b); one of the specimens from Borneo was deposited in the IPPS (GN3705 = IPPS BO495).

Aulohalaelurus labiosus (blackspotted catshark) (fig. 33)
The three specimens of this species were all collected from Western Australia and are thus representative of the distribution of this Western Australian endemic. Two samples came from specimens deposited in the Western Australian Museum (GN2268 = WAM P 31670-001 and GN2269 = WAM P 31671$001)$. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $4-7$, with an average of 5.3.

Atelomycterus marnkalha (eastern banded catshark) (fig. 33)
All three specimens of this species were collected from the Torres Strait, off Australia, and represent the central elements of its distribution, which extends eastward to New Guinea. All three samples were taken from specimens in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4881 = ANFC H 6144-01, GN4882 = ANFC H 6145-01, and GN4883 $=$ ANFC H 6146-01). The analysis yielded a single tight cluster, with a range in pairwise differences among members of this cluster of $2-4$, and an average of 2.7. It was interesting that this species clustered with Aulohalaelurus labiosus. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 158.3 and between $A$. marnkalha and its congener A. marmoratus 155.6.

## Parmaturus sp. (fig. 33)

Six samples, all collected from New Zealand and deposited in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6734 = NMNZ P.045528, GN6730 = NMNZ P.042517, GN6741 = NMNZ P.044582, GN6747 $=$ NMNZ P. 044583 , GN6750 = NMNZ P. 044578 , and GN6755 $=$ NMNZ P.042524), were identified only to genus. The
analysis yielded a single cluster with the range in pairwise differences among specimens being $0-3$, with an average of 1.2 . This taxon grouped well away from the cluster comprised of its congener, Parmaturus xaniurus (see fig. 28); the average of pairwise differences between these two species was 190.5. This suggests that the identity of these specimens needs to be examined more closely, both at the specific and generic levels. The only other species of Parmaturus currently known from New Zealand is $P$. macmillani. This taxon is currently under taxonomic investigation by P.L., Bernard Séret, and Keiichi Sato.

Schroederichthys bivius (narrowmouth catshark) (fig. 33)
Both specimens of this species were collected from Argentina and thus represent the eastern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends throughout much of coastal Chile and Argentina. The sequences of these two specimens were identical.

## Poroderma species

Given they exhibited the least amount of intrageneric variation seen in the analysis, a haplotype map was generated for the two sympatric species of Poroderma. This map (fig. 90) shows two relatively tight haplotype clusters, with no overlap between species, suggesting that although divergence is low the species are distinct.
Poroderma pantherinum (leopard catshark) (fig. 34)
In total, 16 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These specimens came from a diversity of localities throughout South Africa and thus represent much of the distribution of the species. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 3 .

## Poroderma africanum (striped catshark) (fig. 34)

The 12 specimens of this species were collected from South Africa and thus are representative of the distribution of this South African endemic. The analysis yielded a single cluster, which was tightly allied with the cluster of specimens of $P$. pantherinum. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the $P$. africanum cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 1.3. The
average of the pairwise differences between specimens of the two Poroderma species was 6.3.

Scyliorhinus capensis (yellowspotted catshark) (fig. 34)
A total of 15 specimens of this southern Africa endemic were included. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$; the average was 0.6 .
Scyliorhinus canicula (smallspotted catshark) (fig. 34)
The single specimen of this species was collected from the eastern Atlantic. It grouped most closely with the specimens of the southern Africa endemic S. capensis. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 57.5 .

## Scyliorhinus retifer (chain catshark) (fig. 34)

Also included in the analysis were three specimens preliminarily identified as Scyliorhinus retifer. One of these came from a specimen in the University of Kansas Ichthyology Collection (GN2530 $=$ KUI 26984) that was collected from the mid-Atlantic bight. The others were collected from the northwestern Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. The analysis yielded a single, divergent cluster. However, the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was substantial, at 9-22, and the average was 14.7.

Scyliorhinus stellaris (nursehound) (fig. 34)
A single specimen of $S$. stellaris, collected from the eastern Atlantic, was included. This specimen grouped along with, but outside its congeners. The average pairwise difference between the specimen of S. stellaris and those in the $S$. retifer cluster was 73.3, between $S$. stellaris and the specimen of $S$. canicula 73, and between S. stellaris and $S$. capensis 66.5 .
Cephaloscyllium variegatum (saddled swellshark) (fig. 34)
The analysis included six specimens of this species from Australia, one of which was included among the other material examined by Last and White (2008c) in the original description of this species (GN4889 = ANFC H 3580-01). The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 0.9 .

Cephaloscyllium albipinnum (whitefin swellshark) (fig. 34)
The three samples of this species included in the analysis were taken from the holotype (GN4887 = ANFC H 5314-11), a paratype (GN4888 $=$ ANFC H 5940-01), and an additional examined specimen (GN4886 = ANFC H 3588-01) included in the original description of this relatively new species from New South Wales and Tasmania, Australia (see Last et al., 2008b). The range in pairwise differences among these three specimens was $1-2$, with an average of 1.3.

Cephaloscyllium hiscosellum (Australian reticulate swellshark) (fig. 34)
The specimen of this species included in our analysis was a paratype of this Western Australian species (GN4884 = ANFC H 641901), described by White and Ebert (2008). It clustered outside but along with the specimens of C. albipinnum. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of C. albipinnum was 44.3.

## Cephaloscyllium umbratile (Japanese swellshark)

 (fig. 34)The four samples for this species included in the analysis come from specimens in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN981 $=$ UMMZ 231960 and GN982, GN1017, and GN1018 = UMMZ 231967) originally identified as C. isabellum. These specimens were all collected from Taiwan. However, Schaaf-Da Silva and Ebert (2008) clarified the status of C. umbratile as a valid species having been previously placed in synonymy with $C$. isabellum. Thus, the specimens included here have been provisionally identified as $C$. umbratile. As such, they represent the southern portion of the western North Pacific distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens of was $0-4$, with an average of 2.7 . Schaaf-Da Silva and Ebert (2008) also described two new species of Cephaloscyllium from Taiwan, so the identity of these specimens should be confirmed.

Cephaloscyllium sp. 1 (Philippine swellshark) (fig. 34)
Our analysis included two specimens of Cephaloscyllium collected from the Philippines, both of which were treated by Com-
pagno et al. (2005b) (GN4361 = JPAG 231 and GN4352 = JPAG 232) as Cephaloscyllium sp. 1. These specimens clustered together and their sequences differed from one another by three bases. However, this species needs to be critically compared with C. pardelotum and C. maculatum both described by SchaafDaSilva and Ebert (2008) from Taiwan, as well as with $C$. pictum, recently described from Indonesia by Last et al. (2008c). These specimens clustered mostly closely with specimens of C. umbratile. However, the average of the pairwise differences between these two clusters was 47.8.

## Cephaloscyllium laticeps (Australian swellshark)

 (fig. 34)In total, six specimens, all collected from southeastern Australia were included in the analysis. One of these samples was taken from a museum specimen (GN4885 = ANFC H 3581-01). The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 1.9.

Cephaloscyllium ventriosum (swellshark) (fig. 34)
One sample of this species, taken from a specimen in the University of Kansas Ichthyology Collection (GN2529 = KUI 28129) collected from California, was included in the analysis. This specimen was grouped with all other Cephaloscyllium species, but was by far the most divergent species. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of the six other Cephaloscyllium species was 109 .

## LAMNIFORMES (mackerel sharks) <br> Lamnidae (mackerel sharks)

Isurus oxyrinchus (shortfin mako) (fig. 35)
In total, 24 specimens of I. oxyrinchus were included in the analysis, which yielded a welldefined cluster. The range in pairwise pdifferences among specimens was $0-21$, with an average of 10.6. This cluster consisted of two fairly well-defined subclusters, one of which exhibited more sequence heterogeneity than the other; this is also reflected in the haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 91A). However, as illustrated by the haplotype map of geography (fig. 91B) there was no apparent geographic pattern to these subclusters, each of which was comprised of
specimens from the western North Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, California, Gulf of California, and Taiwan; one subcluster also included a specimen from South Africa and the other also included a specimen from Vietnam. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the subcluster including the specimen from South Africa was 0-4, with an average of 2.4. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the other subcluster was $0-14$, with an average of 6.8 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the two subclusters was 17.2. In the absence of morphological or geographic data to support this distinction, we have tentatively identified all 24 members of the cluster as $I$. oxyrinchus. Nonetheless, these results are interesting in light of previous workers (e.g., Moreno and Morón, 1992; Heist et al., 1996; Schrey and Heist, 2003), all of who provided evidence of population structure among makos globally.

Isurus paucus (longfin mako) (fig. 35)
A total of six specimens of this species were included in the analysis, four from the western North Atlantic, one from the Caribbean Sea, and one from the Gulf of Mexico. These specimens comprised a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 3.3. The average of the pairwise differences between the six specimens of this species and the 24 specimens of I. oxyrinchus was 110.3 .

Carcharodon carcharias (great white shark) (fig. 35)
The 17 specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from South Africa, the western North Atlantic, California, and South Australia. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with two subclusters. The range in pairwise differences among all 17 specimens was $0-20$, with an average of 4.2. One subcluster was comprised of the specimens from South Africa and the western North Atlantic, the other comprised of specimens from California and South Australia (i.e., from localities in the Pacific Ocean). The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the former subcluster was $0-2$; the two specimens in the latter subcluster differed from one another by seven
bases. On average, pairwise difference between specimens of the two subclusters was 16.3. This intriguing result, which is consistent at least in part with the findings of Pardini et al. (2001) and Jorgensen et al. (2009), suggests that some consideration should be given to the potential lack of conspecificity of C. carcharias from the Atlantic and Indian oceans, and those from the Pacific Ocean.

Lamna nasus (porbeagle shark) (fig. 35)
The analysis of 10 specimens yielded a single relatively divergent cluster with some evidence of two subclusters within. The range in pairwise differences among all 10 specimens was $0-15$, with an average of 6.8 . One subcluster consisted of the two specimens from Tasmania, both vouchered (GN2261 = AMS I32756-002 and GN2262 $=$ AMS I32756-001), and the other consisted of specimens collected from the northeastern and northwestern Atlantic. The two specimens from Tasmania differed from one another by nine bases. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in the other subcluster was $0-8$. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens from the Tasmanian subcluster and those from the Atlantic Ocean subcluster was 14 . These results suggest that some consideration should be given to the possibility of the existence of taxonomic variation among porbeagles between ocean basins.

## Lamna ditropis (salmon shark) (fig. 35)

Two specimens of this north Pacificdwelling species, both from Japan, were included. They differed by 4 . The average of the pairwise differences between $L$. ditropis and $L$. nasus was 68.

## Cetorhinidae (basking sharks)

## Cetorhinus maximus (basking shark) (fig. 35)

Two specimens of this widely distributed species were included in the analysis. These samples differed from one another by five bases. As the locality of one of the two specimens is unknown, our results do not allow us to expand on the work of Hoelzel et al. (2006), who found relatively low genetic diversity among specimens from different ocean basins.

## Odontaspididae (sand tiger sharks): group 1

Carcharias taurus (sand tiger shark) (fig. 35)
All six specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the western North Atlantic. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-4$, with an average of 1.7 . As our specimens represented only a very small portion of the range of this widely distributed species, they were not conducive to examining the genetic variation seen, for example, by Ahonen et al. (2009) in this taxon.

## Alopiidae (thresher sharks)

Alopias pelagicus (pelagic thresher) (fig. 35)
The analysis yielded a cluster with weak evidence of two subclusters. The range in pairwise differences among all 20 specimens was $0-12$; the average was 6 . One subcluster consisted of a total of 10 specimens collected from Borneo, Taiwan, the Philippines, and India. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$. The second subcluster consisted of 10 specimens from the Gulf of Mexico and the Gulf of California, as well as one specimen from Taiwan. One of the samples from the Gulf of California was vouchered (GN5402 $=$ IBUNAM PE9512). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-7$. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the two subclusters was 9.9. Although these results do not support the potential existence of a cryptic species of Alopias off Baja California as suggested by Eitner (1995), they do provide some support for the existence of greater diversity in this genus than currently thought. We note that included here is a specimen (GN4309 = JPAG 117B) treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as the first record of $A$. vulpinus from the Philippines, which clusters among specimens of $A$. pelagicus. This result suggests that the identity of this specimen should be reconsidered.

## Alopias vulpinus (thresher shark) (fig. 35)

The 11 specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from both the western North Atlantic and the eastern Pacific oceans. While our analysis lacked
representation from throughout much of the distribution of this species, it revealed no evidence of structure between the eastern and western regions of North America. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 0.9. However, the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $A$. vulpinus and those identified as $A$. pelagicus was 97.

## Alopias superciliosus (bigeye thresher) (fig. 35)

Little difference was seen among the nine specimens in the analysis, which included specimens from Virginia, Florida, Taiwan, Senegal, Gulf of California, and the Philippines, and thus represented much of the global distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-8$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.8 . For comparative purposes we note that the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $A$. superciliosus and those of $A$. pelagicus was 120.1 and between specimens of $A$. superciliosus and those of A. vulpinus 118.7.

## Megachasmidae (megamouth sharks)

Megachasma pelagios (megamouth shark) (fig. 35)
The six specimens included in the analysis came from California, Indonesia, Japan, and Taiwan, and thus represent essentially only the Pacific elements of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-10$, with an average of pairwise differences of 3.5.

## Odontaspididae (sand tiger sharks): Group 2

## Odontaspis ferox (smalltooth sand tiger) (fig. 35)

Both of the included specimens of this species were collected from the Azores and thus represent only a very small portion of the distribution of this species. Nonetheless, these specimens were identical in sequence.

## Odontaspis noronhai (bigeye sand tiger) (fig. 35)

Only a single specimen of this species, collected from Brazil, was included in the analysis. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimens of this species, and those of Odontaspis ferox was 93.

## Pseudocarchariidae (crocodile sharks)

Pseudocarcharias kamoharai (crocodile shark) (fig. 35)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Taiwan and Hawaii, and thus represent only the Pacific elements of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among all four specimens was $1-18$ (with an average of 9 bases). The analysis yielded a subcluster comprised of both specimens from Taiwan and a specimen from Hawaii. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-2$. However, the second specimen collected from Hawaii, grouped outside this subcluster, with an average pairwise difference of 16.7 relative to the members of the cluster.

## Mitsukurinidae (goblin sharks)

Mitsukurina owstoni (goblin shark) (fig. 35)
All three specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from localities in the Pacific Ocean (i.e., California, Tasmania, and Japan), and thus they represent only a relatively small portion of the distribution of this species. These specimens differed from one another by only $0-2$, with an average of 1.3 bases. One of the samples was taken from a specimen at the Los Angeles County Museum (GN1798 = LACM 47362-1).

## ORECTOLOBIFORMES (carpet sharks) Hemiscylliidae (longtailed carpetsharks)

Chiloscyllium punctatum (brownbanded bambooshark) complex (fig. 36)
A total of 56 specimens originally identified as Chiloscyllium punctatum were included in the analysis. These were collected from Thailand, Singapore, Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo, Vietnam, and Australia. The analysis yielded two distinct clusters, one consisting of the two specimens from Australia; the other consisting of the 54 specimens from the remaining localities. One sample from Borneo was vouchered (GN4446 = CAS 229025). The two specimens in the Australian cluster differed from one another by a single base. The range in pairwise differences among the 54 specimens in the
second cluster was $0-10$, with an average of 3.3. While some structure within the second cluster was observed, the averages of these differences (e.g., 5 between a group comprised of 3 of the specimens from Sabah and a group comprised of specimens primarily from Sarawak) were determined to be too small to warrant further mention at this time. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of the two main clusters was 27.8 . Given that the type locality of $C$. punctatum is Jakarta, the cluster comprised of specimens collected from Thailand, Singapore, and Borneo was given that provisional designation.

The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 92A) supports the distinction between these two species in that there is no overlap in haplotypes among specimens of these two species. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 92B) illustrates the allopatric nature of the distribution of these two taxa. Although Last and Stevens (2009) provisionally referred to Australian populations as $C$. punctatum, this analysis suggest these specimens may represent an undescribed species. Thus, the specimens in the Australian cluster have been referred to here as Chiloscyllium cf. punctatum. Taxonomic revision of this species is currently being undertaken by W.W., P.L., and Gordon Yearsley.

Chiloscyllium indicum (slender bambooshark) (fig. 36)
The 42 specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from either Malaysian or Indonesian Borneo and thus represent only a portion of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. Eleven of the specimens from Borneo were vouchered (GN4467 = CAS 229031, GN4471 = CAS 229032, GN3440 $=$ ANFC H 6123-01, GN3676 = ANFC H 6213-01, GN3692 = ANFC H 6214-01, GN3693 = ANFC H 6214-02, GN3511 = IPPS BO294, GN3470 $=$ IPPS BO253, GN3488 = IPPS BO271, GN3679 = IPPS BO468, and GN4276 = MZB 15.505). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster, with a range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster of $0-11$, with an average of 1.5 . Significant morphological variation exits among specimens of C. indicum, even from the same
collection locality, and a more thorough investigation of the material is needed. The average of the pairwise differences between C. indicum and C. punctatum was 132.1, and between specimens of $C$. indicum and those of C. cf. punctatum 132.2.

Chiloscyllium plagiosum (whitespotted bambooshark) (fig. 36)
The analysis included three specimens of this species, which were collected from Singapore and Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo, and were identical in sequence. The average of the pairwise differences seen between these specimens and those of $C$. indicum was 115.9 , those of $C$. punctatum 128.2, and those of C. cf. punctatum 128.5 .

Hemiscyllium ocellatum (epaulette shark) (fig. 36)
This genus was relatively poorly represented in our analysis. Only three specimens of a single species were included and all three of these were of $H$. ocellatum collected from Cairns, Australia. These were identical in sequence and of particular interest is the fact that this cluster grouped among species of Chiloscyllium.

Chiloscyllium hasseltii (Indonesian bambooshark) (fig. 36)
The analysis included 13 specimens of this species, 12 from Borneo, and one from Singapore. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster. Three of the specimens from Borneo were vouchered (GN4458 = CAS 229029, GN3416 = ANFC H 6122-01, and GN3704 $=$ IPPS BO494.) The range in pairwise differences among members of this cluster was $0-4$, with an average of 0.7 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of C. punctatum was 142.3 , between this species and $C$. cf. punctatum 143.5, between those of $C$. indicum 157.6, and between those of C. plagiosum 150.9.

Chiloscyllium griseum (gray bambooshark) (fig. 36)
Two specimens collected from Maharastra, India, and preliminarily identified as $C$. griseum were included in the analysis. These specimens differed from one another by one base and clustered most closely with the specimens of C. hasseltii. The averages of the pairwise differences between this species and
its congeners were as follows: 137.8 from $C$. punctatum, 140 from C. cf. punctatum, 162.1 from C. indicum, 147.5 from C. plagiosum, and 119.6 from C. hasseltii. We have no images or retained specimens of this taxon, so their identity is somewhat uncertain. However, given that two of the three other described species of Chiloscyllium not included here occur only in localities well outside India (i.e., Madagascar for C. caeruleopunctatum and Burma for C. burmensis), and that the specimens from India lacked the dorsal ridges typical of C. arabicum and lacked the dark marks on the fins seen in C. burmensis, this identification is likely to be correct. Alternatively, these specimens may represent an as yet undescribed species of Chiloscyllium. NADH2 data for the three remaining described species of the genus would do much to help confirm this identification.

## Stegostomatidae (zebra sharks)

Stegostoma fasciatum (zebra shark) (fig. 37)
Eleven of the 12 specimens included in the analysis were collected from Borneo; the remaining specimen came from Mozambique, and thus our specimens span much of the distribution of this species. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-7$, with an average of 3.8 . The relatively low level of variation seen here is interesting in view of the results of Dudgeon et al. (2009), given that our specimens included a specimen from Mozambique, as well as the Indo-West Pacific.

## Rhincodontidae (whale sharks)

## Rhincodon typus (whale shark) (fig. 37)

A total of six specimens were included in the analysis. These came from Taiwan, California, Borneo, and Mozambique and thus represent much of the Indo-Pacific distribution of this species, but do not include any representatives from the Atlantic Ocean. The analysis yielded a single very tight cluster with all six specimens identical in sequence. The comparatively small size of our sample makes our results difficult to compare with those of previous studies on genetic variation
of this species (e.g., Schmidt et al., 2009; Castro et al., 2007).

## Ginglymostomatidae (nurse sharks)

Pseudoginglymostoma brevicaudatum (shorttail nurse shark) (fig. 37)
The three specimens of this eastern Africa endemic species included here all came from Kenya. They were identical in sequence. This species clustered most closely with Rhincodon typus, but a model-based formal phylogenetic analysis is required to resolve their relationships more definitively. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 148 .

Ginglymostoma cirratum (nurse shark) complex (fig. 37)
The 12 specimens originally identified as this species included in the analysis were collected from the Gulf of Mexico, the western Atlantic off the coasts of Florida and Virginia, and the Gulf of California. The analysis yielded two distinct clusters: one consisting of the Atlantic specimens and one consisting of the three specimens from the Gulf of California. The nine specimens in the Atlantic cluster exhibited a range in pairwise differences of $0-2$, with an average of 1 . The cluster comprised of the specimens from the Gulf of California had a range of pairwise differences of $0-1$. However, the average of the pairwise differences between the members of these two clusters was 15.9. This finding lends some support to the suggestion that the nurse sharks occurring off of Baja may not be conspecific with those occurring along the eastern seaboard of North America. One of the specimens from the Gulf of California was vouchered (GN3561 = TCWC 7585.01 $=$ IBUNAM PE9492). Given that the type locality of G. cirratum is Jamaica, we have referred to specimens in the Atlantic cluster as $G$. cirratum and to those in the Gulf of California cluster as Ginglymostoma cf. cirratum. Both the haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 93A), which shows no haplotype overlap among specimens of the two taxa, and that for geography (fig. 93B) support the distinction between these two allopatric species.

Nebrius ferrugineus (tawny nurse shark) (fig. 37)
Both specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from northern Australia, and thus are not fully representative of the Indo-West to central Pacific distribution of this species. These specimens differed from one another by three base pairs.

## Orectolobidae (wobbegongs)

Orectolobus hutchinsi (western wobbegong) (fig. 38)
The 10 specimens of this relatively newly described Western Australian endemic species (see Last et al., 2006) included three paratypes (GN4847 = ANFC H 6189-01, GN4848 = ANFC H 6189-02, and GN4849 = ANFC H 6189-03). All 10 specimens were identical in sequence but differed substantially from all five of the other included species of this genus.
Orectolobus parvimaculatus (dwarf spotted wobbegong) (fig. 38)
All three specimens included in the analysis were paratypes (GN4854 = ANFC H 5633-07, GN4855 = ANFC H 6172-01, and GN4856 $=$ ANFC H 6192-01) of this recently described species found only off southwestern Australia (see Last and Chidlow, 2008). These three specimens were identical in sequence and they clustered most closely with specimens of $O$. hutchinsi, but the average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 30 .

## Orectolobus halei (gulf wobbegong) (fig. 38)

Seven specimens of this Australian species, which was recently resurrected and redescribed by Huveneers (2006), an action supported by Corrigan et al. (2008), were included in the analysis; all seven were collected from New South Wales and Western Australia. The two samples from New South Wales came from voucher specimens deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4845 = ANFC H 6278-01, and GN4846 = ANFC H 627802 ). The seven specimens formed a tight cluster with a range in pairwise differences of $0-2$, with an average of 1 .

Orectolobus maculatus (spotted wobbegong) (fig. 38)
The three samples of this species included in the analysis came from voucher specimens
deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4851 = ANFC H 5765-01, GN4852 = ANFC H 5766-01, and GN4853 $=$ ANFC H 5766-02). These specimens were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with those of $O$. halei; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 15.4.

Orectolobus ornatus (ornate wobbegong) (fig. 38)
A single sample of this species, taken from a voucher specimen deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4857 = ANFC H 5763-01) was included. The average of pairwise differences between $O$. ornatus and $O$. hutchinsi was 58, O. parvimaculatus 60 , $O$. halei 54.4 , and $O$. maculatus 50.

Orectolobus floridus (floral banded wobbegong) (fig. 38)
All three of the specimens of this southwestern Australian endemic included in the analysis were paratypes (GN4858 = ANFC H 5936-01, GN4859 = ANFC H 6168-01, and GN4860 = ANFC H 6490-01). Like most other wobbegong species, these specimens were identical in sequence. They clustered along with, but outside their five congeners included in the analysis; the average of the pairwise differences between this species and the others were as follows: $O$. hutchinsi 59, O. parvimaculatus 59, O. halei 50.4, O. maculatus 46, and O. ornatus 72.

Eucrossorhinus dasypogon (tasselled wobbegong) (fig. 38)
The two specimens included in the analysis, both of which were collected in Australia, were identical in sequence. They represent the more southern elements of the distribution of this species, which is also known from Indonesia, Papua New Guinea, and possibly Malaysia. Specimens of this species grouped along with, but outside the six Orectolobus species.

## Brachaeluridae (blind sharks)

Brachaelurus colcloughi (bluegray carpetshark) (fig. 38)
A total of four specimens of this Australian endemic species were included in the analysis. All came from Queensland, Australia; two were specimens deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN6782 = ANFC H 6849-01 and GN6785 = ANFC H 6849-
04). A remarkable amount of variation was seen among these specimens. The range in pairwise differences was $0-21$, with an average of 10.5 . Thus, this species is worthy of further investigation.

## Brachaelurus waddi (blind shark) (fig. 38)

The single sample of this Australian endemic species was taken from a specimen collected from New South Wales, Australia, and deposited in the Australian Museum, Sydney (GN2265 = AMS I31253-005). The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of B. colcloughi was 113.5 . These two species are considered congeneric by Last and Stevens (2009) and distinct genera (i.e., Heteroscyllium and Brachaelurus) by Compagno (1984b, 2005a). The placement of these species requires further attention.

## Parascylliidae (collared carpetsharks)

Parascyllium collare (collared carpetshark) (fig. 39)
A total of six specimens of this Australian endemic, collected from Victoria and New South Wales, were included in the analysis. They were found to comprise a tight cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-2$, with an average of pairwise differences of 0.9 .

## Heterodontiformes (bullhead sharks) <br> Heterodontidae (bullhead sharks)

Heterodontus mexicanus (Mexican hornshark) (fig. 40)
The 22 samples of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the Gulf of California, and thus represent only the more northern elements of an eastern Pacific distribution of this species. Three of these specimens were deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5178 = TCWC 7572.01, GN5224 = TCWC 7576.02, and GN5231 $=$ TCWC 7583.01) and two in the Instituto de Biologia, Universidad Nacional Autonóma de México (GN5390 = IBUNAM PE9509 and GN5397 = IBUNAM PE9511). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 1.8 .

Heterodontus portusjacksoni (Port Jackson shark) (fig. 40)
The seven specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Australia in New South Wales and Western Australia and thus span the western and eastern elements of the Australian distribution of this species. The sequences of all seven specimens were identical. Three of these specimens are deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4841 = ANFC H 6340-01, GN4842 = ANFC H 6354-09, and GN4843 = ANFC H 6354-11).

Heterodontus zebra (zebra bullhead shark) complex (fig. 40)
A total of three specimens originally identified as $H$. zebra were included in the analysis. These were collected from Australia, Malaysian Borneo, and Japan. The sample from Australia, taken from a specimen in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4844 = ANFC H 6581-01), was found to cluster with the specimens of $H$. portusjacksoni, away from the other two specimens identified as $H$. zebra. Whereas the average of the pairwise differences between the Australian specimen and those in the $H$. portusjacksoni cluster was 13, the average of the pairwise differences between the Australian specimen and those of $H$. zebra from Japan and Malaysian Borneo was 24. Specimens from Japan and Malaysian Borneo differed from one another by two bases. The average of the pairwise differences between the two specimens from Japan and Malaysian Borneo and those of $H$. portusjacksoni was 19 . These results suggest that the specimen from Australia probably represents an undescribed species distinct from both $H$. zebra and $H$. portusjacksoni. Given that the type locality of $H$. zebra is China, we have referred to specimens from Japan and Malaysian Borneo as $H$. zebra, and used the designation $H$. cf. zebra for the Australian form. A taxonomic revision of this group is currently being undertaken by P.L. and W.W.
Heterodontus galeatus (crested bullhead shark) (fig. 40)
The analysis included four specimens of this eastern Australian endemic, all collected from New South Wales, Australia. The sequences of these four specimens were
identical. The average of their pairwise differences from $H$. portusjacksoni was 59 , and from H. zebra was 64.

## Heterodontus francisci (horn shark) (fig. 40)

The nine specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the Gulf of California, and thus represent only the more northern elements of the disjunct eastern Pacific distribution of this species. Two of these specimens were deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5225 = TCWC 7576.01 and GN5254 = TCWC 7567.06). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-1$. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and the other included described species were as follows: between $H$. mexicanus was 82.9 , between $H$. portusjacksoni was 76.3, between $H$. zebra was 74.4, between $H$. cf. zebra was 77.3, and between H. galeatus was 95.3.

## SQUALIFORMES (dogfish sharks) <br> Squalidae (dogfish sharks)

## Squalus species

The genus Squalus has recently undergone intensive scrutiny and revision with, for example, 11 new species being described in 2007 alone (e.g., see Last et al., 2007d), and with multiple species having been resurrected in the last several years (e.g., see Last et al., 2007d; Ebert et al., 2010). In our treatment of species in this genus, we have attempted to follow this new taxonomy as closely as possible.

Squalus acanthias (piked dogfish) (fig. 41)
The analysis included a total of 176 specimens of this species, collected from the western North Atlantic off several states including Rhode Island and Maryland, the western South Pacific off New Zealand, Tasmania, and the eastern South Pacific off Chile. The three specimens from Tasmania were vouchered (GN4956 = ANFC H 292103, GN4957 = ANFC H 4266-01, and GN4958 = ANFC H 4876-01). The analysis yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of only $0-10$, and an average of 2.2 . This relatively low variation is one of the most striking results of our analysis given the small size of
this essentially benthic species, which belongs to a genus that otherwise shows rather extensive regional diversification.

Squalus suckleyi (spotted spiny dogfish) (fig. 41)
A total of eight specimens, collected from the eastern North Pacific were included in the analysis. These specimens clustered independently from the specimens of $S$. acanthias. The specimens of S. suckleyi had a range of pairwise differences of $2-15$, with an average pairwise difference of 6.5. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimens of $S$. suckleyi and those of the $S$. acanthias was 11.9. This result is consistent with the work of Ward et al. (2007), Hauser (2009), and Verissimo et al. (2010) regarding the distinct nature of specimens from the North Pacific, and thus also supports the resurrection of S. suckleyi for specimens previously identified as $S$. acanthias collected from localities in the North Pacific as implemented by Ebert et al. (2010).

## Squalus sp. (fig. 42)

In total, eight specimens collected from the western South Atlantic off the coast of Brazil were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-7$, with an average of 3.1. These specimens have been referred to here preliminarily as Squalus sp. This identity remains to be explored further in the context of the species known to occur off the coast of Brazil (e.g., see Gadig, 2001).

Squalus cf. mitsukurii (shortspine spurdog) (fig. 42)
Six specimens, originally identified as the Squalus mitsukurii, a species described from Japan, all collected from South Africa, were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 0.9 . Conversations with Dave Ebert lead us to believe these specimens represent an undescribed species, which resembles but is distinct from $S$. mitsukurii. Thus, we have designated this specimen as $S$. cf. mitsukurii at this time. A taxonomic treatment of this species is currently underway by Dave Ebert. This species clustered with Squalus sp., Squalus cubensis, and Squalus montalabani. The average of the pairwise differences between $S$. cf. mitsukurii
and these three species was $8.5,13$, and 15 bases, respectively.
Squalus cubensis (Cuban dogfish) (fig. 42)
Two specimens, collected by John Morrissey from Jamaica and identified as $S$. cubensis, were included in the analysis. These specimens differed from one another by five bases. They represent the more northern elements of the range of this species, which is distributed as far south as the Falkland Islands. The average of the pairwise differences between S. cubensis, and Squalus sp., also from the western Atlantic Ocean, was 12.

Squalus montalbani (Philippine spurdog) (fig. 42)
The analysis included two samples of this recently resurrected (see Last et al., 2007c) Indo-Pacific species, both of which were collected from voucher specimens deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4981 = ANFC H 2609-07 and GN4982 $=$ ANFC H 4623-05). These specimens differed from one another by one base.

Squalus chloroculus (greeneye spurdog) (fig. 42)
Four specimens of this relatively newly described (see Last et al., 2007c) Australian endemic species, collected from Western Australia, Victoria, and Tasmania, and thus from throughout much of its range, were included in the analysis. These samples all came from specimens deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4962 = ANFC H 2564-24, GN4963 = ANFC H 4775-01, GN4964 = ANFC H 594-01, and GN4980 $=$ ANFC H 2606-06). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 1.5 .

Squalus grahami (eastern longnose spurdog) (fig. 42)
The two specimens of this newly described, Australian endemic species (see White et al., 2007c) included in the analysis consisted of one paratype (GN4973 = ANFC H 4682-02) and one voucher (GN4972 = ANFC H 462303) in the Australian National Fish Collection. Both specimens were collected from New South Wales, Australia; they were identical in sequence.

## Squalus edmundsi (Edmund's spurdog) (fig. 42)

Three specimens of this newly described species (see White et al., 2007c) were included
in the analysis. They consisted of a paratype (GN4969 $=$ ANFC H 2605-05) and two voucher specimens (GN4968 = ANFC H 2591-17 and GN4970 = ANFC H 3969-15) in the Australian National Fish Collection. All three specimens were collected from Western Australia and thus represent the more southern elements of the distribution of this species, which also occurs in Indonesia and Malaysia. These specimens clustered together; the range in pairwise differences among specimens was $1-4$, with an average of 2.7 .

## Squalus cf. megalops (fig. 42)

A total of 17 specimens, all from southern Africa, and originally identified as $S$. megalops, were included in the analysis. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-7$, with an average of 2.9 . These specimens comprised a cluster distinct from the Australian endemic $S$. megalops. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of this cluster and those of $S$. megalops was 13.2. At this time, these specimens are considered to represent a distinct, and possibly undescribed species, and have been given the designation Squalus cf. megalops. They clustered most closely with $S$. brevirostris. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $S$. cf. megalops and $S$. brevirostris was 10.1.

Squalus brevirostris (Japanese shortnose spurdog) (fig. 42)
Two samples of this species, both taken from specimens deposited in the Kagoshima University Museum (GN4996 = KAUM I 186 and GN4995 = KAUM I 187), were included in the analysis. Both specimens came from the waters off Japan and thus represent the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends to the South China Sea. These two specimens were identical in sequence and clustered most closely with the specimens of $S$. cf. megalops.

## Squalus megalops (shortnose spurdog) (fig. 42)

The analysis included seven specimens of this species, all but one of which is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4974 = ANFC H 2605-08, GN4975 = ANFC H 3762-01, GN4978 = ANFC H

6581-23, GN4976 = ANFC H 4649-05, GN4977 = ANFC H 6581-22, and GN4979 $=$ ANFC H 6581-24). These specimens were collected from Western Australia, Victoria, and New South Wales and thus represent much of the distribution of this species, which is now considered an Australian endemic (see Last and Stevens, 2009). The analysis yielded a single cluster of these specimens. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 1.9.

## Squalus formosus (Taiwan spurdog) (fig. 42)

Three specimens of this recently described species (White and Iglésias, 2011) from Taiwan were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 1.3. All three specimens are deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN969 = UMMZ 231956 and GN976 and GN980 = UMMZ 231963). These specimens clustered most closely with the specimens of $S$. albifrons. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in these two clusters was 29.3. Ward et al. (2007) also found that specimens of this species (as "sp. Taiwan highfin") clustered most closely with $S$. albifrons from eastern Australia.

Squalus albifrons (eastern highfin spurdog) (fig. 42)
The three specimens of this newly described (see Last et al., 2007e) eastern Australian endemic consist of a holotype (GN4960 = ANFC H 4627-01), a paratype (GN4959 = ANFC H 3589-01), and a voucher (GN4961 $=$ ANFC H 4704-01), all deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-2$, with an average of 1.3.

## Squalus japonicus (Japanese spurdog) (fig. 42)

All three specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Taiwan and thus represent only the more northern elements of the distribution of this species. One of the specimens was deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN975 = UMMZ 231962). All three specimens were identical in sequence.

Squalus nasutus (western longnose spurdog) (fig. 42)
The two specimens of this newly described species (see Last et al., 2007b) included in the analysis were collected from Western Australia. One of these was deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4983 $=$ ANFC H 6413-01). These specimens differed from one another by one base. They clustered most closely to the $S$. japonicus cluster, as was also seen by Ward et al. (2007). The range of pairwise differences between specimens in these two species was 9.5 .

Squalus crassispinus (fatspine spurdog) (fig. 42)
The analysis included three specimens of this newly described (see Last et al., 2007a) species, two of which are paratypes (GN4965 $=$ ANFC H 4649-03 and GN4966 = ANFC H 4649-04) and one a voucher (GN4967 = ANFC H 6412-01), all deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-8$, with an average of 5.3.
Cirrhigaleus australis (southern Mandarin dogfish) (fig. 42)
The two specimens of this newly described species (see White et al., 2007a) included in the analysis consisted of the holotype (GN4944 = ANFC H 5789-01) from Tasmania, Australia, and a voucher collected from New Zealand. These specimens differed by four bases. We believe this result supports the suggestion of White et al. (2007a) that C. australis occurs in New Zealand.

Cirrhigaleus asper (roughskin spurdog) (fig. 42)
A single specimen of C. asper collected off Florida was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered with the two specimens of C. australis. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those comprising the cluster of C. australis was 58.5.

## Centrophoridae (gulper sharks)

Centrophorus squamosus (leafscale gulper shark) (fig. 43)
The analysis included 50 specimens of Centrophorus squamosus. These came from several localities in the North Atlantic including the mid-Atlantic ridge, the Azores, Madeira, and Scotland, as well as New Zealand,

Australia, and the southwest Indian Ocean, and thus represent much of the global distribution of this species. Only two of these samples come from museum specimens (GN4926 = ANFC H 5343-06 and GN6614 $=$ MMF 36123). The range in pairwise differences among these 50 specimens was $0-9$, with an average of 1.3. It is interesting to note that 28 of the specimens were identical in sequence and these came from the Azores, Scotland, the mid-Atlantic ridge, Australia, New Zealand, and the southwest Indian Ocean.

## Centrophorus granulosus (gulper shark) (fig. 43)

In combination, the 13 specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the western North Atlantic, the Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea, Madeira, the coast of mainland Portugal, and New South Wales, Australia. The overall distribution of this species is uncertain pending a taxonomic revision of this group. The two samples from Australia came from specimens deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4924 = ANFC H 5343-06 and GN4925 $=$ ANFC H 5343-07); the two samples from mainland Portugal came from specimens in the museum in Funchal (GN6613 = MMF 36122 and GN6615 = MMF 36124). The range in pairwise differences seen among these 13 specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 0.6 . The mean of the pairwise differences between specimens of C. granulosus and those of C. squamosus was 37.8. A similar lack of divergence between these two species was reported by Moura et al. (2008).

## Centrophorus cf. lusitanicus (fig. 43)

Four specimens taken from the Mozambique Channel off Madagascar were included in the analysis. The images for these specimens most closely resemble the illustration of C. lusitanicus from southern Africa in Bass et al. (1986). However, since no specimens from near the type locality for this species are included (i.e., Portugal) this species is referred to as C. cf. lusitanicus. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-5$, with an average of pairwise differences of 3.2. Taxonomic revision of this complex is being undertaken by W.W. and Dave Ebert.

## Centrophorus sp. 1 (fig. 43)

Two specimens collected from Jamaica by John Morrissey were included in the analysis and were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with, but independently from, the four specimens of Centrophorus cf. lusitanicus collected from Madagascar. The average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of C. cf. lusitanicus was 8.8. Unfortunately, images are not available for these specimens. We have referred to them as Centrophorus sp. 1 until their identity can be examined in more detail. It is possible these specimens represent an undescribed species.
Centrophorus harrissoni (longnose gulper shark) (fig. 43)
Three specimens of this Australian/New Zealand endemic were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among these three specimens was $1-5$; the mean was 3.3. All three came from specimens collected from Tasmania (see White et al., 2008) and deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4941 = ANFC H 6307-07, GN4942 = ANFC H 6309-05, and GN4943 $=$ ANFC H 6500-01).

Centrophorus isodon (blackfin gulper shark) (fig. 43)
Two specimens identified as C. isodon were included in the analysis. Both specimens (GN4338 = JPAG 227 and GN4392 = JPAG 225) were collected from the Philippines and were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as new records of this species from this region. These specimens differed in sequence by only one base. The average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of C. harrissoni, with which they were closely clustered, was 11.5.

## Centrophorus sp. 2 (fig. 43)

The analysis included three specimens, all from Taiwan, two of which came from vouchers (GN973 = UMMZ 231976 and GN974 = UMMZ 231959). This species belongs to the C. lusitanicus complex but likely represents an undescribed species. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 2 . Taxonomic revision of this complex is being undertaken by W.W. and Dave Ebert.

## Centrophorus sp. 3 (fig. 43)

A single specimen collected from the Philippines that resembles C. lusitanicus was included in the analysis. This specimen (GN4348 = JPAG 226) was considered by Compagno et al. (2005b) to represent a new record of C. lusitanicus for the Philippines. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and the three specimens of Centrophorus sp. 2 from Taiwan was 13.7. This species is possibly conspecific with specimens off Indonesia that were tentatively referred to as C. cf. lusitanicus by White et al. (2006). However, this species likely represents an undescribed species. Taxonomic revision of this complex is being undertaken by W.W. and Dave Ebert.

Centrophorus zeehaani (southern dogfish) complex (fig. 43)
The analysis yielded a single cluster, consisting of a total of five specimens, three of which were paratypes of the newly described species C. zeehaani deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4932 = ANFC H 6628-01, GN4933 = ANFC H 6628-03, and GN4934 = ANFC H 6628-07) (see White et al., 2008). The remaining two specimens were collected from Angola and Madeira. The range in pairwise differences among all five specimens was $0-1$. As C. zeehaani is currently considered an endemic of southern Australia, we have used the provisional designation $C$. cf. zeehaani for the specimens from Madeira and Angola until this unusual finding can be explored further. We note that photographs of the specimen from Madeira are available in the database; it is superficially similar morphologically to the Australian specimens.

Centrophorus moluccensis (smallfin gulper shark) (fig. 43)
Ten specimens, which were morphologically consistent with C. moluccensis, were included in the analysis: one specimen came from the southwestern Indian Ocean, one from Malaysian Borneo, six specimens were deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4921 = ANFC H 3599-04, GN4922 = ANFC H 6410-01, GN4923 = ANFC H 6410-02, GN4927 = ANFC H 5343-07, GN4928 = ANFC H 4873-03, and GN4929 = ANFC H 2575-26), five of these
were collected from Australian and one from New Zealand localities, while three samples came from the Philippines. These specimens thus represent a large portion of the IndoWest Pacific distribution of this species. Two of the three specimens from the Philippines (GN4329 = JPAG 257 and GN4351 = JPAG 258) were among those treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Centrophorus cf. moluccensis. The analysis yielded a cluster consisting of two potential subclusters. The range in pairwise differences among all 10 specimens was $0-14$, with an average of 6.4 . One of the subclusters consisted of the specimens collected from Borneo and Australia; the other consisted of the three specimens from the Philippines. The range in pairwise distances among specimens in the former subcluster was $0-8$ and among specimens in the latter subcluster was $5-11$. The average of the pairwise distances among specimens in the two subclusters was 9.9.

## Deania calcea (birdbeak dogfish) (fig. 44)

A total of 26 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These came from the mid-Atlantic ridge, Ireland, Scotland, southern Africa, New Zealand, Tasman Sea, and southwest Indian Ocean, and thus represent much of the distribution of this species. Three of these specimens were from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4945 = ANFC H 4873-02, GN4946 = ANFC H 5343-08, and GN4947 = ANFC H 5365-01). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in the cluster was $0-11$, with an average of 3.3.

## Deania cf. profundorum (fig. 44)

The analysis included five specimens preliminarily identified as $D$. cf. profundorum, all taken from the coast of mainland Portugal and the Azores, but the identities of which remain to be confirmed. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-10$, with an average of 5.4. Images are available only for the specimen from the Azores and they suggest that at least this specimen is not fully consistent with existing descriptions of Deania profundorum (e.g., Compagno, 1984b). For example, this specimen has a second dorsal fin that differs substantially in shape from that of the true $D$.
profundorum. Further taxonomic work, including specimens definitively identified as D. profundorum, and preferably taken from throughout the extensive distribution of that species is required.

Deania quadrispinosa (longsnout dogfish) complex (fig. 44)
Three specimens identified as $D$. quadrispinosa were included in the analysis. These were all collected from New South Wales, Australia, and represent only the eastern portion of the distribution of this species, which extends from east of New Zealand to the west coast of South Africa. However, while two of these specimens exhibited sequences that differed from one another by only a single base, the average of the pairwise differences between these two specimens and the third specimen was 22.5 , suggesting that they may represent more than a single species. We have given these specimens separate designations (i.e., $D$. quadrispinosum 1 and $D$. quadrispinosum 2) in order to call attention to this interesting result.

## Somniosidae (sleeper sharks), in part

Centroscymnus coelolepis (Portuguese dogfish) (fig. 45)
A total of 18 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These came from the western North Atlantic, mid-Atlantic ridge, Madeira, Scotland, and Tasmania, and thus represent all but the South Atlantic and North Pacific elements of the distribution of this species. The three specimens from Madeira are deposited in the Museu de História Natural e Aquário in Funchal (GN6616 $=$ MMF 36129, GN6626 = MMF 36784, and GN6606 = MMF 36090). Despite the disparate localities from which these specimens came, they were remarkably homogeneous in NADH2 sequence; the range in pairwise differences among these 18 specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 1.1.
Centroscymnus owstonii (roughskin dogfish) (fig. 45)
The 17 included specimens of this species were collected from the mid-Atlantic Ridge, Madeira, coastal mainland Portugal, and New Zealand. The analysis yielded a single
cluster. The range of pairwise differences among these 17 specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 1.8. A number of specimens taken from Portugal and New Zealand were identical in sequence. The six specimens collected from Madeira are deposited in the Museu de História Natural e Aquário in Funchal (GN6598 = MMF 36021, GN6599 $=$ MMF 36044, GN6600 = MMF 36058, GN6623 = MMF 36739, GN6619 = MMF 36142, and GN6625 = MMF 36741). This species clustered most closely with C. coelolepis. The average of the pairwise differences between C. owstonii and C. coelolepis was 74.7 (or $7.15 \%$ ). This result is somewhat more conservative than that of Moura et al. (2008) who found the genetic divergence between these two species to be $12.8 \%$.

## Oxynotidae (roughsharks)

Oxynotus bruniensis (prickly dogfish) (fig. 45)
A total of 14 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These were collected from New Zealand and New South Wales in Australia and thus are representative of the temperate Australasian distribution of this species. The analysis yielded one cluster. The range in pairwise differences seen among the 14 specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 1.6.

Oxynotus paradoxus (sailfin roughshark) (fig. 45)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the eastern North Atlantic, including off Scotland, and were all identical in sequence. These specimens represent the northern elements of this species, which is distributed southward to Senegal. The average of the pairwise differences between this species, and $O$. bruniensis was 55.3. It is of note that both of these oxynotid species grouped within the cluster containing the somniosids.

## Somniosidae (sleeper sharks), continued

Proscymnodon plunketi (plunket shark) (fig. 45)
The 12 specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from New Zealand and thus represent the more eastern elements of the distribution of this species. Most of these specimens were identical in sequence, but one specimen differed from the others by one base.

Scymnodon ringens (knifetooth dogfish) (fig. 45)
All five specimens identified as this species included in the analysis were collected from Ireland and thus represent the northeastern elements of the distribution of this species. These specimens were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with specimens of $P$. plunketi; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 59.1.
Centroselachus crepidater (longnose velvet dogfish) (fig. 45)
The analysis included 27 specimens of this currently monotypic genus. These specimens were collected from the mid-Atlantic ridge, Madeira, Scotland, southern Africa, Tasman Sea, and New Zealand and thus represent only the western Pacific and eastern Atlantic portions of the distribution of this species. The specimen collected from the Tasman Sea came was from the Australian National Fish Collection $($ GN4940 $=$ ANFC H 4873-01). The two specimens from Madeira are deposited in the Museu de História Natural e Aquário in Funchal (GN6617 = MMF 36134 and GN6618 = MMF 36136). The analysis yielded are a single cluster and, in fact, several specimens from Madeira, Scotland, and New Zealand were identical in sequence. However, the range of pairwise differences among all 27 specimens was $0-17$, with an average of 2.4.

## Zameus squamulosus (velvet dogfish) (fig. 45)

Four specimens identified as Zameus squamulosus were included in the analysis. Two were collected from southern Africa and two from Tasmania and thus represent only a portion of the southern hemisphere distribution of this essentially cosmopolitan species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-6$ bases, with an average of 4.6. They clustered most closely with $C$. crepidater. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 107.3.

## Centroscymnus sp. 1 (fig. 45)

The analysis included a specimen collected from New Zealand, and preliminarily identified as Centroscymnus sp. by Di Tracey. This specimen clustered provisionally along with, but outside the group comprised of the two specimens of Zameus squamulosus and the 27 specimens of Centroselachus crepidater (well
away from the specimens of the two species of Centroscymnus included in the analysis). The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $Z$. squamulosus was 166 . The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of C. crepidater was 160.7 . We have referred to this specimen here as Centroscymnus sp. 1. However, it should be noted that results from barcode (COI) data, which show similar results within the Somniosidae, include a clade consisting of $Z$. squamulosus, C. crepidater, and a single specimen of Scymnodalatias albicauda from New Zealand. Thus, it is highly likely that this species actually refers to $S$. albicauda, but additional samples are required to confirm this.

Somniosus microcephalus (Greenland shark) (fig. 45)
Four of the five specimens of this species included here came from the Northwest Territories in northern Canada, the remaining specimen was from the eastern Atlantic. Thus, our sample represents much of the breadth of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-1$.

Somniosus pacificus (Pacific sleeper shark) (fig. 45)
All four specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Alaska and thus represent only the eastern Pacific elements of the distribution of this species, which also includes the western Pacific. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 1.2. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of $S$. microcephalus was 9.2. This result is consistent with that of Murray et al. (2008) with respect to the distinction between the latter two species.

## Somniosus rostratus (little sleeper shark) (fig. 45)

The single specimen of this species included in the analysis was collected from the coast of France, and thus is representative of the eastern North Atlantic distribution of this species. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and the specimens comprising the cluster of $S$. microcephalus was 32.4 , and between those comprising the cluster of $S$. pacificus was 32.8 .

## Etmopteridae (lantern sharks)

## Etmopterus spinax (velvet belly) (fig. 46)

All but one of the 21 specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the Azores; the remaining specimen came from Scotland. Thus, our sample represents only some of the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the eastern Atlantic from Norway to Gabon. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.5 .

## Etmopterus princeps (great lanternshark) (fig. 46)

The seven specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from throughout much of the distribution of this species, consisting of Connecticut in the western North Atlantic, Madeira, and the eastern North Atlantic. The two specimens from Madeira were deposited in the Museu de História Natural e Aquário in Funchal (GN6608 = MMF 36093 and GN6611 = MMF 36103). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens within this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 3.4 .

## Etmopterus cf. unicolor complex (fig. 46)

Four specimens originally identified as Etmopterus unicolor were included in the analysis. Two of these were collected by Peter Smith from New Zealand. The other two specimens are from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4952 = ANFC H 5673-02 and GN4954 = ANFC H 567408), both of which were collected from the eastern Indian Ocean. These four specimens grouped together but in two distinct clusters, one consisting of the specimens from New Zealand, the other of specimens from the eastern Indian Ocean. The specimens from New Zealand differed from one another by base; those in the latter cluster differed from one another by seven bases. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in these two clusters was 14 . Given the type locality of this species is Japan, a locality not represented by our specimens, we have referred to the specimens from New Zealand as $E$. cf. unicolor 1 and those from the eastern

Indian Ocean as E. cf. unicolor 2. However, some consideration should be given to the specimens in the first of these clusters being conspecific with the newly described Etmopterus viator of Straube et al. (2011). The second cluster could represent one of the many species of this genus not nominally represented in the analysis.

## Etmopterus baxteri (New Zealand lanternshark)

 (fig. 46)In total, 11 specimens of this possibly southern global species, all from New Zealand, were included in the analysis, which yielded a single cluster. Ten of the specimens were almost identical in sequence, but one of the specimens differed from the remaining nine by seven or eight bases. The average of pairwise differences among the 11 specimens was 1.5 .

Etmopterus virens (green lanternshark) (fig. 46)
A single specimen of this species, collected from the western North Atlantic, was included in the analysis.

Etmopterus gracilispinis (broadband lanternshark) (fig. 46)
A single specimen of this species, collected from the western North Atlantic was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered with the specimen of $E$. virens. However, the difference between the specimens of these two species was 126 .

Etmopterus pusillus (smooth lanternshark) (fig. 46)
The analysis included 20 specimens of this species. These were collected from a diversity of localities in the eastern North Atlantic, including the Azores, Madeira, and the coast of mainland Portugal, as well as from New South Wales in Australia. However, given the extent of the reported distribution of this species, these localities represent only a small portion of its patchy global distribution. Three specimens from Portugal (GN6603 = MMF 36075, GN6620 = MMF 36530, and GN6624 = MMF 36740), and one from Australia (GN4951 = ANFC H 5956-01) were vouchered. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-11$, with an average of 3.5.

Etmopterus bigelowi (blurred smooth lanternshark) (fig. 46)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis represent only a very small portion of the patchy global distribution of this species, having come from the Gulf of Mexico and the mid-Atlantic Ocean. The analysis yielded a single cluster and the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 1 .
Etmopterus splendidus (splendid lanternshark) (fig. 46)
Both samples of this species included in the analysis were collected from Taiwan, from specimens deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN994 and GN995 = UMMZ 231969). These specimens differed from one another by two bases. They clustered together, most closely allied with the E. pusillus and E. bigelowi clusters. The average of the pairwise differences between E. splendidus and E. pusillus was 128.1 and between E. splendidus and E. bigelowi was 105.5.

Etmopterus lucifer (blackbelly lanternshark) (fig. 46) All 10 specimens of this species were collected from the eastern portions of the relatively extensive, but poorly defined, distribution of this species, having come from New South Wales in Australia and also from New Zealand. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 2 .

Etmopterus molleri (slendertail lanternshark) (fig. 46)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Taiwan and thus represent only a very small portion of the distribution of a possible species complex (G. Burgess, personal commun.). All four specimens are deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN996, GN997, GN998, and GN999 = UMMZ 231971). The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 3 . These specimens clustered most closely with those of E. lucifer, with an average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 110.5 . However, given that $E$. burgessi is also known from Taiwan (Schaaf-DaSilva and

Ebert, 2006) and was not included in our analysis, the identity of the $E$. molleri cluster should be confirmed by careful comparison of the deposited specimens with verified specimens of $E$. burgessi.

## Centroscyllium fabricii (black dogfish) (fig. 46)

The 10 specimens of this species included here represent the North Atlantic elements of the distribution of this Atlantic species having come from Connecticut, the Azores, and Scotland. The southern Atlantic elements of the distribution were not represented. The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 3.6.

## Dalatiidae (kitefin sharks)

Dalatias licha (kitefin shark) (fig. 47)
The analysis included 17 specimens of this broadly distributed monotypic genus, taken from the eastern North Atlantic (i.e., the Azores and Scotland), the western North Pacific (i.e., Taiwan) and the western South Pacific (i.e., New South Wales, Australia, and New Zealand). However, much of its distribution (e.g., the Indian Ocean elements), was not represented. One of the specimens from Taiwan is deposited at the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN985 = UMMZ 231958). The analysis yielded essentially a single relatively homogeneous cluster given the breadth of geographic regions from which these specimens came. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 1.9 .

## Isistius brasiliensis (cookiecutter shark) (fig. 47)

The analysis included a total of three specimens of this remarkably broadly distributed species. These were collected from the central Pacific and Atlantic oceans. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster with the specimen from the Pacific Ocean clustering outside the two specimens collected from the Atlantic Ocean. The range in pairwise differences among these three specimens was $4-10$, with an average of 8 .

Squaliolus aliae (smalleye pygmy shark) (fig. 47)
The three specimens included in the analysis were collected from Western Aus-
tralia and Taiwan and thus are relatively representative of the distribution of this species. The specimen from Western Australia is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4955 = ANFC H 6416-02). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster comprised of these specimens. However, the specimen from Australia was relatively divergent from the two specimens from Taiwan. The range in pairwise differences among all three specimens was $5-$ 14 , with an average difference of 10 bases.

## Euprotomicrus bispinatus (pygmy shark) (fig. 47)

The analysis included a single specimen of this broadly distributed species collected from the North Pacific. This species clustered among the two species of Squaliolus, most closely allied with $S$. aliae, suggesting that the generic placement of this, the only species in its genus, might warrant closer scrutiny. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $S$. aliae was 89.3.

Squaliolus laticaudus (spined pygmy shark) (fig. 47)
The two specimens included in the analysis were collected from the Azores, and thus represent only a very small portion of the distribution of this almost circumtropical species. These specimens clustered together and differed from one another by eight bases. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $S$. laticaudus and those of $S$. aliae was 140.8 and between $E$. bispinatus was 187.7.

## SQUATINIFORMES (angel sharks) <br> Squatinidae (angel sharks)

Squatina oculata (smoothback angelshark) (fig. 48)
The 12 specimens included in the analysis were all taken from Senegal and Sierra Leone and thus represent the center of the distribution of this species, which extends coastally from France to Namibia. The analysis yielded a single cluster; all 12 specimens were identical in sequence.

Squatina formosa (Taiwan angelshark) (fig. 48)
The analysis included three specimens of this species which is possibly endemic to Taiwan. These specimens comprised a single cluster with a pairwise difference among specimens of $0-1$. However, this genus includes
several similar species from Taiwan not included in the analysis (e.g., S. japonica and S. nebulosus) (see Walsh and Ebert, 2007). The identity of this cluster requires confirmation in the context of these other taxa.
Squatina tergocellatoides (ocellated angelshark) (fig. 48)
The analysis included two specimens collected from Malaysian Borneo that represent the distinctive $S$. tergocellatoides. These specimens were identical in sequence to one another and clustered most closely with the specimens of S. formosa. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and S. formosa from Taiwan was 88.3.

Squatina aculeata (sawback angelshark) (fig. 48)
The 11 specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from Senegal and thus represent the center of the distribution of this species, which extends coastally from the western Mediterranean to Namibia. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences seen among specimens was $0-3$, however, the majority of these specimens were identical in sequence. The average of the pairwise differences among the 11 specimens was 0.7 .
Squatina dumeril (sand devil) (fig. 48)
The analysis included eight specimens representing the more northern elements of the distribution of this species including New Jersey and the northern Gulf of Mexico. The analysis yielded a single cluster, with pairwise differences seen among these specimens of $0-$ 12, with an average of 5.6.
Squatina californica (Pacific angelshark) (fig. 48)
The seven specimens included were collected from California and the Gulf of California and thus represent much of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-$ 6 , with an average of pairwise differences of 3.8. This species clustered most closely with S. dumeril, a result consistent with the findings of Stelbrink et al. (2010). The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 46.

Squatina albipunctata (eastern angelshark) (fig. 48)
A single specimen of this newly described eastern Australian endemic species (see Last
and White, 2008b) was included in the analysis. It clustered most closely with $S$. dumeril and S. californica. The mean of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of the former species was 112.5 , and between this specimen and those of the latter species 109.1.

## Echinorhinidae (bramble sharks)

Echinorhinus brucus (bramble shark) (fig. 48)
Three specimens of this species, all collected from the western North Atlantic (i.e., Louisiana and North Carolina), were included in the analysis. One of these specimens came from the University of Florida Fish Collection (GN1983 = UFFC 103000), and the other a specimen deposited in the Tulane University Museum of Natural History (GN1067 $=$ TU 172379). These specimens represent only a very small portion of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $2-5$, with an average of 4 .

## Echinorhinus cookei (prickly shark) (fig. 48)

In total, two specimens of this species were included in the analysis. One came from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4998 $=$ ANFC H 6115-01) collected from Queensland, and the other was collected from California. These specimens thus represent only some of the more southern elements of the Pacific distribution of this species. These specimens differed by one base, clustering most closely with those of E. brucus. The average pairwise difference between specimens of these species was 59.3.

## Pristiophoriformes (sawsharks) Pristiophoridae (sawsharks)

Pliotrema warreni (sixgill sawshark) (fig. 48)
Two specimens of this southern Africa endemic were included in the analysis. The specimens were identical in sequence.
Pristiophorus japonicus (Japanese sawshark) (fig. 48)
The single specimen of this species was collected from Japan. It clustered most closely with the specimens of $P$. warreni; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 130 .

Pristiophorus cirratus (longnose sawshark) (fig. 48)
The two specimens of this southern Australian endemic species were both collected from New South Wales and differed by a single base. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and $P$. warreni was 152.5 , and between this species and P. japonicus 137.5.

Hexanchiformes (cow and frilled sharks)
Hexanchidae (sixgill and sevengill sharks)
Hexanchus nakamurai (bigeye sixgill shark) and Hexanchus vitulus (fig. 49)
The analysis included three specimens collected from Madagascar, the Philippines (GN2246 = JPAG 053 of Compagno et al., 2005b), and New South Wales in Australia as well as one specimen from the Bahamas in the Caribbean Sea. It yielded a cluster consisting of the three specimens from the Indo-Pacific localities grouping with the specimen from the Bahamas. The range of pairwise differences among the three IndoPacific specimens was $5-15$, with an average of 11.3; the average of the pairwise differences between the specimen from Australia and those from Madagascar and the Philippines was 14.5. However, the average of the pairwise differences between the specimen from the Bahamas and the three Indo-Pacific specimens was 80.7. Hexanchus nakamurai and $H$. vitulus have been considered to be synonyms (e.g., Compagno, 1984b; Taniuchi and Tachikawa, 1991) with a relatively broad distribution, occurring throughout the tropics and subtropics globally (Last and Stevens, 2009). However, our results suggest that both may actually represent valid species. Given the type locality of $H$. vitulus is Bimini in the Bahamas and the type locality of $H$. nakamurai is Taiwan, the specimen from the Bahamas has been identified as $H$. vitulus, and those from Indo-Pacific localities have been given the designation $H$. nakamurai. Clearly, the conspecificity of the bigeye sixgill sharks should be examined in more detail.

Hexanchus griseus (bluntnose sixgill shark) (fig. 49)
Specimens of this species included here were both collected from the eastern and central sectors of the North Atlantic. They differed from one another by two bases and
clustered most closely with their two congeners. The average of pairwise differences between H. griseus and H. nakamurai was 103, and between H. griseus and H. vitulus 94.

Heptranchias perlo (sharpnose sevengill shark) (fig. 49)
All four specimens of this monotypic genus included in the analysis came from Taiwan and thus represent only essentially a single locality of this global species. These four specimens were identical in sequence. All but one of these specimens are deposited in the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology (GN977, GN978, and GN979 = UMMZ 231961).

## Notorhynchus cepedianus (broadnose sevengill shark) (fig. 49)

The four specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from localities in the Pacific Ocean (e.g., California and Australia) and thus represent only a portion of the distribution of this essentially global species. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 3.8.

## Chlamydoselachidae (frilled sharks)

Chlamydoselachus anguineus (frilled shark) (fig. 49)
The three specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the mid-Atlantic ridge, Scotland, and Japan. Despite the geographic breadth of our samples, they represent only a relatively small portion of the distribution of this species. The range in pairwise differences among these three specimens was $2-5$, with an average of 4 .

## BATOIDS

RAJIFORMES (batoids)
Dasyatidae (whiptail stingrays)
Himantura gerrardi (whitespotted whipray) complex (fig. 50)
As has been suggested by a number of authors (e.g., Manjaji, 2004; White et al., 2006; Ward et al., 2008), there is reason to believe that Himantura gerrardi represents a complex of species that urgently requires taxonomic revision. Our analysis included 71 specimens exhibiting the basic color pattern
of H. gerrardi. However, these rays display considerable morphological variability within forms, without obvious differences between forms. In the absence of a formal taxonomic treatment and also material from the type locality of India, we have assigned numerical designations to the five clusters of these specimens that we believe, based in large part, on their genetic distances, may represent distinct species. The haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 94A) generally supports the distinct identity of each cluster, with no haplotypes shared among specimens with different species designations. However, there is considerable haplotype variation among specimens of $H$. cf. gerrardi 1, and also among the specimens of $H$. cf. gerrardi 2. The geographic haplotype map (fig. 94B) supports the notion that at least two species of $H$. cf. gerrardi ( $H$. cf. gerrardi 1 and $H$. cf. gerrardi 2) cooccur in the Indo-Pacific.

## Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 (fig. 50)

The analysis included a cluster of 39 specimens that have been given the designation $H$. cf. gerrardi 1 . These specimens were collected from throughout the coasts of Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo as well as Thailand. One of the Borneo specimens has been deposited in the California Academy of Sciences (GN4521 = CAS 229036). Given that the range in pairwise differences seen among specimens in this cluster was $0-$ 22 , with an average of 5.5 , this designation is very conservative. It is possible that this cluster includes representation of perhaps two species. For example, within this cluster there was a distinct subcluster comprised of two of the specimens collected from Sabah in eastern Malaysian Borneo.

## Himantura cf. gerrardi 5 (fig. 50)

One specimen, collected from Vietnam, clustered along with but outside the 39 specimens of Himantura cf. gerrardi 1. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two taxa was 34.8 .

## Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 (fig. 50)

The analysis yielded a second cluster comprised of, at least conservatively, 29 specimens. However, as circumscribed here, this cluster includes one specimen (GN3022) that is relatively more divergent from the
remaining specimens in the cluster. The range in pairwise differences among the 29 specimens in this cluster was $0-19$ if this specimen is included (with an average of 3.4); the range is $0-8$ if this specimen is excluded. Like $H$. cf. gerrardi 1, specimens of $H$. cf. gerrardi 2 were also collected from throughout the coasts of Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo; two of these specimens were vouchered (GN3426 $=$ IPPS BO158 and GN3431 = IPPS BO163). At least two sympatric species of whitespotted whiprays may exist on the island of Borneo, given that the average of the pairwise differences between specimens comprising the clusters of $H$. cf. gerrardi 1 and $H$. cf. gerrardi 2 was 64.4.

Himantura cf. gerrardi 3 (fig. 50)
A single specimen collected from the Gulf of Oman was included in the analysis. This specimen grouped independently from the other clusters of whitespotted whiprays. Thus, this specimen has also been given a distinct numerical designation. The averages of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those comprising the clusters of $H$. cf. gerrardi 1, $H$. cf. gerrardi 2, and $H$. cf. gerrardi 5 were 74.7, 20.4, and 70, respectively. Additional specimens of whitespotted whiprays from the Gulf of Oman need to be investigated using both morphological and molecular methods.

## Himantura cf. gerrardi 4 (fig. 50)

The analysis also included a specimen collected from the Mozambique Channel off Madagascar. This specimen grouped outside all three of the above clusters of whitespotted whiprays. The averages of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $H$. cf. gerrardi 1, H. cf. gerrardi 2, H. cf. gerrardi 3, and H. cf. gerrardi 5 were 67.2, 35.7, 47, and 65, respectively. Additional collections of whitespotted whiprays from the Mozambique Channel are required.

## Himantura astra (blackspotted whipray) (fig. 50)

The analysis included nine specimens identified as this newly described Australasian species (see Last et al., 2008a). Six of these specimens were collected from the Arafura Sea, two from the Gulf of Carpentaria, and one from Moreton Bay. The range in pairwise differences among all nine specimens was
$0-32$, with an average of 7.9 . One of the specimens collected from the Gulf of Carpentaria and the specimen from Moreton Bay clustered outside the six specimens from the Arafura Sea and one of the specimens from the Gulf of Carpentaria; the range in pairwise differences among the former six specimens was $0-3$. The identities of the specimens in this cluster should be examined in more detail as some variation in morphology, such as dorsal surface spot pattern, were also observed among the nine specimens.

Himantura pastinacoides (round whipray) complex (fig. 50)
Five specimens originally identified as belonging to the Indo-Pacific species Himantura pastinacoides were collected from Malaysian Borneo. However, the analysis yielded two distinct, very divergent clusters of these specimens, with an average pairwise difference among specimens in the two clusters of 72. Given that the type locality of $H$. pastinacoides is Java, and none of our material came from that locality, and the clusters overlap in distribution, we have used numerical designations for specimens from both clusters. They have been referred to as Himantura pastinacoides 1 and $H$. pastinacoides 2 until such time as the identity of these clusters can be examined in more detail. The three specimens comprising the former cluster were identical in sequence. The two specimens comprising the latter cluster differed from one another by eight bases.

Himantura sp. B (Arabian banded whipray) (fig. 50)
The analysis included two specimens of whiprays collected from the Gulf of Oman that, while they had a banded tail, lacked spots on their disc; they appear conspecific with Himantura sp. B of Manjaji (2004). These specimens differed by three bases, and clustered most closely to but well outside specimens of Himantura pastinacoides 1 and H. pastinacoides 2. However, the average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of the former cluster was 136.3 and of the latter cluster was 111.5. While the Gulf of Oman form has been confused with $H$. gerrardi (see Randall, 1995), it clearly represents a distinct taxon.

It is currently being described by ManjajiMatsumoto, Last, and Moore (in prep.).

Himantura uarnacoides (whitenose whipray) complex (fig. 51)
In total, 32 specimens identified as the Indo-Malay species Himantura uarnacoides were included in the analysis, all collected from around the coast of Borneo. Two of these specimens were deposited in museums (GN4588 = CAS 229041 and GN3418 = IPPS BO149). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster, although one of the specimens from Kalimantan (GN4781) was slightly divergent. The range of pairwise differences within this cluster was $0-20$, with an average of 6.3 , if this specimen was included.

An additional specimen (GN3366), collected from Sabah in Malaysian Borneo, resembling H. uarnacoides at least superficially, was also included in the analysis. This specimen clustered along with but well outside the main $H$. uarnacoides group. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and the 32 specimens in the $H$. uarnacoides cluster was 92.7 . As this specimen is likely to represent a distinct species, it has been given the designation $H$. cf. uarnacoides until its identity can be examined in more detail.

Himantura jenkinsii (pointed-nose stingray) (fig. 51)
The analysis included a total of 13 specimens consistent with $H$. jenkinsii. The majority of these specimens were collected from Borneo and the Philippines; two specimens were collected in Vietnam. Four specimens collected from the Arafura Sea bear dark spots along the posterior margin of their disc which Last and Stevens (2009) noted is consistent with a color morph described as $H$. draco from South Africa (Compagno and Heemstra, 1984). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster comprised of all 13 specimens. The range in pairwise differences among all 13 specimens was $0-16$, with an average of 5.5 . A specimen from the Philippines is deposited at the Philippines National Museum (GN2250 = JPAG 038).

## Himantura fai (pink whipray) (fig. 51)

Four specimens resembling $H$. fai were included in the analysis; two from Australia, one from the Philippines, and one from

Malaysian Borneo. They represent the eastern portion of the distribution of this IndoWest Pacific ray, which has also been reported from the Arabian Peninsula and possibly as far south as South Africa. Although the specimens from Australia differed from one another by 11 bases, those from the other localities were identical to one another. Thus, the range in pairwise differences among all four specimens was $0-12$, with an average of 9.5 . The specimen from the Philippines (i.e., GN2231 = BRU 083) was treated by Compagno et al. (2005b).

Himantura uarnak (reticulate whipray) complex (fig. 52)
The analysis yielded four distinct clusters of specimens that were generally consistent in morphology and color pattern with Himantura uarnak as defined by Last and Stevens (2009) based on Australian material (i.e., disc with a pale yellow background with a dense pattern of brown, fine reticulations). However, members of this species complex undergo multiple ontogenetic changes in coloration and these stages need to be described in detail for each of the forms. Unfortunately, such data were not available to inform the identifications of the specimens included here. Moreover, our analysis did not include specimens from the type locality of H. uarnak, the Red Sea, and thus it is unclear which, if any, of the four clusters truly represents $H$. uarnak. As a consequence, all four of the clusters have been given numerical designations of Himantura uarnak from 1 to 4 ; each of these is treated separately below.

The haplotype map of phenotypes (fig. 95A), which for comparative purposes also includes the similarly colored $H$. undulata and $H$. leoparda, shows no overlap in haplotypes among any of these six species. The haplotype map colored by geography (fig. 95B) indicates that $H$. undulata, H. leoparda, and as many as three of the four species in the Himantura uarnak complex (i.e., all but $H$. uarnak 2) may cooccur in Borneo. The $H$. uarnak species complex is currently being revised by P.L. and B. Mabel ManjajiMatsumoto.

## Himantura uarnak 1 (fig. 52)

The 12 specimens comprising the cluster referred to as Himantura uarnak 1 were
collected from Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo and the Philippines. They exhibited a disc with a yellow background and fine brown reticulations comprised of brown lines and some spots. The two specimens (i.e., GN2934 and GN3006) collected from Lahad Datu in Malaysian Borneo were most divergent among the specimens in this cluster; these individuals exhibited a somewhat darker disc and spots. The range of pairwise differences among all 12 of the specimens of this cluster was $0-25$, with an average of 8 . We note that the Philippine specimens $(\mathrm{GN} 2237=$ JPAG 035, GN2238 = JPAG 036, and GN2249 = BRU 027) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Himantura uarnak.

## Himantura uarnak 2 (fig. 52)

The 12 specimens comprising the second cluster, referred to as Himantura uarnak 2, were collected from several localities off northern Australia. Their disc exhibits dark, crisp lines and some spots that may resemble joined hexagons. The range of pairwise differences among specimens of this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 2.6 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the $H$. uarnak 1 and $H$. uarnak 2 clusters was 54.9. These two clusters grouped together, well away from the third cluster of specimens with this general color pattern.

Himantura undulata (honeycomb whipray) (fig. 52)
A total of 11 specimens of this species were included in the analysis and were found to comprise a single tight cluster. These rays were collected from Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo. Our specimens include juveniles with discs of light background and brown spots (rhomboids) that were light/open internally, to very large, mature animals with discs that exhibit a light background and brown reticulations rather than brown spots. Man-jaji-Matsumoto and Last (2008) and Manjaji (2004) considered this to be a senior synonym of $H$. fava. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-3$, with an average of 1.5 . These specimens were found to group with two of the clusters of Himantura uarnak, i.e., H. uarnak 1 and H. uarnak 2.

## Himantura leoparda (leopard whipray) (fig. 52)

In total, four specimens of this newly described Indo-Pacific species (see ManjajiMatsumoto and Last, 2008) were included in the analysis. These were collected from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia, Singapore, and Indonesian Borneo. These specimens comprised a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $1-12$, with an average of 7.8.

## Himantura uarnak 3 (fig. 52)

The third H. uarnak cluster, which is referred to here as Himantura uarnak 3, was comprised of 15 specimens from Singapore and Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo. These specimens had a disc with a yellow background with fine brown reticulations or small brown spots. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 1.8. However, two other species of Himantura (namely, $H$. undulata and $H$. leoparda) grouped more closely with the clusters comprised of specimens identified as $H$. uarnak 1 and $H$. uarnak 2 than did specimens of $H$. uarnak 3. The averages of the pairwise differences between specimens of $H$. uarnak 3 and those of $H$. uarnak 1 and $H$. uarnak 2, were 122.9 and 121.3, respectively.

## Himantura uarnak 4 (fig. 52)

One of the specimens, also bearing a disc with dorsal spots and/or reticulations, clustered along with but outside all of the other specimens of the H. uarnak complex. This specimen also came from Malaysian Borneo. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $H$. uarnak 1 was 140.7, from those of $H$. uarnak 2 was 148.3, and from H. uarnak 3 was 136.3. Thus, it was given the separate numerical designation of Himantura uarnak 4. This species also grouped outside the $H$. undulata and $H$. leoparda clusters.

Himantura oxyrhyncha (longnose marbled whipray) complex (fig. 53)
In total, 42 specimens identified as this species were included in the analysis. All of these were taken from the west coast of Indonesian Borneo and thus represent the more southern portion of the distribution of this species, which has also been reported
from Cambodia and Thailand. Two specimens from Kalimantan were deposited in the California Academy of Sciences (GN4534 = CAS 229037 and GN4540 = CAS 229038). The analysis yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among members of this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 0.6 . It is interesting to note, however, that included among these specimens was one animal (GN4483) that differed conspicuously from the remaining specimens in form, denticle pattern, and color pattern. In recognition of these morphological distinctions, we have referred to this specimen as Himantura cf. oxyrhyncha.

Himantura signifer (white-edge freshwater whipray) (fig. 53)
The 21 specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the Kapuas River in West Kalimantan, Indonesia, and thus represent only a portion of the distribution of this species, which has also been reported from freshwaters in Thailand. One sample has been deposited in the California Academy of Sciences (GN4552 = CAS 229039). The analysis yielded a single cluster with a range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster of $0-7$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.6.

## Himantura cf. kittipongi (fig. 53)

One specimen, collected from the Pawan River in West Kalimantan, Indonesia, was included in the analysis. This specimen is generally consistent in morphology with the relatively recently described but morphologically variable H. kittipongi (see Vidthayanon and Roberts, 2005). However, as H. kittipongi is known only from Thailand, this specimen has been given the designation $H$. cf. kittipongi until additional material from Borneo can be examined in more detail. This specimen clustered most closely with specimens of $H$. signifer; however, the average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those in the H. signifer cluster was 45.3.

## Himantura imbricata (scaly whipray) (fig. 53)

In total, seven specimens of this species were included in the analysis. One of these was collected from the west coast of India and the remaining six came from the Persian Gulf and Gulf of Oman. Thus, our specimens
represent the western and central elements of the distribution of this species, the range of which is not well defined in the Indo-West Pacific. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-$ 11, with an average of 5.3.

## Himantura walga (dwarf whipray) (fig. 53)

The six specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from western Malaysian Borneo and thus represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which is more widespread in the Indo-West Pacific. These six specimens were found to comprise a single cluster, however, the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was relatively broad at $2-17$, with an average of 8.5 . These specimens clustered most closely with those of H. imbricata, but the average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 95.8.
Himantura lobistoma (tubemouth whipray) (fig. 54)
The analysis included 20 specimens of this relatively newly described (see Manjaji-Matsumoto and Last, 2006) Borneo endemic species. These specimens include the holotype (GN2972 = SMEC 369), a paratype (GN2965 $=$ SMEC 370), and four vouchers (GN4609 $=$ CAS 229043, GN3691 = ANFC H 621403, and GN3465 = IPPS BO248, and GN4211 $=$ MZB 15.508). The analysis yielded a single tight cluster with a range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-4$, with an average of 0.9 .

Himantura granulata (mangrove whipray) (fig. 54)
In total, three specimens of this Indo-West Pacific species were included in the analysis, from Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo and the Gulf of Carpentaria in northern Australia. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-3$; the average of the pairwise differences was 2 .

## Himantura sp. 1. (fig. 54)

The analysis included a single specimen (GN2103) of a very large species of Himantura ( 161 cm disc width), collected from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia, the identity of which is uncertain. While this
animal clustered most closely with the specimens of $H$. granulata, the average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $H$. granulata was 65.3. This specimen has been referred to here as Himantura sp. 1. It should be noted that this specimen was host to five new cestodes described by Fyler et al. (2009) and was referred to by those authors as Himantura sp. The NADH2 sequence data for this animal were deposited in GenBank (No. FJ896004) by those authors as an aid to establishing the identity, if not the name, of this host species.

Himantura polylepis (giant freshwater whipray) (fig. 54)
All seven of the specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from eastern Borneo, specifically from the Kinabatangan River in Malaysian Borneo, and the Mahakam River and the Sulawesi Sea in Indonesian Borneo. The analysis yielded a single cluster of specimens with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-6$, with an average of 1.7. However, it would be interesting to include specimens collected from elsewhere in the distribution of this species, which includes the Cho Phraya River basin in Thailand and the Mekong River basin in Cambodia. As noted by Last and Manjaji-Matsumoto (2008), it is likely that records from New Guinea actually refer to Himantura dalyensis, specimens of which were not included in the analysis.

## Urogymnus asperrimus (porcupine ray) complex (fig. 54)

The analysis included six specimens originally identified as belonging to this relatively broadly distributed species. However, the analysis yielded two distinct clusters. One consisted of four specimens collected from northern and Western Australia that were identical in sequence. The second cluster consisted of two specimens collected from the Philippines. These specimens differed from one another by two bases. However, the average of the pairwise differences among specimens in the two clusters was 25 , suggesting that more than one species may be involved. The genus currently includes only two species. Our analysis did not include representation of the second described species, Urogymnus ukpam, which is known only
from rivers in western Africa. Given that our analysis also did not include representation from the type locality of $U$. asperrimus (i.e., India), we have referred to the Australian form as Urogymnus asperrimus 1 and the Philippines form as Urogymnus asperrimus 2 until the identity of Urogymnus from these regions has been studied in more detail. We note that both specimens from the Philippines (GN2259 = JPAG 191 and GN4385 = JPAG 170) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as U. asperrimus. Also of interest is the fact that all specimens of Urogymnus clustered among species of Himantura.

## Dasyatis margarita (daisy stingray) (fig. 55)

The 11 specimens of this species included in the analysis were identical in sequence. All were collected from the coast of Senegal and thus represent the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends as far south as Angola.

## Dasyatis margaritella (pearl stingray) (fig. 55)

The analysis included five specimens of this species, all of which were collected from Senegal, and all of which comprised a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 2.6. These specimens also represent only the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends south to Angola. This species grouped most closely with the sympatric species $D$. margarita. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 75.4 .

Taeniura grabata (round fantail stingray) (fig. 55)
The two specimens of this species included in the analysis were identical in sequence. Both were collected from Senegal, and thus represent only the northwestern elements of the distribution of this species, which may occur throughout much of the coast of Africa, and as far east as the Red Sea. These specimens clustered among Dasyatis species, most closely with D. microps. However, the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 161.5 . It should be noted that the generic placement of this species is questionable.

Dasyatis microps (thickspine giant stingray) (fig. 55)
Two specimens of this species, one from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia and one from Mozambique, were included in the analysis. These specimens represent the eastern and western margins of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. The specimens clustered together, differing by only a single base.

Dasyatis zugei (pale-edged stingray) complex (fig. 56)
The analysis included 24 specimens from Borneo and 12 from Vietnam and thus represents only the central elements of the distribution of this species, which is considered to occur widely throughout the Indo-West Pacific. The analysis yielded two clusters. One consisted of the specimens from Borneo with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-7$, with an average of 3.2 ; the other consisted of the specimens from Vietnam with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-5$, and an average of 1.5 . These clusters differed substantially from one another, with an average of the pairwise differences among specimens in the two clusters of 33.7 and likely represent two distinct taxa. Given that the type locality of D. zugei is Macau, China, the specimens from Vietnam have been designated as $D$. zugei. The specimens in the cluster from Borneo have been designated as $D$. cf. zugei until such time as this complex can be examined in more detail. Two specimens of the latter taxon have been deposited (GN4450 $=$ CAS 229027 and GN3437 $=$ IPPS BO169).

## Dasyatis centroura (roughtail stingray) (fig. 56)

Two specimens of this Atlantic species were included in the analysis. These were collected from Virginia and differed from one another by one base.

## Dasyatis ushiei (cow stingray) (fig. 56)

A single specimen identified as $D$. ushiei was included in the analysis. This species was also reported in Indonesia, as D. cf. ushiei, by White et al. (2006) and has since been validated as conspecific with specimens from Taiwan (Last et al., 2010c). This specimen clustered most closely with the specimens of D. centroura, with an average of the pairwise
differences between specimens of these two species of 20.5 .

Dasyatis brevicaudata (shorttail stingray) (fig. 56)
The single specimen of this species included is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4652 = ANFC H 634625). It was collected from Western Australia and thus represents only a small portion of the distribution of this southern temperate Indo-West Pacific species. This specimen grouped most closely with D. ushiei and D. centroura. The averages of the pairwise differences between $D$. brevicaudata and the latter two species were 49 and 58.5, respectively.

Pteroplatytrygon violacea (pelagic stingray) (fig. 56)
The specimen of this species included in the analysis was collected off California and thus represents a single locality from the global distribution of this oceanic species. It clustered most closely with a grouping consisting of D. brevicaudata, D. ushiei, and D. centroura with an average of the pairwise differences between the $P$. violacea and specimens in the latter grouping of 81.8. It should be noted that this species clustered among Dasyatis species. Its placement has alternated between Pteroplatytrygon and Dasyatis in the last few decades and based on our findings, this needs to be be revisited.
Dasyatis marmorata (marbled stingray) (fig. 56)
A single specimen, collected from Senegal, of this African species was included in the analysis. It clustered along with several other species of this genus. Following Eschmeyer and Fricke (2011), we have referred to this Senegalese specimen as $D$. marmorata.

## Taeniurops meyeni (fantail stingray) (fig. 56)

Two specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These came from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia and the eastern coast of Malaysian Borneo, and thus represent only a small portion of the IndoWest Pacific distribution of this species. These specimens differed from one another by one base. It is of note that this species clustered among species of Dasyatis suggesting that its generic placement should be revisited. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and the other five with which it clustered was 108.

Dasyatis dipterura (diamond stingray) (fig. 56)
All four specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the Gulf of California and thus represent a more northern element of the distribution of this species, which extends coastally from southern California to Chile. These specimens differed from one another by $1-2$ bases; the average of the pairwise differences was 1.5. We have followed Ebert (2003) here in referring to these specimens as $D$. dipterura, rather than $D$. brevis, because the latter is a junior synonym of the former.
Dasyatis say (bluntnose stingray) (fig. 56)
The three specimens of this species included in the analysis were all collected from the Gulf of Mexico, and thus represent only the northern elements of the distribution of this species, which extends south to Brazil. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-1$. The cluster comprised of specimens of this species grouped most closely with those of $D$. dipterura. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 52.6 .
Dasyatis longa (longtail stingray) (fig. 56)
Four specimens of this species, all from the Gulf of California, were included in the analysis. They were identical in sequence. However, they represent only the most northern elements of the distribution of this species, which occurs as far south as Ecuador.

## Dasyatis sp. (fig. 56)

Two adults and one juvenile specimen from Senegal, which were identical in sequence, grouped close to but independently from the Pacific-dwelling D. longa with an average pairwise difference of 26 . The adult of this unidentified species exhibited a median row of conspicuous thorns on the midline of the disc that extended onto the tail and a shorter row of smaller spines on either side of the median row. In this respect, this species appears to be inconsistent with species known from this region. Its identity remains uncertain and it possibly represents an undescribed species.
Dasyatis americana (southern stingray) (fig. 56)
The analysis included three specimens of this western Atlantic species, two collected
from the western Atlantic Ocean and one from the Gulf of Mexico. Although these specimens grouped together, the range of pairwise differences among them was high at 15-29, with an average of 21.7. Unfortunately no specimens were retained; clearly the identity of $D$. americana from the western Atlantic seaboard would be interesting to explore in more detail.

## Dasyatis sabina (Atlantic stingray) (fig. 56)

The two specimens of this species included in the analysis differed by 15 bases. Both were collected from the Gulf of Mexico at the center of the distribution of this species, which occurs coastally from North Carolina to Belize. They comprised a single cluster and differed substantially from all other closely grouped taxa. For example, the ranges of pairwise differences between this species and its sympatric congeners $D$. say and $D$. americana were 149 and 163.3, respectively.

## Pastinachus species

The fact that this genus has recently been expanded from one or two species to include five valid species led us to generate phenotype and geography haplotype networks for the 29 specimens in the analysis that we believe constitute five distinct species. The phenotype haplotype map (fig. 96A) illustrates that, collectively, these specimens exhibit five distinct, tight (except for P. stellurostris, which is represented by only a single specimen) clusters of haplotypes that correspond to the species recognized here. The haplotype map showing the geographic origin of the specimens (fig. 96B) emphasizes that four of these species cooccur throughout the island of Borneo. Pastinachus atrus appears widely distributed, with a relatively tight clustering of haplotypes among specimens in different parts of its distribution.

## Pastinachus atrus (cowtail stingray) (fig. 57)

In total, 14 specimens identified as this species were included in the analysis, which yielded a single cluster. These specimens came from eastern Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo, the Philippines, Madagascar, and Australia. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-14$, with an average of 5.8. This species has long been confused with $P$. sephen, originally
described from Saudi Arabia and the Red Sea. However, Last and Stevens (2009) resurrected $P$. atrus and it is now considered to have a broad Indo-Pacific distribution (Last et al., 2010c). The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $P$. atrus and those of $P$. solocirostris was 93, P. stellurostris 101.1, P. gracilicaudus 95 , and $P$. cf. sephen 84.1. We note that the specimen from the Philippines (GN2226 = JPAG 167) was treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as $P$. cf. sephen.

## Pastinachus gracilicaudus (narrowtail stingray)

 (fig. 57)The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of nine specimens of this recently described species (see Last and Manjaji-Matsumoto, 2010), collected from throughout the island of Borneo. These included three paratypes (GN4498 = ANFC H 7107-01, GN4503 = ANFC H 7108-01, and GN4456 = MZB 18227). The range of pairwise differences among the specimens in this cluster was $1-8$, with an average of 4.1.

## Pastinachus cf. sephen (fig. 57)

Three specimens collected from the Gulf of Oman off the coast of Iran were included in the analysis; the range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $1-4$, with an average of 2.7 . These specimens exhibited a deep ventral fin fold consistent with that seen in $P$. sephen and also in P. atrus. The specimens from the Gulf of Oman grouped well away from the specimens of $P$. atrus, and thus are not likely conspecific with the latter species. Given that no specimens definitely identified as $P$. sephen were included here, this cluster has provisionally been designated as $P$. cf. sephen until the required morphological and molecular comparisons with $P$. sephen can be made. These specimens clustered most closely with P. gracilicaudus. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in these two clusters was 86.8.

Pastinachus solocirostris (roughnose stingray) (fig. 57)
The analysis included 12 specimens identified as this relatively newly described species (see Last et al., 2005). These specimens were collected from Malaysian and Indonesian

Borneo and represent the core parts of its distribution; it is also known to occur elsewhere in Indonesia. Three of these specimens are paratypes (GN3432 = ANFC H 6123-03, GN3433 = ANFC H 6123-02, and GN3441 $=$ ANFC H 6219-01) and one is a voucher deposited in the California Academy of Sciences (GN4612 = CAS 229044). The analysis yielded a single cluster comprised of these specimens. The range of pairwise differences among 11 of these specimens was $0-8$. However, one specimen from western Malaysian Borneo was particularly divergent; this specimen extended the upper boundary of the range of pairwise differences within this cluster to 18 . However, this specimen did not differ conspicuously morphologically from the other specimens in the cluster. The average of pairwise differences among all 12 specimens in this cluster was 6.2.

## Pastinachus stellurostris (starrynose stingray) (fig. 57)

One specimen of this recently described species (see Last et al., 2010b) was collected from western Indonesian Borneo. It clustered most closely with specimens of $P$. solocirostris; the average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $P$. solocirostris was 81.2.

Neotrygon kuhlii (bluespotted maskray) complex (fig. 58)
In total, 47 specimens of maskrays with blue spots were included in the analysis. Conservatively, the resulting group includes four distinct clusters, suggesting that N. kuhlii actually represents a complex of species as reported by Last et al. (2010c). Unfortunately, our specimens do not include the localities of any of the syntype material of $N$. kuhlii (i.e., India, Solomon Islands, or New Guinea). As a consequence, we have referred to these clusters as $N$. kuhlii 1 through 4. These species are treated separately below. A taxonomic revision of this complex is being undertaken by P.L. and W.W. and a detailed molecular phylogenetic study is being undertaken by Melody Puckridge.

The phenotype and geography haplotype networks generated for Neotrygon include these four forms as well as N. picta and a specimen we have identified as $N$. cf. ningalooensis (see below). The haplotype
network colored by phenotype (fig. 97A) shows no overlap in haplotypes among any of these six forms. Neotrygon kuhlii 1 showed the greatest amount of haplotype variation, but also was represented by the greatest number of specimens. The sympatry of three species ( $N$. picta, N. cf. ningalooensis, and $N$. kuhlii 4) in Australia, and two species in Borneo (N. kuhlii 1 and N. kuhlii 2 ) is clearly illustrated in the geography network (fig. 97B).

## Neotrygon kuhlii 1 (fig. 58)

The 33 specimens given this designation were collected from throughout Borneo and also the Philippines. In general, these specimens are typical of members of the N. kuhlii complex, bearing a dark mask and blue spots of several sizes. Six of the specimens from Borneo were vouchered (GN4475 = CAS 229033, GN3445 = IPPS BO218, GN3446 = IPPS BO219, GN3684 = IPPS BO473, GN3697 = IPPS BO486, and GN3698 = IPPS BO487). The range of pairwise differences among specimens comprising this cluster was $0-16$, with an average of 7 . While some structure was seen within this cluster, particularly with respect to four specimens collected from South and Central Kalimantan, to be conservative we have refrained from using a different designation for these specimens. We note that the two Philippine specimens (GN2216 $=$ BRU 029 and GN2211 = BRU 001) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Dasyatis kuhlii.
Neotrygon kuhlii 2 (fig. 58)
This designation was employed for a cluster comprised of eight specimens collected from western Borneo, Thailand, Vietnam, and Taiwan. These animals either lacked spots entirely, or possessed only a few small blue spots. One of the specimens from Borneo was vouchered (GN3621 = IPPS BO409). We note that the relatively large range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster (i.e., $0-19$, with an average of 6.6) can be accounted for by the specimen from Thailand. Nonetheless, we have again taken the conservative approach of assigning only a single designation to the members of this cluster at this time. These specimens grouped most closely to the cluster consisting of specimens of $N$. kuhlii 1 . However, the average of the pairwise differ-
ences between specimens of $N$. kuhlii 2 and those of $N$. kuhlii 1 was 20.6.

Neotrygon kuhlii 3 (fig. 58)
Also unique, was a single specimen collected from the western coast of Madagascar in the Mozambique Channel. This specimen also exhibited the color pattern typical of Neotrygon kuhlii, with a dark mask and blue spots of several sizes. The averages of the pairwise differences between this specimen and N. kuhlii 1 and N. kuhlii 2, were 29.3 and 30.4 , respectively.

## Neotrygon kuhlii 4 (fig. 58)

This designation was employed for the cluster comprised of the five specimens collected from northern Australia. These specimens also exhibited the color pattern typical of Neotrygon kuhlii. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-10$, with an average of 5.8 . The averages of the pairwise differences between specimens in this cluster and those of species Neotrygon kuhlii 1, N. kuhlii 2, and N. kuhlii 3 were 30.3, 32.4, and 36.4, respectively.

## Neotrygon picta (speckled maskray) (fig. 58)

The specimens of this newly described Australian endemic species (see Last and White, 2008a) that were included in the analysis consisted of the holotype (GN4654 $=$ ANFC H 5771-01) and five additional specimens. The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among specimens of $0-9$, with an average of pairwise differences of 3.8. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this cluster and the 46 specimens in the Neotrygon kuhlii complex overall was 95.1.

Neotrygon cf. ningalooensis (fig. 58)
A single specimen, collected from the Gulf of Carpentaria in northern Australia, which is similar in appearance to the recently described $N$. ningalooensis from northwestern Australia (see Last et al., 2010d) was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered along with but outside all the other Neotrygon species. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and all other Neotrygon taxa included in the analysis was 111.4. Until this specimen
can be compared with the types of $N$. ningalooensis, it has been given the designation $N$. cf. ningalooensis.

Taeniura lymma (bluespotted fantail ray) complex (fig. 59)
In total, 33 specimens of bluespotted fantail rays were included in the analysis. The analysis yielded a group exhibiting a substantial amount of substructure indicating that more than a single species is represented by these specimens. However, because much of this molecular variation was not associated with any immediately apparent phenotypic variation or geographic distribution, we have given separate designations to only the two most conspicuous clusters until bluespotted fantail rays can be examined in more detail. Given that the type locality of T. lymma is the Red Sea and our analysis included no specimens from that region, we have given specimens in the two clusters the numerical designations T. lymma 1 and T. lymma 2 as it is unclear which, if either, represents the original T. lymma.

The haplotype maps reflect the uncertainty associated with specific designations in this complex. While there is no haplotype overlap among specimens identified as T. lymma 1 and T. lymma 2 (fig. 98A), there is substantial haplotype variation among specimens within both of these clusters. The geography haplotype map (fig. 98B) suggests that these two species are not entirely allopatric with respect to one another for one or more specimens of both species came from Kalimantan.

## Taeniura lymma 1 (fig. 59)

The 28 specimens comprising this cluster were collected from a diversity of localities throughout Borneo, Vietnam, and the Philippines. While many of the specimens from Sabah in northeastern Borneo are identical in sequence, the range in pairwise differences among all the specimens in this cluster was $0-$ 21 , with an average of 3.8 bases. The upper end of this range can be accounted for by a specimen from Sabah and also by several specimens from West Kalimantan. We note that the four specimens from the Philippines (GN2243 = JPAG 033, GN2255 = RSE 007, GN2257 = RSE 008, and GN2215 = BRU 028) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Taeniura lymma.

## Taeniura lymma 2 (fig. 59)

The seven specimens comprising the second cluster of bluespotted fantail rays were collected from northern Australia, Sulawesi, and Indonesian Borneo. The range in pairwise differences among the specimens in this cluster was $0-17$, with an average of 9.5 . However, within this cluster, the four specimens from Australia grouped independently from the remaining specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between the Australian specimens and those from the Sulawesi and Borneo cluster was 14.7. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of the $T$. lymma 1 cluster and those of the $T$. lymma 2 cluster was 22.8.

## Urotrygonidae (round stingrays)

## Urobatis concentricus (bullseye stingray) (fig. 60)

Five specimens of this species were included in the analysis, which yielded a single cluster. These specimens were collected from the Gulf of California and are thus representative of the distribution of this species. One of these specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN2275 = TCWC 7580.01). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-4$, with an average of 1.6.
Urobatis maculatus (Cortez round stingray) (fig. 60)
All five specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the Gulf of California and thus are representative of the distribution of this species in general. The analysis yielded a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 2.8. This cluster grouped closely with that comprised of the specimens of $U$. concentricus. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 11.1 .

## Urobatis halleri (round stingray) (fig. 60)

The nine specimens included in the analysis all came from the Gulf of California and thus are representative of the more northern elements of the distribution of this species, which occurs as far south as Panama. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-8$, with an average of 4 . This cluster grouped outside that consisting of specimens of $U$. concentricus and $U$. macula-
tus. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of $U$. concentricus was 53.2 and between this species and $U$. maculatus was 58.8.
Urotrygon rogersi (lined round stingray) (fig. 60)
Two specimens of this species were included in the analysis, both collected from the Gulf of California and thus represent the northern portion of the distribution of this species, which occurs as far south as Ecuador. One of these specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5250 = TCWC 7567.04). The specimens differed by five bases.

## Urotrygon cf. simulatrix (fig. 60)

One specimen of this species was included in the analysis. The specimen clustered along with, but outside the two specimens of $U$. rogersi. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 185.5.

Urobatis jamaicensis (yellow stingray) (fig. 60)
Both specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the Cayman Islands and thus come from essentially the center of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout much of the subtropical and tropical western seaboard of the Atlantic Ocean including the Caribbean Sea and Bahamas. These specimens were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with the specimens of $U$. rogersi and $U$. cf. simulatrix; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $U$. jamaicensis and the latter two species was 180.5 and 184 , respectively.

## Potamotrygonidae (river stingrays)

Potamotrygon species (fig. 60)
Much confusion surrounds the identities of the potamotrygonid stingrays from the rivers of South America. In total, the analysis included nine specimens of the South American freshwater stingray genus Potamotrygon, five of which were collected from the Madre de Dios River in Peru. The results of the analysis suggest that these nine specimens represent perhaps as many as six distinct lineages. However, the molecular results are not necessarily congruent with the color patterns of the specimens involved. Given this, in combination with the fact that only
two of the nine specimens have been deposited in a museum (GN5881 = MZUSP 95411 and GN5880 $=$ MZUSP 107670), and in light of the concerns raised by Toffoli et al. (2008), we have only limited confidence in the identifications of the specimens included here.

The analysis yielded a group comprised of all nine specimens, with a cluster comprised of five specimens collected from the Madre de Dios River in Peru. Within the Madre de Dios cluster, the genetic identities of three specimens were much closer to one another than to either of the other two specimens in the cluster. These three specimens had a range of pairwise differences of 2-3 (with an average of 2.7). However, the color patterns of these five specimens are inconsistent with their genetic identities. Given the issues raised by Toffoli et al. (2008), the identities of these specimens have been assigned here are based in large part on color pattern. We are confident that GN5880 is $P$. tatianae because it was among the voucher specimens examined by da Silva and de Carvalho (2011) in their description of $P$. tatianae, which is known only from the Madre de Dios River. Two specimens have been identified as $P$. cf. tatianae because, although they are consistent with the color pattern of that species, they differ from it by 26.5 bases. The color pattern of the remaining two specimens resembles that of $P$. motoro. However, in the absence of definitively identified specimens of $P$. motoro, in combination with the fact that these specimens differ from one another by 37 bases, we have given these specimens the designations Potamotrygon cf. motoro 1 and $P$. cf. motoro 2 . The remaining four specimens were purchased from pet stores and, as a consequence, are of unknown provenance and their identities are uncertain. These have been given the designation Potamotrygon sp. 1 and Potamotrygon sp. here, but it is important to note that these specimens may represent described species that were not included in the analysis. The two specimens of Potamotrygon sp. 1 differed from one another by one base and the two specimens of Potamotrygon sp. 2 differed from one another by one base. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of Potamotrygon sp. 1 and those of Potamotrygon sp. 2 was 104. Clearly, much work
remains to be done to resolve the identities of these specimens relative to confirmed material.

## Paratrygon aiereba (discus ray) (fig. 60)

A single specimen of this currently monotypic genus, also collected from Peru, was included in the analysis. This grouped along with but outside the Potamotrygon specimens. This specimen is deposited in the Zoology Museum in Sao Paulo, Brazil (GN5874 = MZUSP 95406).

Himantura schmardae (Chupare stingray) (fig. 60)
A single specimen of this species, collected from Guyana, was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered along with, but outside those of Potamotrygon and Paratrygon. This specimen was deposited at the Royal Ontario Museum (GN6488 = ROM 66845). The grouping of this species with the Potamotrygonidae rather than with the Dasyatidae warrants further taxonomic investigation.

## Rhinopteridae (cownose rays)

## Rhinoptera species

In total, 52 specimens of cownose rays were included in the analysis. The identities of most of these specimens were difficult to determine using either morphological or molecular criteria, because the taxonomy of this genus remains so relatively poorly known. Even key characters such as tooth shape and number appear to be intraspecifically variable. Hence, in assigning names to taxa we have taken a conservative approach. Morphology, molecular differences, and geographic location were used in combination to make these determinations. In general, only in instances in which at least two of these three criteria were congruent, were the specimens comprising a cluster assigned a unique name. In total we believe our specimens represent as many as eight species of Rhinoptera.

The phenotype and geography haplotype maps for the 52 specimens of Rhinoptera included here are illustrated in figure 99A and 99B, respectively. The phenotype map supports the validity of at least seven of these species in that their haplotypes are distinct and, in the cases of species represented by multiple specimens, the haplotypes of their members are tightly clustered. However, it
also serves to highlight issues with $R$. steindachneri and $R$. bonasus, which are addressed in the sections treating these species below.

Rhinoptera steindachneri (Pacific cownose ray) complex (fig. 61)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of seven specimens of Rhinoptera steindachneri from the Gulf of California, seven specimens from the Gulf of Mexico, and one from North Carolina all originally identified as Rhinoptera bonasus. The range of pairwise differences among the 15 members of this cluster was $0-9$ (with an average of 3.8). However, these specimens differ from one another morphologically in a number of respects. For example, the specimens from the Gulf of California appear to be fully consistent with the eastern Pacific species, Rhinoptera steindachneri, the type locality of which is in the Gulf of California. In contrast, the configuration of the tooth plates of the specimens from the Gulf of Mexico and North Carolina resemble those of Rhinoptera brasiliensis, even more than they do those of R. bonasus. Furthermore, these specimens clustered well outside specimens from the western Atlantic considered to be morphologically consistent with $R$. bonasus (see below). Unfortunately, no confirmed specimens of the southwestern Atlantic R. brasiliensis were included in the analysis. Until such time as the New World members of this genus can be examined in more detail, despite the mixed nature of this cluster, we have retained the designation Rhinoptera steindachneri for the specimens from the Gulf of California and have adopted Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri for the members of this cluster that occur in the other localities.

However, the haplotype map colored by phenotype (fig. 99A) does not support this line of reasoning. Not only is one haplotype shared by specimens identified as $R$. steindachneri and $R$. cf. steindachneri, but the haplotypes of specimens of these two taxa are very similar and, in fact, comprise a single group. This suggests the alternative interpretation that $R$. steindachneri occurs not only in the eastern Pacific, but also in the Gulf of Mexico, and possibly also the western

Atlantic Ocean, where it is sympatric with Rhinoptera bonasus.

Rhinoptera sp. 1 (fig. 61)
Clustering along with but outside the specimens in the Rhinoptera steindachneri complex was a specimen collected from Senegal (GN5978). The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those in the former cluster of 15 specimens was 20.8. Given its degree of genetic divergence and disparate locality, this specimen has been given a separate designation until such time as additional specimens from the eastern Atlantic can be examined in more detail.

Rhinoptera jayakari (Oman cownose ray) (fig. 61)
The analysis also yielded a cluster comprised of 10 specimens collected from a diversity of localities including Borneo, Mozambique, and the Gulf of Oman. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-17$, with an average of 8.3. While there was some evidence of two subclusters within this cluster, the specimens in these two subclusters overlapped in geography and had an average of the mean pairwise differences of 13.7. At this time, all specimens in this cluster have been referred to as Rhinoptera jayakari following Last et al. (2010c).

Rhinoptera bonasus (cownosed ray) complex (fig. 61)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of 18 specimens from a diversity of localities in the western Atlantic including Virginia, North Carolina, and South Carolina. The range in pairwise differences among members of this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 1 . The fact that these specimens grouped away from those comprising the $R$. cf. steindachneri cluster, which also included a specimen from the western Atlantic, as noted above, suggests that this region may be home to two sympatric species of Rhinoptera. These specimens have been given the designation $R$. bonasus, based on their morphology and because the type locality of this species is New York. The average of the pairwise differences between $R$. bonasus and its sympatric congener $R$. cf. steindachneri was 86.

Grouping along with but outside the members of the former cluster was a second
specimen collected from Senegal. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of the $R$. bonasus cluster was 19.4. Thus, while this specimen from Senegal exhibited a tooth pattern similar to that of $R$. bonasus, given its geography and genetic difference, it has been referred to here as $R$. cf. bonasus. The difference between this specimen and that of the other from Senegal (Rhinoptera sp. 1) was 80 . This suggests that Senegal may also be home to two sympatric species of Rhinoptera.

The haplotype map (fig. 99A) supports the contention that $R$. cf. bonasus from Senegal is distinct from $R$. bonasus. However, it also confirms that a second species (Rhinoptera sp. 1) occurs sympatrically with $R$. cf. bonasus in Senegal.

Rhinoptera neglecta (Australian cownose ray) (fig. 61)
The analysis also yielded a cluster comprised of five specimens of $R$. neglecta from northern Australia. One of these specimens came from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4662 = ANFC H 3915-01). The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 1.2.

## Rhinoptera javanica (Javanese cownose ray) (fig. 61)

Two specimens identified as $R$. javanica (see Last et al., 2010c), one from eastern Malaysian Borneo and one from Vietnam, grouped immediately outside the $R$. neglecta cluster. These specimens differed from one another by nine bases. The average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of $R$. neglecta was 22.6.

## Mobulidae (devil rays)

## Mobula japanica (spinetail devilray) (fig. 61)

In total, 12 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. Given that 10 of these specimens were collected from the Gulf of California and two from Vietnam, they represent two disparate elements of the distribution of this widely distributed species. One of the specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5273 = TCWC 7568.01). The analysis yielded a single cluster; the range of pairwise
differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 2.3 .

Manta birostris (manta) (fig. 61)
The two specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Indonesia and the Philippines and thus represent only a very small portion of the distribution of this global species. The sequences of these specimens differed by seven bases. It is interesting that this species clustered among Mobula species; most closely with Mo. japanica. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of Ma. birostris and Mo. japanica was 125.8. The recent resurrection of Manta alfredi by Marshall et al. (2009) has caused doubt over the identifications of manta species in published literature and other records. The specimen from Indonesia can be accurately confirmed as $M$. birostris based on photographic evidence. There is a possibility that the specimen from the Philippines may represent $M$. alfredi; acquisition of more specimens confirmed as $M$. alfredi in the future will be required to confirm this. The Philippine specimen is deposited in the Philippines (GN4356 = BRU 043). At this stage we retain $M$. birostris as the designation for both specimens.

Mobula thurstoni (smoothtail devilray) (fig. 61)
The analysis included eight specimens of this relatively widely distributed species, all collected from the Gulf of California and thus representing only a very small portion of its distribution. One of these specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5284 = TCWC 7565.01). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-7$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.5 .

## Mobula kuhlii (shortfin devilray) (fig. 61)

Three specimens, two from the Philippines and one from eastern Malaysian Borneo, were included in the analysis. The specimens from the Philippines (GN4337 = BRU 031 and GN4327 $=$ JPAG 303) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as representing a new record of M. kuhlii for that region. The range of pairwise differences among all three specimens was $0-1$. The analysis yielded a single cluster that grouped most closely with the specimens of M. thurstoni. The average of
the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 46.8 .

## Mobula munkiana (pygmy devilray) (fig. 61)

Eight specimens of this species, all collected from the Gulf of California, were included in the analysis. These specimens represent the northern part of the eastern Pacific distribution of this species, which has been reported as far south as Ecuador. One of these specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN2286 = TCWC 7589.03). The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 0.8 .

## Mobula hypostoma (Atlantic devilray) (fig. 61)

Both specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from the Gulf of Mexico and thus represent one of the more northern parts of the distribution of this species, which occurs from New Jersey to Argentina. These specimens differed by four bases and they clustered most closely with $M$. munkiana, with an average of the pairwise differences among specimens between these two species of 31.4.

## Myliobatidae (eagle rays)

Pteromylaeus bovinus (duckbill ray) (fig. 61)
A single specimen of this species was included in the analysis. This specimen was collected from Senegal and thus represents a northeastern element of the distribution of this species, which occurs from Portugal, throughout the western coast of Africa, around the cape and as far north as Mozambique. It clustered away from other myliobatids and along with, but outside all of the species of Rhinoptera and Mobula.

## Myliobatis californica (bat ray) (fig. 62)

The 18 specimens of this species were collected from throughout the Gulf of California and thus represent the southern parts of the distribution of this species, which has been reported to occur from Oregon to Baja, with a rare report from the Galapagos Islands (Grove and Lavenberg, 1997). One of these specimens was deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5203 = TCWC 7564.03). The analysis yielded a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences among the 18 specimens of $0-12$, with an average of 5.6.

Myliobatis aquila (common eagle ray) (fig. 62)
The seven specimens of this eastern Atlan-tic-dwelling species were all collected from South Africa. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-$ 2 , with an average of 0.7 .

## Myliobatis tobijei (kite ray) (fig. 62)

The analysis included three specimens of this species, which occurs from Japan to Indonesia, one of which came from Taiwan and two from the Philippines. The specimens from the Philippines (GN4384 = JPAG 130 and GN4357 = JPAG 147) were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as M. cf. tobijei. However, given that the range in pairwise differences among specimens from both localities was only $1-7$, with an average of 4.7, we have used the designation M. tobijei for all three specimens in this cluster. These specimens grouped most closely with those of M. aquila. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens in these two clusters was 48.9.
Myliobatis longirostris (longnose eagle ray) (fig. 62)
Sixteen specimens of this Gulf of California endemic were included in the analysis, which yielded a single cluster. Five of these specimens were deposited in the Texas Cooperative Wildlife Collection (GN5200 = TCWC 7564.04, GN5201 = TCWC 7564.06, GN5241 = TCWC 7589.04, GN5269 = TCWC 7587.01, and GN1570 = IBUNAM PE9517). Although many of the specimens were identical in sequence, the range in pairwise differences among all specimens in this cluster was $0-11$, with an average of 2.5 .

## Myliobatis freminvillei (bullnose ray) (fig. 62)

The two specimens identified as M. freminvil$l e i$ included in the analysis differed by a single base. They were both collected from the western North Atlantic and thus represent more northern elements of the amphitropical distribution of this species, which has been reported as far south as Argentina. This species grouped most closely with M. longirostris; the average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 52.8 .

## Myliobatis australis (southern eagle ray) (fig. 62)

The analysis included four specimens of this species, all collected from the Tasman Sea in Australia. They thus represent a more eastern
element of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from southern Australia and possibly New Zealand (Last and Stevens, 2009). The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-4$, with an average of pairwise difference of two bases.

## Aetomylaeus species

The analysis included specimens of six nominal species of Aetomylaeus. A haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 100A) and also one for geography (fig. 100B) was generated for the five most similar of these species (i.e., all but A. vespertilio). The phenotype map supports recognition of three distinct species in the $A$. nichofii complex because it shows no overlap in haplotypes among specimens of the different species and also illustrates the substantial amount of divergence between the sympatric A. nichofii and A. maculatus. However, additional specimens from the Persian Gulf would help to confirm the distinction between $A$. cf. nichofii 1 and $A$. nichofii. The haplotype map for geography illustrates the allopatric nature of these three species.
Aetomylaeus nichofii (banded eagle ray) complex (fig. 62)
Eighteen specimens that were generally consistent with the color morph of Aetomylaeus nichofii were included in the analysis. The majority of these came from a diversity of localities around the island of Borneo, but they also included one specimen from the Persian Gulf and two from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia. The analysis yielded a group consisting of these 18 specimens. However, the 15 specimens from Borneo represented a cluster within this group; five of these specimens were vouchered (GN4264 = CAS 229046, GN2968 $=$ ANFC H 6209-01, GN2969 = ANFC H 6209-02, GN3444 = IPPS BO180, and GN3696 $=$ IPPS BO485). The range of pairwise differences among the 15 specimens in the Borneo cluster was $0-10$, with an average of 3.3. The Persian Gulf and Arafura Sea specimens grouped along with but outside the Borneo specimens. The two specimens from the Arafura Sea differed by one base. The average of the pairwise difference between the specimens from Borneo and the specimen from the Persian Gulf was 17.7, and between the specimens from Borneo and those from the Arafura Sea was 74. Furthermore, pairwise
difference between the specimens from the Persian Gulf and Arafura Sea was 72. These results suggest that our sample may include three distinct taxa. Until this issue can be addressed in more detail, we have provisionally referred to the specimens in the Borneo cluster as Aetomylaeus nichofii as the type locality for this species is Indonesia. The specimen from the Persian Gulf has been referred to as Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 1, and the specimen from the Arafura Sea referred to as Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 2. A taxonomic revision of this complex is currently being undertaken by P.L. and W.W.

Aetomylaeus maculatus (mottled eagle ray) (fig. 62)
In total, 10 specimens consistent with the color pattern of $A$. maculatus were included in the analysis. The analysis yielded a single cluster, but with evidence of two subclusters; one comprised primarily of specimens from Malaysian Borneo and one of specimens from Indonesian Borneo. Three of the samples from Borneo come from museum specimens (GN2993 $=$ ANFC H 6220-01, GN3423 = ANFCH 6123-04 and H 6122-02, and GN3442 $=$ ANFC H 6219-02). The range in pairwise differences among all 10 specimens in the cluster was $0-17$, with an average of 8.3. The range of pairwise differences among specimens within the Malaysian subcluster was $0-5$; the three specimens in the Indonesian subcluster were identical in sequence. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens in the two subclusters was 15.2. However, in the absence of consistent morphological or geographic differences, we have made no formal distinction between the two subclusters. It should be noted that our specimens represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from throughout much of the Indo-West Pacific.

Aetomylaeus milvus (ocellate eagle ray) (fig. 62)
Two specimens collected from the Persian Gulf were included in the analysis. These specimens were identical in sequence to one another. They grouped most closely with specimens of Aetomylaeus maculatus. However, the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 95.2 . This result supports the validity of this species, which has been questioned by some previous authors (e.g., Compagno, 1999).

Aetomylaeus vespertilio (ornate eagle ray) (fig. 62)
The five specimens of this species included here were collected from the Arafura Sea and Gulf of Carpentaria, off northern Australia, and from the Philippines. These specimens represent eastern elements of the distribution of this Indo-West Pacific species, which has been reported from as far west as the Mozambique Channel. The Philippine specimen (GN4344 $=$ JPAG 324) was treated as $A$. vespertilio by Compagno et al. (2005b). The analysis yielded a single cluster of these specimens, which had a range of pairwise differences of $0-5$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.8 bases.

## Aetobatus species

Until recently, a great deal of confusion has existed with respect to the identity of the various color morphs of "spotted eagle rays." Several authors (e.g., Last and Stevens, 2009; Richards et al., 2009) have noted that the genus may include more species than currently recognized. The taxonomy of the spotted eagle ray group was recently partially revised by White et al. (2010c), who resurrected a number of existing names for the various color morphs that appear to represent distinct valid taxa. The treatment of this genus here follows the taxonomy proposed by White et al. (2010c). The haplotype maps for our Aetobatus specimens provide support for the recognition of all seven of the nominal species treated below. Specimens of these species share no haplotypes (fig. 101A). Furthermore, the majority of these species exhibit relatively restricted and allopatric geographic distributions (fig. 101B).

Aetobatus ocellatus (whitespotted eagle ray) complex (fig. 63)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of 34 specimens from throughout the Indo-West Pacific (i.e., Malaysian and Indonesian Borneo as well as from Taiwan, Thailand, Vietnam, Singapore, the Philippines, and northern Australia). The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-16$, with an average of 5.8 . These specimens are morphologically consistent with previous accounts of $A$. ocellatus (e.g., see White et al., 2010c), the type locality of which is Java in Indonesia. One of the specimens from the Philippines (GN4364 $=$ JPAG 314) was
treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Aetobatus cf. narinari. Two of the specimens from Borneo were also deposited (GN3550 = IPMB 38.01.08 and GN3513 = IPPS BO296).

However, three additional specimens, one from the Mozambique Channel and two from off Qatar in the Persian Gulf, clustered along with but outside these 34 specimens. Specimens from the Mozambique Channel and Qatar are genetically different from one another, and also from those from the Indo-West Pacific. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimen from the Mozambique Channel ( $A$. cf. ocellatus 1) and those from the Indo-West Pacific ( $A$. ocellatus) was 20.2; the average of pairwise differences between the specimen from the Mozambique Channel and the two from Qatar ( $A$. cf. ocellatus 2) was 29. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimens from Qatar ( $A$. cf. ocellatus 2) and the Indo-West Pacific ( $A$. ocellatus) was 26.8. Unfortunately, photographs are unavailable for any of the three specimens from the Mozambique Channel and Qatar. Nonetheless, they have been referred to here as Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 1 and Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2, respectively, based on their genetic differences. The two specimens of $A$. cf. ocellatus 2 differed by two bases.

Aetobatus narinari (spotted eagle ray) (fig. 63)
Fourteen specimens identified as this species were included in the analysis. These specimens came from the Florida Keys and Gulf of Mexico, as well as from Puerto Rico. Three of the specimens from the Florida Keys came from vouchers (GN5675 and GN5676 = AMNH 251703 and GN5678 = AMNH 251704). The analysis yielded essentially a single cluster with a range in pairwise differences of $0-18$, and an average of 4.4. However, one of the specimens from Puerto Rico (GN2118) differed conspicuously from the other 13 specimens in the cluster. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and the other 13 was 14.6 . The specimens in this cluster are morphologically generally consistent with $A$. narinari, the type locality of which is St. Barthélemy in the Caribbean Sea and Brazil. We have included the more divergent Puerto Rican specimen under this name, but note that it differs, for example, from the other Puerto Rican
specimen (GN2119), in that many more of its white spots are ocellate, rather than solid.

Aetobatus laticeps (Pacific whitespotted eagle ray) (fig. 63)
The analysis also yielded a cluster comprised of four specimens collected from Loreto in the Gulf of California. These specimens are generally consistent with Aetobatus laticeps, described from California by Gill (1867). The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 1 . These specimens clustered most closely with those of $A$. narinari; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 14.9 .

## Aetobatus sp. (fig. 63)

Nine specimens from Vietnam, that differed conspicuously morphologically from the five other species of eagle rays included here, were also found to comprise a cluster that was genetically distinct from the five other species. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 0.4. Unlike other species in the genus, these specimens lacked white spots from the dorsal surface and exhibited a relatively short head. These specimens likely represent an undescribed species. Two of these specimens were deposited in the Vietnam Natural Museum of Nature (GN7014 $=$ VN-z-v. 000394 and GN7050 $=$ VN-z-v.000309).

Aetobatus flagellum (longheaded eagle ray) (fig. 63)
The two specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Indonesian Borneo and Maharastra, India, and they differed from one another by 11 bases. These specimens are consistent with $A$. flagellum, whose type locality is the coast of Coramandel off India. They clustered most closely with Aetobatus sp. from Vietnam. The average of the pairwise differences between $A$. flagellum and those of Aetobatus sp. was 93.7.

## Gymnuridae (butterfly rays)

## Gymnura species

The analysis included a total of 50 specimens of Gymnura. This was among the most problematic genera with respect to specific identifications of specimens. We have treated these specimens as representing nine distinct
species. The haplotype map for phenotype (fig. 102A) supports this level of diversity, in that there are no overlapping haplotypes among the specimens of any of these nine species. The haplotype map for geography (fig. 102B) provides support for the notion that two species of Gymiura (G. crebripunctata and G. marmorata) cooccur in the Gulf of California, two species cooccur in the IndoPacific (G. zonura and G. cf. poecilura 1), and that potentially three species cooccur in northern Australia (G. australis, G. micrura, and Gyтпита sp. 1). Furthermore, our analyses are consistent with the suggestion that butterfly rays in the Persian Gulf are distinct from those in the other localities sampled here. However, clearly this genus would benefit greatly from additional taxonomic work.

Gymnura cf. poecilura complex (fig. 64)
The analysis, which included 17 specimens showing a resemblance to Gymnura poecilura, yielded two clusters. Given that no specimens from India, the type locality of this species, were included, we have given the clusters numerical designations. One, designated Gymnura cf. poecilura 1, contained 12 specimens collected from throughout Borneo; two of these specimens were vouchered (GN3641 = IPPS BO430 and GN4507 $=$ CAS 229034. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-13$, with an average of 5.3. The second cluster, designated Gymnura cf. poecilura 2, consisted of the other five specimens, all of which were collected from the Gulf of Oman. Specimens comprising the latter cluster had a range of pairwise differences of $1-8$, with an average of 4.8 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens the two clusters was 120.5. It is likely that at least one of these forms is an undescribed species.
Gymnura zonura (zonetail butterfly ray) (fig. 64)
Seven specimens identified as this species were included in the analysis. These came from Borneo, Singapore, and the Philippines and thus represent eastern elements of the Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species. The Philippine specimen (GN4369 $=$ BRU 105) was treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Aetoplatea zonura. These specimens were generally consistent with G. zonura; however, they varied in dorsal color pattern from the typical patterned form of $G$. zonura (GN4838) to plain (GN4830). The
analysis yielded a single cluster comprised of these specimens. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $1-14$, with an average of 6 ; the upper end of this range was accounted for by a specimen from the Philippines. Specimens in this cluster grouped among other Gymnura species, supporting the placement of this species in Gymnura (see White et al., 2006; Last et al., 2010c) rather than in the separate genus Aetoplatea. It is closest to Gymnura sp. 1; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of G. zonиra and Gymпига sp. 1 was 129.2 ; between those of $G$. cf. poecilura 1 was 159.5 , and between those of $G$. cf. poecilura 2 was 143.6.

Gymnura sp. 1 (fig. 64)
The analysis included three specimens from the western North Atlantic that had preliminarily been identified as Gyтпита altavela, but that grouped well outside specimens identified as G. altavela from the eastern Atlantic. Given that the type locality of $G$. altavela is in the eastern Atlantic, specimens comprising that cluster (see below) have been provisionally identified as $G$. altavela, and those comprising the genetically divergent western Atlantic cluster, as Gymnura sp. 1. Unfortunately, images of material from the western Atlantic are not available. In the interim, these have been identified as Gymnura sp. 1, but it should be recognized that they may refer to a Gymnura species not represented in this analysis. These specimens differed from one another by $0-1$ bases. They clustered along with G. zonura. The average of the pairwise differences between Gymпита sp. 1 and those of G. zonura is 129.2, between Gymnura sp. 1 and G. cf. poecilura 1 150.3, and between the G. cf. poecilura 2 cluster 141.3.

Gymnuraaustralis (Australian butterfly ray) (fig. 64)
A single specimen of this, the only species of its genus reported from Australia, was included in the analysis. This specimen was collected from the Arafura Sea off northern Australia. It represents a northwestern element of the distribution of this species. It clustered most closely with G. zonura and Gymnura sp. 1, with average pairwise differences of 158.4 and 131.3, respectively.

Gymnura crebripunctata (longsnout butterfly ray) (fig. 64)
In total, seven specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These comprised a single cluster, and exhibited a range of pairwise differences of $1-10$, with an average of 5 . These specimens, which were collected in the Gulf of California, are representative of the northern portion of the distribution of this species, which is known to occur coastally as far south as Panama.
Gymnura marmorata (California butterfly ray) (fig. 64)
The four specimens of this species included here comprised a single cluster and exhibited a range of pairwise differences of $1-3$, and an average of pairwise differences of 1.5. These specimens, collected in the Gulf of California, are representative of the northern elements of the distribution of this species, which has been reported as far south as Peru. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species, and those of their sympatric congener, G. crebripunctata, was 180.3. This is consistent with the work of Smith et al. (2009) in supporting the recognition of $G$. marmorata as a taxon distinct from G. crebripunctata.
Gymnura micrura (smooth butterfly ray) (fig. 64)
One specimen identified as this species, collected from the western Atlantic, was included in the analysis. Unfortunately, images of this specimen are not available, and thus the identity of this specimen has not been confirmed. However, field notes (K. Cleason, personal commun.) indicate that this specimen lacked a tail spine. This specimen clustered most closely with the specimens of G. marmorata, but the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 160.8 . This specimen represents only a portion of the western Atlantic distribution of this species, which occurs throughout much of the central western and eastern seaboards of the Atlantic Ocean.

## Gymnura altavela (spiny butterfly ray) (fig. 64)

Ten specimens that are generally morphologically consistent with this species were included in the analysis. All 10 specimens came from Senegal and thus represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from throughout much of the central
western and eastern seaboards of the Atlantic Ocean. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-5$, with an average of 1.9 bases. Specimens in this cluster and those of their sympatric congener Gymnura sp. 1 had an average pairwise difference of 196.5 .

## Plesiobatidae (giant stingarees)

## Plesiobatis daviesi (giant stingaree) (fig. 64)

The three specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from Malaysian Borneo and the Philippines and thus represent only a central portion of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from southeastern Africa to Hawaii. The specimen from the Philippines was vouchered (GN4346 = MMLM 017). The sequences of all three specimens were identical.

## Urolophidae (stingarees)

Urolophus paucimaculatus (sparsely spotted stingaree) (fig. 65)
Four specimens of this southern Australian endemic were included in the analysis. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster; the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-5$, with an average of pairwise differences of three bases.

Urolophus cruciatus (crossback stingaree) (fig. 65)
The analysis included three specimens identified as this distinctively colored southeastern Australian endemic species. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-2$, with an average of 1.3. These specimens grouped most closely with those of $U$. paucimaculatus; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 76.5.

Urolophus westraliensis (brown stingaree) (fig. 65)
A single specimen of this Australian endemic was included. It is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4637 $=$ ANFC H 4649-23).

## Urolophus expansus (wide stingaree) (fig. 65)

The single specimen of this southwestern Australian endemic species included in the analysis is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4656 = ANFC H 6414-12).

Urolophus viridis (greenback stingaree) (fig. 65)
A single specimen of this species, a southeastern Australian endemic, was included in the analysis. This specimen is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4661 = ANFC H 2444-04). This species clustered most closely with the specimen of U. expansus, but the sequences of these specimens differed by 44 bases.
Urolophus kapalensis (Kapala stingaree) (fig. 65)
A single specimen of this relatively newly described Australian endemic (see Yearsley and Last, 2006) was also included. It was taken from a specimen (GN4658 = H615302) listed among "other material examined" in the original description of this species.

## Urolophus lobatus (lobed stingaree) (fig. 65)

The analysis included one specimen of this Australian endemic, which is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4659 = ANFC H 6346-15).

## Urolophus flavomosaicus (patchwork stingaree) (fig. 65)

Two specimens of this Australian endemic, both in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4636 = ANFC H 1036-6 and GN4657 = ANFC H 1036-37) were included. These specimens were identical in sequence.

Urolophus bucculentus (sandyback stingaree) (fig. 65)
The analysis included a single specimen of this southeastern Australian endemic. This specimen is deposited in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4655 = ANFC H 1269-01). It clustered most closely with $U$. flavomosaicus. Although these two species were considered to bear a strong morphological resemblance to one another and to be sympatric in Southern Queensland by Last and Stevens (1994), the distinction between these two species both morphologically and geographically is made clear by Last and Stevens (2009). The average of the pairwise differences between the two species was 15 .

Trygonoptera imitata (eastern shovelnose stingaree) (fig. 65)
The four specimens of this newly described southeastern Australian endemic species (see Yearsley et al., 2008) included in the analysis were collected from New South Wales. The range in pairwise differences among these
specimens was $1-3$, with an average of 1.7. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster comprised of these specimens.

Trygonoptera testacea (common stingaree) (fig. 65)
A single specimen of this eastern Australian endemic species was included in the analysis. This specimen grouped most closely with the cluster of $T$. imitata specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $T$. imitata was 141 .

Trygonoptera personata (masked stingaree) (fig. 65)
The analysis included one specimen of this western Australian endemic; this specimen is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4635 = ANFC H 6347-20). This species clustered along with but outside two of its three congeners included in the analysis. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and $T$. imitata was 131.5, and between T. testacea was 162.

Trygonoptera ovalis (striped stingaree) (fig. 65)
A single specimen of this western Australian endemic was included in the analysis. This specimen is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4634 = ANFC H 634713). It grouped most closely with but outside its three congeners included in the analysis. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and $T$. imitata was $155, T$. testacea 170, and T. personata 134.

## Hexatrygonidae (sixgill stingrays)

Hexatrygon bickelii (sixgill stingray) (fig. 66)
Two specimens of this unusual ray were included in the analysis. Both specimens came from Taiwan. They differed from one another by one base. These specimens represent only a small portion of the distribution of this species, which is patchily distributed from southern Africa to Hawaii.

## Zanobatidae (panrays)

Zanobatis schoenleinii (striped panray) (fig. 67)
Eight specimens of this species, all collected from Senegal, were included in the analysis. These specimens thus represent a more northern element of the distribution of this species, which extends from Morocco to the Gulf of Guinea. The range of pairwise
differences among specimens of this species was $0-10$, with an average of 3.6.

## Pristidae (modern sawfishes): genus Pristis

Pristis pectinata (smalltooth sawfish) (fig. 68)
In total, 20 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These were collected from the Gulf of Mexico off Florida and the Bahama Islands in the Caribbean Sea. The analysis yielded a single cluster and the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-12$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.2. Given the reported breadth of the distribution of this species, these specimens represent only the northwestern elements of its distribution.

Pristis zijsron (green sawfish) (fig. 68)
All six specimens of this species included in the analysis were collected from northern Australia and thus represent only a small part of the original Indo-West Pacific distribution of this species, which has been reported from fresh, brackish, and marine habitats. Two of these specimens are vouchered (GN3155 $=$ NTM S.14689-001 and GN3159 = NTM S.14689003). The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-5$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.7. The analysis yielded a single cluster that grouped most closely with the cluster of $P$. pectinata specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 108.8.

Pristis clavata (dwarf sawfish) (fig. 68)
Four specimens of this species, which is now considered restricted to northern Australia, were included here. One of these specimens is vouchered (GN3158 = NTM S.14689-002). All four of these specimens were collected from Buffalo Creek in northern Australia. The analysis yielded a single cluster and the range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-4$, with an average of pairwise differences of 2 . The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of $P$. pectinata was 119.6, and between those of $P$. zijsron was 116.7.

## Pristis perotteti (largetooth sawfish) (fig. 68)

The 17 specimens of this coastal Atlanticdwelling species all came from Belem in Brazil. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-11$, with an
average of pairwise differences of 3.7. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of $P$. pectinata, which were also collected from the western Atlantic, was 123.1

Pristis microdon (freshwater sawfish) (fig. 68)
The analysis yielded a single cluster comprised of two specimens of this species, both from the Norman River in Queensland, Australia. The sequences of these specimens were identical and they grouped most closely with specimens of Pristis perotteti. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 16.6.

## Rhinobatidae (guitarfishes): group 1

Rhinobatos productus (shovelnose guitarfish) and Rhinobatos glaucostigma (speckled guitarfish) (fig. 68)
The analysis included 11 specimens identified as $R$. productus and eight identified as R. glaucostigma, all but one of which came from the Gulf of California; one of the specimens of $R$. productus was collected from the coast of California. The analysis yielded a single cluster comprised of specimens of both species with no clear subclustering of species within this cluster. The range in pairwise differences among the 19 specimens was only $0-7$, with an average of 3.6 . Nonetheless, these species differ markedly in morphology, most conspicuously in maximum length and color pattern. Thus, we have retained the specific identities of specimens within this cluster in order to call attention to the discrepancy between the molecular and morphological results in this case. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens identified as $R$. productus and R. glaucostigma was 4.9.

## Pristidae (modern sawfishes): genus Anoxypristis

Anoxypristis cuspidata (narrow sawfish) (fig. 68)
The seven specimens of this species included in the analysis all came from northern and eastern Australia and the range in pairwise differences was $0-1$. Given the relatively broad distribution of this species, which includes much of the IndoWest Pacific, these specimens represent the only the eastern parts of its distribution. It is interesting to note that these specimens grouped conspicuously away from the five other species of
sawfishes of the genus Pristis included in the analysis. Furthermore, the range of pairwise differences between specimens of $A$. cuspidata and $P$. pectinata, $P$. zijsron, $P$. clavata, $P$. perotteti, and $P$. microdon was 159.2, 155.3, 151.4, 168.7, and 166.1, respectively.

## Rhynchobatidae (wedgefishes)

## Rhynchobatus species

The taxonomy of the genus Rhynchobatus is in need of full revision. Recent descriptions of two new Indo-West Pacific species (Compagno and Last, 2008, 2010) has partly resolved some of the issues but further taxonomic work is required. We have attempted to employ the revised taxonomy in naming the specimens in the clusters resulting from the analysis conducted here. Our treatment was further informed by the morphological information presented for these Indo-West Pacific species by Last and Stevens (2009) and Last et al. (2010c).
Rhynchobatus australiae (whitespotted shovelnose ray) (fig. 69)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of 12 specimens collected from a diversity of localities throughout the island of Borneo, as well as from northern Australia, Singapore, Vietnam, and Thailand. One specimen is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN2996 = ANFC H 6221-01). These 12 specimens were generally morphologically consistent with $R$. australiae (see Last and Stevens, 2009). Most conspicuously, the majority of these specimens exhibited the single well-defined black pectoral fin spot, surrounded by four smaller white spots on either side of their body and lacked dark spots behind their eyes. The one exception was a relatively large specimen from Sarawak (GN2893) in which the pectoral fin spot configuration was not as distinct. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-7$, with an average of 3.3.
Rhynchobatus cf. laevis and Rhynchobatus palpebratus (eyebrow wedgefish) (fig. 69)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of five Australian specimens identified as $R$. cf. laevis and two specimens identified as $R$. palpebratus. One of the specimens of $R$. cf. laevis is vouchered in the Australian National

Fish Collection (GN4627 = ANFC H 6319$01)$. The range of pairwise differences among the seven specimens in this cluster was only 0 4 , with an average of 2.3 . Within the cluster there was no evidence of the existence of subclusters of specimens of either species. Nonetheless, specimens identified as $R$. cf. laevis are morphologically consistent with that species as defined by Last and Stevens (2009). For example, not only do these specimens possess a diffuse black pectoral fin spot surrounded by scattered small white spots on each side of their body, but they also lack dark spots or markings near their eyes, whereas the specimens identified as $R$. palpebratus exhibit a well-defined black pectoral fin spot surrounded by four smaller white spots on each side of the body and also have dark spots behind their eyes. Thus, we have retained the different species designations of these specimens in order to call attention to the apparent discrepancy between color pattern and molecular data in this instance. The average of the pairwise differences between R. palpebratus and $R$. cf. laevis was 3. This cluster grouped within a larger cluster containing $R$. australiae. The average of pairwise differences between specimens identified as $R$. cf. laevis and those of $R$. australiae was 41.6 and between specimens identified as R. palpebratus and R. australiae was 41 .

Rhynchobatus laevis (smoothnose wedgefish) (fig. 69)
Another specimen (GN3004 = ANFC H 6221-02) of this genus included in the analysis, collected from western Borneo, grouped along with but outside the cluster containing $R$. cf. laevis and R. palpebratus. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $R$. australiae was 44.3, from those of R. cf. laevis 25 and from those of $R$. palpebratus 23 .

## Rhinidae (sharkrays)

## Rhina ancylostoma (sharkray) (fig. 69)

The six specimens included here came from northern Australia and northern Borneo and thus represent only a small portion of the distribution of this widespread Indo-West Pacific species. They were found to comprise a single cluster, which grouped along with but outside the specimens of Rhynchobatus. The range of
pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-4$, with an average of 2.1.

## Rhinobatidae (guitarfishes): group 2

Glaucostegus typus (giant shovelnose ray) complex (fig. 69)
Fifteen specimens identified as G. typus were included in the analysis. These were collected from northern Australia and eastern and southern Borneo and thus represent the more eastern parts of the distribution of this species, which occurs as far west as India. One of these specimens was vouchered (GN4214 $=$ ANFC H 7085-02). These specimens comprised a single cluster; the range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-3$, with an average of 1 .

Two additional specimens from Borneo clustered along with, but outside the specimens of G. typus. Although morphologically indistinguishable, these specimens exhibited an average pairwise difference from the specimens of $G$. typus of 20.5 . In recognition of this molecular result, these two specimens, which were identical in sequence, have been given the designation G. cf. typus. Taxonomic investigation of these specimens needs to be undertaken.

Glaucostegus thouin (clubnose guitarfish) (fig. 69)
The six specimens of this species were collected from localities in western and southern Borneo. These specimens represent the central portion of the distribution of this species, which has been reported as far west as the Red Sea and eastward to New Guinea. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster with the range of pairwise differences among these specimens being $0-1$. These specimens clustered most closely with those of G. typus and G. cf. typus; the average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of G. typus was 27.9, and between those of $G$. cf. typus was 36.3.

## Rhinobatos cemiculus (blackchin guitarfish)

 (fig. 69)The two specimens of this species included here, both collected from Senegal, were identical in sequence. They clustered along with but outside G. typus with an average of the pairwise differences relative to G. typus of 106.9, relative to $G$. cf. typus 106, and relative
to G. thouin 116.3. Our specimens represent only a relatively small portion of the distribution of this species, which is distributed throughout much of the northern and western coasts of Africa.

Rhinobatos rhinobatos (common guitarfish) (fig. 69)
All 20 specimens included in the analysis were collected from Senegal and thus represent the central part of the distribution of this species, which is known from the Mediterranean Sea to Angola. The analysis yielded a single tight cluster and the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 2 .

## Rhinobatos annulatus (lesser guitarfish) (fig. 69)

The five specimens of this southern African species all came from South Africa, and their sequences were identical. This species grouped most closely with $R$. rhinobatos, but the average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was substantial at 122.2.

## Rhinobatos cf. schlegelii (fig. 69)

The analysis included four specimens from the Philippines (GN4388 = BRU 071, GN2244 = BRU 073, GN2253 = MMLM 001, and GN2254 = MMLM 012), identified by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Rhinobatos cf. schlegelii and considered to represent an undescribed species. However, the cluster also included a specimen (GN4326 $=$ JPAG 310) collected from the Philippines, and originally identified by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Rhinobatos sp. 1, in recognition of the fact that it was a specimen of uncertain identity. Since these specimens form a single cluster, we have used the designation of $R$. cf. schlegelii for this Philippine species. The range of pairwise differences among these five specimens was $0-3$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.8 .

## Rhinobatos sp. 1 (fig. 69)

Two specimens collected from Malaysian Borneo clustered along with but outside their congeners from the Philippines. These differed from one another by one base. This species is very similar morphologically and in color to R. formosensis from Taiwan and was considered to be conspecific with that species by Last et al. (2010c), but this analysis
suggests that it likely represents an undescribed species. The average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those of R. cf. schlegelii was 24.9.

Rhinobatos formosensis (Taiwan guitarfish) (fig. 69)
One specimen from Taiwan clustered along with, but outside the specimens from the Philippines and Malaysian Borneo. The color pattern and morphology of this specimen is consistent with $R$. formosensis. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $R$. cf. schlegelii was 105.2 , and between this specimen and those of Rhinobatos sp. 1 was 109.5.

Aptychotrema rostrata (eastern shovelnose ray) (fig. 69)
Two specimens of this eastern Australian endemic were included in the analysis. These specimens differed from one another by four bases.

Aptychotrema vincentiana (southern shovelnose ray) (fig. 69)
The analysis included one specimen of this southwestern Australian endemic species, from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4625 = ANFC H 6348-06). It grouped along with but outside those of $A$. rostrata. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $A$. rostrata was 51 .
Zapteryx exasperata (banded guitarfish) (fig. 69)
Two specimens of this species, both collected from the Gulf of California, were included; they differed from one another by a single base.

Trygonorrhina dumerilii (southern fiddler ray) (fig. 69)
A single sample of this southwestern Australian endemic species was included in the analysis. The specimen is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4626 = ANFC H 6346-22).

## Torpedinidae (Torpedo rays)

Torpedo fuscomaculata (blackspotted torpedo) (fig. 70)
A total of 11 specimens of this species, which is considered to occur off the southern regions of Africa and possibly elsewhere in the Indian Ocean, were included. The range
of pairwise differences among the specimens of this species, which were all collected off South Africa, was 0-6, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.6 .
Torpedo torpedo (ocellate torpedo) (fig. 70)
A single specimen from Senegal provisionally identified by Marcelo de Carvalho (personal commun.) as this species was included. This specimen grouped most closely with T. fuscomaculata, with an average of the pairwise differences between species of 45.4.

## Torpedo sinuspersici (gulf torpedo) (fig. 70)

Two specimens of this western Indian Ocean species, both collected in the Persian Gulf off Iran, were included. These clustered together, but differed by 22 bases. They grouped most closely with but outside T. fuscomaculata and $T$. torpedo; the average of the pairwise differences between $T$. sinusperscici and the latter two species was 52.7 and 64.5 , respectively.

## Torpedo mackayana (ringed torpedo) (fig. 70)

The single specimen of this species, which has been reported from Senegal to Angola, was included in the analysis. This specimen was collected from Senegal and thus represents only a northern element of the distribution of this species. The identification of this specimen was provided by M. de Carvalho (personal commun.).

Torpedo marmorata (spotted torpedo) (fig. 70)
The specimen included was collected from Senegal and thus represents only one element of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout much of the western coast of Europe, the Mediterranean, and also much of Africa. Although this specimen resembles T. bauchotae, it has tentatively been identified as T. marmorata by M. de Carvalho (personal commun.) at this time.

Torpedo nobiliana (Atlantic torpedo) (fig. 70)
The analysis included eight specimens of T. nobiliana, all collected from the western Atlantic. These specimens represent only the western part of the distribution of this species, which, at present, is considered to occur in both the western and eastern Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. However, the western Atlantic form has been considered by some to represent the distinct species $T$. occidentalis (see Storer, 1843). It is unfortu-
nate that our analysis did not include specimens from the eastern Atlantic and thus did not allow comparison across this distribution. Until this issue can be investigated in more detail, we have used the name in common use for this species here. However, given that syntypes of $T$. nobiliana come from Italy, the name T. occidentalis may be more appropriate for the western Atlantic cluster if detailed study confirms the nonconspecificity of the western and eastern Atlantic Ocean forms. The range in pairwise differences among these eight specimens was $0-5$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.7.
Torpedo macneilli (Australian torpedo) and Torpedo cf. nobiliana (fig. 70)
The analysis included four specimens of the Australian endemic T. macneilli and a single specimen from South Africa initially identified as $T$. nobiliana. These specimens were found to group together well away from the specimens of Torpedo nobiliana collected from western Atlantic localities. The specimens of T. macneilli differed from one another by $0-2$ bases, with an average of 1 . This supports the work of Dave Ebert suggesting that the South African form, referred to here as $T$. cf. nobiliana, is not conspecific with its western Atlantic counterpart. The average of the pairwise differences between $T$. macneilli and $T$. cf. nobiliana was 5.5. The average of the pairwise differences between the South African specimens of $T$. cf. nobiliana and those of $T$. nobiliana from the western Atlantic was 27.6. The formal description of this species is currently underway ( D . Ebert, personal commun.).

## Narkidae (sleeper rays): genus Typhlonarke

Typhlonarke aysoni (blind legged torpedo) (fig. 70)
Two specimens of this New Zealand endemic were included in the analysis; both were vouchered (GN6758 $=$ NMNZ P. 041329 and GN6759 $=$ NMNZ P.042187). They differed from one another by three bases. These specimens were found to comprise a cluster that grouped most closely with the Torpedo species.

## Narcinidae (numbfishes)

Narcine tasmaniensis (Tasmanian numbfish) (fig. 70)
The four specimens of this Australian endemic included here all came from New

South Wales, Australia. The range of the pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-9$, with an average of 4.5 .
Narcine lasti (western numbfish) (fig. 70)
A single specimen of this western Australian species was included in the analysis. It is in the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4628 = ANFC H 6418-01). It grouped most closely with the specimens of $N$. tasmaniensis. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of the latter species was 83.8.

Narcine entemedor (Cortez electric ray) (fig. 70)
The analysis included a single specimen of this species, which was collected from the Gulf of California and thus represents the more northern part of the distribution of this species, which has been reported as far south as Peru. This specimen grouped with Narke capensis outside all the other species and genera of electric rays included in the analysis. The average of the pairwise differences between this species and N. tasmaniensis was 282 bases, and between $N$. entemedor and $N$. lasti is 281 bases.

## Narkidae (sleeper rays): genus Narke

Narke capensis (Cape sleeper ray) (fig. 70)
A single specimen of this southern African endemic was included. It grouped most closely with the specimen of Narcine entemedor. However, the specimens of these two species differed by 245 bases.

## Platyrhinidae (thornbacks and fanrays)

Platyrhinoidis triseriata (thornback) (fig. 70)
Both of the specimens of this monotypic genus included in the analysis were collected from California, and thus are generally representative of the distribution of this species, which also includes the Gulf of California. The sequences of these two specimens were identical.

## Rajidae (skates)

Dipturus innominatus (New Zealand smooth skate) (fig. 71)
In total, 11 specimens of this New Zealand endemic were included in the analysis. They were found to comprise a single cluster and
the range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-6$, with an average of 2.2.

## Dipturus gudgeri (bight skate) (fig. 71)

The differences between sequences of the three specimens of this Australian endemic that were included in the analysis ranged from 1-7, with an average of 4.7. These grouped most closely with the specimens of D. innominatus. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 29.2.

## Dipturus sp. 4 (fig. 71)

The analysis included two skate specimens collected from the Philippines (GN4339 = BRU 096 and GN4353 = JPAG 091) that were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) as Dipturus sp. 4. These specimens differed from one another by 13 bases. As noted by Compagno et al. (2005b) these specimens may represent an undescribed species in this genus.
Dipturus healdi (Heald's skate) complex (fig. 71)
Two specimens, both of which had provisionally been identified as Dipturus healdi, were included in the analysis. These specimens differed from one another by 56 bases. Two morphs referable to $D$. healdi were discussed by Last et al. (2008d), and a specimen of each morph was included in this analysis. The specimen of the northern morph (GN6788 = ANFC H 6574-20) is consistent with $D$. healdi and clusters well away from the southern morph (GN6789 = ANFC H 6419-04), which is provisionally referred to as Dipturus cf. healdi; these forms are indisputably separate species. Dipturus healdi clustered most closely with Dipturus sp. 4, with an average of the pairwise differences between these two taxa of 39.5 .
Dipturus tengu (acutenose skate) (fig. 71)
The analysis included one specimen identified as this species, collected from Taiwan. If this identification is correct, this specimen is representative of a central element of the distribution of this species, which is known to occur from Japan to the Philippines.

## Dipturus springeri (roughbelly skate) (fig. 71)

The four specimens of this species, all collected from South Africa, were identical in sequence.

They represent the central southwestern elements of the distribution of this species, which occurs along the coast of Africa from Angola to Somalia as well as Madagascar.

## Dipturus australis (Sydney skate) (fig. 71)

Six specimens of this species, which is endemic to eastern Australia, were included in the analysis. They formed a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-2$, with an average of 0.7.

## Dipturus cerva (whitespotted skate) (fig. 71)

Three specimens of this South Australian endemic were included in the analysis. They were identical in sequence.

## Dipturus confusus (longnose skate) (fig. 71)

Two specimens of this recently described (see Last, 2008) southeastern Australian endemic species were included here. They were identical in sequence and clustered most closely with the two specimens of D. cerva. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two Australian endemic species was 18, and between this species and its other Australian congener, $D$. australis, was 30.3.

## Zearaja chilensis (yellownose skate) (fig. 71)

The eight specimens of this species included here had a range of pairwise differences of $0-5$, with an average of 2 ; they comprised a single cluster. These specimens all came from a single locality in Chile and thus represent the western part of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the coastal regions of southern South America and the Falkland Islands.
Zearaja nasuta (New Zealand rough skate) (fig. 71)
Five specimens of this New Zealand endemic were included in the analysis. These specimens had a range of pairwise differences of $1-5$, with an average of 3.2. They comprised a cluster that grouped most closely with the specimens of $Z$. chilensis. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 10.3 .

Zearaja flavirostris (Falklands skate) (fig. 71)
All three specimens identified as this species included in the analysis, were provid-
ed by Joost Pompert, of the Falkland Islands Fisheries Department, extending the range of this species from central Chile to the Falkland Islands. They comprised a single cluster, with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-3$ and an average of 2 . They grouped most closely with the cluster consisting of $Z$. chilensis and $Z$. nasuta. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $Z$. flavirostris and those of $Z$. chilensis was 29.5 , and those of $Z$. nasuta was 33.9. Given these differences, we have recognized $Z$. flavirostris as a species distinct from Z. chilensis, despite the suggestion that these two species may be synonyms (e.g., Last and Gledhill, 2007).

Dipturus leptocauda (thintail skate) (fig. 71)
Both specimens identified as this species were collected from the Falkland Islands, extending the range of this species from Brazil and Uruguay south to include the Falkland Islands. The sequences of these specimens were identical to one another.

Dipturus batis (gray skate) and Dipturus oxyrhinchus (sharpnose skate) complex (fig. 71)
The analysis included a total of 12 specimens originally identified as $D$. batis and two identified as $D$. oxyrhinchus. These specimens come from several localities in the eastern North Atlantic and were found to comprise a single group, exhibiting considerable internal structure. The largest cluster consisted of eight specimens from the eastern North Atlantic and three from the Azores. The range of pairwise differences among the 11 specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 4.3. However, there was evidence of structure within this cluster, with six of the specimens from the eastern North Atlantic (identified as $D$. batis by Bernard Séret) comprising a subcluster distinct from that consisting of the three specimens from the Azores identified locally as $D$. batis and two specimens from the eastern North Atlantic identified as D. oxyrhinchus, also by Bernard Séret. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens in these two subclusters was 7.5. In order to call attention to this discrepancy, we have retained the original identifications of these specimens. This result suggests that the identity of specimens of $D$.
batis from the Azores needs to be investigated in more detail.

One specimen, also collected from the eastern North Atlantic, grouped outside this subcluster of 11 specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those in the $D$. batis and $D$. oxyrhinchus subcluster was 23.7 . This specimen has been given the designation Dipturus cf. batis 1. Finally, two specimens, both collected from Norway, grouped outside all 12 other specimens in the cluster overall; these two specimens were identical with one another in sequence. The average of the pairwise differences between these specimens and those in the D. batis and D. oxyrhinchus subcluster was 16.7 , and between $D$. cf. batis 1 was 37 . These two specimens have been given the designation Dipturus cf. batis 2.

These results suggest that it is likely these specimens represent several distinct species, all of which bear a morphological resemblance to Dipturus batis. Recent published work by Iglésias et al. (2009) reveals that the D. batis complex likely consists of two species, both of which should be considered as valid, i.e., $D$. cf. flossada and $D$. cf. intermedia. Following these designations and morphological characters provided by Iglésias et al. (2009), the specimens with images in the second subcluster (GN5160, GN5165 and GN6546) are consistent with $D$. cf. intermedia. Thus, this second subcluster, which includes the two $D$. oxyrhinchus specimens, may represent $D$. cf. intermedia. There is a possibility that one of the other subclusters represents $D$. cf. flossada and one represents D. oxyrhinchus. Another species which Iglésias et al. (2009) reported as very close to these species is the Norwegian skate D. nidarosiensis, and it is possible the two specimens from Norway may represent that species. Additional specimens, with designated vouchers and confirmed identifications based on the work of Iglésias et al. (2009), are required to resolve this issue. The lack of specific locality data for our eastern North Atlantic specimens makes it difficult for us to compare our results with those of Griffiths et al. (2010), except to note that, like these authors, we found specimens identified as $D$. oxyrhinchus to cluster among specimens identified as $D$. batis.

## Dipturus laevis (barndoor skate) (fig. 71)

The three specimens of this unusually large skate included in the analysis all came from the western North Atlantic and are thus generally representative of the distribution of this species. One of these specimens is in the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology MCZ (GN2603 = MCZ 159202 and TCWC 11021.01). The range of the pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-1$. These specimens grouped along with, but outside the 14 specimens in the Dipturus batis and Dipturus oxyrhinchus complex.

## Dipturus pullopunctatus (slime skate) (fig. 71)

Three specimens of this southern African endemic, all collected from South Africa, were included. These specimens were identical in sequence.

## Spiniraja whitleyi (Melbourne skate) (fig. 71)

Two specimens of this southern Australian endemic species were included in the analysis. These differed from one another by two bases and they grouped along with but outside the Dipturus and Zearaja species.

## Raja sp. 1 (fig. 71)

A single specimen collected from Taiwan clustered independently from all specimens of Dipturus, Zearaja, Spiniraja, and Raja. It is possible that this specimen, for which an image is available, represents a known species, but we have been unable to assign a specific identification with confidence at this time; its generic designation also requires confirmation.

## Raja rhina (longnose skate) (fig. 71)

This northeastern Pacific species was represented by 17 specimens; the analysis yielded a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among the specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 1.3 . Two of these specimens are deposited in the University of Washington Fish Collection (GN6712 = UW 47645 and GN6713 = UW 49457). This species grouped well away from the specimens of most of the other Raja species included in the analysis (see figs. 73, 75). This is consistent with McEachran and Dunn (1998) and Compagno (2005b), who listed this species, among others, as comprising a "North Pacific Assemblage" that may represent an undescribed genus.

## Raja binoculata (big skate) (fig. 71)

The analysis included two specimens of this northeastern Pacific species. These specimens differed by six bases. This species, like Raja rhina, grouped well outside specimens of the other Raja species included in the analysis (see figs. 73, 75). This is consistent with McEachran and Dunn (1998) and Compagno (2005b) who listed these two species, among others, as comprising a "North Pacific Assemblage" that may represent an undescribed genus. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of this species and those of Raja rhina was 111.2.

## Okamejei cairae (Borneo sand skate) (fig. 72)

Thirteen specimens of this recently described species (see Last et al., 2010a) were included in the analysis. These were all collected from Sarawak in Malaysian Borneo, Pontianak in West Kalimantan (Indonesia), or Vietnam. The specimens from Vietnam extend the northern boundary of the distribution of this species. They comprised a single cluster and the range of pairwise differences among them was $0-4$, with an average of 2.1. All nine specimens from Kalimantan were vouchered (GN4730 = ANFC H 7099-07, GN4731 through GN4735 = ANFC H 7099-01 through ANFC H 709905, GN4737 = ANFC H 7099-06, GN4729 = MZB 17176, and GN4736 = MZB 17177).

Okamejei cf. porosa (fig. 72)
Five specimens collected from Japan were included in the analysis. While the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was only $0-8$ (with an average of 3.9) there was substantial morphological variation among these specimens (e.g., in color pattern, disc shape, etc.), based on images for four of the five specimens. These specimens, although they also resemble Okamejei meerdervoortii, are provisionally referred to here as Okamejei cf. porosa until their identities can be examined in more detail. These specimens grouped most closely with those of Okamejei cairae. However, the average of the pairwise differences between the two species was 96 .
Okamejei hollandi (yellow-spotted skate) (fig. 72)
A total of 14 specimens, identified as $O$. hollandi by Last et al. (2010c), were included in the analysis. These specimens were all collected from Pontianak in West Kalimantan
(Indonesia); one was vouchered (GN4738 = ANFC H 7099-08). The range of pairwise differences among these 14 specimens was $0-$ 13 , with an average of six bases. The average of their pairwise differences relative to specimens of Okamejei cairae was 106.9; the average of their pairwise differences relative to specimens of Okamejei cf. porosa was 107.6.

## Raja miraletus (brown skate) complex (fig. 73)

In total, 23 specimens that were generally consistent with the color pattern of $R$. miraletus (i.e., in their possession of small dark spots and a pair of distinctive ocelli on their discs) were included. These consisted of 17 specimens from Senegal and six from South Africa. The analysis yielded three distinct clusters. Two of these clusters consisted of specimens from Senegal and the third of specimens from South Africa. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens in the two Senegal clusters was 99.3. Morphologically, specimens of these two clusters differed most conspicuously in coloration; in one form the small dark disc spots were interspersed with numerous white spots, whereas specimens of the other form exhibited few, if any, small white spots. Specimens of the first Senegal cluster exhibiting the latter color pattern were designated $R$. miraletus. The more heavily spotted specimens comprising the second cluster of specimens from Senegal were given the designation Raja cf. miraletus 2 . The range of pairwise differences among specimens in the $R$. miraletus cluster was $0-7$ (with an average of 2) and among specimens in the $R$. cf. miraletus 2 cluster was 1-4 (with an average of 2.4).

The cluster of specimens from South Africa had a range of pairwise differences of 0-2 (with an average of 1.2). They most closely resembled $R$. cf. miraletus 2 in color pattern. However, they clustered more closely with the specimens of $R$. miraletus. These specimens have been given the designation Raja cf. miraletus 1 until such time as the taxonomy of this complex can be examined in more detail. The average of the pairwise differences between $R$. cf. miraletus 1 and $R$. cf. miraletus 2 was 85.2 and between $R$. cf. miraletus 1 and $R$. miraletus was 49.1. Our results suggest that the differences seen among these three forms may reflect the existence of several distinct
species in these regions as was observed by McEachran et al. (1989). However, it is important to note that several known species from one or more of these regions (e.g., $R$. herwigi and $R$. ocellifera) were not included in the analysis.

## Raja clavata (thornback skate) (fig. 73)

Eight of the specimens of this species were collected from the eastern North Atlantic and the Azores; the ninth specimen came from the Black Sea. The range in pairwise differences among all nine specimens was $0-11$, with an average of 6.1. The analysis yielded some evidence of a subcluster comprised of the specimens from the Azores, and the other comprised of the specimens from the eastern North Atlantic and Black Sea. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens in these two subclusters was 9.5 . The range within the Azores subcluster was $0-3$, and within the North Atlantic subcluster 1-3. These results parallel the situation in the $D$. batis complex, which provides evidence that the fauna of the Azores may differ somewhat from that of their mainland counterparts. This result is consistent with that of Chevolot et al. (2006) who found regional differentiation between specimens from the European continental shelf and the Azores.

Raja straeleni (biscuit skate) (fig. 73)
The nine specimens of this species, which occurs throughout much of the western coast of Africa, were all collected off South Africa. The range in pairwise differences among specimens of this species was $0-3$, with an average of 1.6. This species grouped most closely with Raja clavata. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 23.1.

Raja asterias (Atlantic starry skate) (fig. 73)
The analysis included three specimens of this species, all collected from Spain and generally representative of the eastern elements of this primarily Mediterranean-dwelling species. The range of pairwise differences among specimens was $1-4$, with an average of 2.7. The analysis yielded a single cluster, which grouped most closely with that consisting of $R$. clavata and $R$. straeleni. The average of the pairwise differences between $R$. asterias and R. clavata was 62.1, and between R. asterias and R. straelini was 66.1.

Raja montagui (spotted skate) (fig. 73)
A single specimen of this species was included here. This specimen was collected from the coast of England and thus represents a northerly element of the distribution of this species, which extends throughout the Mediterranean Sea and northern coast of Africa. It grouped most closely with but outside the Raja clavata, Raja straeleni, and Raja asterias specimens. The average of the pairwise differences between this specimen and those of $R$. clavata was 66.1, between this specimen and those of R. straeleni 70.3, and between this specimen and those of $R$. asterias 78.3.

## Rajella fyllae (round skate) (fig. 74)

The analysis included 18 specimens from the eastern North Atlantic (identified by Bernard Séret) and three from Norway. These were found to comprise a single cluster and the range of pairwise differences among these 21 specimens was $0-6$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.7. These specimens represent the more eastern parts of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the entire North Atlantic.

## Rajella sp. (fig. 74)

Two specimens from the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology (GN4664 = MCZ 167899 and GN4665 = MCZ 167900), both collected on the continental shelf off New England, were included. These two specimens were identical in sequence. They grouped most closely with the two specimens of Rajella caudaspinosa; these two species were, in turn, most closely allied with Rajella fyllae. The average of the pairwise differences between Rajella sp . and $R$. caudospinosa was 33, and between the specimens of Rajella sp. and those of R. fyllae 33.3. We believe these specimens represent a western Atlantic relative of $R$. caudospinosa.
Rajella caudaspinosa (munchkin skate) (fig. 74)
Two specimens of this southern African endemic, all collected from South Africa, were included. These specimens were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with Rajella sp . As noted above, the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 33 .

## Rajella leopardus (leopard skate) (fig. 74)

Five specimens of this southern African endemic, all collected from South Africa, were included in the analysis. The range
in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-3$, with an average of 1.2.

Rajella kukujevi (mid-Atlantic skate) (fig. 74)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis, all collected from the North Atlantic, comprised a single cluster. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 1.5 . These specimens grouped most closely with those of Rajella leopardus. The average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 49.

## Dipturus linteus (sailskate) (fig. 74)

A total of five specimens identified as this species, all collected from the eastern North Atlantic and off Norway, and thus representing the eastern portions of the distribution of this species, were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-1$. They comprised a single cluster, which grouped most closely with the clusters of the three Rajella species. This Dipturus species clustered well away from all 15 of its congeners included in the analysis (see fig. 69), lending support to Compagno (2005b) who listed this species as "Dipturus? linteus" and noted that its generic placement needs investigating.
Amblyraja radiata (thorny skate) (fig. 74)
In total, the analysis included 14 specimens identified as this species, one of which is in the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology (GN2602 = MCZ 159184). These were found to comprise a single cluster. Although there was evidence of structure within this cluster, and the range of pairwise differences among the members of this cluster was $0-15$, with an average of 8.5, there was no obvious pattern to this variation; all specimens within this cluster have thus been given the same designation. These specimens represent the more eastern part of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the North Atlantic.

Amblyraja hyperborea (Arctic skate), Amblyraja jenseni (Jensen's skate), Amblyraja badia (broad skate), and Amblyraja sp. complex (fig. 74)
The analysis yielded a somewhat confusing cluster of nine specimens, five of which are deposited in museums. This cluster consists of four specimens from the Barents Sea identified as $A$. hyperborea by Age Hoines, one specimen from California identified as $A$. badia deposited at the University of Washington (GN6681
$=$ UW 115021), one specimen from the midAtlantic identified as $A$. jenseni by Chip Cotton, and deposited at Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences (GN5040 = VIMS 11757), as well as two specimens deposited at the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology (MCZ GN4666 = MCZ 167944 and GN4667 $=$ MCZ 167945), both identified only as Amblyraja sp., and a specimen from the Tasman Sea, identified as Amblyraja hyperborea, from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4650 = ANFC H 5944-01). These specimens differ somewhat in morphology. The range of pairwise differences among the nine specimens comprising this cluster was $0-11$, with an average of 5.7 . As none of the specimens comprising this cluster have been definitively identified, we have retained the original identifications as they serve to draw attention to the uncertainty associated with the identity of the members of this cluster.

Amblyraja doellojuradoi (southern thorny skate) (fig. 74)
Three specimens of this species, all collected from the Falkland Islands, were included in the analysis. They represent the more eastern parts of the distribution of this species, which occurs throughout the southern regions of South America. The range of pairwise differences among specimens was $4-8$, with an average of 5.3. These specimens grouped most closely with the $A$. hyperborea, etc., cluster. The average of the pairwise differences between the specimens of these two clusters was 18.7.

## Leucoraja naevus (cuckoo skate) (fig. 75)

In total, 29 specimens of this species were included in the analysis. These were all collected from localities in the eastern North Atlantic and thus represent the northern portions of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from as far south as Senegal. The range of pairwise differences among the specimens in this species was $0-6$, with an average of pairwise differences of 1.5.

## Leucoraja wallacei (yellowspot skate) (fig. 75)

The 12 specimens of this southern African species, all collected from South Africa, were found to comprise a single cluster. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-8$, with an average of 3.7. This species grouped most closely with the eastern

North Atlantic species Leucoraja naevus; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 37.5.
Leucoraja fullonica (shagreen skate) (fig. 75)
The analysis included two specimens collected from the Azores and two from the eastern North Atlantic. These specimens thus represent some of the southern and western elements of this species, which occurs as far eastward as Murmansk, Russia. The range in pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-3$, with an average of 2 . The analysis yielded a single cluster, which grouped most closely with the cluster consisting of $L$. naevus and $L$. wallacei. The average of the pairwise differences among specimens of $L$. fullonica and $L$. naevus was 72.4 , and $L$. wallacei was 65.4 .

Leucoraja erinacea (little skate) (fig. 75)
In total, four specimens of this western Atlantic species were included in the analysis, which yielded a single cluster with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of 3-8 and an average of pairwise differences of 5.3. One of these specimens is in the University of Kansas Ichthyology Collection (GN2505 = KUI 26967).

Leucoraja ocellata (winter skate) (fig. 75)
The two specimens of this western Atlantic species included differed by two bases. They grouped most closely with the specimens of L. erinacea; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 45.5.

## Leucoraja garmani (rosette skate) (fig. 75)

The single specimen of this species, collected from the western North Atlantic, represents a more northern element of the distribution of this species, which occurs as far south as Venezuela. It grouped outside all four other species of this genus included here. The averages of the pairwise differences between this specimen and its congeners were: L. naevus 130.3, L. wallacei 130.9, L. fullonica 132.5, L. erinacea 142.8 , and L. ocellata 143.

Rostroraja alba (white skate) (fig. 75)
A total of six specimens of this species was included in the analysis. These specimens were all collected from South Africa and thus represent only a central element of the distribution of this species, which has been reported
from Great Britain, the Mediterranean Sea, much of the coast of Africa, and the Red Sea. These specimens were identical in sequence. This species grouped most closely with the specimens identified as Raja velezi. The average of the pairwise differences between Ro. alba and $R a$. velezi was 86. This grouping is generally consistent with the affinities reported by McEachran and Dunn (1998) and Compagno (2005b) who listed these species, among others, as comprising an "Amphi-American Assemblage." However, these results suggest that some consideration should be given to Rostroraja as the generic name to apply to the species comprising this entire assemblage.

## Raja velezi (rasptail skate) (fig. 75)

All three specimens of this species were identical in sequence. All three were collected from the Gulf of California and thus represent a northern distribution of this species, which has been reported from as far south as Peru. These specimens grouped most closely with those of Ro. alba.

Raja eglanteria (clearnose skate) (fig. 75)
Four specimens, identified as R. eglanteria, collected from the western North Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico off Florida, were included in the analysis. These represent much of the distribution of this species, which occurs from Massachusetts to Florida. The range in pairwise differences among specimens was $0-12$, with an average of 8.2. This species grouped with Ra. velezi and Rostroraja alba, well outside specimens of the other Raja species included in the analysis (see figs. 71, 73), which is consistent with McEachran and Dunn (1998) and Compagno (2005b) who listed $R a$. eglanteria and $R a$. velezi, among other species, as comprising an "Amphi-American Assemblage" that may represent an undescribed genus.
Okamejei jensenae (Philippine ocellate skate) (fig. 75)
The analysis included two specimens of the recently described Okamejei jensenae (see Last and Lim, 2010) from the Philippines, which were treated by Compagno et al. (2005b) (GN4343 = BRU 171 and GN4382 $=$ JPAG 328) as Okamejei sp. 1. These differed from one another by one base. It is of note that this species grouped most closely
with specimens in the $R$. eglanteria and $R$. velezi clusters, well away from its congeners (see fig. 70). The generic placement of this species requires additional taxonomic investigation when adult males of this species are collected as clasper cartilages are needed to assign this taxon to a genus.

## Malacoraja senta (smooth skate) (fig. 75)

All three specimens of this species were found to be identical in sequence. These specimens were collected from the western North Atlantic and are largely representative of the distribution of this species, which occurs from Newfoundland to New Jersey.

## Neoraja caerulea (blue pygmy skate) (fig. 75)

Two specimens of this eastern North Atlantic endemic were included in the analysis; they were identical in sequence. They clustered most closely with the specimens of M. senta and the average of the pairwise differences among specimens of these two species was 80 .

## Arhynchobatidae (softnose skates)

Bathyraja spp. (includes Bathyraja sp., B. interrupta, B. kincaidi, B. kincaidilinterrupta, B. mariposa, B. taranetzi, B. violacea) (fig. 76)
The analysis yielded a cluster comprised of 19 specimens whose identities are uncertain. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-24$, with an average of pairwise differences of 7.6. These specimens were collected from a diversity of localities in the eastern North Pacific Ocean, including the Gulf of Alaska, Bering Sea, and Strait of Juan de Fuca. Their specific designations, assigned by their original collectors, varied wildly and include Bathyraja sp., B. interrupta, B. kincaidi, B. kincaidilinterrupta, B. mariposa, B. taranetzi, and B. violacea. The original field identifications have been retained for all specimens, regardless of current thoughts on generic placements and specific synonymies, because their identities of these specimens could not be confirmed. This draws attention to the need for voucher specimens and/or images to confirm species designations and problems created when they are unavailable. It should be noted that only three of these samples come from museum specimens (i.e., B. interrupta GN6689 $=$ UW 111883, B. violacea GN6707 = UW 48757, and B.
mariposa GN6694 = UW 47201). In addition, as indicated in figure 76, at least some images are available for another eight specimens. We suspect that the mixed nature of this cluster may reflect either extensive hybridization among closely related forms with different color patterns or complex polymorphism that has hindered accurate identification in the field.

## Bathyraja minispinosa (smallthorn skate) (fig. 76)

The three specimens of this North Pacific species included here were collected from the eastern North Pacific. All three are deposited at the University of Washington (i.e., GN6696 $=$ UW 47049, GN6697 = UW 117948, GN6698 = UW 117950). The range of pairwise differences among the specimens comprising this cluster was $0-4$, with an average of 2.7. These grouped most closely with the specimens comprising the Bathyraja spp. cluster; the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of B. minispinosa and those in the Bathyraja spp. cluster was 24.1.

## Bathyraja pallida (pallid skate) (fig. 76)

Four samples from skates identified by their collectors as B. pallida were included in the analysis. Two of these specimens are deposited in museums (GN4668 = MCZ 167975 and GN5039 = VIMS 11758). The analysis yielded a single cluster comprised of these four specimens, however, the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was 4-21, with an average of 17.3. We note, however, that while B. pallida is known only from the Bay of Biscay, the specimens included here come from a diversity of localities that include the western Atlantic off New England, the mid-Atlantic, and the Barents Sea. Further investigation of the identity of skates from these regions is required.

## Bathyraja shuntovi (narrownose skate) (fig. 76)

A single specimen of this New Zealand endemic was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered most closely with those of Bathyraja pallida. The average of the pairwise differences between those comprising the latter cluster and the specimen of $B$. shuntoviwas 30.5 .

## Bathyraja trachura (roughtail skate) (fig. 76)

The specimen of this eastern North Pacific endemic included in the analysis was collected from the Gulf of Alaska.

## Bathyraja aleutica (Aleutian skate) fig. 76)

This cluster consisted of a specimen from the Gulf of Alaska and one from the Bering Sea; they differed from one another by 10 bases. The identity of these specimens was confirmed by D. Ebert (personal commun.) following examination of the images of GN5722.

## Rhinoraja macloviana (Patagonian skate) (fig. 76)

Three specimens of this southeastern South American endemic skate, all from the Falkland Islands, were included in the analysis. The range of pairwise differences among the specimens in this cluster was $0-1$.

Rhinoraja albomaculata (whitedotted skate) (fig. 76)
Three specimens of this southern South American endemic species, all collected from the Falkland Islands, were included here. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was 1-4, with an average of 2.7 ; they clustered most closely with specimens of $R$. macloviana, with an average pairwise difference among specimens of 9.7. We note that this was one of several species of Rhinoraja that grouped among the clusters of species of Bathyraja.

Bathyraja brachyurops (broadnose skate) (fig. 76)
The four specimens of this species included in the analysis were found to comprise a single cluster with a range of pairwise differences among specimens of $0-10$, and an average of pairwise differences of 7. All four of these specimens came from the Falkland Islands and thus represent a southeastern part of the distribution of this species, which is known from Chile to northern Argentina.

## Rhinoraja magellanica (Magellan skate) (fig. 76)

A single specimen of this species, collected from the Falkland Islands, was included. This southern South American endemic clustered along with but outside the specimens of B. brachyurops, $R$. macloviana, and $R$. albomaculata.

## Bathyraja sp. 1 (fig. 76)

Three unidentified Bathyraja specimens from the Falkland Islands clustered together. The range of pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-5$, with an average of 3.3.

## Bathyraja griseocauda (graytail skate) (fig. 76)

The analysis included two specimens, both from the Falkland Islands, of this southern South American and Antarctic endemic. These specimens differed from one another by a single base. They grouped most closely with the specimens of Bathyraja sp. 1. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of what we believe are two distinct species was 22.2.

## Bathyraja scaphiops (cuphead skate) (fig. 76)

The analysis included two specimens of this southwestern Atlantic endemic. These specimens differed from one another by two bases.

## Bathyraja cf. taranetzi (fig. 76)

The analysis included a single specimen collected from the Bering Sea that was originally identified as $B$. taranetzi, a species currently known only from the Kuril Islands in the western North Pacific. This specimen grouped most closely with the Bathyraja scaphiops cluster; the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 42 . Several other specimens also identified by their collectors as B. taranetzi clustered elsewhere in the analysis (see above). Thus, we have given this specimen the tentative designation of B. cf. taranetzi. The grouping of this specimen among Bathyraja species is noteworthy in the context of the generic distinctions between Rhinoraja and Bathyraja.

## Rhinoraja multispinis (multispine skate) (fig. 76)

Three specimens of this South American endemic species, all collected from the Falkland Islands, were included in the analysis. All three specimens had identical sequences.

## Bathyraja parmifera (Alaska skate) (fig. 76)

Eight specimens tentatively identified as $B$. parmifera, two of which are deposited at the University of Washington (GN6699 = UW 111889 and GN6721 = UW 117306), were included in the analysis. These specimens were all collected from localities in the eastern North Pacific Ocean, including the Bering Sea, and are generally representative of the distribution of this species. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-12$, with an average of 3 . The upper end of this range was accounted for by the second specimen in the UW collection. Given that
some of the specimens in this cluster were originally identified as B. aleutica, and none of the specimens in this cluster have been firmly established to represent $B$. parmifera, the identity of this cluster remains to be confirmed. Our results are consistent with those of Spies et al. (2011) and suggest that this species should be recognized as a member of the generic group level taxon Arctoraja.

Bathyraja smirnovi (golden skate) (fig. 76)
A single specimen of this western North Pacific Ocean species was included. It clustered most closely with the specimens of B. parmifera. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 17.5 . Our results are consistent with those of Spies et al. (2011) and suggest that this species should also be recognized as a member of the generic group level taxon Arctoraja.

Bathyraja bergi (bottom skate) (fig. 76)
A single specimen of this western North Pacific species was included in the analysis. It clustered along with but outside those of B. parmifera and B. smirnovi; the averages of the pairwise differences between this species and the specimens comprising the clusters of each of the latter two species were 45.5 and 40 , respectively. Our analysis suggests that it would also be interesting to explore the generic placement of this species relative to Arctoraja species.

Bathyraja maculata (whiteblotched skate) (fig. 76)
The analysis included four specimens of this Bering Sea endemic. The range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-5$, with an average of 3.8.
Brochiraja asperula (prickly deepsea skate) complex (fig. 77)
The analysis yielded a group that included two clusters, one of which was comprised of 19 specimens of the New Zealand endemic, Brochiraja asperula; 13 of these came from the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6803 = NMNZ P.040505, GN6804 = NMNZ P.040506, GN6809 = NMNZ P.040512, GN6811 = NMNZ P.040514, GN6812 = NMNZ P.040515, GN6820 = NMNZ P.041493, GN6818 = NMNZ P. 041491 , GN6795 $=$ NMNZ P. 040465 , GN6798 $=$ NMNZ P.040467,

GN6801 = NMNZ P.040469, GN6802 = NMNZ P.040470, GN6821 = NMNZ P.041494, and GN6817 = NMNZ P.041490). These specimens were collected from a diversity of localities throughout the waters of New Zealand, including the Chatham Islands, Chatham Rise, Campbell Plateau, and the South Island. The range of pairwise differences among the 19 specimens in this cluster was $0-2$, with an average of 0.3 . However, the analysis yielded a second smaller cluster in this group comprised of two specimens also in the National Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6807 = NMNZ P. 040510 and GN6808 = NMNZ P.040511), collected off Westland on New Zealand's South Island. The sequences of these two specimens differed from one another by a single base and were somewhat divergent relative to those comprising the specimens of the first cluster. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two clusters was 27.7. The specimens in the smaller cluster have been referred to as Brochiraja cf. asperula.

Brochiraja spinifera (spiny deepsea skate) (fig. 77)
Sixteen specimens of this New Zealand endemic, all collected from localities in and around New Zealand (e.g., North Island, South Island, Stewart Island, Campbell Island, Auckland Islands, Chatham Rise), were found to comprise essentially a single cluster. A total of 12 of these are from the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6794 = NMNZ P.040464, GN6826 = NMNZ P.041752, GN6822 = NMNZ P.041748, GN6827 = NMNZ P.041753, GN6805 = NMNZ P.040508, GN6836 = NMNZ P.045193, GN6806 $=$ NMNZ P.040509, GN6810 = NMNZ P.040513, GN6823 = NMNZ P.041749, GN6824 = NMNZ P.041750, GN6797 = NMNZ P.040466, and GN6828 = NMNZ P.041754). The range of pairwise differences among the 16 specimens in this cluster was $0-13$, with an average of 6.1 . While there was some evidence of substructure within this cluster, this remains to be explored in more detail. These specimens have all been referred to here as $B$. spinifera, but this identity needs to be confirmed. This cluster grouped most closely with the specimens of $B$. asperula and $B$. cf. asperula. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of $B$.
spinifera and those of $B$. asperula was 25.3 , and those of $B$. cf. asperula 31.1.

Brochiraja leviveneta (smooth blue skate) (fig. 77)
Three specimens of this recently described New Zealand endemic (see Last and McEachran, 2006) were included in the analysis. All three specimens are deposited in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6835 = NMNZ P.045192, GN6815 $=$ NMNZ P. 040676 , and GN6830 $=$ NMNZ P.041985). The range of pairwise differences among these three specimens was $4-12$, with an average of 9.3.
Brochiraja albilabiata (whitelipped skate) (fig. 77)
This relatively newly described New Zealand endemic (see Last and McEachran, 2006) was represented by a single specimen from the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6833 = NMNZ P.042691). This specimen grouped most closely with the specimens of $B$. leviveneta. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 28.7.

Insentiraja subtilispinosa (velvet skate) (fig. 77)
A specimen of this species from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4629 = ANFC H 6417-03), collected from Western Australia, was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered outside those of the Brochiraja species. The generic placement of this species follows Last and Stevens (2009).

Notoraja azurea (blue skate) (fig. 77)
A paratype (GN4631 = ANFC H 640902) of this recently described Tasmanian endemic (see McEachran and Last, 2008) was included in the analysis. This specimen clustered with the specimen of Insentiraja subtilispinosa, but the sequences of these two species differed by 34 bases.

Brochiraja microspinifera (small prickly skate) (fig. 77)
The single specimen of this relatively recently described New Zealand endemic (see Last and McEachran, 2006) was included in the analysis. This specimen is in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6816 = NMNZ P.041321). It was found to belong to a cluster consisting of the other Brochiraja species, but also of the
specimens of Insentiraja subtilispinosa and Notoraja azurea.

Pavoraja nitida (peacock skate) (fig. 77)
The analysis included three specimens of this Australian endemic species. These specimens differed from one another by $0-4$ bases, with an average of pairwise differences of 2.7.

## Pavoraja alleni (Allens skate) (fig. 77)

This Australian endemic was represented by a single specimen from the Australian National Fish Collection (GN4632 = ANFC H 6419-03). This specimen clustered with those of its congener, $P$. nitida. The average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 53.7.

## Irolita waitii (southern round skate) (fig. 77)

The analysis included a single specimen of this southwestern Australian endemic species (GN4630 = ANFC H 6350-01). This sample clustered along with, but outside all of the specimens representing species of Brochiraja, Insentiraja, Notoraja, and Pavoraja.

Psammobatis sp. (fig. 77)
In total, 10 specimens of an as yet unidentified species of Psammobatis, all collected from the Falkland Islands, were included in the analysis. They were found to comprise a single cluster and the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $0-6$, with an average of 2.2. It is likely this represents one of the seven Psammobatis species occurring in the western South Atlantic.

Sympterygia bonapartii (smallnose fanskate) (fig. 77)
Two specimens of this southern South American endemic were included in the analysis. Both specimens came from Argentina and were identical in sequence.

Arhynchobatis asperrimus (longtailed skate) (fig. 77)
One specimen of this New Zealand endemic was included in the analysis. This specimen is in the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa (GN6831 = NMNZ P.042403). It grouped most closely with the specimens of $S$. bonapartii and the average of the pairwise differences between specimens of these two species was 130 .

Sympterygia acuta (bignose fanskate) (fig. 77)
The two specimens of this southeastern South American species included in the analysis were both collected from Argentina, and are deposited in the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (GN4726 $=$ INIDEP T 0405 and GN4727 $=$ INIDEP T 0407). These differed from one another by six bases. They grouped most closely with but outside the specimens of $S$. bonapartii and $A$. asperrimus, which suggests that the distinctions between Sympterygia and the currently monotypic Arhynchobatis needs to be explored in more detail. The average of the pairwise differences between S. acuta and $S$. bonapartii was 129.5; the average of the pairwise differences between $S$. acuta and A. asperrimus was 137.

## Atlantoraja cyclophora (eyespot skate) (fig. 77)

Three specimens of this species, which is known from Argentina and Brazil, were included in the analysis. These were all collected from Argentina and are deposited in the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (GN4717 = INIDEP T 0469, GN4718 = INIDEP T 0471, and GN4719 = INIDEP T 0474). They were found to comprise a single cluster; the range of pairwise differences among these specimens was $1-4$, with an average of 2.7 .

Atlantoraja platana (La Plata skate) (fig. 77)
The analysis included two specimens of this southwestern Atlantic species, both collected from Argentina and both from the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (GN4721 $=$ INIDEP T 0425 and GN4722 $=$ INIDEP T 0426). They differed from one another by one base. They grouped most closely with the specimens of $A$. cyclophora and the average of the pairwise differences between these two species was 38.8.

## Atlantoraja castelnaui (spotback skate) (fig. 77)

The analysis included a single specimen of this southeastern South American species (GN4720 = INIDEP T 0406). It clustered along with but outside the specimens of its two congeners. The average of the pairwise differences between $A$. castalnaui and $A$. cyclophora was 90 , and the average of the pairwise differences between $A$ castalnaui and A. platana was 89.5.

## Rioraja agassizii (Rio skate) (fig. 77)

This monotypic genus was represented by two specimens in the analysis, both collected from Argentina and deposited in the Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo Pesquero (GN4724 = INIDEP T 0404 and GN4725 = INIDEP T 0408). These specimens thus represent a central element of the distribution of this species, which has been reported from throughout much of the eastern coast of South America. These specimens differed from one another by 10 bases and they grouped most closely with the three species of Atlantoraja.

## Anacanthobatidae (Legskates)

Cruriraja hulleyi (roughnose legskate) (fig. 77)
Ten specimens of this southern African endemic, all collected from South Africa, were included in the analysis. The range in pairwise differences among specimens in this cluster was $0-8$, with an average of 1.9 .

Sinobatis bulbicauda (western legskate) (fig. 77)
Both specimens of this newly described skate (see Last and Séret, 2008) included here were collected from localities in Western Australia. One was a paratype (GN6775 = ANFC H 6417-04), the other a voucher (GN6776 $=$ ANFC H 6578-02). These differed from one another by a single base.

## DISCUSSION

The foregoing results give a first approximation of intraspecific and intrageneric differentiation seen across a broad spectrum of elasmobranchs. When these data are combined with other sources of information (morphological, distributional, ecological, and tagging data) they can enrich our understanding of species ranges, life histories, gene flow, and the incidence of philopatry, and thereby give us a better sense of the operational evolutionary units that characterize extant diversity. There are, for example, species such as Rhincodon typus that appear genetically homogeneous for NADH2 across their entire range (see fig. 37), while others, like Carcharodon carcharias, appear distinct in different parts of their range (see fig. 37). We might hypothesize that those that are genetically homogeneous are likely to exhibit more movement and gene flow across their range than those with regionally distinct
populations. Tagging data can be invaluable to test such hypotheses.

## INTRASPECIFIC P-DISTANCES (AVERAGE OF \% PAIRWISE DIFFERENCES)

The average of the intraspecific p-distances for the 468 species for which replicate specimens were included was $0.27 \% \pm 0.28 \%$; the range was $0 \%-2.12 \%$ (table 2). The distribution of mean $\%$ p-distances for these 468 species is illustrated in fig. 78. In terms of base pairs, on average, specimens of a species differed by $2.86 \pm 2.93(0-22)$ base pairs. For comparative purposes, the overall average of the intraspecific K2P distance for 468 taxa, as calculated in BOLD, was $0.27 \% \pm 0.001 \%$; with a range of $0 \%-3.14 \%$ (table 5).

Of the 468 species for which greater than a single specimen was included in the analysis, replicate specimens of 60 species were identical in sequence (i.e., there was no intraspecific variation). Although 25 of these 60 species were represented by only two specimens and 19 by only three specimens, 16 were represented by four to 12 specimens. Those with 10 or more specimens were the western Australian endemic Orectolobus hutchinsi ( 10 specimens), as well as Dasyatis margarita (11 specimens) and Squatina oculata ( 12 specimens), the latter two represented by specimens from the eastern Atlantic elements of their distributions.

The mean p-distances calculated as the average of all pairwise comparisons among specimens within each recognized species (appendix 1) allow us to make the following observations. The most variable species (i.e., those with average p-distances of $>1 \%$ ) were Brachaelurus colcloughi (mean $\mathrm{p}=$ $1.01 \%$ ), Isurus oxyrinchus (mean $\mathrm{p}=1.02 \%$ ), Aetobatus flagellum ( $\mathrm{p}=1.05 \%$ ), Hexanchus nakamurai (mean $\mathrm{p}=1.10 \%$ ), Carcharhinus albimarginatus (mean $\mathrm{p}=1.15 \%$ ), Dipturus sp. $4(\mathrm{p}=1.25 \%)$, Scyliorhinusretifer $($ mean $\mathrm{p}=$ $1.41 \%$ ), Dasyatis sabina ( $\mathrm{p}=1.44 \%$ ), Bathyraja pallida (mean $\mathrm{p}=1.66 \%$ ), Dasyatis americana (mean $\mathrm{p}=2.08 \%$ ), and Torpedo sinuspersici $(\mathrm{p}=2.12 \%)$. This result does not appear to be tied to the number of specimens examined because all but one of these species were represented by only $2-5$ specimens. Isurus oxyrinchus was the exception in that 24 specimens were included in the analysis. In
some cases the relatively substantial divergence seen among specimens may be attributable to geographic variation. For example, the three specimens of $H$. nakamurai came from Australia, Madagascar, and India; the 24 specimens of I. oxyrinchus came from the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Ocean basins; one specimen of A. flagellum came from Borneo, the other from India; the three specimens of S. retifer were collected from mid-Atlantic bight, the northwestern Atlantic and the Gulf of Mexico; the four specimens of $B$. pallida were collected from the Barents Sea, mid-Atlantic, and the western Atlantic off New England. However, in the cases of other species, the replicate specimens were collected from locations of relatively close proximity, and thus an alternate explanation for the divergence seen may need to be invoked. For example, all four specimens of B. colcloughi came from Queensland, both specimens of Dipturus sp. 4 were collected in the Philippines, both specimens of D. sabina came from the Gulf of Mexico, as did all three specimens of D. americana; both specimens of T. sinuspersici were collected in the Persian Gulf, and the five specimens of C. albimarginatus came from Taiwan and the Philippines. It is of further note that in the majority of these cases, the relatively substantial divergence seen among specimens of a species was the result of a single divergent specimen.

## Intrageneric p-distances (average of \% PAIRWISE DIFFERENCES)

The average of the intrageneric (i.e., interspecific) p-distances for the 85 (of 157 included) genera represented by two or more species in the analysis was $10.16 \% \pm 4.89 \%$, the range was $0.03 \%-27.01 \%$ (table 2). The distribution of mean $\%$ p-distances for these 85 genera is illustrated in fig. 78. In terms of base pairs, on average, congeners differed from one another by $106.05 \pm 51.05$ (0.3282) base pairs. For comparative purposes, the overall average of the congeneric pdistances calculated using BOLD for 143 genera was $9.68 \% \pm 3.65(0-27.09)$ (table 2), and the overall average for the congeneric K2P distances for all 143 taxa was $10.81 \% \pm$ $0.08 \%$ with a range of $0 \%-33.79 \%$ (table 5).

The mean p-distances calculated as the average of all pairwise comparisons among
species within each recognized genus (table 3) allow us to make the following observations. The genera found to be least variable were each represented by only two species. These were Poroderma ( $\mathrm{p}=0.6 \%$ ), Galeocerdo ( $\mathrm{p}=$ $1.06 \%$ ), Ginglymostoma ( $\mathrm{p}=1.52 \%$ ), and Figaro ( $\mathrm{p}=1.85 \%$ ). Although the two species of Poroderma included here (i.e., P. africanum and $P$. pantherinum) are routinely recognized as distinct (e.g., Compagno 1984a, 2005a, 2005b), the existence of two species in each of the latter three genera is not without controversy. Given the strong geographic signal for the clusters of specimens in each of these three genera, the possibility that the analysis has detected regional variation, rather than distinct taxa, should be explored in more detail. The relatively low amount of variation seen within these genera is unlikely to be attributed to the small number of species, for, as described below, some of the genera exhibiting the greatest intrageneric variation were also represented by only two species.

The genera exhibiting the greatest average amount of variation among species (i.e., those with average intrageneric p -distances of $>14 \%$ ) were Trygonoptera ( $\mathrm{p}=14.26 \%$; 4 species), Dasyatis ( $\mathrm{p}=14.56 \% ; 15$ species), Atelomycterus ( $\mathrm{p}=14.90 \%$; 2 species), Himantura ( $\mathrm{p}=$ $15.7 \% ; 30$ species), Gyтпйа ( $\mathrm{p}=16.50 \% ; 9$ species), Urotrygon ( $\mathrm{p}=17.77 \% ; 2$ species), and Narcine ( $\mathrm{p}=20.65 \% ; 3$ species). In some instances, all included species were relatively divergent from one another. For example, the range of p -values for comparisons among Gymnura species was $11.54 \%-20.39 \%$. In other instances, subsets of species were conspicuously divergent from one another. For example, the range of p -values for comparisons among Himantura species was $0.03 \%-23.7 \%$ and the 30 species were distributed across two different sets of clusters (i.e., figs. 50-54 and fig. 60). In such instances, this divergence may be indicative of generic nonmonophyly. For example, the Atlantic species Himantura schmardae, which clustered with the potamotrygonids rather than with its Indo-Pacific congeners, is largely responsible for the intrageneric pdistance disparity observed in Himantura. Species in the following genera also exhibited substantial \% p-value ranges and were distributed across two groupings: Dasyatis (p-values $1.96 \%-22.53 \%$; figs. 55, 56), Apristurus (p-
values $1.87 \%-19.73 \%$; figs. 28, 30), Taeniura (p-values of $2.18 \%-15.8 \%$; figs. 55,59 ), as well as the skate genera Dipturus (p-values of $1.6 \%-$ $15.79 \%$; figs. 71, 74) and Raja (p-values of $2.21 \%-17.75 \%$; figs. 71, 73). The monophyly of each of these genera, as currently circumscribed, bears further investigation.

In a number of cases, the divergence seen between individuals in populations of a nominal species (i.e., intraspecific variation) was as large as or larger than divergences seen between congeneric species that are traditionally recognized as distinct (i.e., interspecific variation). For example, the upper end of the range of p -distance values among conspecific specimens was $2.01 \%$ in Carcharhinus albimarginatus, C. brevipinna, and C. obscurus, whereas the p-distance value between specimens of C. galapagensis and C. obscurus was only $0.37 \%$ and between C. altimus and C. plumbeus only $0.42 \%$. In fact, comparison of table 3 and appendix 1 shows that intraspecific variation among specimens of 25 other species of Carcharhinus also exceeded $0.42 \%$. Similarly, for example among rays, the p -distance value between Himantura oxyrhyncha and H. signifer was $1.03 \%$, while the upper end of the range of p-distance values for conspecific specimens of 10 of the 21 species of Himantura exceeded this value. Instances of intraspecific p-distance values greater than the low end of the range of interspecific $p$-distance values were also seen for two species of Rhizoprionodon, Sphyrna lewini 2, 3 species of Mustelus, 2 species of Centrophorus, 2 species of Squalus, 2 species of Bathyraja, 2 species of Aetobatus, Aetomylaeus maculatus, 3 species of Rhinobatos, 4 species of Rhinoptera, 2 species of Rhynchobatus, and 2 species of Torpedo. These results suggest that there may be considerably more diversity in elasmobranchs than hitherto supposed, and also than recognized here. While much of the divergence uncovered in this study likely reflects the presence of cryptic or as yet undocumented species, it is always possible that some of the differentiation is due to the differential fixing of ancestral polymorphisms. Before we can be sure that these are distinct and different species, in addition to morphological work, we will need to look at nuclear markers for the same set of taxa. This is work that is currently underway by G.J.P.N.

A number of unnamed potentially novel species were also recovered from the analysis. While it is possible from a molecular standpoint that some represent named species for which NADH2 signatures are not currently available, examination of the images and/or vouchers, in combination with the geographic localities from which these specimens were collected, suggests otherwise. In total the analysis yielded 79 such taxa; these consist of 38 species of sharks and 41 species of rays. The distribution of these species among elasmobranch families is provided in table 1 . This hitherto undiscovered diversity in elasmobranchs logically begs the question: How many species are there? The fact that so many new species have been described from Australian waters alone in the past 10 years (see Last and Stevens, 2009) might suggest that our current estimates are woefully low, which has obvious implications for conservation policy and the effective management of marine resources. Indeed it is impossible to manage elasmobranch marine resources effectively without an accurate species level characterization of the standing diversity. For example, policies designed to manage scalloped hammerheads globally need to be cognizant of the fact that Sphyrna lewini appears to consist of two evolutionarily distinct species. This said, the Australian case may be atypical. Australia has a high degree of endemism and, as noted below, is one of the four regions identified in this study for which there is clear evidence of population divergence within existing nominal species. It is, however, likely that undiscovered concentrations of global elasmobranch diversity occur in other regions with comparable endemism, particularly those regions that have not yet been rigorously surveyed such as the Red Sea, the Persian Gulf, and the Indian Ocean. The east coast of Africa remains particularly poorly sampled. The phylogenetic groups most likely to reveal previously hidden diversity are those for which there is high endemism and which are as yet poorly characterized, such as the catsharks, dogfish sharks, and skates.

## BIOGEOGRAPHY

There are clear biogeographic footprints seen in the patterns of genetic cohesion among close relatives in this study. These influences are
modulated by patterns of migration and gene flow. At one extreme, there are endemic species with highly restricted ranges, such as the South African endemic catshark (Poroderma pantherinum), that do not reveal much about historical biogeography, because they are not distributed across multiple regions. At the other extreme there exist a few globally distributed pelagic species such as Centrophorus squamosus that are genetically so homogeneous across their range that they reveal little about biogeography. Most species, however, lie somewhere between these two extremes and exhibit patterns of divergence that reflect biogeographic influences at a range of scales. In this section, we restrict our attention to broad-based patterns that are shared across multiple species. Patterns characterizing individual species or closely related groups of species are dealt with in the results section. We restrict our treatment to four regional patterns that are particularly conspicuous among closely related groups but acknowledge that biogeography has shaped the distribution of the taxa at all levels of relatedness. However, the older influences are harder to detect and interpret as they are often "overprinted" by newer influences with the passage of time.

Atlantic Ocean. Populations of several species in the Atlantic appear to be clearly differentiated from those in other parts of the world. For example, specimens of the nurse shark Ginglymostoma cirratum in the Atlantic are distinctly different from specimens taken in the Gulf of California. The smalltail shark Carcharhinus porosus, the sandbar shark Carcharhinus plumbeus, the tiger shark Galeocerdo cuvier, and the great hammerhead Sphyrna mokarran all have Atlantic populations that appear distinct from their counterpart populations in the Pacific Ocean, Indian Ocean, Southeast Asia, and/or Australia.

Arabian Sea, Persian Gulf, and Red Sea. In a number of cases, populations in the Arabian Sea, Persian Gulf, and Red Sea appear genetically distinct from those of conspecifics in Southeast Asia, the Pacific, and Australia. While our sample does not include many specimens from the Arabian Sea, Persian Gulf, or Red Sea, many of those that have been included in this study appear distinct from conspecific counterparts elsewhere in the world (e.g., Carcharhinus cf. dussumieri, Carcharhi-
nus cf. melanopterus, Rhizoprionodon acutus 1, Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 1, Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2, Pastinachus cf. sephen, and Gymnura cf. poecilura 2). Interestingly, there is also evidence of biogeographic subdivision at the scale of the Persian Gulf, Arabian Sea, Bay of Bengal, and South China Sea. For example, the Arabian Sea, Bay of Bengal, and South China Sea each contain a distinct species of Scoliodon (S. laticaudus in the Arabian Sea, S. cf. laticaudus in the Bay of Bengal, and S. macrorhynchos in the South China Sea). Similarly, at a somewhat finer scale, the Gulf of Oman, the Red Sea, and the Arabian Sea each have a distinct form of Iago omanensis. However, a denser sampling of populations throughout the region will be required before boundaries among subregions can be determined with any confidence.

Southeast Asia. Much of elasmobranch diversity, like teleost diversity, is centered in Southeast Asia. While several nominal species are found exclusively in that region, there are some that are more widespread but exhibit distinct population-level differentiation in Southeast Asian waters. Indeed, some are sufficiently different to warrant recognition as distinct species relative to their sister groups from other regions (e.g., Carcharhinus sealei, Carcharhinus dussumieri, Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1, Aetomylaeus nichofii, and Himantura cf. uarnak 1, 3, and 4).

Australia. This region appears to be a longstanding region of elasmobranch diversification and endemism. For example, about $40 \%$ of the species diversity in the order Orectolobiformes is unique to Australian waters. In the past 10 years more than 100 new species of sharks and rays have been described from the region. While much of the elasmobranch fauna of Australia is endemic, there are several instances where species with distributions that are globally widespread have distinctive subpopulations in Australia relative to other regions (see Zemlak et al., 2009, for similar patterns in teleosts). In most cases we have recognized the distinctiveness of these populations as likely novel species using unique designations. Examples include variants of Carcharhinus sealei (i.e., C. cf. sealei), Carcharhinus sorrah (i.e, C. cf. sorrah), Rhizoprionodon acutus (i.e., R. cf. acutus 2), Aetomylaeus nichofii (i.e., A. cf. nichofii 2), and Neotrygon kuhlii (i.e., N. kuhlii 4).

Other biogeographic patterns. While the above four regional patterns stand out, there are other regional patterns that are noteworthy. In the case of the white shark, Carcharodon carcharias, for example, we see that animals taken from the KwaZulu-Natal coast in the southwestern Indian Ocean are more similar to those taken from the western North Atlantic (New Jersey and New York) than they are to specimens from Australia, which, in turn seem to be genetically similar to although distinct from animals from the eastern Pacific. This result is consistent with the work of previous authors (e.g., Jorgensen et al., 2009). The implication is that migration does not occur across the Indian Ocean for this species. However, Bonfil et al. (2005) have shown that movement of individual animals between South Africa and Australia is possible. Carcharhinus limbatus also shows an unusual pattern of genetic cohesion in the Pacific. Specimens ranging from the eastern (Gulf of California), central, and western Pacific, Southeast Asia, northern Australia, India, southeastern Africa, and West Africa are reasonably homogeneous while the populations in the western North Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico are strikingly distinct, so much so that we recognize them as a distinct species. The pattern observed is consistent with the earlier studies of population differentiation in Carcharhinus limbatus by Keeney and Heist (2006).

Anomalies. Some deepwater species are found to have wide ranges with little divergence evident. For example, Centrophorus squamosus specimens in the Atlantic Ocean, Australia, and New Zealand are genetically identical. Also, Centrophorus zeehani, considered to be a southern Australian endemic, was found to be genetically identical to a specimen identified as Centrophorus granulosus in the Atlantic. Such patterns are provocative. On the one hand, it is possible that these species are capable of moving tremendous distances and exchanging genetic material with individuals across their ranges, perhaps by taking advantage of deep water marine superhighways that link regions together (e.g., Broecker, 1991). On the other hand, it is possible that the rate of molecular evolution has slowed down in these taxa such that populations seem more homogeneous than might otherwise be expected. One possible source of a reduction in
molecular rate would be long generation times. It is not inconceivable that some of these organisms may be extremely long-lived and have associated long generation times. Nonetheless, groups, such as Centrophorus, are clearly in need of taxonomic revision.

## Comparisons to COI barcode data

Several molecular surveys of elasmobranchs have been conducted using the 650 bp COI barcode sequence fragment (Holmes et al., 2009; Mouira et al., 2008; Toffoli et al., 2008; Ward et al., 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009; Ward and Holmes, 2007; Wong et al., 2009; Zemlak et al., 2009). These studies have used the K2P rather than the p-distance measure we have chosen to use for the current study. In order to provide a means of comparison between the NADH2 sequence data presented herein and those of previously published COI barcode sequences, we computed pairwise K2P distances for our NADH2 data set using the same methods that were used to compute these measures for the barcode COI studies. The mean, standard deviation, and range of intraspecific K2P distances are given for species (appendix 1) and of intrageneric K2P distances of genera (table 3) to allow for direct comparisons across taxa. We have also carried out an overall comparison of the variation averaged across species and genera using K2P distance (see table 5) to allow direct comparison with the statistics provided by the Ward et al. (2008) study, which was based on 945 samples of COI sequences representing 210 species of chondrichthyans and is thus especially appropriate for direct comparison with the current study.

These comparisons suggest that within-taxon divergences are comparable for the two genes, although they are slightly lower for NADH2 $(0.27 \%)$ than they are for the COI barcode fragment $(0.37 \%)$ for the within-species comparisons, and somewhat higher for NADH2 ( $10.81 \%$ ) than they are for the COI barcode fragment ( $7.48 \%$ ) for the within-genus comparisons. This may be as much due to the differences in taxon sampling between the two studies as it is to anything else. The current NADH2 survey includes a larger proportion of instances where multiple genetically similar individuals are used to represent a single species (176 in the case of Squalus acanthias) and has a
broader sampling of taxa within genera and across elasmobranchs in general. A more precise measure of the differences associated with the divergences between NADH2 and the COI barcode fragment would require a comparison among exactly the same set of individual specimens across a range of species. Nonetheless, it is clear that the percent divergences are roughly comparable as might be expected given that both are linked genes inherited as a unit on the mitochondrial genome and subject to equivalent changes in population size and migration influences even if not the exact same profiles of selection. It should also be noted that the within-species COI divergences seen in elasmobranchs appear to be similar to those seen in actinopterygians (Ward and Holmes, 2007).

## Implications for parasitology

This work highlights the importance of establishing accurate identifications for hosts from which parasites are collected. Furthermore, it illustrates that the rewards from such endeavors far outweigh the time and effort they require. The hitherto undiscovered elasmobranch diversity revealed by this study is of relevance to parasitologists in several ways. In some cases the novel host taxa are morphologically cryptic and every effort in the field should be made to include the collection of tissue samples appropriate for molecular work, in addition to photographs. This is the case, for example, for many of the species pairs of Carcharhinus (i.e., C. plumbeus and C. cf. plumbeus, C. sealei and C. cf. sealei, C. sorrah, and C. cf. sorrah, etc.). In other cases, the species comprising a complex exhibit some morphological feature that initially encourages rapid, unfortunately superficial, identifications. For example, that "Aetobatus narinari" actually consists of a complex of species, all of which exhibit dorsal coloration consisting of white spots on a black background, has only recently been firmly established (White et al., 2010c). As a consequence, it is now clear that the distinction among species in the complex can be made based on relatively conspicuous morphological criteria, as well as on the basis of molecular differences. Although its taxonomic complexity has not yet been formally resolved, the bluespotted maskray (Neotrygon
kuhlii) represents a second example of the latter scenario. Analysis of NADH2 yielded four distinct lineages that, although they are all currently identified as $N$. kuhlii in their respective countries of origin, exhibit variation in, for example, the size and distribution of their blue spots.

When working with host groups in which the taxonomy is rapidly changing, it is essential that parasitologists become familiar with developments in host taxonomy through collaboration with host taxonomists because in many host groups, taxonomic advancements may far outpace published knowledge. We note, for example, that the transformation in the taxonomy of Australian elasmobranchs that occurred between the first edition of Sharks and Rays of Australia (Last and Stevens, 1994) and the second edition (Last and Stevens, 2009) was, unfortunately, not reflected in the host identifications of work on Australian elasmobranch parasites over the intervening time (see Fyler and Caira, 2010). In collaborations between parasitologists and host taxonomists, it is, however, important to recognize that while their interests are mutually compatible, the methods employed in field situations may differ. To the parasitologist, the accurate identification of each individual host specimen is paramount if the hosts of all parasites discovered are to be accurately determined. In instances in which host identities cannot definitely be made in the field, sufficient data and samples must be collected to allow the identities to be confirmed when data from different sources can be generated and integrated. In contrast, host taxonomists, particularly those exploring novelty, typically focus their efforts on a subset of specimens, generally those that are most complete, and often of a size appropriate for deposition in museums. The host specimen database we have developed was aimed at serving the interests and needs of both parasite and host taxonomists.

To date, hundreds of species of parasites have been reported from elasmobranchs (Caira et al., 2012); these records suggest that most elasmobranch species harbor a distinct fauna of parasites. At present, many of the parasites of elasmobranchs, and in particular cestodes (see Caira and Jensen, 2001), are considered to be remarkably host specific. However, a reevaluation of the host associations of many
elasmobranch parasite species would be interesting to undertake in light of the results presented here. Furthermore, the parasite faunas of hundreds of species of elasmobranchs (both described and novel elasmobranch species) remain to be characterized. The assumption that hosts have been accurately identified, when in fact they have not, has implications for assessments of host specificity, estimation of global elasmobranch parasite biodiversity, explorations of the relationship between parasite and host phylogenies, and the establishment of trophic links as illuminated by lifecycle studies. At this point it is unclear whether elasmobranchs are unique among vertebrate host groups in this respect, or if the host identity issues raised here are more widespread. We suspect that a similar situation may exist in other, even more specious host groups such as teleosts, the taxonomy of which is currently also rapidly expanding.

## OUTLOOK AND FUTURE WORK

Biogeography: We have presented a survey of DNA sequence variation in a single mitochondrial gene for a phylogenetically balanced and dense taxon sample of elasmobranchs. While this represents a fairly comprehensive treatment in terms of taxon sampling we regard it as only a first step in understanding the diversification of this group. There are several regions of the world that remain poorly sampled in the current study that likely harbor more diversity than described herein. One such region is that encompassing the Red Sea, the Persian Gulf, Arabian Sea, Bay of Bengal, and Indian Ocean. The limited geographic sampling that we have for this vast area suggests there is probably more diversity that remains to be discovered. The recently expanded deep sea fishery off the southwest coast of India has yielded several specimens that appear distinct from congeners in other parts of the world (D. Ebert, personal commun.). Other regions that may also warrant further exploration are the South Pacific, from which several new taxa have recently been described, and also deepwater and abyssal faunas worldwide (e.g., Straube et al., 2011).

Phylogeny: While patterns of species level mitochondrial cohesion are evident in this study, a rigorous estimate of the overall phylogeny among the taxa is conspicuously
absent. There will be many who will wonder why we have not subjected these sequences to methods of phylogenetic analysis in order to estimate the phylogenetic relationships among the taxa sampled. Our reasons for not doing so are twofold. First, the primary goal of this study was to carry out a DNA-based global survey of species diversity. Second, we feel strongly that such an analysis would likely not yield a phylogenetic estimate that is either accurate or reliable. These mitochondrial sequences evolve at such a high rate that any historical signal present in the data set is likely to have been overriden by multiple substitutions, changing substitutional dynamics, and lineage-specific effects that put them beyond the reach of any of the commonly used independent and identically distributed (IID) nucleotide-based models, no matter how parameter rich. While it is clear that there is historical signal among close relatives the deeper phylogenetic relationships are probably unrecoverable. This said, an analysis of a comprehensive subset of the current data set has been carried out by Naylor et al. (2012) to explore the depth at which the phylogenetic signal is recoverable for the data set. An effort is also underway by G.J.P.N. to sample more slowly evolving single-copy nuclear genes to estimate relationships among the taxa identified in this study and to estimate the temporal component of lineage diversification.

Functional diversity: We anticipate that other workers will contribute toward our efforts to complete a full characterization of standing extant elasmobranch biodiversity. A rigorous characterization of diversity at the species level will provide a framework for a more fine-grained understanding of life-history traits and their variation across species and geography. For example, the current study indicates that the scalloped hammerhead, Sphyrna lewini, which is generally considered to be a single, globally distributed species, constitutes two evolutionarily distinct species. Now that we are aware of this, we can better appreciate that there may be differences in the life-history traits, depth distributions, and behaviors between the two species. This type of information will provide a foundation for a functional characterization of biodiversity that will not only advance scientific understanding of the role of these animals in ocean
ecosystems, but will also allow us to better manage stocks, understand regional variation in susceptibility to fishing pressure, recognize units for conservation, and be better stewards of ocean resources.
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Mean intraspecific p-distance (\%) and K2P distance (\%) for NADH2 given as the mean, standard deviation, range, and number of specimens ( $n$ ) as calculated

|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K 2 P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcharhiniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus acronotus | 10 | $0.2 \pm 0.12(0-0.48 ; n=11)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.12(0-0.48 ; n=11)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus albimarginatus | 1 | $1.15 \pm 0.91(0-2.01 ; n=5)$ | $1.17 \pm 0.93(0-2.05 ; n=5)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus altimus | 9 | $0.17 \pm 0.2(0-0.86 ; n=22)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.2(0-0.87 ; n=22)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides | 6 | $0.41 \pm 0.27(0-0.86 ; n=36)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.28(0-0.87 ; n=36)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos | 1 | $0.37 \pm 0.27(0-0.96 ; n=18)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.27(0-0.97 ; n=18)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 | 8 | $0.38 \pm 0.31(0-0.96 ; n=5)$ | $0.39 \pm 0.32(0-0.97 ; n=5)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 | 8 | $0.06 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus borneensis | 2 | $0.23 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=13)$ | $0.23 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=13)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus brachyurus | 10 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus brevipinna | 10 | $0.59 \pm 0.32(0-2.01 ; n=35)$ | $0.59 \pm 0.32(0-2.04 ; n=35)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cautus | 7 | $0(n=5)$ | $0(n=5)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus | 10 | $0.23 \pm 0.2(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ | $0.23 \pm 0.2(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. dussumieri | 3 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 | 8 | $0.46 \pm 0.41(0-1.16 ; n=7)$ | $0.46 \pm 0.42(0-1.17 ; n=7)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. leucas 2 | 8 | $0.45 \pm 0.3(0.1-0.67 ; n=3)$ | $0.45 \pm 0.31(0.1-0.67 ; n=3)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. limbatus | 6 | $0.27 \pm 0.24(0-1.72 ; n=59)$ | $0.27 \pm 0.25(0-1.75 ; n=59)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. melanopterus | 7 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus | 9 | $0.16 \pm 0.19(0-0.77 ; n=17)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.19(0-0.77 ; n=17)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. porosus | 11 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. sealei | 3 | $0.15 \pm 0.13(0-0.57 ; n=16)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.13(0-0.58 ; n=16)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus cf. sorrah | 5 | $0.29 \pm 0.13$ (0.1-0.48; $n=4)$ | $0.29 \pm 0.13(0.1-0.48 ; n=4)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus dussumieri | 3 | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus falciformis | 1 | $0.4 \pm 0.39(0-0.96 ; n=48)$ | $0.41 \pm 0.39(0-0.97 ; n=48)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus fitzroyensis | 6 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus galapagensis | 4 | $0.24 \pm 0.13(0.1-0.38 ; n=4)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.13(0.1-0.38 ; n=4)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus isodon | 11 | $0.13 \pm 0.1(0-0.38 ; n=16)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.1(0-0.38 ; n=16)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus leucas | 8 | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=14)$ | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=14)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus limbatus | 6 | $0.08 \pm 0.09(0-0.48 ; n=39)$ | $0.08 \pm 0.09(0-0.48 ; n=39)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus longimanus | 4 | $0.16 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=7)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=7)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus macloti | 2 | $0.75 \pm 0.45(0-1.34 ; n=13)$ | $0.76 \pm 0.45(0-1.36 ; n=13)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus melanopterus | 7 | $0.41 \pm 0.23(0-0.86 ; n=24)$ | $0.41 \pm 0.24(0-0.87 ; n=24)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus obscurus | 4 | $0.46 \pm 0.37(0-2.01 ; n=42)$ | $0.47 \pm 0.38(0-2.05 ; n=42)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus perezi | 4 | $0.14 \pm 0.3(0-0.96 ; n=14)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.31(0-0.97 ; n=14)$ |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus plumbeus | 9 | $0.11 \pm 0.2(0-1.25 ; n=57)$ | $0.11 \pm 0.2(0-1.26 ; n=57)$ |
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|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus buergeri | 29 | $0.19 \pm 0.11(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.11(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus lineatus | 29 | $0.38(n=2)$ | $0.38(n=2)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus natalensis | 29 | $0.38 \pm 0.1(0.29-0.48 ; n=3)$ | $0.39 \pm 0.1(0.29-0.48 ; n=3)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Haploblepharus edwardsii | 29 | $0.42 \pm 0.24(0-1.15 ; n=19)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.25$ (0-1.16; $n=19)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Holohalaelurus regani | 29 | $0.2 \pm 0.12(0-0.57 ; n=17)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.12(0-0.58 ; n=17)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Parmaturus sp. | 33 | $0.12 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=6)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=6)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Parmaturus xaniurus | 28 | $0.33 \pm 0.12$ (0.1-0.48; $n=5)$ | $0.33 \pm 0.12$ (0.1-0.48; $n=5)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Poroderma africanum | 34 | $0.13 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=12)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=12)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Poroderma pantherinum | 34 | $0.28 \pm 0.16$ (0-0.57; $n=16)$ | $0.28 \pm 0.16$ ( $0-0.58 ; n=16)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Schroederichthys bivius | 33 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus capensis | 34 | $0.06 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=15)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=15$ ) |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus retifer | 34 | $1.41 \pm 0.64$ (0.86-2.11; $n=3)$ | $1.42 \pm 0.65$ (0.87-2.14; $n=3$ ) |
| Sphyrnidae | Eusphyra blochii | 19 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=9)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=9)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna cf. tiburo | 19 | $0.38(n=2)$ | $0.38(n=2)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna corona | 19 | $0.31 \pm 0.24(0-0.67 ; n=6)$ | $0.31 \pm 0.24(0-0.67 ; n=6)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna lewini 1 | 19 | $0.42 \pm 0.32(0-1.15 ; n=32)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.32(0-1.16 ; n=32)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna lewini 2 | 19 | $0.67 \pm 0.52(0-2.01 ; n=13)$ | $0.68 \pm 0.53(0-2.04 ; n=13)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna mokarran 1 | 19 | $0.05 \pm 0.07(0-0.29 ; n=16)$ | $0.05 \pm 0.07(0-0.29 ; n=16)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna mokarran 2 | 19 | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=6)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=6)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna tiburo | 19 | $0.06 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=12)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=12)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna tudes | 19 | $0.29 \pm 0.31(0-0.57 ; n=4)$ | $0.29 \pm 0.32(0-0.58 ; n=4)$ |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna zygaena | 19 | $0.24 \pm 0.23$ (0-0.77; $n=16$ ) | $0.24 \pm 0.23$ (0-0.77; $n=16$ ) |
| Triakidae | Furgaleus macki | 26 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Triakidae | Galeorhinus galeus | 26 | $0.47 \pm 0.38(0-1.25 ; n=18)$ | $0.48 \pm 0.38(0-1.26 ; n=18)$ |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis complicofasciata | 26 | $0.14 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis japanica | 26 | $0.34 \pm 0.19(0-0.67 ; n=9)$ | $0.34 \pm 0.19(0-0.68 ; n=9)$ |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis leucoperiptera | 26 | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |
| Triakidae | Hypogaleus hyugaensis | 26 | $0.09 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=13)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=13)$ |
| Triakidae | Iago cf. omanensis 1 | 25 | 0.67 ( $n=2$ ) | 0.68 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Triakidae | Iago cf. omanensis 2 | 25 | $0.14 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=6)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=6)$ |
| Triakidae | Iago garricki | 25 | $0.44 \pm 0.11(0.38-0.57 ; n=3)$ | $0.45 \pm 0.12$ (0.38-0.58; $n=3)$ |
| Triakidae | Iago omanensis | 25 | $0.34 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ | $0.34 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus antarcticus | 24 | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.38 ; n=9)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.38 ; n=9)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus asterias | 24 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus californicus | 23 | $0.13 \pm 0.08(0-0.19 ; n=15)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.08(0-0.19 ; n=15)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus canis | 23 | $0.75 \pm 0.62$ (0-2.2; $n=8)$ | $0.76 \pm 0.63(0-2.24 ; n=8)$ |


|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Triakidae | Mustelus cf. lunulatus | 23 | $0.28 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ | $0.28 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus henlei | 23 | $0.54 \pm 0.19$ (0.19-0.86; $n=6$ ) | $0.54 \pm 0.2(0.19-0.87 ; n=6)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus lenticulatus | 24 | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus manazo | 24 | $0.27 \pm 0.14(0-0.58 ; n=13)$ | $0.27 \pm 0.14(0-0.58 ; n=13)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus mosis | 23 | $0.64 \pm 0.31$ (0.29-0.86; $n=3$ ) | $0.64 \pm 0.31$ (0.29-0.87; $n=3$ ) |
| Triakidae | Mustelus mustelus | 23 | $0.33 \pm 0.28(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ | $0.33 \pm 0.28(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus palumbes | 24 | $0.25 \pm 0.13$ (0-0.4; $n=6$ ) | $0.25 \pm 0.13(0-0.4 ; n=6)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus schmitti | 24 | $0.18 \pm 0.09(0-0.38 ; n=7)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.09(0-0.38 ; n=7)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus sp. 1 | 23 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus sp. 2 | 23 | $0.24 \pm 0.16(0-0.48 ; n=4)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.16(0-0.48 ; n=4)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus stevensi | 24 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Triakidae | Mustelus widodoi | 23 | $0.1 \pm 0.09(0-0.48 ; n=30)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.09(0-0.48 ; n=30)$ |
| Triakidae | Scylliogaleus quecketti | 24 | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Triakidae | Triakis megalopterus | 24 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Triakidae | Triakis scyllium | 27 | $0.2 \pm 0.17(0-0.38 ; n=6)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.17$ (0-0.38; $n=6)$ |
| Triakidae | Triakis semifasciata | 27 | $0.03(n=6)$ | $0.03 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=6)$ |
| Heterodontiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus francisci | 40 | $0.05 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=9)$ | $0.05 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=9)$ |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus galeatus | 40 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus mexicanus | 40 | $0.17 \pm 0.13(0-0.57 ; n=22)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.13(0-0.58 ; n=22)$ |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | 40 | $0(n=7)$ | $0(n=7)$ |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus zebra | 40 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Hexanchiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Chlamydoselachidae | Chlamydoselachus anguineus | 49 | $0.38 \pm 0.17(0.19-0.48 ; n=3)$ | $0.38 \pm 0.17$ (0.19-0.48; $n=3)$ |
| Hexanchidae | Heptranchias perlo | 49 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus griseus | 49 | $0.2(n=2)$ | $0.2(\mathrm{n}=2)$ |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus nakamurai | 49 | $1.1 \pm 0.53$ (0.49-1.46; $n=3)$ | $1.12 \pm 0.55$ (0.49-1.48; $n=3)$ |
| Hexanchidae | Notorynchus cepedianus | 49 | $0.37 \pm 0.26(0-0.59 ; n=4)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.26(0-0.59 ; n=4)$ |
| Lamniformes |  |  |  |  |
| Alopiidae | Alopias pelagicus | 35 | $0.57 \pm 0.42(0-1.25 ; n=20)$ | $0.58 \pm 0.42(0-1.26 ; n=20)$ |
| Alopiidae | Alopias superciliosus | 35 | $0.27 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ | $0.27 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ |
| Alopiidae | Alopias vulpinus | 35 | $0.09 \pm 0.09(0-0.19 ; n=11)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.09(0-0.19 ; n=11)$ |
| Cetorhinidae | Cetorhinus maximus | 35 | 0.48 ( $n=2$ ) | 0.48 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Lamnidae | Carcharodon carcharias | 35 | $0.4 \pm 0.65(0-1.92 ; n=17)$ | $0.41 \pm 0.65(0-1.95 ; n=17)$ |
| Lamnidae | Isurus oxyrinchus | 35 | $1.02 \pm 0.69$ (0-2.02; $n=24)$ | $1.04 \pm 0.7(0-2.06 ; n=24)$ |
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|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lamnidae | Isurus paucus | 35 | $0.32 \pm 0.26(0-0.78 ; n=6)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.26$ ( $0-0.79 ; n=6)$ |
| Lamnidae | Lamna ditropis | 35 | $0.38(n=2)$ | $0.39(n=2)$ |
| Lamnidae | Lamna nasus | 35 | $0.65 \pm 0.59(0-1.44 ; n=10)$ | $0.66 \pm 0.6(0-1.46 ; n=10)$ |
| Megachasmidae | Megachasma pelagios | 35 | $0.35 \pm 0.33(0-0.98 ; n=6)$ | $0.35 \pm 0.33(0-0.99 ; n=6)$ |
| Mitsukurinidae | Mitsukurina owstoni | 35 | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.19 ; n=3)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.19 ; n=3)$ |
| Odontaspididae | Carcharias taurus | 35 | $0.17 \pm 0.12(0-0.39 ; n=6)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.12(0-0.39 ; n=6)$ |
| Odontaspididae | Odontaspis ferox | 35 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Pseudocarchariidae | Pseudocarcharias kamoharai | 35 | $0.87 \pm 0.81(0.1-1.73 ; n=4)$ | $0.88 \pm 0.83(0.1-1.76 ; n=4)$ |
| Orectolobiformes |  |  |  |  |
| Brachaeluridae | Brachaelurus colcloughi | 38 | $1.01 \pm 0.9(0-2.01 ; n=4)$ | $1.02 \pm 0.92(0-2.04 ; n=4)$ |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Ginglymostoma cf. cirratum | 37 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Ginglymostoma cirratum | 37 | $0.1 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=9)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=9)$ |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Nebrius ferrugineus | 37 | $0.29(n=2)$ | $0.29(n=2)$ |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Pseudoginglymostoma brevicaudatum | 37 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium cf. punctatum | 36 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium griseum | 36 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 36 | $0.07 \pm 0.09(0-0.38 ; n=13)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.09(0-0.38 ; n=13)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium indicum | 36 | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.58 ; n=42)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.58 ; n=42)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 36 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium punctatum | 36 | $0.31 \pm 0.24(0-0.96 ; n=54)$ | $0.31 \pm 0.24(0-0.96 ; n=54)$ |
| Hemiscylliidae | Hemiscyllium ocellatum | 36 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Eucrossorhinus dasypogon | 38 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus floridus | 38 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus halei | 38 | $0.09 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=7)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=7)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus hutchinsi | 38 | $0(n=10)$ | $0(n=10)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus maculatus | 38 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus parvimaculatus | 38 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Parascyllidae | Parascyllium collare | 39 | $0.09 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=6)$ |
| Rhincodontidae | Rhincodon typus | 37 | $0(n=6)$ | $0 \pm 0(0-0 ; n=6)$ |
| Stegostomatidae | Stegostoma fasciatum | 37 | $0.36 \pm 0.22(0-0.67 ; n=12)$ | $0.36 \pm 0.23(0-0.67 ; n=12)$ |
| Pristiophoriformes |  |  |  |  |
| Pristiophoridae | Pliotrema warreni | 48 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Pristiophoridae | Pristiophorus cirratus | 48 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Squaliformes |  |  |  |  |

$0.3 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.48 ; n=4)$
43

|  |  | Figure(s) | p -distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus granulosus | 43 | $0.06 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=13)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=13)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus harrissoni | 43 | $0.32 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.48 ; n=3)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.48 ; n=3)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus isodon | 43 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus moluccensis | 43 | $0.61 \pm 0.4(0-1.34 ; n=10)$ | $0.62 \pm 0.41(0-1.36 ; n=10)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus sp. 1 | 43 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus sp. 2 | 43 | $0.19 \pm 0.17(0-0.29 ; n=3)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.17(0-0.29 ; n=3)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus squamosus | 43 | $0.12 \pm 0.15(0-0.86 ; n=50)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.15(0-0.87 ; n=50)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus zeehaani | 43 | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Deania calcea | 44 | $0.32 \pm 0.29(0-1.05 ; n=26)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.3(0-1.06 ; n=26)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Deania cf. profundorum | 44 | $0.52 \pm 0.3(0-0.96 ; n=5)$ | $0.52 \pm 0.3(0-0.97 ; n=5)$ |
| Centrophoridae | Deania quadrispinosum 1 | 44 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Dalatiidae | Dalatias licha | 47 | $0.18 \pm 0.17(0-0.48 ; n=17)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.17(0-0.48 ; n=17)$ |
| Dalatiidae | Isistius brasiliensis | 47 | $0.77 \pm 0.33(0.38-0.96 ; n=3)$ | $0.77 \pm 0.34(0.38-0.97 ; n=3)$ |
| Dalatiidae | Squaliolus aliae | 47 | $0.96 \pm 0.44(0.48-1.34 ; n=3)$ | $0.97 \pm 0.45(0.48-1.36 ; n=3)$ |
| Dalatiidae | Squaliolus laticaudus | 47 | $0.77(n=2)$ | 0.77 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Echinorhinidae | Echinorhinus brucus | 48 | $0.38 \pm 0.17(0.19-0.48 ; n=3)$ | $0.38 \pm 0.17(0.19-0.48 ; n=3)$ |
| Echinorhinidae | Echinorhinus cookei | 48 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Centroscyllium fabricii | 46 | $0.34 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=10)$ | $0.34 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=10)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus baxteri | 46 | $0.14 \pm 0.26(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.26(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus bigelowi | 46 | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus cf. unicolor 1 | 46 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus cf. unicolor 2 | 46 | $0.67(n=2)$ | $0.67(n=2)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus lucifer | 46 | $0.19 \pm 0.2(0-0.57 ; n=10)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.2(0-0.58 ; n=10)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus molleri | 46 | $0.29 \pm 0.25(0-0.57 ; n=4)$ | $0.29 \pm 0.25(0-0.58 ; n=4)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus princeps | 46 | $0.33 \pm 0.23(0-0.57 ; n=7)$ | $0.33 \pm 0.23(0-0.58 ; n=7)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus pusillus | 46 | $0.33 \pm 0.23(0-1.05 ; n=20)$ | $0.34 \pm 0.23(0-1.06 ; n=20)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus spinax | 46 | $0.24 \pm 0.23(0-0.77 ; n=21)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.23(0-0.77 ; n=21)$ |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus splendidus | 46 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Oxynotidae | Oxynotus bruniensis | 45 | $0.15 \pm 0.17(0-0.57 ; n=14)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.17(0-0.58 ; n=14)$ |
| Oxynotidae | Oxynotus paradoxus | 45 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus coelolepis | 45 | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=18)$ | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=18)$ |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus owstonii | 45 | $0.18 \pm 0.15(0-0.57 ; n=17)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.15(0-0.58 ; n=17)$ |
| Somniosidae | Centroselachus crepidater | 45 | $0.23 \pm 0.3(0-1.63 ; n=27)$ | $0.23 \pm 0.3(0-1.65 ; n=27)$ |
| Somniosidae | Proscymnodon plunketi | 45 | $0.02 \pm 0.04(0-0.1 ; n=12)$ | $0.02 \pm 0.04(0-0.1 ; n=12)$ |
| Somniosidae | Scymnodon ringens | 45 | $0(n=5)$ | $0(n=5)$ |
| Somniosidae | Somniosus microcephalus | 45 | $0.06 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ |
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|  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Somniosus pacificus | 45 | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.11 \pm 0.07(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Zameus squamulosus | 45 | $0.41 \pm 0.22(0-0.57 ; n=4)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.23(0-0.58 ; n=4)$ |
| Cirrhigaleus australis | 42 | $0.39(n=2)$ | $0.39(n=2)$ |
| Squalus acanthias | 41 | $0.21 \pm 0.16(0-0.96 ; n=176)$ | $0.22 \pm 0.16(0-0.97 ; n=176)$ |
| Squalus albifrons | 42 | $0.13 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=3)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=3)$ |
| Squalus brevirostris | 42 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Squalus cf. megalops | 42 | $0.28 \pm 0.15(0-0.67 ; n=17)$ | $0.28 \pm 0.15(0-0.67 ; n=17)$ |
| Squalus cf. mitsukurii | 42 | $0.09 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ |
| Squalus chloroculus | 42 | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ |
| Squalus crassispinus | 42 | $0.51 \pm 0.36(0.1-0.77 ; n=3)$ | $0.52 \pm 0.36$ (0.1-0.77; $n=3)$ |
| Squalus cubensis | 42 | 0.48 ( $n=2$ ) | 0.48 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Squalus edmundsi | 42 | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ |
| Squalus formosus | 42 | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.19 ; n=3)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.11(0-0.19 ; n=3)$ |
| Squalus grahami | 42 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Squalus japonicus | 42 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Squalus megalops | 42 | $0.18 \pm 0.18(0-0.57 ; n=7)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.18(0-0.58 ; n=7)$ |
| Squalus montalbani | 42 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Squalus nasutus | 42 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Squalus sp. | 42 | $0.3 \pm 0.2(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ | $0.3 \pm 0.2(0-0.68 ; n=8)$ |
| Squalus suckleyi | 41 | $0.62 \pm 0.31$ (0.19-1.44; $n=8)$ | $0.63 \pm 0.31$ (0.19-1.45; $n=8)$ |
| Squatina aculeata | 48 | $0.07 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=11)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.08(0-0.29 ; n=11)$ |
| Squatina californica | 48 | $0.36 \pm 0.18(0-0.57 ; n=7)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.19(0-0.58 ; n=7)$ |
| Squatina dumeril | 48 | $0.54 \pm 0.4(0-1.15 ; n=8)$ | $0.55 \pm 0.4(0-1.16 ; n=8)$ |
| Squatina formosa | 48 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |
| Squatina oculata | 48 | $0(n=12)$ | $0(n=12)$ |
| Squatina tergocellatoides | 48 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Cruriraja hulleyi | 77 | $0.18 \pm 0.29(0-0.77 ; n=10)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.29(0-0.77 ; n=10)$ |
| Sinobatis bulbicauda | 77 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Atlantoraja cyclophora | 77 | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ |
| Atlantoraja platana | 77 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Bathyraja brachyurops | 76 | $0.67 \pm 0.34(0-0.96 ; n=4)$ | $0.68 \pm 0.34(0-0.97 ; n=4)$ |
| Bathyraja aleutica | 76 | $0.96(n=2)$ | $0.96(n=2)$ |
| Bathyraja griseocauda | 76 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
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|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja maculata | 76 | $0.37 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.48 ; n=4)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.48 ; n=4)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja minispinosa | 76 | $0.25 \pm 0.22(0-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.22$ ( $0-0.39 ; n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja pallida | 76 | $1.66 \pm 0.64$ (0.38-2.01; $n=4$ ) | $1.69 \pm 0.65$ (0.38-2.05; $n=4$ ) |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja parmifera | 76 | $0.29 \pm 0.46(0-1.15 ; n=8)$ | $0.29 \pm 0.46$ (0-1.16; $n=8)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja scaphiops | 76 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja sp. 1 | 76 | $0.32 \pm 0.28(0-0.48 ; n=3)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.28(0-0.48 ; n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja spp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 76 | $0.73 \pm 0.59(0-2.3 ; n=19)$ | $0.74 \pm 0.6$ (0-2.35; $n=19)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Brochiraja asperula | 77 | $0.03 \pm 0.05(0-0.19 ; n=19)$ | $0.03 \pm 0.05(0-0.19 ; n=19)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Brochiraja cf. asperula | 77 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Brochiraja leviveneta | 77 | $0.89 \pm 0.44$ (0.38-1.15; $n=3)$ | $0.9 \pm 0.45$ (0.38-1.16; $n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Brochiraja spinifera | 77 | $0.59 \pm 0.5(0-1.25 ; n=16)$ | $0.6 \pm 0.5(0-1.26 ; n=16)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Pavoraja nitida | 77 | $0.25 \pm 0.22(0-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.25 \pm 0.22(0-0.38 ; n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Psammobatis sp. | 77 | $0.21 \pm 0.21(0-0.57 ; n=10)$ | $0.21 \pm 0.21(0-0.58 ; n=10)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Rhinoraja albomaculata | 76 | $0.26 \pm 0.14$ (0.1-0.38; $n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.14$ (0.1-0.38; $n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Rhinoraja macloviana | 76 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Rhinoraja multispinis | 76 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Rioraja agassizii | 77 | $0.96(n=2)$ | $0.97(n=2)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Sympterygia acuta | 77 | $0.57(n=2)$ | $0.58(n=2)$ |
| Arhynchobatidae | Sympterygia bonapartii | 77 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis americana | 56 | $2.08 \pm 0.67$ (1.44-2.78; $n=3)$ | $2.11 \pm 0.69$ (1.46-2.83; $n=3)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis centroura | 56 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis cf. zugei | 56 | $0.31 \pm 0.14(0-0.67 ; n=24)$ | $0.31 \pm 0.15(0-0.68 ; n=24)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis dipterura | 56 | $0.15 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis longa | 56 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis margarita | 55 | $0(n=11)$ | $0(n=11)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis margaritella | 55 | $0.25 \pm 0.17(0-0.57 ; n=5)$ | $0.25 \pm 0.17(0-0.58 ; n=5)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis microps | 55 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis sabina | 56 | $1.44(n=2)$ | $1.45(n=2)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis say | 56 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis sp. | 56 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Dasyatis zugei | 56 | $0.14 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=12)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=12)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura astra | 50 | $0.76 \pm 0.86(0-3.07 ; n=9)$ | $0.77 \pm 0.87(0-3.14 ; n=9)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 | 50 | $0.49 \pm 0.47$ (0-2.11; $n=39)$ | $0.49 \pm 0.48$ (0-2.15; $n=39)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 | 50 | $0.33 \pm 0.37(0-1.82 ; n=29)$ | $0.33 \pm 0.38(0-1.84 ; n=29)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura fai | 51 | $0.91 \pm 0.45(0-1.15 ; n=4)$ | $0.92 \pm 0.45$ (0-1.16; $n=4)$ |
| Dasyatidae | Himantura granulata | 54 | $0.19 \pm 0.1(0.1-0.29 ; n=3)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.1(0.1-0.29 ; n=3)$ |


| Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | $0.51 \pm 0.44$ (0-1.05; $n=7)$ | $0.52 \pm 0.44$ (0-1.06; $n=7)$ |
| 51 | $0.53 \pm 0.34(0-1.53 ; n=13)$ | $0.53 \pm 0.34(0-1.55 ; n=13)$ |
| 52 | $0.75 \pm 0.42(0.1-1.15 ; n=4)$ | $0.76 \pm 0.42(0.1-1.16 ; n=4)$ |
| 54 | $0.09 \pm 0.09(0-0.38 ; n=20)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.09$ (0-0.38; $n=20)$ |
| 53 | $0.06 \pm 0.11(0-0.57 ; n=41)$ | $0.06 \pm 0.11(0-0.58 ; n=41)$ |
| 50 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| 50 | 0.77 ( $n=2$ ) | 0.77 ( $n=2$ ) |
| 54 | $0.16 \pm 0.18(0-0.58 ; n=7)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.18(0-0.58 ; n=7)$ |
| 53 | $0.16 \pm 0.15(0-0.67 ; n=21)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.15(0-0.67 ; n=21)$ |
| 50 | $0.29(n=2)$ | $0.29(n=2)$ |
| 51 | $0.61 \pm 0.37(0-1.92 ; n=32)$ | $0.61 \pm 0.37(0-1.95 ; n=32)$ |
| 52 | $0.77 \pm 0.77(0-2.39 ; n=12)$ | $0.78 \pm 0.78$ (0-2.45; $n=12)$ |
| 52 | $0.25 \pm 0.16(0-0.57 ; n=12)$ | $0.25 \pm 0.16$ (0-0.58; $n=12)$ |
| 52 | $0.17 \pm 0.12(0-0.48 ; n=15)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.12(0-0.48 ; n=15)$ |
| 52 | $0.14 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=11)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=11)$ |
| 53 | $0.82 \pm 0.4(0.19-1.63 ; n=6)$ | $0.82 \pm 0.41$ (0.19-1.65; $n=6)$ |
| 58 | $0.67 \pm 0.35(0-1.53 ; n=33)$ | $0.67 \pm 0.35(0-1.56 ; n=33)$ |
| 58 | $0.63 \pm 0.61(0-1.82 ; n=8)$ | $0.64 \pm 0.62(0-1.85 ; n=8)$ |
| 58 | $0.56 \pm 0.46$ (0-0.96; $n=5)$ | $0.56 \pm 0.46$ ( $0-0.97 ; n=5)$ |
| 58 | $0.36 \pm 0.37(0-0.86 ; n=6)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.38(0-0.87 ; n=6)$ |
| 57 | $0.56 \pm 0.31(0-1.34 ; n=14)$ | $0.56 \pm 0.31(0-1.36 ; n=14)$ |
| 57 | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ |
| 57 | $0.39 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.77 ; n=9)$ | $0.4 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.77 ; n=9)$ |
| 57 | $0.59 \pm 0.44$ (0-1.72; $n=12)$ | $0.59 \pm 0.45$ (0-1.74; $n=12)$ |
| 55 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| 59 | $0.37 \pm 0.44(0-2.01 ; n=28)$ | $0.37 \pm 0.44$ (0-2.04; $n=28)$ |
| 59 | $0.91 \pm 0.65(0-1.63 ; n=7)$ | $0.92 \pm 0.65(0-1.66 ; n=7)$ |
| 56 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| 54 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| 54 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| 64 | $0.18 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=10)$ | $0.18 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=10)$ |
| 64 | $0.51 \pm 0.29(0-1.25 ; n=12)$ | $0.52 \pm 0.29(0-1.26 ; n=12)$ |
| 64 | $0.46 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.77 ; n=5)$ | $0.46 \pm 0.2(0.1-0.77 ; n=5)$ |
| 64 | $0.47 \pm 0.22(0.1-0.96 ; n=7)$ | $0.48 \pm 0.22(0.1-0.96 ; n=7)$ |
| 64 | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ |
| 64 | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |


|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura zonura | 64 | $0.58 \pm 0.44(0.1-1.39 ; n=7)$ | $0.59 \pm 0.44$ (0.1-1.41; $n=7$ ) |
| Hexatrygonidae | Hexatrygon bickelli | 66 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Mobulidae | Manta birostris | 61 | $0.72(n=2)$ | $0.72(n=2)$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula hypostoma | 61 | $0.38(n=2)$ | $0.38(n=2)$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula japanica | 61 | $0.22 \pm 0.17$ (0-0.48; $n=12)$ | $0.22 \pm 0.17(0-0.48 ; n=12)$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula kuhlii | 61 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.1; $n=3)$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula munkiana | 61 | $0.07 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=8)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=8)$ |
| Mobulidae | Mobula thurstoni | 61 | $0.24 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.19(0-0.68 ; n=8)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2 | 63 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus flagellum | 63 | $1.05(n=2)$ | 1.06 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus laticeps | 63 | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus narinari | 63 | $0.42 \pm 0.44$ (0-1.73; $n=14)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.45$ (0-1.76; $n=14)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus ocellatus | 63 | $0.55 \pm 0.32(0-1.53 ; n=34)$ | $0.56 \pm 0.32(0-1.55 ; n=34)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus sp . | 63 | $0.04 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=9)$ | $0.04 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=9)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 2 | 62 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus maculatus | 62 | $0.8 \pm 0.64(0-1.64 ; n=10)$ | $0.81 \pm 0.65$ (0-1.67; $n=10)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus milvus | 62 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus nichofii | 62 | $0.31 \pm 0.23(0-0.96 ; n=15)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.23$ (0-0.96; $n=15)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus vespertilio | 62 | $0.27 \pm 0.2(0-0.48 ; n=5)$ | $0.27 \pm 0.2(0-0.48 ; n=5)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis aquila | 62 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=7)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06$ (0-0.19; $n=7)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis australis | 62 | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=4)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=4)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis californica | 62 | $0.54 \pm 0.24(0-1.15 ; n=18)$ | $0.54 \pm 0.25$ (0-1.16; $n=18)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis freminvillii | 62 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis longirostris | 62 | $0.24 \pm 0.27(0-1.05 ; n=16)$ | $0.24 \pm 0.28$ (0-1.06; $n=16)$ |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis tobijei | 62 | $0.45 \pm 0.3(0.1-0.67 ; n=3)$ | $0.45 \pm 0.31(0.1-0.67 ; n=3)$ |
| Narcinidae | Narcine tasmaniensis | 70 | $0.43 \pm 0.4(0-0.86 ; n=4)$ | $0.44 \pm 0.41$ ( $0-0.87 ; n=4)$ |
| Narkidae | Typhlonarke aysoni | 70 | $0.29(n=2)$ | $0.29(n=2)$ |
| Platyrhinidae | Platyrhinoidis triseriata | 70 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Plesiobatididae | Plesiobatis daviesi | 64 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Potamotrygonidae | Potamotrygon cf. tatianae | 60 | $0.29(n=2)$ | $0.29(n=2)$ |
| Potamotrygonidae | Potamotrygon sp. 1 | 60 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Potamotrygonidae | Potamotrygon sp. 2 | 60 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Pristidae | Anoxypristis cuspidata | 68 | $0.03(n=7)$ | $0.03 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=7)$ |
| Pristidae | Pristis clavata | 68 | $0.2 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=4)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.15$ (0-0.38; $n=4)$ |
| Pristidae | Pristis microdon | 68 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Pristidae | Pristis pectinata | 68 | $0.21 \pm 0.26$ (0-1.15; $n=20)$ | $0.21 \pm 0.26$ (0-1.16; $n=20)$ |


|  | Figure（s） | p－distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pristis perotteti | 68 | $0.36 \pm 0.32(0-1.06 ; n=17)$ | $0.36 \pm 0.32(0-1.06 ; n=17)$ |
| Pristis zijsron | 68 | $0.16 \pm 0.23(0-0.48 ; n=6)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.23(0-0.48 ; n=6)$ |
| Amblyraja doellojuradoi | 74 | $0.51 \pm 0.23$（0．38－0．77；$n=3$ ） | $0.51 \pm 0.23$（0．38－0．77；$n=3)$ |
| Amblyraja hyperborealjensenilbadia ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 74 | $0.52 \pm 0.28(0-1.05 ; n=9)$ | $0.53 \pm 0.28(0-1.06 ; n=9)$ |
| Amblyraja radiata | 74 | $0.82 \pm 0.33$（0－1．44；$n=14)$ | $0.83 \pm 0.33(0-1.46 ; n=14)$ |
| Dipturus australis | 71 | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ |
| Dipturus batis／oxyrinchus ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71 | $0.41 \pm 0.34(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ | $0.42 \pm 0.34(0-0.77 ; n=11)$ |
| Dipturus cerva | 71 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Dipturus cf．batis 2 | 71 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Dipturus confusus | 71 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Dipturus gudgeri | 71 | $0.45 \pm 0.31(0.1-0.68 ; n=3)$ | $0.45 \pm 0.31(0.1-0.68 ; n=3)$ |
| Dipturus innominatus | 71 | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.57 ; n=11)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.58 ; n=11)$ |
| Dipturus laevis | 71 | $0.07 \pm 0.06$（0－0．1；$n=3)$ | $0.07 \pm 0.06(0-0.1 ; n=3)$ |
| Dipturus leptocauda | 71 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Dipturus linteus | 74 | $0.04(n=5)$ | $0.04 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=5)$ |
| Dipturus pullopunctata | 71 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Dipturus sp． 4 | 71 | $1.25(n=2)$ | $1.26(n=2)$ |
| Dipturus springeri | 71 | $0(n=4)$ | $0(n=4)$ |
| Leucoraja erinacea | 75 | $0.51 \pm 0.19(0.29-0.77 ; n=4)$ | $0.51 \pm 0.19(0.29-0.77 ; n=4)$ |
| Leucoraja fullonica | 75 | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.15(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ |
| Leucoraja naevus | 75 | $0.14 \pm 0.17(0-0.57 ; n=29)$ | $0.14 \pm 0.17(0-0.58 ; n=29)$ |
| Leucoraja ocellata | 75 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Leucoraja wallacei | 75 | $0.36 \pm 0.2(0-0.77 ; n=12)$ | $0.36 \pm 0.2(0-0.77 ; n=12)$ |
| Malacoraja senta | 75 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Neoraja caerulea | 75 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Okamejei cairae | 72 | $0.2 \pm 0.11(0-0.38 ; n=13)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.11(0-0.38 ; n=13)$ |
| Okamejei cf．porosa | 72 | $0.38 \pm 0.29(0-0.77 ; n=5)$ | $0.38 \pm 0.29(0-0.77 ; n=5)$ |
| Okamejei hollandi | 72 | $0.58 \pm 0.29(0-1.25 ; n=14)$ | $0.58 \pm 0.3(0-1.26 ; n=14)$ |
| Okamejei jensenae | 75 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Raja asterias | 73 | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.38 ; n=3)$ |
| Raja binoculata | 71 | $0.58(n=2)$ | $0.58(n=2)$ |
| Raja cf．miraletus 1 | 73 | $0.12 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.06(0-0.19 ; n=6)$ |
| Raja cf．miraletus 2 | 73 | $0.23 \pm 0.09(0.1-0.38 ; n=5)$ | $0.23 \pm 0.09(0.1-0.38 ; n=5)$ |
| Raja clavata | 73 | $0.58 \pm 0.38(0-1.05 ; n=9)$ | $0.59 \pm 0.38(0-1.06 ; n=9)$ |
| Raja eglanteria | 75 | $0.78 \pm 0.43(0-1.15 ; n=4)$ | $0.79 \pm 0.43(0-1.16 ; n=4)$ |
| Raja miraletus | 73 | $0.2 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=12)$ | $0.2 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=12)$ |

[^1]|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajidae | Raja rhina | 71 | $0.12 \pm 0.19(0-0.77 ; n=17)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.19$ (0-0.77; $n=17)$ |
| Rajidae | Raja straeleni | 73 | $0.16 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=9)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.09(0-0.29 ; n=9)$ |
| Rajidae | Raja velezi | 75 | $0(n=3)$ | $0(n=3)$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella caudaspinosa | 74 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella fyllae | 74 | $0.17 \pm 0.17(0-0.57 ; n=21)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.17(0-0.58 ; n=21)$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella kukujevi | 74 | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=4)$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella leopardus | 74 | $0.12 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ |
| Rajidae | Rajella sp. | 74 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Rajidae | Rostroraja alba | 75 | $0(n=6)$ | $0 \pm 0(0-0 ; n=6)$ |
| Rajidae | Spiniraja whitleyi | 71 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Rajidae | Zearaja chilensis | 71 | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=8)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=8)$ |
| Rajidae | Zearaja flavirostris | 71 | $0.19 \pm 0.17(0-0.29 ; n=3)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.17(0-0.29 ; n=3)$ |
| Rajidae | Zearaja nasuta | 71 | $0.31 \pm 0.11(0.1-0.48 ; n=5)$ | $0.31 \pm 0.11$ (0.1-0.48; $n=5$ ) |
| Rhinidae | Rhina ancylostoma | 69 | $0.21 \pm 0.15(0-0.38 ; n=6)$ | $0.21 \pm 0.15(0-0.39 ; n=6)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Aptychotrema rostrata | 69 | $0.38(n=2)$ | $0.38(n=2)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus cf. typus | 69 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus thouin | 69 | $0.05 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=6)$ | $0.05 \pm 0.05(0-0.1 ; n=6)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus typus | 69 | $0.09 \pm 0.11(0-0.29 ; n=15)$ | $0.09 \pm 0.11(0-0.29 ; n=15)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos annulatus | 69 | $0(n=5)$ | $0(n=5)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos cemiculus | 69 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos cf. schlegelii | 69 | $0.17 \pm 0.15(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.15(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos glaucostigma | 68 | $0.17 \pm 0.22(0-0.57 ; n=8)$ | $0.17 \pm 0.23(0-0.58 ; n=8)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos productus | 68 | $0.23 \pm 0.17(0-0.57 ; n=11)$ | $0.23 \pm 0.17(0-0.58 ; n=11)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos rhinobatos | 69 | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.57 ; n=20)$ | $0.19 \pm 0.14(0-0.58 ; n=20)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos sp. 1 | 69 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Rhinobatidae | Zapteryx exasperata | 69 | $0.1(n=2)$ | $0.1(n=2)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera bonasus | 61 | $0.1 \pm 0.21(0-0.77 ; n=18)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.21$ (0-0.77; $n=18)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri | 61 | $0.26 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ | $0.26 \pm 0.18(0-0.67 ; n=8)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera javanica | 61 | 0.86 ( $n=2$ ) | $0.87(n=2)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera jayakari | 61 | $0.79 \pm 0.57(0-1.63 ; n=10)$ | $0.8 \pm 0.58(0-1.65 ; n=10)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera neglecta | 61 | $0.12 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ | $0.12 \pm 0.1(0-0.29 ; n=5)$ |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera steindachneri | 61 | $0.28 \pm 0.15$ (0.1-0.67; $n=7)$ | $0.28 \pm 0.15$ (0.1-0.68; $n=7)$ |
| Rhynchobatidae | Rhynchobatus australiae | 69 | $0.31 \pm 0.29(0-0.67 ; n=12)$ | $0.32 \pm 0.29(0-0.68 ; n=12)$ |
| Rhynchobatidae | Rhynchobatus cf. laevis | 69 | $0.16 \pm 0.14(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.14(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ |
| Rhynchobatidae | Rhynchobatus palpebratus | 69 | $0.19(n=2)$ | $0.19(n=2)$ |
| Torpedinidae | Torpedo fuscomaculata | 70 | $0.25 \pm 0.15(0-0.58 ; n=11)$ | $0.25 \pm 0.15(0-0.58 ; n=11)$ |

$\underset{\text { (Continued) }}{ }{ }^{\text {APPENDIX }} 1$

|  |  | Figure(s) | p-distance | K2P distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Torpedinidae | Torpedo macneilli | 70 | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1(0-0.19 ; n=4)$ |
| Torpedinidae | Torpedo nobiliana | 70 | $0.16 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=8)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.14(0-0.48 ; n=8)$ |
| Torpedinidae | Torpedo sinuspersici | 70 | $2.12(n=2)$ | $2.16(n=2)$ |
| Urolophidae | Trygonoptera imitata | 65 | $0.16 \pm 0.08(0.1-0.29 ; n=4)$ | $0.16 \pm 0.08(0.1-0.29 ; n=4)$ |
| Urolophidae | Urobatis jamaicensis | 60 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Urolophidae | Urolophus cruciatus | 65 | $0.13 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=3)$ | $0.13 \pm 0.05(0.1-0.19 ; n=3)$ |
| Urolophidae | Urolophus flavomosaicus | 65 | $0(n=2)$ | $0(n=2)$ |
| Urolophidae | Urolophus paucimaculatus | 65 | $0.29 \pm 0.13$ (0.1-0.48; $n=4)$ | $0.29 \pm 0.14(0.1-0.48 ; n=4)$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urobatis concentricus | 60 | $0.15 \pm 0.2(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ | $0.15 \pm 0.2(0-0.38 ; n=5)$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urobatis halleri | 60 | $0.38 \pm 0.2(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ | $0.38 \pm 0.2(0-0.77 ; n=9)$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urobatis maculatus | 60 | $0.27 \pm 0.18(0-0.57 ; n=5)$ | $0.27 \pm 0.19(0-0.58 ; n=5)$ |
| Urotrygonidae | Urotrygon rogersi | 60 | $0.48(n=2)$ | 0.48 ( $n=2$ ) |
| Zanobatidae | Zanobatus schoenleinii | 67 | $0.34 \pm 0.32(0-0.96 ; n=8)$ | $0.34 \pm 0.32(0-0.96 ; n=8)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ May represent a species complex.
Voucher information is presented as (1) availability of images (yes or no), (2) Collection Code and Collection number (e.g., BO-43, AF-106, etc.) for
specimens collected by JNC and KJ and for which data (incl. images) are available in the on-line host specimen database (http://elasmobranchs. tapewormdb.uconn.edu), (3) and museum accession numbers for samples with physical vouchers (see Materials and Methods for museum abbreviations).

|  |  | Unique <br> project no. | GenBank <br> no. | Voucher information | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  | Unique <br> project no. | GenBank <br> no. | Voucher information | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus tilstoni | GN5086 | JQ518617 | yes; AU-64 | female | Northern Territory, Fog Bay, Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Carcharhinus wheeleri | GN8 | JQ518630 | no | male | Red Sea, Egypt |
| Carcharhinidae | Galeocerdo cf. cuvier | GN239 | JQ518633 | no | male | Maryland, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Carcharhinidae | Galeocerdo cuvier | GN5271 | JQ519164 | yes; BJ-382; <br> TCWC 7574.01 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis fowlerae | GN3377 | JQ519085 | yes; BO-107; <br> IPMB 38.14.03 | female | Sabah, Kinabatangan River, Malaysia |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis gangeticus | GN2669 | JQ518634 | no | unknown | northern Indian Ocean, Pakistan |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis garricki | GN6502 | JQ518636 | no | unknown | Northern Territory, West Alligator River System, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis glyphis | GN6505 | JQ518637 | no | unknown | Northern Territory, West Alligator River System, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Glyphis sp. 1 | GN3682 | JQ518635 | yes; BO-471 | unknown | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Carcharhinidae | Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus | GN1543 | JQ518638 | no | male | Maranhao, Atlantic Ocean, Brazil |
| Carcharhinidae | Lamiopsis temmincki | GN1670 | JQ518639 | no | male | Maharastra, Indian Ocean, India |
| Carcharhinidae | Lamiopsis tephrodes | GN4240 | JQ519056 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; KA-67; ANFC } \\ & \text { H 7083-01 } \end{aligned}$ | male | South Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Carcharhinidae | Loxodon cf. macrorhinus | GN2014 | JQ518640 | yes; GA-13 | female | Mozambique Channel, Indian Ocean, Madagascar |
| Carcharhinidae | Loxodon macrorhinus | GN3646 | JQ518641 | yes; BO-435 | female | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Carcharhinidae | Nasolamia velox | GN1056 | JQ518642 | no | female | Pacific Ocean, Panama |
| Carcharhinidae | Negaprion acutidens | GN5055 | JQ518644 | yes; AU-17 | male | Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Negaprion brevirostris | GN25 | JQ518643 | no | female | Florida Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Carcharhinidae | Prionace glauca | GN5435 | JQ518645 | yes; BJ-780 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon acutus | GN6632 | JQ518653 | yes; MM-4 | female | Gulf of Oman, Iran |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 | GN6060 | JQ518652 | yes; SE-219 | male | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 | GN5137 | JQ518649 | yes; AU-120 | male | Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 | GN2955 | JQ519100 | yes; HBO-30; <br> IPPS HBO30 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
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|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon lalandii | GN487 | JQ518646 | no | female | Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Trinidad |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon longurio | GN5298 | JQ518650 | yes; BJ-529 | unknown | Baja California, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon oligolinx | GN3686 | JQ519096 | yes; BO-475; <br> IPPS BO475 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon porosus | GN510 | JQ518648 | no | female | Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Trinidad |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon taylori | GN5087 | JQ518647 | yes; AU-65 | female | Northern Territory, Fog Bay, Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Carcharhinidae | Rhizoprionodon terraenovae | GN5662 | JQ518651 | yes; DEL-5 | male | Florida, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Carcharhinidae | Scoliodon cf. laticaudus | GN6769 | JQ518655 | no | unknown | Bay of Bengal, Indian Ocean, Thailand |
| Carcharhinidae | Scoliodon laticaudus | GN1677 | JQ518654 | no | female | Maharastra, Indian Ocean, India |
| Carcharhinidae | Scoliodon macrorhynchos | GN3450 | JQ519090 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; BO-223; } \\ & \text { IPPS BO223 } \end{aligned}$ | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Carcharhinidae | Triaenodon obesus | GN4420 | JQ518656 | yes; KA-126 | unknown | West Kalimantan, Java Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemigaleidae | Hemigaleus australiensis | GN1263 | JQ518657 | yes; AU-108 | female | Northern Territory, Fog Bay, Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Hemigaleidae | Hemigaleus microstoma | GN3694 | JQ519097 | yes; BO-483; <br> IPPS BO483 | female | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Hemigaleidae | Hemipristis elongata | GN4195 | JQ519069 | yes; KA-22; CAS 229035 | male | East Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemigaleidae | Paragaleus pectoralis | GN3212 | JQ518659 | yes; SE-129 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Hemigaleidae | Paragaleus randalli | GN4191 | JQ519132 | yes; KA-18; MZB 15.507 | female | East Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemigaleidae | Paragaleus sp. | GN2301 | JQ518658 | no | unknown | Phuket, Indian Ocean, Thailand |
| Leptochariidae | Leptocharias smithii | GN1213 | JQ518660 | no | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Proscyllidae | Eridacnis sp. 1 | GN2212 | JQ519061 | no; BRU 004 | female | Sulu Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Proscyllidae | Proscyllium habereri | GN2601 | JQ519076 | no; HUMZ 175853 | unknown | Okinawa, North Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Pseudotriakidae | Gollum attenuatus | GN1470 | JQ518661 | no | female | South Island, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Pseudotriakidae | Gollum sp. 1 | GN2440 | JQ519110 | no; JPAG 229 | male | Sulu Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Pseudotriakidae | Pseudotriakis microdon | GN1418 | JQ518662 | no | male | Mid-Atlantic Ridge, northern Atlantic |
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| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus ampliceps 1 | GN6751 | JQ519148 | no; NMNZ P. 045206 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus ampliceps 2 | GN6742 | JQ519149 | no; NMNZ TMP004687 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus australis | GN4877 | JQ518995 | no; ANFC H 2573-01 | unknown | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus brunneus | GN1539 | JQ518667 | no | unknown | California, Monterey Bay, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus cf. melanoasper | GN4869 | JQ518993 | no; ANFC H 1391-03 | unknown | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus cf. sinensis | GN6728 | JQ519141 | no; NMNZ P. 042126 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus exsanguis | GN6732 | JQ519144 | no; NMNZ P. 042519 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus kampae | GN2533 | JQ519119 | no; KUI 29258 | unknown | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus laurussonii | GN1478 | JQ518666 | no | female | North Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Scotland |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus macrorhynchus | GN1013 | JQ519180 | no; UMMZ 231973 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus manis | GN1089 | JQ518663 | no | female | northern Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus melanoasper | GN1076 | JQ519193 | no; YPM ICH. 010136 | male | Connecticut, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus platyrhynchus | GN4865 | JQ518670 | no | unknown | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus profundorum | GN4672 | JQ518668 | no | male | northern Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus sp. 1 | GN4863 | JQ519037 | no; ANFC H 6411-02 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus sp. 2 | GN4861 | JQ518669 | no | unknown | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus sp. 3 | GN1446 | JQ518664 | no | female | North Island, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Apristurus sp. 4 | GN1473 | JQ518665 | no | male | Atlantic Ocean, Scotland or Ireland |
| Scyliorhinidae | Asymbolus analis | GN2478 | JQ518672 | no | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Asymbolus parvus | GN4880 | JQ519041 | no; ANFC H 6415-02 | male | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Asymbolus rubiginosus | GN1936 | JQ518671 | no | female | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Atelomycterus marmoratus | GN3705 | JQ519099 | yes; BO-495; <br> IPPS BO495 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Atelomycterus marnkalha | GN4883 | JQ519017 | no; ANFC H 6146-01 | female | Queensland, Torres Strait, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Aulohalaelurus labiosus | GN2268 | JQ519192 | no; WAM P 31670-001 | unknown | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Bythaelurus dawsoni | GN6746 | JQ519146 | no; NMNZ P. 044374 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
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| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium albipinnum | GN4886 | JQ518999 | no; ANFC H 3588-01 | unknown | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium hiscosellum | GN4884 | JQ519045 | no; ANFC H 6419-01 | male | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium laticeps | GN4885 | JQ518998 | no; ANFC H 3581-01 | male | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium sp. 1 | GN4361 | JQ519111 | no; JPAG 231 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium umbratile | GN982 | JQ519177 | no; UMMZ 231967 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium variegatum | GN4889 | JQ518997 | no; ANFC H 3580-01 | male | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Cephaloscyllium ventriosum | GN2529 | JQ519118 | no; KUI 28129 | unknown | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Figaro boardmani | GN1946 | JQ518673 | no | male | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Figaro cf. boardmani | GN4892 | JQ519039 | no; ANFC H 6414-10 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus arae | GN1892 | JQ518675 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus melastomus | GN6627 | JQ519129 | no; MMF-36798; <br> MMF 36798 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus murinus | GN1417 | JQ518674 | no | male | Mid-Atlantic Ridge, northern Atlantic Ocean |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus polli | GN7116 | JQ518676 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Angola |
| Scyliorhinidae | Galeus sauteri | GN991 | JQ519181 | no; UMMZ 231974 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus buergeri | GN2222 | JQ519104 | no; JPAG 115 | male | Sulu Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus lineatus | GN1198 | JQ518677 | no | unknown | KwaZulu-Natal, Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus natalensis | GN7306 | JQ518678 | yes; AF-153 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Halaelurus sellus | GN4893 | JQ519034 | no; ANFC H 6367-01 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Haploblepharus edwardsii | GN7237 | JQ518679 | yes; AF-84 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Holohalaelurus regani | GN7178 | JQ518680 | yes; AF-24 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Parmaturus sp. | GN6741 | JQ519147 | no; NMNZ P. 044582 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Scyliorhinidae | Parmaturus xaniurus | GN1536 | JQ518681 | no | female | California, Monterey Bay, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Poroderma africanum | GN1772 | JQ518682 | no | male | Indian Ocean, South Africa |

APPENDIX 2
(Continued)

|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scyliorhinidae | Poroderma pantherinum | GN7325 | JQ518683 | yes; AF-172 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Schroederichthys bivius | GN2305 | JQ518684 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Argentinia |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus canicula | GN2346 | JQ518686 | no | unknown | eastern Atlantic Ocean |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus capensis | GN7186 | JQ518687 | yes; AF-32 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus retifer | GN2530 | JQ519117 | no; KUI 26984 | unknown | Mid-Atlantic Bight, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Scyliorhinidae | Scyliorhinus stellaris | GN2339 | JQ518685 | no | unknown | eastern Atlantic Ocean |
| Sphyrnidae | Eusphyra blochii | GN1256 | JQ519152 | yes; AU-83; <br> NTM S.14689-004 | male | Northern Territory, Fog Bay, Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna cf. tiburo | GN501 | JQ518689 | no | female | Manzanilla Bay, Atlantic Ocean, East Trinidad |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna corona | GN2642 | JQ518688 | no | male | Pacific Ocean, Panama |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna lewini 1 | GN5663 | JQ518691 | yes; DEL-6 | male | Florida, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna lewini 2 | GN4187 | JQ519063 | yes; CAS 229024 | unknown | East Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna mokarran 1 | GN5804 | JQ518692 | yes; KC-9 | female | Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna mokarran 2 | GN3471 | JQ519091 | yes; BO-254; IPPS BO254 | unknown | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna tiburo | GN5825 | JQ518693 | yes; MS05-405 | male | Florida, Crooked Island Bay, Gulf of Mexico, U.S.A. |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna tudes | GN502 | JQ518690 | no | male | Manzanilla Bay, Atlantic Ocean, East Trinidad |
| Sphyrnidae | Sphyrna zygaena | GN1097 | JQ519079 | yes; BJ-784; <br> IBUNAM PE9519 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Triakidae | Furgaleus macki | GN1522 | JQ518694 | no | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Triakidae | Galeorhinus galeus | GN7236 | JQ518695 | yes; AF-83 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis complicofasciata | GN2595 | JQ519074 | no; HUMZ 162465 | female | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis falcata | GN4894 | JQ519014 | no; ANFC H 5946-01 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis japanica | GN1000 | JQ519176 | no; UMMZ 231964 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis leucoperiptera | GN2225 | JQ519106 | no; JPAG 161 | female | Sulu Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Triakidae | Hemitriakis sp. | GN2591 | JQ519075 | no; HUMZ 165225 | male | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Triakidae | Hypogaleus hyugaensis | GN1819 | JQ518696 | no | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, |
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| Triakidae | Triakis scyllium | GN2454 | JQ518714 | no | female | Izu Peninsula, Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Triakidae | Triakis semifasciata | GN1038 | JQ518713 | no | female | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Heterodontiformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus cf. zebra | GN4844 | JQ519052 | no; ANFC H 6581-01 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus francisci | GN5225 | JQ519165 | yes; BJ-233; <br> TCWC 7576.01 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus galeatus | GN1939 | JQ518722 | no | male | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus mexicanus | GN5224 | JQ519166 | yes; BJ-232; <br> TCWC 7576.02 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus portusjacksoni | GN4843 | JQ519033 | no; ANFC H 6354-11 | male | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Heterodontidae | Heterodontus zebra | GN2905 | JQ518723 | yes; BOD-38 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Hexanchiformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlamydoselachidae | Chlamydoselachus anguineus | GN1403 | JQ518724 | no | female | Mid-Atlantic Ridge, northern Atlantic Ocean |
| Hexanchidae | Heptranchias perlo | GN978 | JQ519173 | no; UMMZ 231961 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus griseus | GN2342 | JQ518727 | no | unknown | eastern Atlantic Ocean |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus nakamurai | GN2015 | JQ518726 | yes; GA-14 | male | Mozambique Channel, Indian Ocean, Madagascar |
| Hexanchidae | Hexanchus vitulus | GN1988 | JQ518725 | no | female | Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Bahamas |
| Hexanchidae | Notorhynchus cepedianus | GN1 | JQ518728 | no | female | South Australia, Great Australian Bight, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Lamniformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alopiidae | Alopias pelagicus | GN5402 | JQ519078 | yes; BJ-721; <br> IBUNAM PE9512 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Alopiidae | Alopias superciliosus | GN1125 | JQ518729 | yes; BJ-716 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Alopiidae | Alopias vulpinus | GN6200 | JQ518730 | no; TWB-42 | unknown | Long Island Sound, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Cetorhinidae | Cetorhinus maximus | GN1058 | JQ518731 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, England |
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| Lamnidae | Carcharodon carcharias | GN1428 | JQ518732 | no | male | KwaZulu-Natal, Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Lamnidae | Isurus oxyrinchus | GN7069 | JQ518734 | yes; VN-71 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Vietnam |
| Lamnidae | Isurus paucus | GN614 | JQ518733 | no | unknown | Florida Keys Gulf of Mexico, U.S.A. |
| Lamnidae | Lamna ditropis | GN1069 | JQ518735 | no | unknown | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Lamnidae | Lamna nasus | GN2261 | JQ518990 | no; AMS I32756-002 | unknown | Tasmania, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Megachasmidae | Megachasma pelagios | GN2724 | JQ518736 | no | unknown | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A |
| Mitsukurinidae | Mitsukurina owstoni | GN1798 | JQ519120 | no; LACM 47362-1 | unknown | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Odontaspididae | Carcharias taurus | GN907 | JQ518737 | no | unknown | North Carolina, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Odontaspididae | Odontaspis ferox | GN1085 | JQ518738 | no | male | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Odontaspididae | Odontaspis noronhai | GN1422 | JQ518739 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Brazil |
| Pseudocarchariidae | Pseudocarcharias kamoharai | GN2634 | JQ518740 | no | male | Hawaii, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Orectolobiformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brachaeluridae | Brachaelurus colcloughi | GN6782 | JQ519055 | no; ANFC H 6849-01 | unknown | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Brachaeluridae | Brachaelurus waddi | GN2265 | JQ518989 | no; AMS I31253-005 | unknown | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Ginglymostoma cf. cirratum | GN3561 | JQ519168 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no; BJ-425; TCWC } \\ & 7585.01 \text {; IBUNAM } \\ & \text { PE9492 } \end{aligned}$ | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Ginglymostoma cirratum | GN5681 | JQ518741 | yes; FY-7 | female | Florida, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Nebrius ferrugineus | GN1252 | JQ518742 | yes; AU-81 | female | Northern Territory, Fog Bay, Timor Sea, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Ginglymostomatidae | Pseudoginglymostoma brevicaudatum | GN7111 | JQ518743 | no | unknown | Indian Ocean, Kenya |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium cf. punctatum | GN2590 | JQ518745 | yes; JO-17 | male | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium griseum | GN1702 | JQ518744 | no | female | Maharastra, Indian Ocean, India |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium hasseltii | GN4458 | JQ519066 | yes; KA-164; CAS 229029 | male | West Kalimantan, Java Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium indicum | GN4467 | JQ519067 | yes; KA-173; CAS 229031 | female | West Kalimantan, Java Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
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| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium plagiosum | GN4616 | JQ518746 | yes; KA-322 | unknown | West Kalimantan, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemiscylliidae | Chiloscyllium punctatum | GN4446 | JQ5 19064 | yes; KA-152; CAS 229025 | female | West Kalimantan, Java Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Hemiscylliidae | Hemiscyllium ocellatum | GN2587 | JQ518747 | yes; JO-1 | female | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Eucrossorhinus dasypogon | GN2582 | JQ518748 | yes; JO-14 | female | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus floridus | GN4860 | JQ519048 | no; ANFC H 6490-01 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus halei | GN4845 | JQ519025 | no; ANFC H 6278-01 | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus hutchinsi | GN4847 | JQ519020 | no; ANFC H 6189-01 | female | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus maculatus | GN4852 | JQ519011 | no; ANFC H 5766-01 | female | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus ornatus | GN4857 | JQ519010 | no; ANFC H 5763-01 | male | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Orectolobidae | Orectolobus parvimaculatus | GN4855 | JQ519019 | no; ANFC H 6172-01 | male | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Parascyllidae | Parascyllium collare | GN1927 | JQ518749 | no | male | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Rhincodontidae | Rhincodon typus | GN929 | JQ518750 | no | unknown | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Stegostomatidae | Stegostoma fasciatum | GN3700 | JQ518751 | yes; BO-490 | female | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Pristiophoriformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pristiophoridae | Pliotrema warreni | GN7303 | JQ518752 | yes; AF-150 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Pristiophoridae | Pristiophorus cirratus | GN1977 | JQ518754 | no | female | New South Wales, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Pristiophoridae | Pristiophorus japonicus | GN1049 | JQ518753 | no | unknown | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Squaliformes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus cf. lusitanicus | GN2011 | JQ518945 | yes; GA-8 | female | Mozambique Channel, Indian Ocean, Madagascar |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus cf. zeehaani | GN6515 | JQ518946 | yes; AZ-17 | male | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus granulosus | GN6615 | JQ519126 | no, MMF-36124 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus harrissoni | GN4943 | JQ519049 | no; ANFC H 6500-01 | male | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus isodon | GN4392 | JQ519108 | no; JPAG 225 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
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| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus moluccensis | GN4922 | JQ519036 | no; ANFC H 6410-01 | male | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus sp. 1 | GN1966 | JQ518944 | no | female | Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Jamaica |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus sp. 2 | GN974 | JQ519172 | no; UMMZ 231959 | male | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus sp. 3 | GN4348 | JQ519109 | no; JPAG 226 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus squamosus | GN6514 | JQ518947 | yes; AZ-16 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Centrophoridae | Centrophorus zeehaani | GN4933 | JQ519054 | no; ANFC H 6628-03 | male | South Australia, Great Australian Bight, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Centrophoridae | Deania calcea | GN4946 | JQ519007 | no; ANFC H 5343-08 | male | southwestern Indian Ocean |
| Centrophoridae | Deania cf. profundorum | GN6544 | JQ518950 | yes; AZ-46 | female | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Centrophoridae | Deania quadrispinosa 1 | GN2619 | JQ518949 | no | male | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Centrophoridae | Deania quadrispinosa 2 | GN2543 | JQ518948 | no | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Dalatiidae | Dalatias licha | GN6576 | JQ518951 | yes; AZ-79 | male | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Dalatiidae | Euprotomicrus bispinatus | GN3749 | JQ518952 | no | unknown | North Pacific Ocean |
| Dalatiidae | Isistius brasiliensis | GN3748 | JQ518953 | no | unknown | central South Pacific Ocean |
| Dalatiidae | Squaliolus aliae | GN6176 | JQ518955 | yes; TW-5 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Dalatiidae | Squaliolus laticaudus | GN1981 | JQ518954 | no | female | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Echinorhinidae | Echinorhinus brucus | GN1983 | JQ519170 | no; UFFC 103000 | unknown | Louisiana, Gulf Of Mexico, U.S.A. |
| Echinorhinidae | Echinorhinus cookei | GN4988 | JQ519016 | no; ANFC H 6115-01 | male | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Etmopteridae | Centroscyllium fabricii | GN6558 | JQ518956 | yes; AZ-61 | male | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus baxteri | GN5591 | JQ518962 | yes; CR-17 | female | Chatham Rise, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus bigelowi | GN3582 | JQ518959 | no | female | Gulf of Mexico |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus cf. unicolor 1 | GN2674 | JQ518957 | no | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus cf. unicolor 2 | GN4954 | JQ519009 | no; ANFC H 5674-08 | male | Indian Ocean |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus gracilispinis | GN3723 | JQ518960 | no | female | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus lucifer | GN5594 | JQ518963 | yes; CR-20 | male | Chatham Rise, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus molleri | GN997 | JQ519179 | no; UMMZ 231971 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus princeps | GN6608 | JQ519125 | no; MMF-36093; <br> MMF 36093 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
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| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus pusillus | GN6552 | JQ518964 | yes; AZ-55 | female | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus spinax | GN5162 | JQ518961 | yes; AZ-7 | female | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus splendidus | GN995 | JQ519178 | no; UMMZ 231969 | male | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Etmopteridae | Etmopterus virens | GN3564 | JQ518958 | no | female | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Oxynotidae | Oxynotus bruniensis | GN1844 | JQ518965 | no | female | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Oxynotidae | Oxynotus paradoxus | GN1852 | JQ518966 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Scotland |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus coelolepis | GN6626 | JQ519128 | no; MMF-36784; <br> MMF 36784 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus owstonii | GN6600 | JQ519124 | no; MMF-36058; <br> MMF 36058 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Somniosidae | Centroscymnus sp. 1 | GN1451 | JQ518967 | no | female | North Island, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Somniosidae | Centroselachus crepidater | GN6617 | JQ519127 | no; MMF-36134; <br> MMF 36134 | unknown | Madeira, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Somniosidae | Proscymnodon plunketi | GN5607 | JQ518968 | yes | female | Chatham Rise, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Somniosidae | Scymnodon ringens | GN1703 | JQ518969 | no | female | Atlantic Ocean, Ireland |
| Somniosidae | Somniosus microcephalus | GN1156 | JQ518970 | no | male | Northwest Territories, Victor Bay, Canada |
| Somniosidae | Somniosus pacificus | GN1528 | JQ518971 | no | female | Alaska, Prince William Sound, Gulf of Alaska, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Somniosidae | Somniosus rostratus | GN2651 | JQ518972 | no | male | Atlantic Ocean, France |
| Somniosidae | Zameus squamulosus | GN4986 | JQ518973 | no | unknown | Tasmania, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Squalidae | Cirrhigaleus asper | GN4624 | JQ518974 | no | female | Florida, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Squalidae | Cirrhigaleus australis | GN4944 | JQ519012 | no; ANFC H 5789-01 | female | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Squalidae | Squalus a canthias | GN6336 | JQ518978 | yes; RDM-65 | female | Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Squalidae | Squalus albifrons | GN4961 | JQ519005 | no; ANFC H 4704-01 | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Squalidae | Squalus brevirostris | GN4995 | JQ519115 | no; KAUM I 187 | female | Minamisatsuma Kagoshi, East China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Squalidae | Squalus cf. megalops | GN7179 | JQ518979 | yes; AF-25 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Squalidae | Squalus cf. mitsukurii | GN7253 | JQ518980 | yes; AF-100 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Squalidae | Squalus chloroculus | GN4963 | JQ519006 | no; ANFC H 4775-01 | male | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Squalidae | Squalus crassispinus | GN4966 | JQ519002 | no; ANFC H 4649-04 | male | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
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|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arhynchobatidae | Arhynchobatis asperrimus | GN6831 | JQ519143 | no; NMNZ P. 042403 | unknown | North Island, North Auckland, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Arhynchobatidae | Atlantoraja castelnaui | GN4720 | JQ519082 | no; INIDEP T 0406 | male | Atlantic Ocean, Argentina |
| Arhynchobatidae | Atlantoraja cyclophora | GN4719 | JQ519084 | no; INIDEP T 0474 | male | Atlantic Ocean, Argentina |
| Arhynchobatidae | Atlantoraja platana | GN4722 | JQ519083 | no; INIDEP T 0426 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Argentina |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja aleutica | GN5722 | JQ518766 | no; GOA-58 | male | Alaska, Gulf of Alaska, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja bergi | GN5789 | JQ518769 | yes; JN-24 | female | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja brachyurops | GN2368 | JQ518756 | no | female | South Atlantic Ocean, Falkland Islands |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja cf. taranetzi | GN2436 | JQ518761 | no | unknown | Alaska, Bering Sea, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja griseocauda | GN2378 | JQ518757 | no | male | South Atlantic Ocean, Falkland Islands |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja interrupta ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN5691 | JQ518764 | yes; GOA-12 | male | Alaska, Gulf of Alaska, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja interrupta ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN6689 | JQ519182 | yes; UW 111883 | unknown | eastern North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja kincaidi/interrupta ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN6497 | JQ518770 | no | unknown | eastern North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja maculata | GN2437 | JQ518762 | no | unknown | Alaska, Bering Sea, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja mariposa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN6694 | JQ519186 | yes; UW 47201 | unknown | eastern North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja minispinosa | GN6697 | JQ519185 | yes; UW 117948 | unknown | eastern North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja pallida | GN5039 | JQ519190 | no; VIMS 11758 | female | Mid-Atlantic Ocean |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja parmifera | GN6699 | JQ519183 | yes; UW 111889 | unknown | North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja scaphiops | GN2384 | JQ518758 | no | male | South Atlantic Ocean, Falkland Islands |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja shuntovi | GN5617 | JQ518763 | no; CR-48 | female | Chatham Rise, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja smirnovi | GN5788 | JQ518768 | yes; JN-23 | male | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja sp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN5697 | JQ518765 | no | female | South Atlantic Ocean, Falkland Islands |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja sp. 1 | GN2385 | JQ518759 | yes; GOA-18 | female | Alaska, Gulf of Alaska, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Arhynchobatidae | Bathyraja taranetzi ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | GN2433 | JQ518760 | no | unknown | Alaska, Bering Sea, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
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|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura cf. poecilura 2 | GN6650 | JQ518834 | yes; MM-22 | male | Gulf of Oman, Iran |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura crebripunctata | GN1551 | JQ518827 | yes; BJ-676 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura marmorata | GN5448 | JQ518832 | yes; BJ-807 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura micrura | GN4678 | JQ518831 | no | female | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura sp. 1 | GN4640 | JQ518830 | no | female | Virginia, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Gymnuridae | Gymnura zonura | GN2883 | JQ518829 | yes; BOD-16 | female | Sarawak, South China Sea Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Hexatrygonidae | Hexatrygon bickelii | GN6772 | JQ518835 | no | unknown | Yilan, Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Mobulidae | Manta birostris | GN4356 | JQ519062 | no; BRU 043 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Mobulidae | Mobula hypostoma | GN5814 | JQ518837 | yes; MS05-391 | female | Florida, St. Joseph Bay, Gulf of Mexico, U.S.A. |
| Mobulidae | Mobula japanica | GN5273 | JQ519163 | yes; BJ-391; <br> TCWC 7568.01 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Mobulidae | Mobula kuhlii | GN3019 | JQ518836 | yes; HBO-122 | male | Sabah, Celebes Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Mobulidae | Mobula munkiana | GN2286 | JQ519169 | yes; BJ-275; <br> TCWC 7589.03 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Mobulidae | Mobula thurstoni | GN5284 | JQ519161 | yes; BJ-429; <br> TCWC 7565.01 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 1 | GN4703 | JQ518840 | no | unknown | Indian Ocean, Mozambique |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2 | GN6793 | JQ518841 | no | unknown | Persian Gulf, Qatar |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus flagellum | GN4510 | JQ518839 | yes; KA-216 | female | West Kalimantan, Java Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus laticeps | GN1605 | JQ518838 | yes; BJ-723 | unknown | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus narinari | GN5675 | JQ518988 | yes; FY-1; <br> AMNH 251703 | female | Florida, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus ocellatus | GN3513 | JQ519092 | yes; BO-296; <br> IPPS BO296 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Myliobatidae | Aetobatus sp . | GN7050 | JQ519191 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; VN-52; } \\ & \text { VN-z-v. } 000309 \end{aligned}$ | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Vietnam |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 1 | GN6584 | JQ518844 | no; MM-400A | unknown | Persian Gulf, Iran |


|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 2 | GN2075 | JQ518843 | yes; NT-59 | unknown | Northern Territory, Arafura Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus maculatus | GN3442 | JQ519022 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; BO-178; ANFC } \\ & \text { H 6219-02 } \end{aligned}$ | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus milvus | GN6594 | JQ518845 | no; AM-3 | female | Persian Gulf, Qatar |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus nichofii | GN3444 | JQ519089 | yes; BO-180; IPPS BO180 | female | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Myliobatidae | Aetomylaeus vespertilio | GN2072 | JQ518842 | yes; NT-56 | female | Northern Territory, Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis aquila | GN7334 | JQ518848 | yes; AF-181 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis australis | GN2551 | JQ518846 | no | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis californica | GN 5203 | JQ519159 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; BJ-144; } \\ & \text { TCWC } 7564.03 \end{aligned}$ | female | Baja California, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis freminvillei | GN4697 | JQ518847 | no | male | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis longirostris | GN5200 | JQ519160 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; BJ-141; } \\ & \text { TCWC } 7564.04 \end{aligned}$ | male | Baja California, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Myliobatidae | Myliobatis tobijei | GN4384 | JQ519105 | no; JPAG 130 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Myliobatidae | Pteromylaeus bovinus | GN6097 | JQ518849 | yes; SE-257 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Narcinidae | Narcine entemedor | GN5446 | JQ518851 | yes; BJ-799 | female | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Narcinidae | Narcine lasti | GN4628 | JQ519044 | no; ANFC H 6418-01 | unknown | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Narcinidae | Narcine tasmaniensis | GN2566 | JQ518850 | no | female | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Narkidae | Narke capensis | GN7230 | JQ518852 | yes; AF-77 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Narkidae | Typhlonarke aysoni | GN6759 | JQ519142 | no; NMNZ P. 042187 | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Platyrhinidae | Platyrhinoides triseriata | GN 1043 | JQ518853 | no | female | California, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Plesiobatididae | Plesiobatis daviesi | GN4346 | JQ519131 | no; MMLM 017 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Potamotrygonidae | Himantura schmardae | GN6488 | JQ519155 | no; ROM 66845 | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Guyana |
| Potamotrygonidae | Paratrygon aiereba | GN5874 | JQ519134 | yes; PU-10; | female | Madre de Dios River, Peru |
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|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajidae | Dipturus batis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | GN6546 | JQ518872 | yes; AZ-48 | male | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Rajidae | Dipturus cerva | GN6790 | JQ518874 | no | unknown | Australia |
| Rajidae | Dipturus cf. batis 1 | GN2128 | JQ518864 | no | female | eastern North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Dipturus cf. batis 2 | GN4152 | JQ518870 | no | unknown | North Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Norway |
| Rajidae | Dipturus cf. healdi | GN6789 | JQ519047 | no; ANFC H 6419-04 | unknown | north Western Australia, |
| Rajidae | Dipturus confusus | GN2467 | JQ518867 | no | unknown | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Rajidae | Dipturus gudgeri | GN2631 | JQ518868 | no | male | New South Wales, Tasman Sea, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Rajidae | Dipturus healdi | GN6788 | JQ519051 | no; ANFC H 6574-20 | unknown | north Western Australia, |
| Rajidae | Dipturus innominatus | GN5614 | JQ518871 | yes; CR-45 | male | Chatham Rise, Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Rajidae | Dipturus laevis | GN2603 | JQ519157 | no; TCWC 11021.01, <br> MCZ 159202 | unknown | North Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Dipturus leptocauda | GN2397 | JQ518866 | no | female | southwestern Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Dipturus linteus | GN4149 | JQ518869 | no | unknown | North Sea, Atlantic Ocean, Norway |
| Rajidae | Dipturus oxyrhinchus ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | GN2181 | JQ518865 | no | female | eastern North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Dipturus pullopunctatus | GN7190 | JQ518875 | yes; AF-37 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Dipturus sp. 4 | GN4353 | JQ519103 | no; JPAG 091 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Rajidae | Dipturus springeri | GN7382 | JQ518876 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Dipturus tengu | GN1025 | JQ518863 | no | male | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja erinacea | GN2505 | JQ519116 | no; KUI 26967 | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja fullonica | GN6547 | JQ518880 | yes; AZ-49 | female | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja garmani | GN4687 | JQ518879 | no | female | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja naevus | GN2150 | JQ518877 | no | male | North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja ocellata | GN4686 | JQ518878 | no | male | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Leucoraja wallacei | GN7282 | JQ518881 | yes; AF-129 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Malacoraja senta | GN4688 | JQ518882 | no | female | western North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Neoraja caerulea | GN2182 | JQ518883 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Great Britain |
| Rajidae | Okamejei cairae | GN4735 | JQ519058 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; KA-334; ANFC H } \\ & 7099-05 \end{aligned}$ | male | West Kalimantan, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Rajidae | Okamejei cf. porosa | GN5794 | JQ518884 | yes; JN-89 | female | Pacific Ocean, Japan |
| Rajidae | Okamejei hollandi | GN4738 | JQ519059 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yes; KA-337; ANFC H } \\ & 7099-08 \end{aligned}$ | male | West Kalimantan, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rajidae | Okamejei jensenae | GN4382 | JQ519113 | no; JPAG 328 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Rajidae | Raja asterias | GN2410 | JQ518887 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, Spain |
| Rajidae | Raja binoculata | GN1611 | JQ518885 | yes; GOA-1 | unknown | Alaska, Gulf of Alaska, Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Raja cf. miraletus 1 | GN7221 | JQ518895 | yes; AF-68 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Raja cf. miraletus 2 | GN5933 | JQ518890 | yes; SE-12 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rajidae | Raja clavata | GN6557 | JQ518893 | yes; AZ-60 | male | Azores, Atlantic Ocean, Portugal |
| Rajidae | Raja eglanteria | GN5834 | JQ518889 | yes; MS05-421 | female | Florida, Indian Pass, Gulf of Mexico, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Raja miraletus | GN5936 | JQ518891 | yes; SE-15 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rajidae | Raja montagui | GN1957 | JQ518886 | no; SCOT-36 | female | Atlantic Ocean, England |
| Rajidae | Raja rhina | GN6713 | JQ519188 | yes; UW 49457 | unknown | North Pacific Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Raja sp. 1 | GN6181 | JQ518892 | yes; TW-10 | female | Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Rajidae | Raja straeleni | GN7192 | JQ518894 | yes; AF-39 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Raja velezi | GN5235 | JQ518888 | yes; BJ-257 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Rajidae | Rajella caudaspinosa | GN7371 | JQ518899 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Rajella fyllae | GN2135 | JQ518897 | no | male | North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Rajella kukujevi | GN2122 | JQ518896 | no | unknown | North Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Rajella leopardus | GN7366 | JQ518898 | no | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Rajella sp. | GN4664 | JQ519122 | no; MCZ 167899 | unknown | Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rajidae | Rostroraja alba | GN7302 | JQ518900 | yes; AF-149 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rajidae | Spiniraja whitleyi | GN2472 | JQ518901 | no | unknown | Bass Strait, Australia |
| Rajidae | Zearaja chilensis | GN6416 | JQ518904 | yes; CHL-9 | female | Pacific Ocean, Chile |
| Rajidae | Zearaja flavirostris | GN2361 | JQ518902 | no | female | southwestern Atlantic Ocean |
| Rajidae | Zearaja nasuta | GN2708 | JQ518903 | no | unknown | Pacific Ocean, New Zealand |
| Rhinidae | Rhina ancylostoma | GN3533 | JQ518905 | yes; BO-316 | unknown | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Rhinobatidae | Aptychotrema rostrata | GN6773 | JQ518906 | no | unknown | Queensland, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Rhinobatidae | Aptychotrema vincentiana | GN4625 | JQ519031 | no; ANFC H 6348-06 | unknown | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus cf. typus | GN4231 | JQ518907 | yes; KA-58 | male | South Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |


|  |  | Unique project no. | GenBank no. | Voucher information | Sex | Locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus thouin | GN4243 | JQ518908 | yes; KA-70 | female | South Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Rhinobatidae | Glaucostegus typus | GN4214 | JQ519057 | yes; KA-41; <br> ANFC H 7085-01 | female | East Kalimantan, Makassar Strait, Pacific Ocean, Indonesia |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos annulatus | GN7309 | JQ518915 | yes; AF-156 | unknown | Indian Ocean, South Africa |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos cemiculus | GN6004 | JQ518912 | yes; SE-163 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos cf. schlegelii | GN4326 | JQ519112 | no; JPAG 310 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Philippines |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos formosensis | GN6187 | JQ518914 | yes; TW-16 | female | Taiwan Strait, Pacific Ocean, Taiwan |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos glaucostigma | GN5423 | JQ518911 | yes; BJ-761 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos productus | GN1828 | JQ518909 | yes; BJ-670 | unknown | Baja California, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos rhinobatos | GN6136 | JQ518913 | yes; SE-297 | male | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rhinobatidae | Rhinobatos sp. 1 | GN3605 | JQ518910 | yes; BO-350 | male | Sarawak, South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Malaysia |
| Rhinobatidae | Trygonorrhina dumerilii | GN4626 | JQ519027 | no; ANFC H 6346-22 | unknown | Western Australia, Indian Ocean, Australia |
| Rhinobatidae | Zapteryx exasperata | GN5400 | JQ518916 | yes; BJ-711 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera bonasus | GN5466 | JQ518919 | no; BNC-14 | male | North Carolina, Core Sound, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera cf. bonasus | GN6089 | JQ518923 | yes; SE-249 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri | GN5850 | JQ518921 | yes; MS05-440 | female | Mississippi, Gulf of Mexico, Atlantic Ocean, U.S.A. |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera javanica | GN7092 | JQ518924 | yes; VN-94 | unknown | South China Sea, Pacific Ocean, Vietnam |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera jayakari | GN1623 | JQ518917 | yes; TH-27 | unknown | Gulf of Thailand, Pacific Ocean, Thailand |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera neglecta | GN5545 | JQ518920 | yes; CM03-48 | male | Queensland, Gulf of Carpentaria, Pacific Ocean, Australia |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera sp. 1 | GN5978 | JQ518922 | yes; SE-137 | female | Atlantic Ocean, Senegal |
| Rhinopteridae | Rhinoptera steindachneri | GN5440 | JQ518918 | yes; BJ-793 | male | Baja California Sur, Gulf of California, Pacific Ocean, Mexico |


|  |  | Unique <br> project no. | GenBank <br> no. | Voucher information | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

APPENDIX 2
(Continued)

|  |  | Unique <br> project no. | GenBank <br> no. | Voucher information | Sex |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^2]Figs. 1-77. (following pages). Subtrees of elasmobranchs. 1. Carcharhinidae (requiem sharks) (1 of 18); 2. same (2 of 18); 3. same (3 of 18); 4. same (4 of 18); 5. same (5 of 18); 6. same (6 of 18); 7. same (7 of 18); 8. same ( 8 of 18); 9. same ( 9 of 18); 10. same (10 of 18); 11. same ( 11 of 18); 12. same ( 12 of 18); 13. same (13 of 18); 14. same (14 of 18); 15. same (15 of 18); 16. same (16 of 18); 17. same (17 of 18); 18. same (18 of 18); 19. Sphyrnidae (hammerhead sharks); 20. Galeocerdo (tiger sharks). 21. Hemigaleidae (weasel sharks). 22. Leptochariidae (barbeled houndsharks). 23. Triakidae (houndsharks) (1 of 5); 24. same (2 of 5); $\mathbf{2 5}$. same (3 of 5); 26. same (4 of 5); 27. same (5 of 5). 28. Scyliorhinidae (catsharks) group 1 (1 of 4); 29. same (2 of 4); 30. same (3 of 4); 31. same (4 of 4). 32. Pseudotriakidae (false catsharks) and Proscylliidae (finback catsharks). 33. Scyliorhinidae (catsharks) group 2 (1 of 2). 34. same (2 of 2). 35. Lamniformes (mackerel sharks). 36. Hemiscylliidae (longtailed catsharks). 37. Stegostomatidae (zebra sharks), Rhincodontidae (whale sharks), and Ginglymostomatidae (nurse sharks). 38. Orectolobidae (wobbegongs) and Brachaeluridae (blind sharks). 39. Parascylliidae (collared carpetsharks). 40. Heterodontiformes (bullhead sharks). 41. Squalidae (dogfish sharks) (1 of 2). 42. same (2 of 2). 43. Centrophoridae (gulper sharks) (1 of 2); 44. same ( 2 of 2). 45. Somniosidae (sleeper sharks) and Oxynotidae (roughsharks). 46. Etmopteridae (lanternsharks). 47. Dalatiidae (kitefin sharks). 48. Squatiniformes (angelsharks), Echinorhinidae (bramble sharks), and Pristiophoridae (sawsharks). 49. Hexanchidae (sixgill and sevengill sharks) and Chlamydoselachidae (frilled sharks). 50. Dasyatidae (whiptail stingrays) (1 of 10); 51. same (2 of 10); 52. same (3 of 10); 53. same ( 4 of 10); 54. same ( 5 of 10); 55. same ( 6 of 10); 56. same ( 7 of 10 ); 57. same ( 8 of 10); 58. same ( 9 of 10 ); 59. same ( 10 of 10 ). 60. Urotrygonidae (round stingrays) and Potamotrygonidae (river stingrays). 61. Rhinopteridae (cownose rays), Mobulidae (devilrays), and Pteromylaeus (duckbill ray); 62. Myliobatidae (eagle rays) (1 of 2). 63. same (2 of 2). 64. Gymnuridae (butterfly rays) and Plesiobatidae (giant stingarees). 65. Urolophidae (stingarees). 66. Hexatrygonidae (sixgill stingrays). 67. Zanobatidae (panrays). 68. Pristidae (sawfishes) and Rhinobatidae (guitarfishes) Group 1. 69. Rhynchobatidae (wedgefishes), Rhinidae (shark rays), and Rhinobatidae (guitarfishes) Group 2. 70. Torpedinidae (torpedo rays), Narcinidae (numbfishes), and Platyrhinidae (thornbacks and fanrays). 71. Rajidae (skates) (1 of 5); 72. same (2 of 5); 73. same (3 of 5); 74. same (4 of 5); 75. same (5 of 5). 76. Arhynchobatidae (softnose skates) (1 of 2); 77. same (2 of 2) and Anacanthobatidae (legskates).

Carcharhinus falciformis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN490 no Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1361 no Carcharhinus falciformis Luzon South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN260 no Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN331 no Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1375 no Carcharhinus falciformis Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN129 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN332 no Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1362 no Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1373 no Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN330 no Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN333 no Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN335 no Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3137 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN894 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1374 no Carcharhinus falciformis Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1633 no Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3136 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1658 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Iloilo Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2214 no* Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3133 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2282 BJ 207 no Carcharhinus falciformis Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1094 BJ 783 no Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3403 BO 133 yes Carcharhinus falciformis Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1632 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3402 BO 132 yes Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN329 no Carcharhinus falciformis Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN334 no Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3135 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Siquijor Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2242 no*
Carcharhinus falciformis Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3404 BO 134 yes
Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN621 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN505 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN509 no Carcharhinus falciformis Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3194 DEL 10 yes Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN616 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3192 PE 11 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN506 no Carcharhinus falciformis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN474 no Carcharhinus falciformis Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3191 PE 9 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3189 PE 5 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN891 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN612 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN892 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN508 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6059 SE 218 yes
Carcharhinus falciformis Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN608 no
Carcharhinus falciformis Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3195 DEL 11 yes
Carcharhinus falciformis Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3190 PE 8 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN865 no
Prionace glauca New York Long Island Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5908 RI 52 no
Prionace glauca Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5777 BJ 777 no
Prionace glauca Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5435 BJ 780 yes
Prionace glauca western South Pacific Ocean GN4915 no
Prionace glauca Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2001 no
Prionace glauca Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN920 no
Prionace glauca New Jersey Atlantic Ocean New York Long Island Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5907 RI 51 no
Prionace glauca western South Pacific Ocean GN4916 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN866 no
Prionace glauca Tasmania Pacific Ocean Australia GN4917 no*
Prionace glauca Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6516 AZ 18 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN870 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN867 no
Prionace glauca California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1037 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN868 no
Prionace glauca Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5275 BJ 402 no
Prionace glauca New York Long Island Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6476 RI 55 no
Prionace glauca Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1098 BJ 785 yes
Prionace glauca New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN416 no
Prionace glauca Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN869 no
Prionace glauca Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GNy21 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1286 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1287 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1370 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3662 BO 451 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1281 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1369 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3673 BO 462 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3672 BO 461 yes* Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN545 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1288 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Sulawesi Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN2196 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4422 KA 128 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Mindanao South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN327 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Red Sea Gulf of Aqaba Egypt GN4 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Indian Ocean Madagascar GN1222 MG 6 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Camarines Norte South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN288 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos Camarines Norte South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN289 no
Carcharhinus wheeleri Red Sea Egypt GN8 no
Carcharhinus albimarginatus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN930 no
Carcharhinus albimarginatus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN933 no
Carcharhinus albimarginatus Camarines Norte South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN287 no

- Carcharhinus albimarginatus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN931 no

2
Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3666 BO 455 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3670 BO 459 yes* Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3638 BO 426 yes* Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3656 BO 445 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3665 BO 454 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3664 BO 453 no Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3639 BO 427 yes* Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3660 BO 449 yes* Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3671 BO 460 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3657 BO 446 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3640 BO 429 yes* Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3659 BO 448 yes Carcharhinus borneensis Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3667 BO 456 yes* Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Oman Iran GN6661 MM 33 yes
Carcharhinus macloti Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1686 no
Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Oman Iran GN6663 MM 35 yes Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Oman Iran GN6664 MM 36 yes Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Oman Iran GN6662 MM 34 yes Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Oman Iran GN6665 MM 37 yes
Carcharhinus macloti Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1697 no
Carcharhinus macloti Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2982 HBO 72 yes
Carcharhinus macloti Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3525 BO 308 yes Carcharhinus macloti Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3669 BO 458 yes Carcharhinus macloti South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN284 no Carcharhinus macloti Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN1027 no Carcharhinus macloti Northern Territory Gove Harbor Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2023 NT 5 yes

3
Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1335 no Carcharhinus sealei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN274 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1329 no Carcharhinus sealei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN276 no Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4815 KA 414 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1336 no Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3528 BO 311 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3093 BO 55 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1736 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3005 HBO 105 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1334 no Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4807 KA 406 yes Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4200 KA 27 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2978 HBO 68 yes Carcharhinus sealei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN277 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2999 HBO 90 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1274 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1277 no Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3088 BO 50 yes Carcharhinus sealei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4454 KA 160 yes* Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4827 KA 426 yes Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4188 KA 15 yes* - Carcharhinus sealei Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1275 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1332 no Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1333 no Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2962 HBO 40 yes* Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2960 HBO 35 yes* Carcharhinus sealei Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2977 HBO 67 yes Carcharhinus sealei Pacific Ocean Singapore GN2202 no
Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3628 BO 416 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3457 BO 240 yes Carcharhinus sealei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4455 KA 161 yes Carcharhinus sealei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3648 BO 437 yes Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4826 KA 425 yes Carcharhinus sealei East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4839 KA 438 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Western Australia Southeast Indian Ocean Australia GN4906 no* Carcharhinus cf. sealei Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN1029 no Carcharhinus cf. sealei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4904 no* Carcharhinus cf. sealei Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5542 CM03 45 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2070 NT 54 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2036 NT 18 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2032 NT 14 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN1030 no
Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1264 AU 109 yes
Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1266 AU 111 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN1032 no Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1270 AU 127 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN1028 no
Carcharhinus cf. sealei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4905 no*
Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1265 AU 110 yes Carcharhinus cf. sealei Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2069 NT 53 yes Carcharhinus dussumieri Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3649 BO 438 yes Carcharhinus dussumieri Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2908 BOD 41 yes Carcharhinus dussumieri Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3527 BO 310 yes Carcharhinus dussumieri Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3518 BO 301 yes
Carcharhinus dussumieri West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4597 KA 303 yes*
Carcharhinus cf. dussumieri Hormozgan Persian Gulf Iran GN6585 MM 302 yes
Carcharhinus cf. dussumieri Hormozgan Persian Gulf Iran GN6587 MM 304 yes

Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN936 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4909 no* Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4908 no* Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1506 no Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN959 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4907 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1502 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1510 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1516 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1515 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1505 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1520 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1513 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1508 no Carcharhinus obscurus Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3213 SE 59 yes
Carcharhinus obscurus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2629 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1509 no Carcharhinus galapagensis Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN132 no
Carcharhinus galapagensis Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN544 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN935 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN949 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1519 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN961 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1512 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1504 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1501 no Carcharhinus galapagensis Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN130 no Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN958 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1507 no Carcharhinus obscurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN647 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1517 no Carcharhinus obscurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN678 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1523 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1518 no Carcharhinus obscurus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1503 no

- Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN942 no

Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN937 no
Carcharhinus galapagensis Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN128 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN950 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN54 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN94 no
Carcharhinus obscurus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN911 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1912 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN37 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN575 no
Carcharhinus obscurus Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN71 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN133 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN963 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN962 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN611 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN610 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Central Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN541 no
Carcharhinus longimanus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN137 no
Carcharhinus perezi Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN169 no Carcharhinus perezi Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN179 no Carcharhinus perezi Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN178 no Carcharhinus perezi Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN172 no Carcharhinus perezi Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN167 no Carcharhinus perezi Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN174 no Carcharhinus perezi Grand Bahama Island Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN235 no Carcharhinus perezi Grand Bahama Island Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN233 no Carcharhinus perezi Carribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN177 no Carcharhinus perezi Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN180 no Carcharhinus perezi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN618 no
Carcharhinus perezi Atlantic Ocean GN1894 no
Carcharhinus perezi Grand Bahama Island Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN234 no Carcharhinus perezi Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN166 no

Carcharhinus sorrah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7060 VN 62 yes
Carcharhinus sorrah East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4201 KA 28 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3529 BO 312 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1343 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1306 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1732 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1731 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1728 no Carcharhinus sorrah Pacific Ocean Singapore GN2209 no
Carcharhinus sorrah West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4449 KA 155 yes* Carcharhinus sorrah East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4180 KA 7 yes Carcharhinus sorrah South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4234 KA 61 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3391 BO 121 yes
Carcharhinus sorrah South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4233 KA 60 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1355 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1730 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2957 HBO 32 yes* Carcharhinus sorrah West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4767 KA 366 yes Carcharhinus sorrah East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4186 KA 13 yes Carcharhinus sorrah East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4185 KA 12 yes* Carcharhinus sorrah East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4805 KA 404 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1662 no
Carcharhinus sorrah Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3504 BO 287 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Luzon South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN259 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3384 BO 114 yes - Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1663 no

- Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1638 no

Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1664 no
Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1636 no
Carcharhinus sorrah Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN361 no
L. Carcharhinus sorrah Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1637 no

Carcharhinus sorrah Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN360 no

- Carcharhinus sorrah Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN358 no
- Carcharhinus sorrah Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3108 BO 70 yes
- Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1716 no Carcharhinus sorrah Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN359 no Carcharhinus sorrah Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1176 TH 1 yes
Carcharhinus sorrah Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3048 BO 10 yes Carcharhinus sorrah Coast of Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN297 no Carcharhinus sorrah Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1368 no
Carcharhinus sorrah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7061 VN 63 yes
Carcharhinus sorrah Coast of Palawan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN296 no
Carcharhinus cf. sorrah Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1258 AU 93 yes
Carcharhinus cf. sorrah Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2034 NT 16 yes Carcharhinus cf. sorrah Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2035 NT 17 yes Carcharhinus cf. sorrah Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2033 NT 15 yes

Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3637 BO 425 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5079 AU 52 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1239 no Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1224 AU 4 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5061 AU 26 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1223 AU 3 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5558 CM03 62 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1300 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1303 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Queensland Gulf of Carpenteria Indian Ocean Australia GN5504 CM03 5 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN6263 BO 58 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1340 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5068 AU 39 yes Carcharhinus ct. limbatus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4247 KA 74 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3092 BO 54 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3206 AU 38 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1238 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN223 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN225 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN211 no Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN301 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2983 HBO 73 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN283 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2984 HBO 74 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2260 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3477 BO 260 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1346 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3509 BO 292 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN3360 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN3359 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2009 GA 5 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Richards Bay Indian Ocean South Africa GN3362 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1657 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1691 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1631 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1656 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1647 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1646 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN280 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN282 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN302 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN960 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus South China Sea Vietnam GN7084 VN 86 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6194 TW 24 yes
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1240 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN3361 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN3358 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3196 BJ 737 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3354 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3357 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1140 BJ 805 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3355 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3356 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5565 CM03 69 yes Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3353 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1926 no
Carcharhinus cf. limbatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2909 BOD 42 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1249 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1261 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5117 AU 97 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5117 AU 97 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1260 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5111 AU 91 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrrynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5111 AU 91 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5112 AU 92 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5118 AU 100 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1259 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5054 AU 16 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1262 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1235 AU 47 yes* Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5090 AU 68 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5051 AU 7 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5056 AU 18 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1236 AU 49 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5574 CM03 80 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1700 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1671 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1672 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1699 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3031 HBO 134 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1360 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1338 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2915 BOD 48 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1367 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1367 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1339 no Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3596 BO 341 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean GN1337 no
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3668 BO 457 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3532 BO 315 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4246 KA 73 yes Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7099 VN 101 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2959 HBO 34 yes*
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3531 BO 314 yes
Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3506 BO 288 yes
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Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN162 no Carcharhinus limbatus Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN880 no Carcharhinus limbatus Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6224 MS05 87 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5667 DEL 12 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida St. Andrews Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5847 MS05 435 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A GN6243 MS05 481 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5816 MS05 393 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico Carcharhinus limbatus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5802 KC 7 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN881 no Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN65 no Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN157 no Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN159 no Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A GN6242 MS05 480 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5803 KC 8 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5835 MS05 422 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN444 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A GN6244 MS05 482 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5665 DEL 8 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN153 no Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN446 no Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN156 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A GN6245 MS05 485 yes Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN29 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5768 JMT 2 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Gulf of Mexico GN6761 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5666 DEL 9 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Crooked Island Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5824 MS05 403 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN170 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN143 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN154 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN158 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6217 MS05 22 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6237 MS05 351 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6219 MS05 24 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6219 MS05 24 yes
Carcharhinus limbatus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN23 no
Carcharhinus limbatus Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1921 PR 6 no
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2071 NT 55 yes
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5063 AU 29 yes Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5086 AU 64 yes Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2053 NT 36 yes Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1237 AU 50 yes Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3207 AU 53 yes Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Indian Ocean Australia GN4910 no
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1250 no
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Indian Ocean Australia GN4912 no
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2039 NT 22 yes
Carcharhinus tilstoni Northern Territory Indian Ocean Australia GN4911 no
Carcharhinus fitzroyensis Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1267 AU 112 yes*
Carcharhinus fitzroyensis Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1268 AU 113 yes
Carcharhinus fitzroyensis Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1241 AU 51 yes

Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5521 CM03 22 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5571 CM03 77 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5533 CM03 36 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5522 CM03 23 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5534 CM03 37 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5563 CM03 67 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5567 CM03 71 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5559 CM03 63 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5135 AU 117 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5146 AU 132 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1269 AU 114 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5133 AU 115 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5139 AU 124 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5134 AU 116 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1177 TH 14 no
Carcharhinus melanopterus West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4617 KA 323 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4225 KA 52 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3599 BO 344 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1175 TH 13 no
Carcharhinus melanopterus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3600 BO 345 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4814 KA 413 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4224 KA 51 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4223 KA 50 yes Carcharhinus melanopterus Pangasinan Luzon South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN261 no Carcharhinus cf. melanopterus Gulf of Aquaba Egypt GN5 no
Carcharhinus cf. melanopterus Gulf of Aquaba Egypt GN6 no
Carcharhinus cautus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1226 AU 23 yes Carcharhinus cautus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1234 AU 45 yes* Carcharhinus cautus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1233 AU 46 yes* Carcharhinus cautus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1225 AU 20 yes Carcharhinus cautus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1230 AU 35 yes
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Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN434 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN457 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN462 no Carcharhinus leucas Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN250 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN436 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN53 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN451 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN58 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN21 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN458 no Carcharhinus leucas Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN24 no Carcharhinus leucas Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN171 no Carcharhinus leucas Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6083 SE 243 yes Carcharhinus leucas Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN210 no Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1271 no Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3380 BO 110 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3379 BO 109 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3372 BO 101 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3381 BO 111 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3368 BO 97 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4789 KA 388 yes Carcharhinus cf. leucas 2 KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN642 no
Carcharhinus cf. leucas 2 KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN643 no
Carcharhinus cf. leucas 2 KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN638 no
Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5121 AU 103 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5122 AU 104 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1696 no
Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN669 no
Carcharhinus amboinensis 1 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1246 AU 72 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1248 AU 74 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5145 AU 131 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 Western Australia bay south of Eagle Point Indian Ocean Australia GN4903 no* Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5120 AU 102 yes Carcharhinus amboinensis 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1247 AU 73 yes

Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN84 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN104 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN52 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN903 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN51 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN427 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN418 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN439 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN55 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5799 KC 2 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN56 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5863 PE 3 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN50 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN236 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN20 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN36 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN14 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5810 KC 17 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN893 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN19 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN88 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN448 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN43 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN449 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN44 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN61 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN27 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN42 no Carcharhinus plumbeus New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN419 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN438 no Carcharhinus plumbeus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN913 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN62 no Carcharhinus plumbeus New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN15 no Carcharhinus plumbeus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN912 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN49 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN414 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN447 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Virginia Magothy Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN363 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5808 KC 15 no Carcharhinus plumbeus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN909 no Carcharhinus plumbeus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN90 Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN41 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN41 no
Carchinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN47 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florina Atlantic Ucean U.S.A. GN Atlantic Ocean U.S. GN105 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1. Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN26 no Carcharhinus plumbeus New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN415 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN242 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN48 no Carcharhinus plumbeus New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN417 no carcharhinus plumbeus Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN243 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN437 no
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5805 KC 10 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN40 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN45 no Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN60 no
Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN106 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN108 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN116 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN117 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN113 no Carcharhinus altimus Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN625 no
Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN115 no
Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN118 no
Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN120 no
Carcharhinus altimus Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN626 no
Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN112 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN121 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN111 no Carcharhinus altimus Florida Keys Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN563 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN110 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN114 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN109 no Carcharhinus altimus Norfolk Canyon Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN119 no - Carcharhinus altimus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5811 KC 18 no Carcharhinus altimus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN885 no
Carcharhinus altimus Hawaii Keawaula Bay Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN127 no
Carcharhinus altimus Hawaii Keawaula Bay Pacific Ocea
Carcharhinus plumbeus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5807 KC 14 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Visayas South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN323 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7068 VN 70 yes Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1923 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1924 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN957 no
Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1273 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Central Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1813 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Central Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1922 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Central Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1837 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7098 VN 100 yes Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1272 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN131 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN955 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN126 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN136 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN956 no Carcharhinus cf. plumbeus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3030 HBO 133 yes

[^3]Nasolamia velox Pacific Ocean Panama GN1056 no

Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN602 no
Carcharhinus isodon Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6247 MS05 495 yes Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN603 no Carcharhinus isodon Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6223 MS05 86 yes Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN604 no Carcharhinus isodon Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6246 MS05 494 yes Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN606 no Carcharhinus isodon South Carolina Bulls Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN410 no Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN607 no
Carcharhinus isodon Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5837 MS05 424 yes
Carcharhinus isodon South Carolina Bulls Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN409 no
Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN601 no
Carcharhinus isodon Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN605 no
Carcharhinus isodon Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6222 MS05 83 yes Carcharhinus isodon Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN138 no
Carcharhinus isodon South Carolina Bulls Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN411 no Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus Maranhao Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1545 no Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus Maranhao Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1548 no Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus Maranhao Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1546 no Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus Maranhao Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1543 no
Isogomphodon oxyrhynchus Maranhao Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1547 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN479 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN480 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN476 no Carcharhinus porosus Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN496 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN477 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN494 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN482 no Carcharhinus porosus Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN498 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN484 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN478 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN481 no Carcharhinus porosus Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN499 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN483 no Carcharhinus porosus Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN495 no Carcharhinus porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN475 no Carcharhinus cf. porosus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1103 BJ 520 yes Carcharhinus cf. porosus California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN4663 no Carcharhinus cf. porosus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1107 BJ 525 yes*
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Carcharhinus signatus South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN900 no
Carcharhinus signatus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN99 no
Carcharhinus signatus Gulf of Mexico GN3721 no
Carcharhinus signatus Atlantic Ocean GN1905 no
Carcharhinus signatus South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN899 no
Carcharhinus signatus Gulf of Mexico GN3720 no
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Triaenodon obesus Hawaii or Christmas Island Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1812 no
Triaenodon obesus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3535 BO 318 yes Triaenodon obesus West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4420 KA 126 yes Triaenodon obesus Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN546 no
Triaenodon obesus Sulawesi Sulawesi Pacific Ocean Sea Indonesia GN2197 no
Triaenodon obesus Hawaii or Christmas Island Central Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1811 no Triaenodon obesus Sulawesi Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN2193 no Triaenodon obesus Red Sea Egypt GN9 no
4 Triaenodon obesus Gulf of Aquaba Egypt GN10 no


Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN214 no
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6154 SE 315 yes
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Casamance Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6103 SE 263 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN212 no
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5965 SE 45 yes
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6060 SE 219 yes
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6062 SE 221 yes
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN213 no
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6064 SE 223 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5922 SE 2 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6157 SE 318 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN216 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7130 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 1 Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN215 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6652 MM 24 yes Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6637 MM 9 yes Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6632 MM 4 yes Rhizoprionodon acutus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1645 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1644 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6630 MM 2 yes Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6674 MM 46 yes Rhizoprionodon acutus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1688 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1643 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1639 no Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6629 MM 1 yes
Rhizoprionodon acutus Gulf of Oman Iran GN6669 MM 41 yes
Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5138 AU 123 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5547 CM03 50 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5578 CM03 84 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5137 AU 120 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2081 NT 68 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Queensland Torres Strait Pacific Ocean Australia GN4918 no* Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4919 no* Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5115 AU 95 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5114 AU 94 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 2 Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5057 AU 19 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1292 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1294 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1290 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1289 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1293 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1291 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN272 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2955 HBO 30 yes* Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3619 BO 407 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3616 BO 404 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3618 BO 406 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1725 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN313 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3103 BO 65 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2981 HBO 71 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3617 BO 405 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3614 BO 402 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3613 BO 401 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1282 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3534 BO 317 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3615 BO 403 yes Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN312 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1284 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1661 no Rhizoprionodon cf. acutus 3 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1629 no

Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN140 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6218 MS05 23 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5820 MS05 399 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6250 MS05 499 no Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN164 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5662 DEL 5 yes
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5817 MS05 396 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN904 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida Keys Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN574 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6216 MS05 21 yes
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN141 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6225 MS05 89 yes
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5822 MS05 401 yes
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5668 DEL 13 yes
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5800 KC 3 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN375 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6209 VJ 9 no

- Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5821 MS05 400 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6249 MS05 498 no Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Gulf of Mexico GN6762 no
Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5924 MS05 395 yes Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN175 no Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Dangriga Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Belize GN168 no - Rhizoprionodon terraenovae Florida St. Joseph Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5818 MS05 397 yes


## Rhizoprionodon porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN510 no

Rhizoprionodon porosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN511 no
Rhizoprionodon longurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1106 BJ 524 yes Rhizoprionodon Iongurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5177 BJ 75 no - Rhizoprionodon Iongurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5295 BJ 522 yes Rhizoprionodon Iongurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1113 BJ 538 yes Rhizoprionodon Iongurio Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1102 BJ 790 yes Rhizoprionodon longurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5298 BJ 529 yes - Rhizoprionodon longurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5209 BJ 170 no Rhizoprionodon Iongurio Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5211 BJ 172 no Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN486 no Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN488 no Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN492 no Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN493 no
Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN487 no
Rhizoprionodon lalandii Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN489 no
Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5100 AU 79 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Gove Harbor Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2022 NT 4 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5085 AU 63 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5098 AU 77 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5087 AU 65 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4920 no*
Rhizoprionodon taylori taylori Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1255 no* Rhizoprionodon taylori taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5099 AU 78 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5088 AU 66 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5097 AU 76 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2021 NT 3 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1254 no*
Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2020 NT 2 yes Rhizoprionodon taylori Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2024 NT 6 yes
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Rhizoprionodon oligolinx West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4496 KA 202 yes Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3685 BO 474 yes* Rhizoprionodon oligolinx South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4260 KA 87 yes Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3686 BO 475 yes* Rhizoprionodon oligolinx West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4495 KA 201 yes Rhizoprionodon oligolinx East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4806 KA 405 yes Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1371 no Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1372 no

- Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2939 BOD 72 yes

Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1649 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1651 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1634 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1685 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1684 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1652 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1650 no
Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1641 no

Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN6999 VN 1 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7018 VN 20 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7052 VN 54 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7019 VN 21 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7011 VN 13 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7017 VN 19 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7051 VN 53 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1743 no Scoliodon macrorhynchos Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6182 TW 11 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6192 TW 22 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7009 VN 11 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7012 VN 14 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7013 VN 15 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7008 VN 10 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7055 VN 57 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7053 VN 55 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7054 VN 56 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3450 BO 223 yes* Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1745 no Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3503 BO 286 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3479 BO 262 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3077 BO 39 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3480 BO 263 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1747 no Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3453 BO 236 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3460 BO 243 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7010 VN 12 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1739 no Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3461 BO 244 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3083 BO 45 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3483 BO 266 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3079 BO 41 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3467 BO 250 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3458 BO 241 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3481 BO 264 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3462 BO 245 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4791 KA 390 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3482 BO 265 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3459 BO 242 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3469 BO 252 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3449 BO 222 yes* Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3073 BO 35 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7015 VN 17 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7016 VN 18 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1744 no Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3084 BO 46 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3468 BO 251 yes Scoliodon macrorhynchos Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3463 BO 246 yes Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1679 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1678 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1680 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1681 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1682 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1677 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1673 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1674 no Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1683 no
Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1675 no
Scoliodon laticaudus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1676 no
Scoliodon cf. laticaudus Bay of Bengal Indian Ocean Thailand GN6769 no Scoliodon cf. laticaudus Bay of Bengal Indian Ocean Thailand GN6770 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1357 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1358 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1356 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN6268 BO 85 yes Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3020 HBO 123 yes Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1359 no Loxodon macrorhinus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1659 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2971 HBO 49 yes Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1729 no Loxodon macrorhinus West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4414 KA 120 yes Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3607 BO 352 yes Loxodon macrorhinus West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4415 KA 121 yes Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1348 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1727 no Loxodon macrorhinus Occidente Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2247 no Loxodon macrorhinus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3646 BO 435 yes Loxodon macrorhinus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN285 no
Loxodon macrorhinus Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1698 no Loxodon cf. macrorhinus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1635 no Loxodon cf. macrorhinus Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1660 no Loxodon cf. macrorhinus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2014 GA 13 yes

Sphyrna lewini 1 Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN160 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN897 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5660 DEL 3 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5663 DEL 6 yes
Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5661 DEL 4 yes
Sphyrna lewini 1 North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN914 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5839 MS05 426 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN619 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN895 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Georgia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN896 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN872 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5659 DEL 2 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN245 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN886 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN81 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5841 MS05 428 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6239 MS05 354 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5947 SE 27 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3260 SE 86 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3253 SE 76 yes
Sphyrna lewini 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3252 SE 75 yes
Sphyrna lewini 1 Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2010 GA 6 yes Sphyrna lewini 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1718 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1722 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1640 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN1219 MG 3 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN1220 MG 4 no Sphyrna lewini 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1666 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1668 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3098 BO 60 yes
Sphyrna lewini 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1665 no
Sphyrna lewini 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1667 no
Sphyrna lewini 2 Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1123 BJ 789 yes Sphyrna lewini 2 Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5451 BJ 810 yes Sphyrna lewini 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2970 HBO 48 yes
Sphyrna lewini 2 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4187 KA 14 yes* Sphyrna lewini 2 Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6197 TW 27 yes
Sphyrna lewini 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3606 BO 351 yes Sphyrna lewini 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN947 no
Sphyrna lewini 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1712 no Sphyrna lewini 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN946 no
Sphyrna lewini 2 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4427 KA 133 no Sphyrna lewini 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1711 no phyrna lewini 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1715 no
Sphyrna lewini 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN948 no
Sphyrna tiburo Florida St. Andrews Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5848 MS05 436 yes Sphyrna tiburo Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6215 MS05 20 yes
Sphyrna tiburo Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5842 MS05 429 yes Sphyrna tiburo Florida Crooked Island Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5826 MS05 407 yes Sphyrna tiburo Florida Crooked Island Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5828 MS05 412 no Sphyrna tiburo Florida Crooked Island Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5825 MS05 405 yes Sphyrna tiburo Florida St. Andrews Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5849 MS05 437 yes Sphyrna tiburo Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6763 no
Sphyrna tiburo Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1178 JMT 1 no
Sphyrna tiburo Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5843 MS05 430 yes Sphyrna tiburo Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6764 no
Sphyrna tiburo Florida Crooked Island Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5827 MS05 410 yes

- Sphyrna cf. tiburo Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN500 no

Sphyrna cf. tiburo Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN501 no
Sphyrna tudes Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN502 no
Sphyrna tudes Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN503 no
Sphyrna tudes Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Trinidad GN491 no
Sphyrna tudes Manzanilla Bay Atlantic Ocean East Trinidad GN504 no
Sphyrna corona Pacific Ocean Panama GN2644 no
Sphyrna corona Pacific Ocean Panama GN2643 no
Sphyrna corona Pacific Ocean Panama GN2642 no
Sphyrna corona Pacific Ocean Panama GN2640 no
Sphyrna corona Pacific Ocean Panama GN2645 no
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Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN30 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN83 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN101 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN32 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN72 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN256 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN90 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5804 KC 9 yes Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN31 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN68 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN22 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN89 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN63 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 northern Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN628 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6238 MS05 353 no Sphyrna mokarran 1 Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN443 no
Sphyrna mokarran 2 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5560 CM03 64 yes Sphyrna mokarran 2 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5572 CM03 78 yes Sphyrna mokarran 2 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2106 NT 99 yes Sphyrna mokarran 2 Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1229 AU 31 yes Sphyrna mokarran 2 Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5053 AU 10 yes Sphyrna mokarran 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3471 BO 254 yes*
Sphyrna zygaena Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3145 no Sphyrna zygaena Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3147 no Sphyrna zygaena Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3146 no Sphyrna zygaena Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3144 no Sphyrna zygaena Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1136 BJ 800 yes Sphyrna zygaena Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3143 no
Sphyrna zygaena Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1097 BJ 784 yes* Sphyrna zygaena Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1099 BJ 786 yes Sphyrna zygaena Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1129 BJ 666 no Sphyrna zygaena Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN943 no
Sphyrna zygaena Pacific Ocean Japan GN5786 JN 13 yes
Sphyrna zygaena Western Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN944 no Sphyrna zygaena Western Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN945 no Sphyrna zygaena South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7097 VN 99 Yes - Sphyrna zygaena Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN887 no

Sphyrna zygaena Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6152 SE 313 yes
Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1243 AU 69 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5110 AU 90 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5109 AU 89 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5123 AU 105 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1245 AU 71 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1256 AU 83 yes* Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1244 AU 70 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5140 AU 125 yes Eusphyra blochii Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1257 AU 84 yes
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Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN877 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN239 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN46 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN876 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN96 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN91 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN878 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN85 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN98 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN34 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN102 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN97 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6240 MS05 355 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN879 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN35 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN59 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN252 no
Galeocerdo cf. cuvier Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN888 no
Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5050 AU 6 no
Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5059 AU 21 yes
Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5071 AU 44 no
Galeocerdo cuvier Hawaii Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN135 no
Galeocerdo cuvier Red Sea Gulf of Aqaba Egypt GN7 no
Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5101 AU 80 yes Galeocerdo cuvier Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5271 BJ 382 yes* Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5143 AU 129 yes Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5144 AU 130 yes Galeocerdo cuvier West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4418 KA 124 yes
Galeocerdo cuvier Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5049 AU 5 yes

Hemigaleus microstoma Pacific Ocean Singapore GN2198 no
Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1347 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1354 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2992 HBO 82 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3647 BO 436 yes Hemigaleus microstoma East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4181 KA 8 yes Hemigaleus microstoma East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4184 KA 11 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3694 BO 483 yes* Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2991 HBO 81 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2907 BOD 40 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3074 BO 36 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1330 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2979 HBO 69 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3082 BO 44 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1328 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1353 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1350 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1349 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1313 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1352 no Hemigaleus microstoma East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4199 KA 26 yes Hemigaleus microstoma West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4423 KA 129 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2980 HBO 70 yes Hemigaleus microstoma South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN321 no
Hemigaleus microstoma Visayas South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN324 no

- Hemigaleus microstoma Visayas South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN325 no Hemigaleus microstoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3695 BO 484 yes Hemigaleus microstoma Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1351 no Hemigaleus microstoma South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4325 no* Hemigaleus microstoma South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4324 no*
Hemigaleus microstoma Occidente Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2248 no Hemigaleus australiensis Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1263 AU 108 yes Hemigaleus australiensis Western Australia Southeast Indian Ocean Australia GN4914* no Hemigaleus australiensis Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2091 NT 81 yes Hemigaleus australiensis Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2064 NT 48 yes Hemigaleus australiensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4913 no*
Hemigaleus australiensis Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2090 NT 78 yes
Paragaleus sp. Phuket Indian Ocean Thailand GN2301 no
Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4189 KA 16 yes Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4198 KA 25 yes Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4203 KA 30 yes Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4175 KA 2 yes Paragaleus randall East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4183 KA 10 yes Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4197 KA 24 yes Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4179 KA 6 yes
Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4191 KA 18 yes* Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4182 KA 9 yes* Paragaleus randalli Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN6285 HBO 80 yes Paragaleus randalli Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1305 no Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4196 KA 23 yes Paragaleus randalli Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1366 no
Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4202 KA 29 yes - Paragaleus randalli South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN318 no

Paragaleus randalli Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN6284 HBO 79 yes
Paragaleus randalli East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4204 KA 31 yes Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Ocean Mauritania GN2646 no
Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Ocean Mauritania GN2648 no
Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3212 SE 129 yes
Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Ocean Mauritania GN2647 no Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Sierra Leone GN202 no
Paragaleus pectoralis Atlantic Ocean Mauritania GN2649 no
Hemipristis elongata Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3603 BO 348 yes Hemipristis elongata Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1278 no Hemipristis elongata East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4836 KA 435 yes Hemipristis elongata East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4178 KA 5 yes Hemipristis elongata West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4419 KA 125 yes Hemipristis elongata East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4808 KA 407 yes Hemipristis elongata Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1314 no
Hemipristis elongata East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4195 KA 22 yes*
Hemipristis elongata Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2985 HBO 75 yes Hemipristis elongata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2096 NT 88 yes Hemipristis elongata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2100 NT 93 yes Hemipristis elongata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2080 NT 67 yes Hemipristis elongata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2037 NT 20 yes

Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1318 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1276 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1327no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1279 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1341 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1324 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1308 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1309 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1322 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1310 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1316 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3609 BO 354 yes
Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3608 BO 353 yes Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3602 BO 347 yes Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3549 BO 332 yes Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3548 BO 331 yes Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1315 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1323 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1325 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1345 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1321 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1311 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1320 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1342 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1319 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1280 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1317 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1326 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1312 no Mustelus widodoi Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1307 no Mustelus ravidus Western Australia Southeast Indian Ocean Australia GN4898 no* Mustelus mosis Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1687 no Mustelus mosis Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1689 no
Mustelus mosis Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1690 no
Mustelus mustelus Cape Province Southwest Indian Ocean South Africa GN713 no
Mustelus mustelus Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7122 no
Mustelus mustelus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7218 AF 65 yes
Mustelus mustelus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7215 AF 62 yes
Mustelus mustelus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7239 AF 86 yes
Mustelus mustelus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7240 AF 87 yes
Mustelus mustelus Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6011 SE 170 yes

- Mustelus mustelus Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6044 SE 203 no

Mustelus mustelus Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6155 SE 316 yes
Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1101 BJ 792 yes
Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5443 BJ 796 yes Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1100 BJ 791 yes Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5280 BJ 422 no
Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5305 BJ 542 yes - Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1138 BJ 802 yes Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1139 BJ 803 yes Mustelus cf. Iunulatus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5279 BJ 417 no Mustelus canis Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6328 RDM 57 no
Mustelus canis northern Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1626 VJ 1 no
Mustelus canis Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN917 no
Mustelus canis Western Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2657 no
Mustelus canis northern Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6204 VJ 4 no

- Mustelus canis North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN908 no


Mustelus canis northern Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6205 VJ 5 no
Mustelus canis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN3584 no
-Mustelus sp. 2 Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1566 BJ 580 yes Mustelus sp. 2 Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1565 BJ 671 yes Mustelus sp. 2 Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5222 BJ 224 no Mustelus sp. 2 Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5361 BJ 641 yes [ Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5176 BJ 72 no Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1563 BJ 543 no Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1564 BJ 642 yes
Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5175 BJ 66 no Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1109 BJ 532 no Mustelus henlei Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5174 BJ 65 yes Mustelus norrisi Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2414 no
Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1105 BJ 523 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5359 BJ 638 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5334 BJ 599 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5297 BJ 527 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5362 BJ 643 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5292 BJ 447 no* Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5360 BJ 640 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2283 BJ 548 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1112 BJ 537 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5302 BJ 536 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5309 BJ 547 no Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5291 BJ 446 no* Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5363 BJ 644 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5335 BJ 600 yes Mustelus californicus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2284 BJ 449 no* Mustelus sp. 1 Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5304 BJ 541 yes
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Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN2482 no Mustelus antarcticus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2485 no Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN2481 no Mustelus antarcticus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2484 no Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Bass Strait Australia GN2448 no
Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN2480 no Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Bass Strait Australia GN2447 no Mustelus antarcticus Victoria Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN2483 no Mustelus antarcticus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4902 no* Mustelus lenticulatus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN4895 no
Mustelus lenticulatus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN4896 no
Mustelus lenticulatus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN4897 no Mustelus stevensi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4899 no*
Mustelus stevensi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4900 no*
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN988 no Mustelus manazo Kanagawa Prefecture Tokyo Bay Pacific Ocean Japan GN5796 JP 1 yes Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1020 no*
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN989 no*
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Japan GN5785 JN 6 yes
Mustelus manazo Kanagawa Prefecture Tokyo Bay Pacific Ocean Japan GN5798 JP 3 yes
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN983 no
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN987 no
Mustelus manazo South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7108 VN 110 yes Mustelus manazo Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3039 HBO 145 yes Mustelus manazo South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7109 VN 111 yes
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN972 no*
Mustelus manazo Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1019 no*
Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7204 AF 51 yes
Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7275 AF 122 yes
Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7322 AF 169 yes
Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7194 AF 41 yes Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7273 AF 120 yes
Mustelus palumbes Indian Ocean South Africa GN7321 AF 168 yes
Mustelus asterias Atlantic Ocean England GN5920 SCOT 52 no
Mustelus asterias eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2351 no
Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2313 no
Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2312 no Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2314 no Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2310 no Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2311 no Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2308 no Mustelus schmitti Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2309 no
Scylliogaleus quecketti Indian Ocean South Africa GN2292 no Scylliogaleus quecketti Indian Ocean South Africa GN2293 no Scylliogaleus quecketti KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN2654 no Scylliogaleus quecketti KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN2289 no Triakis megalopterus Cape Province Indian Ocean South Africa GN712 no Triakis megalopterus Indian Ocean South Africa GN1759 no
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lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6634 MM 6 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6643 MM 15 yes lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6659 MM 31 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6660 MM 32 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6667 MM 39 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6641 MM 13 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6642 MM 14 yes lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6666 MM 38 yes lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6658 MM 30 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6635 MM 7 yes
lago omanensis Gulf of Oman Iran GN6636 MM 8 yes
lago cf. omanensis 1 Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1669 no
L lago cf. omanensis 1 Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1695 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Isreal GN1951 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Isreal GN1949 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Isreal GN1950 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Egypt GN11 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Isreal GN1953 no
lago cf. omanensis 2 Red Sea Isreal GN1952 no
lago garricki South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4330 no*

- lago garricki Zamboanga del Norte Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2228 no* lago garricki Zamboanga del Norte Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2224 no*
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Galeorhinus galeus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2630 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5645 CR 78 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5650 CR 83 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5658 CR 91 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5648 CR 81 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5611 CR 42 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5588 CR 13 no Galeorhinus galeus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5651 CR 84 no Galeorhinus galeus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7195 AF 42 yes Galeorhinus galeus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7217 AF 64 yes
Galeorhinus galeus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7219 AF 66 yes
Galeorhinus galeus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7298 AF 145 yes
Galeorhinus galeus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7236 AF 83 yes
Galeorhinus galeus California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1806 no
Galeorhinus galeus California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1804 no
Galeorhinus galeus California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1805 no
Galeorhinus galeus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6561 AZ 64 yes
Galeorhinus galeus eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2344 no
Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1819 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1820 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1815 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1818 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1825 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1814 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1822 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1826 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1817 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1824 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1821 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1816 no Hypogaleus hyugaensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1823 no Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Japan GN2598 no*
Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Japan GN2600 no*
Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1009 no*
Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1010 no*
Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1008 no* Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Japan GN2599 no* Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Japan GN2597 no* Hemitriakis japanica Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1000 no*
Hemitriakis japanica South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7110 VN 112 yes Hemitriakis leucoperiptera Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2225 no* Hemitriakis leucoperiptera Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2232 no* Hemitriakis leucoperiptera South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4366 no* Hemitriakis complicofasciata Pacific Ocean Japan GN2595 no ${ }^{*}$
Hemitriakis complicofasciata Pacific Ocean Japan GN2592 no* Hemitriakis complicofasciata Pacific Ocean Japan GN2593 no* Hemitriakis complicofasciata Pacific Ocean Japan GN2596 no*
Hemitriakis complicofasciata Pacific Ocean Japan GN2594 no*
Hemitriakis falcata Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4894 no* Hemitriakis sp. Pacific Ocean Japan GN2591 no*
Furgaleus macki Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1522 no
Furgaleus macki Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1500 no



Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1760 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1754 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1753 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1756 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1790 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1773 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1751 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1771 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1789 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1758 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1774 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1770 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1791 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1794 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1788 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1757 no Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1787 no
Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN1755 no
Haploblepharus edwardsii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7237 AF 84 yes Halaelurus buergeri Zamboanga del Norte Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2222 no* Halaelurus buergeri Siquijor Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2252 no*
Halaelurus buergeri Zamboanga del Norte Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2234 no*
Halaelurus buergeri Oriental Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2219 no*
Halaelurus buergeri Oriental Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2220 no*
Halaelurus sellus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4893 no*
Halaelurus natalensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7306 AF 153 yes
Halaelurus natalensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7307 AF 154 yes

- Halaelurus natalensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7314 AF 161 yes
[ Halaelurus lineatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1198 no
Halaelurus lineatus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1199 no
Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7256 AF 103 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7290 AF 137 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7267 AF 114 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7268 AF 115 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7178 AF 24 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7157 AF 3 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7159 AF 5 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7263 AF 110 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7257 AF 104 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7188 AF 34 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7258 AF 105 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7269 AF 116 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7156 AF 2 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7155 AF 1 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN7158 AF 4 yes Holohalaelurus regani Indian Ocean South Africa GN2333 no
Holohalaelurus regani ndian Ocean South Africa GN2334 no Galeus melastomus Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1708 no Galeus melastomus eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2350 no Galeus melastomus Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1707 no Galeus melastomus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6627 MMF 36798 no* Galeus melastomus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1475 no
Galeus melastomus Atlantic Ocean United Kingdom GN5033 no Galeus melastomus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1474 no Galeus melastomus Atlantic Ocean near North Sea United Kingdom GN5034 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7118 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7380 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7116 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7120 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7121 no Galeus polli Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7119 no Galeus arae Atlantic Ocean GN1892 no Galeus murinus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN1417 no Galeus murinus Atlantic Ocean France GN2656 no
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Asymbolus rubiginosus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN1935 no


- 0.01 substitutions/site


Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah South China Pacific Ocean Sea Malaysia GN1298 no Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3025 HBO 128 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3023 HBO 126 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3026 HBO 129 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1299 no Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3024 HBO 127 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3027 HBO 130 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3110 BO 72 yes Atelomycterus marmoratus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3705 BO 495 yes* Atelomycterus marmoratus Oriental Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2235 no*

- Aulohalaelurus labiosus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN2273 no
- Aulohalaelurus labiosus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN2268 no* - Aulohalaelurus labiosus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN2269 no*

Atelomycterus marnkalha Queensland Torres Strait Pacific Ocean Australia GN4881 no*
Atelomycterus marnkalha Queensland Torres Strait Pacific Ocean Australia GN4882 no*
Atelomycterus marnkalha Queensland Torres Strait Pacific Ocean Australia GN4883 no* Parmaturus sp. Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6730 no*
Parmaturus sp. Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6747 no*
Parmaturus sp. Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6750 no*
Parmaturus sp. West Norfolk Ridge Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6755 no*
Parmaturus sp. Bay of Plenty Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6734 no*
Parmaturus sp. Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN6741 no*
Schroederichthys bivius Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2305 no
Schroederichthys bivius Atlantic Ocean Argentina GN2306 no

Poroderma pantherinum Cape Province Indian Ocean South Africa GN711 no
Poroderma pantherinum KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1189 no Poroderma pantherinum Cape Province Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN2655 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1764 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1765 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1763 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1785 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1783 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1769 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1795 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1766 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1762 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN7325 AF 172 yes Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1784 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1796 no Poroderma pantherinum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1786 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1761 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1781 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1782 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1778 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1772 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1779 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1780 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1775 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1767 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1776 no Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1777 no
Poroderma africanum Indian Ocean South Africa GN1768 no Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7168 AF 14 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7288 AF 135 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7186 AF 32 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7270 AF 117 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7172 AF 18 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7251 AF 98 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7260 AF 107 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7284 AF 131 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7173 AF 19 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7185 AF 31 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7170 AF 16 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7169 AF 15 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7262 AF 109 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7289 AF 136 yes Scyliorhinus capensis Indian Ocean South Africa GN7271 AF 118 yes Scyliorhinus canicula eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2346 no

- Scyliorhinus retifer New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1051 no - Scyliorhinus retifer Mid-Atlantic Bight Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN2530 no* Scyliorhinus retifer Gulf of Mexico GN3580 no
Scyliorhinus stellaris eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2339 no
Cephaloscyllium variegatum southwestern Pacific Australia GN1943 no Cephaloscyllium variegatum southwestern Pacific Australia GN1944 no Cephaloscyllium variegatum southwestern Pacific Australia GN1942 no Cephaloscyllium variegatum New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4889 no* Cephaloscyllium variegatum southwestern Pacific Australia GN1941 no
Cephaloscyllium variegatum southwestern Pacific Australia GN1940 no
Cephaloscyllium albipinnum New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4886 no* Cephaloscyllium albipinnum Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4888 no* Cephaloscyllium albipinnum Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4887 no* Cephaloscyllium hiscosellum Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4884 no* Cephaloscyllium umbratile Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN982 no* Cephaloscyllium umbratile Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1017 no* Cephaloscyllium umbratile Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN981 no* Cephaloscyllium umbratile Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1018 no* Cephaloscyllium sp. 1 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4352 no* Cephaloscyllium sp. 1 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4361 no* Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2323 no Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2322 no Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2326 no Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4885 no* Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2325 no Cephaloscyllium laticeps New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2324 no Cephaloscyllium ventriosum California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2529 no*

Isurus oxyrinchus Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3141 no
Isurus oxyrinchus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN926 no
Isurus oxyrinchus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN926 no
Isurus oxyrinchus Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1126 BJ 667 no

- Isurus oxyrinchus Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1126
-L Isurus oxyrinchus Gulf of Mexico Mexico GN3140 no
L Isurus oxyrinchus Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN873 no
- Isurus oxyrinchus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1511 no
- Isurus oxyrinchus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN940 no

Isurus paucus western North Atlantic Ocean GN1893 no Isurus paucus western North Atlantic Ocean GN1875 no Isurus paucus western North Atlantic Ocean GN1891 no

- Isurus paucus Florida Keys Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN614 no

4 Isurus paucus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean GN1908 no
4 Isurus paucus western North Atlantic Ocean GN1878 no
Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1431 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1439 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1442 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1432 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1430 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1437 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1426 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1433 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1427 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1443 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1429 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1434 no Carcharodon carcharias KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN1428 no Carcharodon carcharias New Jersey Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN13 no
Carcharodon carcharias New York Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN864 no
Carcharodon carcharias South Australia Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN2 no

- Carcharodon carcharias California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1060 no

Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2661 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2662 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2666 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2663 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2665 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean Great Britain GN2664 no Lamna nasus Nova Scotia Atlantic Ocean Canada GN3742 no Lamna nasus Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN633 no

- Lamna nasus Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2261 no*

L Lamna nasus Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2262 no*
Lamna ditropis Pacific Ocean Japan GN1069 no
[ Lamna ditropis Pacific Ocean Japan GN1070 no

- Cetorhinus maximus Atlantic Ocean England GN1058 no
- Cetorhinus maximus GN1072 no

Carcharias taurus Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN240 no
Carcharias taurus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN907 no
Carcharias taurus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN916 no Carcharias taurus North Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN915 no
Carcharias taurus California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1064 no
Carcharias taurus Maryland Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN238 no

35B


36A
Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7090 VN 92 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7094 VN 96 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1169 TH 2 no Chiloscyllium punctatum Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1173 TH 7 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1172 TH 6 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4444 KA 150 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4615 KA 321 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1170 TH 3 yes
Chiloscyllium punctatum Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4285 KA 112 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Singapore GN3715 RAF 3 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4274 KA 101 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4453 KA 159 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4446 KA 152 yes* Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4574 KA 280 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7095 VN 97 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7093 VN 95 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7096 VN 98 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4443 KA 149 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3658 BO 447 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3520 BO 303 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2902 BOD 35 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3519 BO 302 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4445 KA 151 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4596 KA 302 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4452 KA 158 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4466 KA 172 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Pacific Ocean Singapore GN2192 no
Chiloscyllium punctatum Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3028 HBO 131 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1296 no Chiloscyllium punctatum Sabah Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3029 no Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3663 BO 452 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3382 BO 112 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3515 BO 298 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3683 BO 472 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3521 BO 304 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3499 BO 282 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3500 BO 283 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3501 BO 284 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3502 BO 285 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3491 BO 274 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3498 BO 281 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3512 BO 295 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3489 BO 272 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3495 BO 278 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2901 BOD 34 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3523 BO 306 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3490 BO 273 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2900 BOD 33 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3522 BO 305 yes - Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2903 BOD 36 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3643 BO 432 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3642 BO 431 yes Chiloscyllium punctatum Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1297 no
Chiloscyllium punctatum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3497 BO 280 yes
Chiloscyllium cf. punctatum Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN2590 JO 17 yes
Chiloscyllium cf. punctatum Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN2585 JO 16 yes

36B
36A


Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4463 KA 169 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4589 KA 295 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4469 KA 175 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4591 KA 297 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4590 KA 296 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3654 BO 443 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3510 BO 293 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3516 BO 299 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3680 BO 469 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3511 BO 294 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3517 BO 300 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4468 KA 174 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4774 KA 373 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4772 KA 371 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4766 KA 365 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4467 KA 173 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3679 BO 468 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3440 BO 175 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4595 KA 301 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4583 KA 289 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3693 BO 482 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4447 KA 153 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4771 KA 370 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4593 KA 299 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4592 KA 298 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3678 BO 467 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4465 KA 171 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4470 KA 176 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3470 BO 253 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3492 BO 275 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3488 BO 271 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3690 BO 479 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3676 BO 465 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4276 KA 103 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3653 BO 442 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4594 KA 300 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4582 KA 288 yes Chiloscyllium indicum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3692 BO 481 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4471 KA 177 yes* Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4773 KA 372 yes Chiloscyllium indicum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4768 KA 367 yes
Chiloscyllium indicum Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4281 KA 108 yes Chiloscyllium plagiosum West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4616 KA 322 yes Chiloscyllium plagiosum South China Sea Pacific Ocean Singapore GN3714 RAF 2 yes
Chiloscyllium plagiosum Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2898 BOD 31 yes Hemiscyllium ocellatum Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN2587 JO 1 yes Hemiscyllium ocellatum Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN5795 JO 10 yes Hemiscyllium ocellatum Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN2580 JO 4 no

Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3507 BO 290 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3655 BO 444 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3493 BO 276 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4458 KA 164 yes* Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3494 BO 277 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3704 BO 494 yes* Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3703 BO 493 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3412 BO 143 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3487 BO 270 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3416 BO 147 yes* Chiloscyllium hasseltii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3505 BO 289 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4459 KA 165 yes Chiloscyllium hasseltii South China Sea Pacific Ocean Singapore GN3713 RAF 1 yes
Chiloscyllium griseum Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1701 no
Chiloscyllium griseum Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1702 no


## 38 <br> Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1490 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1487 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1488 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4849 no* Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1489 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1499 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1497 no Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4848 no* Orectolobus hutchinsi West Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN2652 no <br> Orectolobus hutchinsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4847 no* Orectolobus parvimaculatus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4856 no* Orectolobus parvimaculatus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4855 no* Orectolobus parvimaculatus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4854 no* Orectolobus halei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1491 no Orectolobus halei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1492 no Orectolobus halei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1494 no Orectolobus halei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1496 no Orectolobus halei Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN1495 no Orectolobus halei New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4845 no* Orectolobus halei New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4846 no* Orectolobus maculatus Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4851 no* Orectolobus maculatus Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4852 no* Orectolobus maculatus Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4853 no* <br> Orectolobus ornatus Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4857 no* Orectolobus floridus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4859 no* Orectolobus floridus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4860 no* Orectolobus floridus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4858 no* Eucrossorhinus dasypogon Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN2582 JO 14 yes Eucrossorhinus dasypogon Australia (Dallas Aquarium) GN2191 no Brachaelurus colcloughi Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN1947 no Brachaelurus colcloughi New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN6782 no* Brachaelurus colcloughi Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN1948 no <br> Brachaelurus colcloughi New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN6785 no* Brachaelurus waddi New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN2265 no*

Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3586 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3588 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5397 BJ 703 yes* Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5771 BJ 689 yes Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3587 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5395 BJ 701 yes Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3576 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1556 BJ 693 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1111 BJ 535 yes Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3743 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5244 BJ 300 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5236 BJ 258 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5231 BJ 247 yes* Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5178 BJ 80 yes* Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5260 BJ 322 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1557 BJ 704 yes Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3744 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5396 BJ 702 yes Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5390 BJ 692 yes* Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5772 BJ 690 no Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5224 BJ 232 yes* Heterodontus mexicanus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5232 BJ 248 yes Heterodontus portusjacksoni Botany Bay Pacific Ocean Australia GN1937 no Heterodontus portusjacksoni Botany Bay Pacific Ocean Australia GN1938 no Heterodontus portusjacksoni New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2315 no Heterodontus portusjacksoni Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4841 no*
Heterodontus portusjacksoni New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4843 no* Heterodontus portusjacksoni New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4842 no* Heterodontus portusjacksoni New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2316 no
Heterodontus cf. zebra Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4844 no*
Heterodontus zebra Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2905 BOD 38 yes
Heterodontus zebra Pacific Ocean Japan GN1048 no
Heterodontus galeatus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN1939 no
Heterodontus galeatus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN1978 no Heterodontus galeatus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN1979 no Heterodontus galeatus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN1980 no Heterodontus francisci Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3745 no Heterodontus francisci Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1110 BJ 534 yes Heterodontus francisci Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5254 BJ 314 yes* Heterodontus francisci California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN3308 no Heterodontus francisci California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN3307 no
Heterodontus francisci Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1555 BJ 695 yes Heterodontus francisci Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN3589 no
Heterodontus francisci Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1114 BJ 540 yes Heterodontus francisci Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5225 BJ 233 yes*

Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6421 CHL 14 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6355 RDM 85 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6304 RDM 33 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6363 RDM 93 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN5674 no
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6388 RDM 121 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6312 RDM 41 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6386 RDM 119 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN5672 no
Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6414 CHL 7 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6331 RDM 60 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6446 RDM 153 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6419 CHL 12 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5893 RDM 19 no
Squalus acanthias Tasmania Pacific Ocean Australia GN4957 no*
Squalus acanthias Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5653 CR 86 no Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6412 CHL 5 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6325 RDM 54 no Squalus acanthias Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1187 KC 20 no Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6435 CHL 28 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5895 RDM 21 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6326 RDM 55 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6345 RDM 74 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5904 RDM 30 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6362 RDM 92 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6392 RDM 125 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6324 RDM 53 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6389 RDM 122 yes
Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6423 CHL 16 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6369 RDM 102 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6443 RDM 150 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6313 RDM 42 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6329 RDM 58 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN5670 no
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6336 RDM 65 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6385 RDM 118 yes
$\dagger$ Specimen details: GN4302 CR 10 no; GN4303 CR 11 no; GN5654 CR 87 no.
$\ddagger$ Specimen details: GN4290 RDM 3 yes; GN4291 RDM 4 yes; GN4292 RDM 5 yes; GN4293 RDM 6 yes; GN4297 RDM 10 yes; GN5901 RDM 27 no; GN5903 RDM 29 no; GN6307 RDM 36 yes; GN6308 RDM 37 yes; GN6317 RDM 46 no; GN6318 RDM 47 no; GN6320 RDM 49 no; GN6327 RDM 56 no; GN6330 RDM 59 yes; GN6335 RDM 64 yes; GN6337 RDM 66 yes; GN6340 RDM 69 yes; GN6342 RDM 71 no; GN6343 RDM 72 no; GN6349 RDM 79 no; GN6354 RDM 84 yes; GN6364 RDM 94 yes; GN6368 RDM 101 no; GN6377 RDM 110 yes; GN6379 RDM 112 yes; GN6380 RDM 113 yes; GN6382 RDM 115 yes; GN6384 RDM 117 yes; GN6390 RDM 123 yes; GN6393 RDM 126 yes; GN6395 RDM 128 yes; GN6402 RDM 136 no; GN6406 RDM 141 no; GN6407 RDM 142 no; GN6408 RDM 145 no; GN6442 RDM 149 yes; GN6449 RDM 156 yes; GN6456 RDM 163 yes.
§ Specimen details: GN5669 FS 5 no; GN5671 FS 7 no; GN6409 CHL 2 no; GN6417 CHL 10 yes; GN6418 CHL 11 yes; GN6420 CHL 13 yes; GN6431 CHL 24 yes; GN6432 CHL 25 yes; GN6433 CHL 26 yes.

Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6319 RDM 48 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6367 RDM 97 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6378 RDM 111 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6403 RDM 137 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6302 RDM 12 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6444 RDM 151 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4296 RDM 9 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6306 RDM 35 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6360 RDM 90 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6447 RDM 154 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5890 RDM 15 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6375 RDM 108 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6450 RDM 157 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6333 RDM 62 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6381 RDM 114 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6348 RDM 78 no Squalus acanthias Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1186 KC 19 no Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6430 CHL 23 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6357 RDM 87 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6365 RDM 95 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6338 RDM 67 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4294 RDM 7 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6399 RDM 132 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6370 RDM 103 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6344 RDM 73 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6396 RDM 129 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6339 RDM 68 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4300 RDM 13 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6383 RDM 116 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5899 RDM 25 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6346 RDM 76 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6341 RDM 70 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6394 RDM 127 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6321 RDM 50 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6373 RDM 106 yes Squalus acanthias Tasmania Pacific Ocean Australia GN4956 no* Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6315 RDM 44 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6366 RDM 96 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6359 RDM 89 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6376 RDM 109 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6314 RDM 43 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6372 RDM 105 yes - Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5891 RDM 16 yes - Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6398 RDM 131 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6305 RDM 34 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6347 RDM 77 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5898 RDM 24 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5905 RDM 31 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6353 RDM 83 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6361 RDM 91 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6334 RDM 63 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6401 RDM 134 yes Squalus acanthias Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN4304 CR 35 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6397 RDM 130 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6350 RDM 80 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6448 RDM 155 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6374 RDM 107 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6405 RDM 139 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6356 RDM 86 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5889 RDM 14 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6387 RDM 120 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6422 CHL 15 yes
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6311 RDM 40 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6332 RDM 61 yes - Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6352 RDM 82 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4298 RDM 11 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6358 RDM 88 no Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6309 RDM 38 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6411 CHL 4 no
Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6445 RDM 152 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4289 RDM 2 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6440 RDM 147 yes Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6410 CHL 3 no Squalus acanthias Pacific Ocean Chile GN6413 CHL 6 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6298 RDM 8 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6441 RDM 148 yes Squalus acanthias Rhode Island Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6452 RDM 159 yes Squalus suckleyi Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1183 GOA 38 yes

Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1157 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1158 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1159 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1167 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1160 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1161 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1165 no Squalus sp. Rio Grande do Sul Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN1164 no Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7176 AF 22 yes Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7266 AF 113 yes Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7175 AF 21 yes Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7187 AF 33 yes Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7253 AF 100 yes Squalus cf. mitsukurii Indian Ocean South Africa GN7255 AF 102 yes Squalus cubensis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Jamaica GN1969 no
Squalus cubensis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Jamaica GN1968 no Squalus montalbani Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4981 no*
Squalus montalbani New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4982 no* Squalus chloroculus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4962 no* Squalus chloroculus Victoria Bass Strait Australia GN4963 no* Squalus chloroculus Tasmania Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN4964 no* Squalus chloroculus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4980 no* Squalus grahami New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4972 no*
Squalus grahami New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4973 no* Squalus edmundsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4968 no*
Squalus edmundsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4969 no*
Squalus edmundsi Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4970 no* Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7317 AF 164 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7179 AF 25 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7319 AF 166 yes Squalus cf. megalops Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7115 no Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7278 AF 125 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7167 AF 13 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7180 AF 26 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7209 AF 56 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7277 AF 124 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7213 AF 60 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7320 AF 167 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7214 AF 61 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7165 AF 11 yes Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7166 AF 12 yes

- Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7181 AF 27 yes
- Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7318 AF 165 yes

Squalus cf. megalops Indian Ocean South Africa GN7276 AF 123 yes
Squalus brevirostris Minamisatsuma Kagoshi East China Sea Pacific Ocean Japan GN4995 no*

- Squalus brevirostris Minamisatsuma Kagoshi East China Sea Pacific Ocean Japan GN4996 no* Squalus megalops Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4978 no*
Squalus megalops New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2620 no Squalus megalops Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4974 no* Squalus megalops Victoria Bass Strait Australia GN4975 no*
Squalus megalops Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4976 no*
Squalus megalops Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4977 no*
- Squalus megalops Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4979 no*

Squalus formosus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN969 no*
Squalus formosus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN980 no*
Squalus formosus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN976 no*
Squalus albifrons New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4960 no*
Squalus albifrons New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4961 no* Squalus albifrons New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4959 no* Squalus japonicus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN967 no Squalus japonicus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN968 no
Squalus japonicus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN975 no*
Squalus nasutus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4983 no* Squalus nasutus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4984 no Squalus crassispinus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4966 no* Squalus crassispinus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4967 no* - Squalus crassispinus Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4965 no* Cirrhigaleus australis Tasmania Bass Strait Australia GN4944 no*
Cirrhigaleus australis Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN3831 no Cirrhigaleus asper Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4624 no

Centrophorus squamosus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1479 no Centrophorus squamosus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN1405 no Centrophorus squamosus North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1455 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6519 AZ 21 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6514 AZ 16 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6530 AZ 32 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6534 AZ 36 yes Centrophorus squamosus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2707 no
Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6521 AZ 23 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6522 AZ 24 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6538 AZ 40 yes Centrophorus squamosus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1200 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6541 AZ 43 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6517 AZ 19 yes Centrophorus squamosus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1203 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6511 AZ 13 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6513 AZ 15 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6537 AZ 39 yes Centrophorus squamosus southwestern Indian Ocean GN4926 no*
Centrophorus squamosus North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1456 no Centrophorus squamosus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5634 CR 67 no Centrophorus squamosus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5634
Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6524 AZ 26 yes Centrophorus squamosus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6509 AZ 11 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6535 AZ 37 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6525 AZ 27 yes Centrophorus squamosus South Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2706 no Centrophorus squamosus North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1462 no Centrophorus squamosus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2700 no Centrophorus squamosus Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7123 no
Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6512 AZ 14 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6528 AZ 30 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6518 AZ 20 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6518 AZ 20 yes
Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6526 AZ 28 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6526 AZ 28 yes
Centrophorus squamosus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN5020 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6536 AZ 38 yes Centrophorus squamosus Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6510 AZ 12 yes Centrophorus squamosus western North Atlantic Ocean GN1883 no Centrophorus squamosus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN1407 no Centrophorus squamosus Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2705 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6531 AZ 33 yes Centrophorus squamosus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN1408 no Centrophorus squamosus North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1466 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6532 AZ 34 yes Centrophorus squamosus Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN5021 no Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6520 AZ 22 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6539 AZ 41 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6523 AZ 25 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6533 AZ 35 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6527 AZ 29 yes Centrophorus squamosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6614 MMF 36123 no* Centrophorus granulosus Gulf of Mexico GN3717 no
Centrophorus granulosus western North Atlantic Ocean GN3577 no Centrophorus granulosus Gulf of Mexico GN3578 no
Centrophorus granulosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6615 MMF 36124 no*
Centrophorus granulosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6615 MMF 36124 no*
Centrophorus granulosus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4924 no* Centrophorus granulosus New South Wales Tasman
Centrophorus granulosus Gulf of Mexico GN3579 no
Centrophorus granulosus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4925 no* Centrophorus granulosus Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN3188 no
Centrophorus granulosus Setubal Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3187 no
Centrophorus granulosus Gulf of Mexico GN3740 no
Centrophorus granulosus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6613 MMF 36122 no*
Centrophorus granulosus Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Jamaica GN1967 no
Centrophorus granulosus Gulf of Mexico GN3590 no
Centrophorus cf. Iusitanicus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2013 GA 12 yes Centrophorus cf. lusitanicus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2012 GA 11 yes Centrophorus cf. Iusitanicus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN1221 MG 5 no
Centrophorus cf. Iusitanicus Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN1221 MG 5 no
Centrophorus sp. 1 Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Jamaica GN1966 no
Centrophorus sp. 1 Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Jamaica GN1965 no
Centrophorus harrissoni Tasmania Bass Strait Australia GN4941 no*
Centrophorus harrissoni Tasmania Bass Strait Australia GN4942 no*
Centrophorus harrissoni Tasmania Bass Strait Australia GN4943 no*
Centrophorus isodon South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4338 no*
Centrophorus isodon South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4392 no* Centrophorus sp. 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN973 no*
Centrophorus sp. 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1007 no
Centrophorus sp. 2 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN974 no*
Centrophorus sp. 3 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4348 no* Centrophorus cf. zeehaani Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6515 AZ 17 yes Centrophorus zeehaani South Australia Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN4933 no* Centrophorus zeehaani South Australia Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN4934 no* Centrophorus zeehaani South Australia Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN4932 no* Centrophorus cf. zeehaani Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7128 no
Centrophorus moluccensis Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN1304 no Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4922 no* Centrophorus moluccensis southwestern Indian Ocean GN4927 no*
Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4929 no* Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4929 no*
Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4921 no* Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4923 no* Centrophorus moluccensis Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia
Centrophorus moluccensis Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean GN4928 no*

- Centrophorus moluccensis South China Sea Indian Ocean Philippines GN1086 no
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Deania calcea Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1709 no
Deania calcea Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1710 no
Deania calcea southwestern Indian Ocean GN4946 no*
Deania calcea Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean GN4945 no*
Deania calcea Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN5025 no
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5612 CR 43 no
Deania calcea North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1460 no
Deania calcea Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2691 no
Deania calcea Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2714 no
Deania calcea Atlantic Ocean Angola GN7114 no
Deania calcea North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1459 no
Deania calcea Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2690 no
Deania calcea North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1461 no
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5618 CR 50 no
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5647 CR 80 no
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5619 CR 51 no
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5623 CR 55 no
Deania calcea Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN5024 no
Deania calcea Mid-Atlantic Ridge Atlantic Ocean GN1406 no
Deania calcea North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1210 no
Deania calcea North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1211 no
Deania calcea southwestern Indian Ocean GN4947 no*
Deania calcea Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5613 CR 44 no
Deania calcea North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1386 no
Deania calcea North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1387 no
Deania calcea Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2695 no
Deania cf. profundorum Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3784 no Deania cf. profundorum Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3780 no Deania cf. profundorum Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6544 AZ 46 yes Deania cf. profundorum Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3782 no
Deania cf. profundorum Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3786 no
Deania quadrispinosa 1 New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2544 no
Deania quadrispinosa 1 New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2619 no Deania quadrispinosa 2 New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2543 no Centroscymnus coelolepis North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1392 no Centroscymnus coelolepis western North Atlantic Ocean GN4670 no Centroscymnus coelolepis western North Atlantic Ocean GN4671 no Centroscymnus coelolepis Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6606 MMF 36090 no* Centroscymnus coelolepis North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1201 no Centroscymnus coelolepis Mid-Atlantic Ridge Atlantic Ocean GN1414 no Centroscymnus coelolepis Tasmania Pacific Ocean Australia GN4937 no Centroscymnus coelolepis Mid-Atlantic Ridge Atlantic Ocean GN1415 no Centroscymnus coelolepis North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1390 no Centroscymnus coelolepis North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1202 no Centroscymnus coelolepis Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4935 no Centroscymnus coelolepis North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1391 no
Centroscymnus coelolepis Mid-Atlantic Ridge Atlantic Ocean GN1409 no
Centroscymnus owstonii Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2722 no
Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3756 no
Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6598 MMF 36021 no* Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6600 MMF 36058 no* Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6623 MMF 36739 no* Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6599 MMF 36044 no* Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3761 no Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3758 no Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3755 no Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3760 no Centroscymnus owstonii Mid-Atlantic Ridge northern Atlantic Ocean GN1411 no Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3759 no Centroscymnus owstonii Seine Seamount Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3757 no Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6619 MMF 36142 no* Centroscymnus owstonii Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2717 no
Centroscymnus owstonii Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6625 MMF 36741 no*

- Centroscymnus owstonii Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2723 no

Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1843 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1847 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1844 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1848 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1846 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1849 no Oxynotus bruniensis Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5609 CR 37 no Oxynotus bruniensis South Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1845 no Oxynotus bruniensis Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1856 no
Oxynotus bruniensis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2327 no Oxynotus bruniensis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2328 no L Oxynotus bruniensis Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1857 no
Oxynotus bruniensis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2329 no
1 Oxynotus bruniensis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2330 no Oxynotus paradoxus eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2352 no
Oxynotus paradoxus Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1852 no
Oxynotus paradoxus Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1850 no
Oxynotus paradoxus Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1851 no
Proscymnodon plunketi North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1465 no Proscymnodon plunketi North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1464 no Proscymnodon plunketi Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2718 no
Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5621 CR 53 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5622 CR 54 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5607 CR 34 yes Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5624 CR 57 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5626 CR 59 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5625 CR 58 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5627 CR 60 no Proscymnodon plunketi North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1463 no Proscymnodon plunketi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5637 CR 70 no

## Scymnodon ringens Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1703 no

Scymnodon ringens Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1705 no
Scymnodon ringens Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1706 no
Scymnodon ringens Atlantic Ocean Ireland GN1704 no
Scymnodon ringens eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2340 no

45B


Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5157 AZ 1 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5158 AZ 2 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5161 AZ 6 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5162 AZ 7 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5164 AZ 9 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6565 AZ 68 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6567 AZ 70 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5163 AZ 8 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6566 AZ 69 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6574 AZ 77 yes Etmopterus spinax North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1486 no Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6568 AZ 71 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6542 AZ 44 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6573 AZ 76 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6575 AZ 78 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6572 AZ 75 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6460 AZ 5 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6569 AZ 72 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6562 AZ 65 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6570 AZ 73 yes Etmopterus spinax Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6571 AZ 74 yes Etmopterus princeps Connecticut Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1077 no Etmopterus princeps eastern Atlantic Ocean GN2343 no Etmopterus princeps Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6608 MMF 36093 no* Etmopterus princeps western North Atlantic Ocean GN3722 no
Etmopterus princeps Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6611 MMF 36103 no* Etmopterus princeps Connecticut Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1078 no Etmopterus princeps Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5032 no Etmopterus cf. unicolor 1 Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2674 no Etmopterus cf. unicolor 1 Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2675 no Etmopterus cf. unicolor 2 Indian Ocean GN4952 no*

- Etmopterus cf. unicolor 2 Indian Ocean GN4954 no*

Etmopterus baxteri North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1447 no Etmopterus baxteri Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2676 no
Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5595 CR 21 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5629 CR 62 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5591 CR 17 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5596 CR 22 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5598 CR 24 no Etmopterus baxteri North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1448 no Etmopterus baxteri North Island Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN1449 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5593 CR 19 no Etmopterus baxteri Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5597 CR 23 no - Etmopterus virens western North Atlantic Ocean GN3564 no

Etmopterus gracilispinis western North Atlantic Ocean GN3723 no Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6548 AZ 51 yes Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6552 AZ 55 yes Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3771 no Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3766 no Etmopterus pusillus New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2614 no Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3765 no Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3767 no Etmopterus pusillus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6620 MMF 36530 no* Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3772 no Etmopterus pusillus Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean GN4951 no* Etmopterus pusillus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6624 MMF 36740 no* Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3769 no Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6543 AZ 45 yes Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6603 MMF 36075 no* Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6563 AZ 66 yes Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6555 AZ 58 yes Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6550 AZ 53 yes Etmopterus pusillus Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6578 AZ 81 yes Etmopterus pusillus northeastern Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN3770 no Etmopterus pusillus New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN2613 no Etmopterus bigelowi Gulf of Mexico GN3581 no
Etmopterus bigelowi Gulf of Mexico GN3582 no Etmopterus bigelowi Gulf of Mexico GN3582 no
Etmopterus bigelowi Gulf of Mexico GN3583 no Etmopterus bigelowi northern Mid-Atlantic Ocean GN5026 no
Etmopterus splendidus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN994 no*
Etmopterus splendidus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN995 no*
Etmopterus lucifer New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2615 no Etmopterus lucifer New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2616 no Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5606 CR 32 no Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5582 CR 4 no Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5594 CR 20 no Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5592 CR 18 no Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5583 CR 6 no Etmopterus lucifer Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2697 no
Etmopterus lucifer Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2696 no
Etmopterus lucifer Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5605 CR 31 no Etmopterus molleri Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN996 no*
Etmopterus molleri Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN997 no*
Etmopterus molleri Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN999 no
Etmopterus molleri Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN998 no*

## 46B

46A
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1400 no
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1401 no
Centroscyllium fabricii Connecticut Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1079 no
Centroscyllium fabricii Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6558 AZ 61 yes
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1388 no
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1389 no
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1398 no

- 0.01 substitutions/site
Centroscyllium fabricii North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN1399 no
Centroscyllium fabriciin North Sea Atlantic Ocean Scotland GN139
Centroscyllium fabricii Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6559 AZ 62 yes


[^4]
49 Hexanchus nakamurai Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2015 GA 14 yes


[^5]Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2886 BOD 19 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3592 BO 337 no Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4831 KA 430 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2891 BOD 24 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2896 BOD 29 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2890 BOD 23 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2885 BOD 18 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4252 KA 79 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3597 BO 342 no
Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4521 KA 227 yes* Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4410 KA 116 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4587 KA 293 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4833 KA 432 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3612 BO 400 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3624 BO 412 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2895 BOD 28 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Gulf of Thailand Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1589 TH 28 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4824 KA 423 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2944 BOD 77 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4599 KA 305 yes - Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2887 BOD 20 yes - Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2889 BOD 22 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2880 BOD 13 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4259 KA 86 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4829 KA 428 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3000 HBO 91 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2987 HBO 77 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN4173 BO 518 no Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3034 HBO 140 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2998 HBO 89 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3595 BO 340 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3365 BO 94 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3002 HBO 93 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN4171 BO 513 no Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN4172 BO 514 no Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2925 BOD 58 yes - Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2930 BOD 63 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3363 BO 92 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 1 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3364 BO 93 yes
Himantura cf. gerrardi 5 South China Sea Vietnam GN7086 VN 88 yes
Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4795 KA 394 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4505 KA 211 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2945 BOD 78 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3429 BO 161 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3408 BO 138 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3431 BO 163 yes* Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4764 KA 363 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4828 KA 427 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4412 KA 118 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3410 BO 140 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3422 BO 153 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3426 BO 158 yes* Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4255 KA 82 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN5484 BO 505 no Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3486 BO 269 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4502 KA 208 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4439 KA 145 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4800 KA 399 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4230 KA 57 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2872 BOD 5 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3033 HBO 139 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3036 HBO 142 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3037 HBO 143 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3677 BO 466 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3413 BO 144 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4586 KA 292 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3439 BO 171 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3409 BO 139 yes Himantura cf. gerrardi 2 Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3022 HBO 125 yes
Himantura cf. gerrardi 3 Gulf of Oman Iran GN6638 MM 10 yes
Himantura cf. gerrardi 4 Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2018 GA 30 yes
Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2097 NT 90 yes
Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2043 NT 26 yes Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2063 NT 47 yes Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2059 NT 43 yes Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2041 NT 24 yes Himantura astra Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2038 NT 21 yes Himantura astra Queensland Gulf of Carpenteria Indian Ocean Australia GN5505 CM03 6 yes Himantura astra Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Indian Ocean Australia GN5576 CM03 82 yes - Himantura astra Queensland Moreton Bay Pacific Ocean Australia GN1593 DF 4 yes

Himantura pastinacoides 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3373 BO 102 yes Himantura pastinacoides 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3386 BO 116 yes Himantura pastinacoides 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3385 BO 115 yes Himantura pastinacoides 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3452 BO 235 yes - Himantura pastinacoides 2 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3383 BO 113 yes Himantura sp. B Gulf of Oman Iran GN6649 MM 21 yes
Himantura sp. B Gulf of Oman Iran GN6668 MM 40 yes



Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4538 KA 244 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4431 KA 137 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4543 KA 249 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4548 KA 254 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4528 KA 234 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4523 KA 229 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4536 KA 242 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4539 KA 245 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4547 KA 253 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4429 KA 135 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4488 KA 194 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4489 KA 195 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4537 KA 243 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4535 KA 241 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4493 KA 199 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4486 KA 192 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4541 KA 247 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4546 KA 252 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4540 KA 246 yes* Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4524 KA 230 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4545 KA 251 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4525 KA 231 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4549 KA 255 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4530 KA 236 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4531 KA 237 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4485 KA 191 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4428 KA 134 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4432 KA 138 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4526 KA 232 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4433 KA 139 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4544 KA 250 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4411 KA 117 yes Himantura cf. oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4483 KA 189 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4430 KA 136 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4480 KA 186 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4532 KA 238 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4542 KA 248 yes - Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4481 KA 187 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4533 KA 239 yes - Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4534 KA 240 yes* Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4409 KA 115 yes Himantura oxyrhyncha West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4529 KA 235 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4556 KA 262 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4563 KA 269 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4567 KA 273 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4568 KA 274 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4566 KA 272 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4557 KA 263 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4553 KA 259 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4777 KA 376 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4564 KA 270 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4775 KA 374 yes - Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4561 KA 267 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4550 KA 256 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4562 KA 268 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4569 KA 275 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4552 KA 258 yes* Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4554 KA 260 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4776 KA 375 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4555 KA 261 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4559 KA 265 yes Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4551 KA 257 yes - Himantura signifer West Kalimantan Kapuas River Indonesia GN4558 KA 264 yes

Himantura cf. kittipongi West Kalimantan Sungai Pawan Indonesia GN4840 KA 439 no Himantura imbricata Gulf of Oman Iran GN6639 MM 11 yes Himantura imbricata Gulf of Oman Iran GN6640 MM 12 yes
Himantura imbricata Gulf of Oman Iran GN6672 MM 44 yes
Himantura imbricata Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1693 no
Himantura imbricata Gulf of Oman Iran GN6633 MM 5 yes - Himantura imbricata Persian Gulf Iran GN6580 MM 3A no
[ Himantura imbricata Persian Gulf Qatar GN6593 AM 2 no Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3454 BO 237 yes Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3415 BO 146 yes Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3421 BO 152 yes Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3419 BO 150 yes Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3456 BO 239 yes Himantura walga Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3420 BO 151 yes

Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4786 KA 385 yes Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4607 KA 313 yes Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4609 KA 315 yes* Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4610 KA 316 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3045 BO 6 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3464 BO 247 yes Himantura lobistoma East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4211 KA 38 yes* Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4579 KA 285 yes Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4518 KA 224 yes Himantura Iobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4784 KA 383 yes Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4608 KA 314 yes Himantura lobistoma East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4206 KA 33 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2964 HBO 42 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2972 HBO 51 yes* Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3472 BO 255 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3691 BO 480 yes* Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3485 BO 268 yes Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3465 BO 248 yes* Himantura lobistoma West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4506 KA 212 no Himantura lobistoma Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2965 HBO 43 yes*
Himantura granulata East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4809 KA 408 yes Himantura granulata Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5569 CM03 74 yes Himantura granulata Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2929 BOD 62 yes Himantura sp. 1 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2103 NT 96 yes

Himantura polylepis Sabah Kinabatangan River Malaysia GN3610 BO 355 no
Himantura polylepis East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4794 KA 393 yes
Himantura polylepis Sabah Kinabatangan River Malaysia GN3706 BO 496 yes
Himantura polylepis Sabah Kinabatangan River Malaysia GN3707 BO 497 yes
Himantura polylepis Sabah Kinabatangan River Malaysia GN3611 BO 356 no
Himantura polylepis East Kalimantan Mahakam River Indonesia GN4823 KA 422 yes
Himantura polylepis East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4798 KA 397 yes
Urogymnus asperrimus 1 Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN5009 no
Urogymnus asperrimus 1 Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN5010 no
Urogymnus asperrimus 1 Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN5008 no
Urogymnus asperrimus 1 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5550 CM03 53 yes
Urogymnus asperrimus 2 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4385 no*
Urogymnus asperrimus 2 Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2259 no*
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|  | Dasyatis margaritella Casamance Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6115 SE 276 yes Dasyatis margaritela Casamance Altantic Occan Senegal GN6116 SE 277 yes ura grabata Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6065 SE 224 yes |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4478 KA 184 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4515 KA 221 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4448 KA 154 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4762 KA 361 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4514 KA 220 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4602 KA 308 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4604 KA 310 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3688 BO 477 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3437 BO 169 yes* Dasyatis cf. zugei South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4262 KA 89 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4266 KA 93 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4605 KA 311 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3424 BO 156 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4606 KA 312 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2884 BOD 17 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3428 BO 160 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4585 KA 291 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4601 KA 307 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4476 KA 182 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4778 KA 377 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4450 KA 156 yes* Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4477 KA 183 yes Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4603 KA 309 yes [ Dasyatis cf. zugei West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4779 KA 378 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7021 VN 23 yes
 Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7046 VN 48 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7022 VN 24 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7025 VN 27 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7048 VN 50 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7026 VN 28 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7035 VN 37 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7047 VN 49 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7032 VN 34 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7024 VN 26 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7034 VN 36 yes Dasyatis zugei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7033 VN 35 yes


Dasyatis centroura Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4638 no
Dasyatis centroura Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4639 no
Dasyatis ushiei Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2877 BOD 10 yes
Dasyatis brevicaudata Western Australia Indian Ocean Australia GN4652 no*
Pteroplatytrygon violacea California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6498 no
Dasyatis marmorata Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6009 SE 168 yes
Taeniurops meyeni Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3711 BO 501 yes
Taeniurops meyeni Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2109 NT 104 yes
Dasyatis dipterura Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5266 BJ 335 no
Dasyatis dipterura Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5379 BJ 675 yes Dasyatis dipterura Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1598 BJ 564 yes
Dasyatis dipterura Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5196 BJ 119 no
Dasyatis say Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6230 MS05 297 yes
Dasyatis say Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6234 MS05 307 yes
Dasyatis say Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6221 MS05 50 yes
Dasyatis longa Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5281 BJ 423 no Dasyatis longa Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5283 BJ 428 no Dasyatis Ionga Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5282 BJ 427 no
Dasyatis Ionga Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1599 BJ 738 yes
Dasyatis sp. Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3295 SE 122 yes
Dasyatis sp. Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6061 SE 220 yes
Dasyatis sp. Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6063 SE 222 yes
Dasyatis americana Virginia Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4645 no
Dasyatis americana Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6232 MS05 304 yes Dasyatis americana Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1594 KC 11 no
Dasyatis sabina Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6226 MS05 104 yes
Dasyatis sabina Mississippi Biloxi Ship Channel Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6229 MS05 253 yes
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Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4619 KA 325 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4472 KA 178 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3445 BO 218 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4758 KA 357 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4475 KA 181 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4473 KA 179 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4474 KA 180 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4267 KA 94 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3446 BO 219 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4760 KA 359 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3405 BO 135 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3593 BO 338 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4756 KA 355 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3636 BO 424 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4754 KA 353 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sabah South China Sea Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3540 BO 321 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2997 HBO 88 yes
Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3684 BO 473 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3697 BO 486 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3632 BO 420 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sabah South China Sea Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3537 BO 320 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3591 BO 336 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3625 BO 413 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3635 BO 423 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3698 BO 487 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4755 KA 354 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 lloilo Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2216 no*
Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Oriental Negros Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2211 no* Neotrygon kuhlii 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4265 KA 92 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4258 KA 85 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4282 KA 109 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 1 South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4249 KA 76 yes
Neotrygon kuhlii 1 Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4279 KA 106 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3621 BO 409 yes* Neotrygon kuhlii 2 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7105 VN 107 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4753 KA 352 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3067 BO 29 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6185 TW 14 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 Pacific Ocean Thailand GN6160 TH 21 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 2 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7106 VN 108 yes - Neotrygon kuhlii 2 Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1588 TH 15 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 3 Mozambique Channel Indian Ocean Madagascar GN2016 GA 15 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 4 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5538 CM03 41 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 4 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5544 CM03 47 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 4 Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5543 CM03 46 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 4 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2093 NT 85 yes Neotrygon kuhlii 4 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2077 NT 62 yes
Neotrygon picta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2061 NT 45 yes Neotrygon picta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN5859 NT 45 yes Neotrygon picta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2076 NT 61 yes Neotrygon picta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2087 NT 74 yes Neotrygon picta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2046 NT 29 yes Neotrygon picta Queensland Pacific Ocean Australia GN4654 no*
Neotrygon cf. ningalooensis Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2026 NT 8 yes
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Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3398 BO 128 yes Taeniura lymma 1 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4816 KA 415 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3399 BO 129 yes Taeniura lymma 1 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4818 KA 417 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3396 BO 126 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3400 BO 130 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3401 BO 131 yes Taeniura lymma 1 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4821 KA 420 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3395 BO 125 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3397 BO 127 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3394 BO 124 yes Taeniura Iymma 1 Guimaras Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2243 no* Taeniura lymma 1 Siquijor Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2257 no* Taeniura Iymma 1 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4817 KA 416 yes Taeniura lymma 1 East Kalimantan Sulawesi Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4820 KA 419 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3121 BO 84 yes
Taeniura lymma 1 Siquijor Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2255 no*
Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3392 BO 122 yes
Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3007 HBO 110 yes
Taeniura Iymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3118 BO 81 yes
Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3393 BO 123 yes - Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3018 HBO 121 yes Taeniura lymma 1 Iloilo Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN2215 no* Taeniura lymma 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4761 KA 360 yes Taeniura Iymma 1 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7104 VN 106 yes Taeniura Iymma 1 West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4759 KA 358 yes Taeniura lymma 1 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7103 VN 105 yes
Taeniura lymma 1 Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3123 BO 87 yes
Taeniura lymma 2 Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2027 NT 9 yes Taeniura lymma 2 Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2028 NT 10 yes Taeniura lymma 2 Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2029 NT 11 yes Taeniura lymma 2 Northern Territory Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN2031 NT 13 yes - Taeniura lymma 2 South Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4271 KA 98 yes

[^6]Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6241 MS05 375 yes
Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5850 MS05 440 yes
Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5851 MS05 441 yes Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6220 MS05 49 yes
Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5214 BJ 192 no
Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5215 BJ 193 no
Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5243 BJ 283 no Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6231 MS05 298 yes
Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Florida Indian Pass Gulf of Mexico Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6248 MS05 497 yes
Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5456 BNC 3 no
Rhinoptera cf. steindachneri Mississippi Gulf of Mexico Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN6158 SSC 4 no Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5256 BJ 318 no Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1625 BJ 595 no Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5440 BJ 793 yes Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5585 BJ 684 yes Rhinoptera steindachneri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pac
Rhinoptera sp. 1 Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5978 SE 137 yes
Rhinoptera jayakari Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN6267 BO 83 yes Rhinoptera jayakari Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2976 HBO 66 yes Rhinoptera jayakari Indian Ocean Mozambique GN4707 no
Rhinoptera jayakari West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4573 KA 279 yes Rhinoptera jayakari Gulf of Oman Iran GN6645 MM 17 yes
L Rhinoptera jayakari Western Indian Ocean Mozambique GN4708 no
Rhinoptera jayakari West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4572 KA 278 yes
Rhinoptera jayakari Gulf of Thailand Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1623 TH 27 yes
Rhinoptera jayakari West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4571 KA 277 yes
Rhinoptera jayakari West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4570 KA 276 yes Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5462 BNC 9 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5475 BNC 25 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5461 BNC 8 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5466 BNC 14 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5466 BNC 14
Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5465 BNC 13 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5458 BNC 5 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5468 BNC 16 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5467 BNC 15 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5470 BNC 20 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5459 BNC 6 no Rhinoptera bonasus South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5478 BNC 28 no
Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5471 BNC 21 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5780 BNC 18 no Rhinoptera bonasus Virginia Western North Atlantic U.S.A. GN4641 no
Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5472 BNC 22 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5477 BNC 27 no Rhinoptera bonasus North Carolina Core Sound Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5455 BNC 2 no
Rhinoptera bonasus South Carolina Charleston Harbor Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5480 BNC 30 no Rhinoptera cf. bonasus Casamance Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6089 SE 249 yes
Rhinoptera neglecta Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1624 AU 85 yes
Rhinoptera neglecta Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN4662 no*
Rhinoptera neglecta Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2095 NT 87 yes
Rhinoptera neglecta Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5529 CM03 31 yes
Rhinoptera neglecta Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5545 CM03 48 yes
Rhinoptera javanica South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7092 VN 94 yes
Rhinoptera javanica Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2924 BOD 57 yes
Mobula japanica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5287 BJ 432 yes
Mobula japanica South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7059 VN 61 yes
Mobula japanica South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7058 VN 60 yes
Mobula japanica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1562 BJ 410 no
Mobula japanica Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1558 BJ 772 yes Mobula japanica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5289 BJ 435 yes Mobula japanica Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5433 BJ 775 yes Mobula japanica Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5434 BJ 776 no Mobula japanica Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1559 BJ 773 yes Mobula japanica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5276 BJ 409 yes Mobula japanica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5273 BJ 391 yes* Mobula japanica Baja Pennisula Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5452 BJ WWW no

- Manta birostris South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4356 no*
- Manta birostris Indonesia GN6791 no

Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5263 BJ 330 yes Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5268 BJ 351 no Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1560 BJ 705 yes Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1561 BJ 706 yes Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5284 BJ 429 yes* Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5270 BJ 378 no Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5277 BJ 411 no Mobula thurstoni Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5286 BJ 431 no Mobula kuhlii Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3019 HBO 122 yes
Mobula kuhlii South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4327 no*
Mobula kuhlii South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4337 no*
Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2286 BJ 275 yes* Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5251 BJ 310 no Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5252 BJ 311 no Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5257 BJ 319 no Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5255 BJ 315 yes Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5258 BJ 320 no Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5253 BJ 312 no Mobula munkiana Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5278 BJ 413 no Mobula hypostoma Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5813 MS05 390 yes [ Mobula hypostoma Florida St. Joseph Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5813 MS05 390 yes Pteromylaeus bovinus Casamance Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6097 SE 257 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5205 BJ 146 no Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5204 BJ 145 no Myliobatis californica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5389 BJ 691 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5384 BJ 683 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5357 BJ 626 yes Myliobatis californica California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1046 no
Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5332 BJ 597 yes
L Myliobatis californica Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1568 BJ 755 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5206 BJ 147 no Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5770 BJ 627 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5329 BJ 586 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5333 BJ 598 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5353 BJ 622 yes Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5354 BJ 623 yes Myliobatis californica California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1044 no
Myliobatis californica California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1045 no
Myliobatis californica Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5171 BJ 32 no
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7279 AF 126 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7334 AF 181 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7315 AF 162 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7203 AF 50 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7228 AF 75 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7229 AF 76 yes
Myliobatis aquila Indian Ocean South Africa GN7227 AF 74 yes
Myliobatis tobijei Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1004 no
Myliobatis tobijei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4384 no*

- Myliobatis tobijei South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4357 no*

Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5414 BJ 748 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5427 BJ 767 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5240 BJ 276 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5233 BJ 250 no Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5415 BJ 749 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5774 BJ 725 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5241 BJ 277 yes* Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5426 BJ 766 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5776 BJ 754 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5200 BJ 141 yes* Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5261 BJ 326 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1570 BJ 765 yes* Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1569 BJ 753 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5269 BJ 352 yes Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5201 BJ 142 yes*

- Myliobatis longirostris Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5775 BJ 751 yes Myliobatis freminvillei western North Atlantic Ocean GN4696 no
Myliobatis freminvillei western North Atlantic Ocean GN4697 no
Myliobatis australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2552 no Myliobatis australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2549 no Myliobatis australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2550 no
- Myliobatis australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2551 no Aetomylaeus nichofii West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4763 KA 362 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3444 BO 180 yes* - Aetomylaeus nichofii West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4511 KA 217 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4263 KA 90 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4264 KA 91 yes* Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3696 BO 485 yes* - Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3414 BO 145 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii South Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4269 KA 96 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2968 HBO 46 yes* Aetomylaeus nichofii East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4835 KA 434 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3072 BO 34 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3626 BO 414 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4194 KA 21 yes Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2969 HBO 47 yes* - Aetomylaeus nichofii Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3097 BO 59 yes


## Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 1 Persian Gulf Iran GN6584 MM 400A no

Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 2 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2075 NT 59 yes
Aetomylaeus cf. nichofii 2 Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2067 NT 51 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2975 HBO 65 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2974 HBO 53 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3423 BO 154 yes* Aetomylaeus maculatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2993 HBO 83 yes* Aetomylaeus maculatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3442 BO 178 yes* Aetomylaeus maculatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3443 BO 179 yes
Aetomylaeus maculatus West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4424 KA 130 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4226 KA 53 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4193 KA 20 yes Aetomylaeus maculatus Central Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4277 KA 104 yes Aetomylaeus milvus Persian Gulf Qatar GN6592 AM 1 no
Aetomylaeus milvus Persian Gulf Qatar GN6594 AM 3 no
Aetomylaeus vespertilio Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2072 NT 56 yes
Aetomylaeus vespertilio Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5557 CM03 61 yes Aetomylaeus vespertilio Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN5070 AU 43 yes Aetomylaeus vespertilio Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1603 AU 42 yes Aetobatus ocellatus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4237 KA 64 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5530 CM03 32 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2973 HBO 52 yes Aetobatus ocellatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4370 no
Aetobatus ocellatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3687 BO 476 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2918 BOD 51 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2102 NT 95 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2089 NT 76 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5553 CM03 56 yes Aetobatus ocellatus East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4832 KA 431 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5527 CM03 29 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5541 CM03 44 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Queensland Gulf of Carpentaria Pacific Ocean Australia GN5546 CM03 49 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Northern Territory Fog Bay Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1604 AU 57 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1602 AU 41 yes Aetobatus ocellatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4364 no*
Aetobatus ocellatus West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4618 KA 324 no Aetobatus ocellatus West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4494 KA 200 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Pacific Ocean Thailand GN1601 TH 19 yes
Aetobatus ocellatus Taiwan Strait Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6184 TW 13 yes
Aetobatus ocellatus Sabah South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3550 BO 333 yes* Aetobatus ocellatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2921 BOD 54 yes Aetobatus ocellatus South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7036 VN 38 Yes Aetobatus ocellatus West Kalimantan South China Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4598 KA 304 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3051 BO 13 yes Aetobatus ocellatus Pacific Ocean Singapore GN2206 no
Aetobatus ocellatus Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3513 BO 296 yes* Aetobatus ocellatus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4245 KA 72 yes
Aetobatus ocellatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2920 BOD 53 yes
Aetobatus ocellatus Sabah Sulu Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2931 BOD 64 yes
Aetobatus ocellatus South Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4256 KA 83 yes
Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 1 Indian Ocean Mozambique GN4703 no
Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2 Persian Gulf Qatar GN6792 no
Aetobatus cf. ocellatus 2 Persian Gulf Qatar GN6793 no
Aetobatus narinari Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2401 no
Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1919 PR 4 yes Aetobatus narinari Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5677 FY 3 yes
Aetobatus narinari Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5675 FY 1 yes*
Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN2119 JDD 2 yes
Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1917 PR 2 yes
Aetobatus narinari Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2403 no
Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1920 PR 5 yes
Aetobatus narinari Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2402 no
Aetobatus narinari Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5676 FY 2 yes*
Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN1916 PR 1 yes Aetobatus narinari Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2404 no
Aetobatus narinari Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5678 FY 4 yes*

- Aetobatus narinari Puerto Rico Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN2118 JDD 1 yes

Aetobatus laticeps Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5262 BJ 329 no Aetobatus laticeps Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1606 BJ 724 yes Aetobatus laticeps Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1605 BJ 723 yes Aetobatus laticeps Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5265 BJ 333 no Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7014 VN 16 yes*
Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7050 VN 52 yes*
Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7007 VN 9 yes
Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7049 VN 51 yes Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7000 VN 2 yes Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7004 VN 6 yes Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7037 VN 39 yes Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7003 VN 5 yes Aetobatus sp. South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7038 VN 40 yes
Aetobatus flagellum Maharastra Indian Ocean India GN1692 no
Aetobatus flagellum West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4510 KA 216 yes
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|  | Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6041 SE 200 no <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6042 SE 201 no <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5949 SE 29 yes <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6015 SE 174 yes <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6043 SE 202 no <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN5948 SE 28 yes <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6014 SE 173 yes <br> Zanobatus schoenleinii Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN6082 SE 242 yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| -0.01 substitutions/site |  |

Pristis pectinata Florida Lostmans River U.S.A. GN2734 no Pristis pectinata Florida Lostmans River U.S.A. GN2607 no Pristis pectinata Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN2736 no Pristis pectinata Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2844 no Pristis pectinata Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN3574 no Pristis pectinata Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2741 no Pristis pectinata Florida Lostmans River U.S.A. GN2606 no Pristis pectinata Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2843 no Pristis pectinata Florida Apollo Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2458 no Pristis pectinata Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2846 no Pristis pectinata Florida mouth of Shark River U.S.A. GN3573 no Pristis pectinata Florida Faka Union Bay U.S.A. GN3728 no Pristis pectinata Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN2737 no Pristis pectinata Florida Lostmans River U.S.A. GN2609 no Pristis pectinata Florida Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN2464 no Pristis pectinata Florida Lostmans River U.S.A. GN2605 no Pristis pectinata Florida Hurddles Creek U.S.A. GN3734 no Pristis pectinata Florida Mud Bay near Chokoloskee U.S.A. GN3171 no Pristis pectinata Florida Faka Union Bay U.S.A. GN3733 no Pristis pectinata Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Bahamas GN3172 no Pristis zijsron Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3155 AU 2 yes* Pristis zijsron Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1145 DF 10 no Pristis zijsron Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1146 DF 11 no Pristis zijsron Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3156 AU 33 yes Pristis zijsron Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3159 AU 137 yes* Pristis zijsron eastern Indian Ocean Australia GN3178 no
Pristis clavata Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3157 AU 36 yes
Pristis clavata Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3158 AU 136 yes* Pristis clavata Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN3160 AU 138 yes Pristis clavata Northern Territory Buffalo Creek Timor Sea Indian Ocean Australia GN1610 AU 15 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2801 no

- Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2799 no

Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2809 no

- Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2754 no
- Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2817 no


Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2761 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2826 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2752 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2818 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2807 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2816 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2829 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2823 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2821 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2765 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2832 no Pristis perotteti Belem Atlantic Ocean Brazil GN2804 no Pristis microdon Queensland Australia GN2866 CM02 9 yes
Pristis microdon Queensland Australia GN2867 CM02 10 yes
Rhinobatos productus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5383 BJ 681 yes Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1576 BJ 657 no Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1828 BJ 670 yes Rhinobatos productus California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1041 no
Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1827 BJ 650 yes Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1574 BJ 646 yes Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5208 BJ 149 no Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5352 BJ 585 no Rhinobatos productus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5364 BJ 645 yes Rhinobatos productus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5392 BJ 697 yes Rhinobatos productus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5450 BJ 809 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5409 BJ 736 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5412 BJ 745 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5423 BJ 761 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1572 BJ 733 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1573 BJ 734 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5424 BJ 763 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5425 BJ 764 yes Rhinobatos glaucostigma Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5311 BJ 553 yes Anoxypristis cuspidata Australia GN2457 no
Anoxypristis cuspidata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2078 NT 65 yes Anoxypristis cuspidata eastern Indian Ocean Australia GN3174 no Anoxypristis cuspidata eastern Indian Ocean Australia GN3177 no Anoxypristis cuspidata eastern Indian Ocean Australia GN3176 no Anoxypristis cuspidata eastern Indian Ocean Australia GN3175 no Anoxypristis cuspidata Northern Territory Arafura Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2074 NT 58 yes



Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5590 CR 16 yes Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5600 CR 26 no Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5599 CR 25 no Dipturus innominatus South Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2709 no
Dipturus innominatus South Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2684 no
Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5640 CR 73 no Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5601 CR 27 no - Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5652 CR 85 no [ Dipturus innominatus Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5649 CR 82 no Dipturus gudgeri New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2631 no Dipturus gudgeri New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2578 no
Dipturus gudgeri New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN2632 no


Dipturus sp. 4 South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN4353 no*
Dipturus healdi northwestern Australia GN6788 no*
Dipturus tengu Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN1025 no
Dipturus springeri Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7381 no
Dipturus springeri Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7382 no
Dipturus springeri Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7383 no
Dipturus springeri Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7384 no
Dipturus cf. healdi northwestern Australia GN6789 no*
Dipturus cf. healdi northwestern Australia GN6789 no*
Dipturus australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2561 no Dipturus australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2562 no Dipturus australis New South Wales Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2469 no Dipturus australis Australia GN6787 no
Dipturus australis Australia GN6786 no
Dipturus australis New South Wales Pacific Ocean Australia GN2563 no
Dipturus cerva Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN2471 no
Dipturus cerva Tasmania Tasman Sea Pacific Ocean Australia GN4651 no Dipturus cerva Australia GN6790 no
Dipturus confusus Bass Strait Australia GN2468 no
Dipturus confusus Bass Strait Australia GN2467 no
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6415 CHL 8 yes
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6429 CHL 22 no
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6416 CHL 9 yes
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6425 CHL 18 yes
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6427 CHL 20 no
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6428 CHL 21 no
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6424 CHL 17 yes
Zearaja chilensis Pacific Ocean Chile GN6426 CHL 19 yes
Zearaja nasuta South Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2699 no
Zearaja nasuta Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5636 CR 69 no Zearaja nasuta Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5635 CR 68 no Zearaja nasuta Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2692 no
Zearaja nasuta Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN2708 no
Zearaja flavirostris southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2371 no
Zearaja flavirostris southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2370 no
Zearaja flavirostris southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2361 no
Dipturus leptocauda southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2398 no
Dipturus leptocauda southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2397 no
Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2130 no
Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2129 no Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2154 no Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2149 no Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2155 no Dipturus batis North Atlantic Ocean GN2157 no
Dipturus batis Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5160 AZ 4 yes Dipturus batis Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN5165 AZ 10 yes Dipturus oxyrhinchus eastern North Atlantic Ocean GN2181 no Dipturus oxyrhinchus eastern North Atlantic Ocean GN2159 no Dipturus batis Azores Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6546 AZ 48 yes Dipturus cf. batis 1 eastern North Atlantic Ocean GN2128 no Dipturus cf. batis 2 North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4152 no
Dipturus cf. batis 2 North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4157 no
Dipturus laevis western North Atlantic Ocean GN4695 no
Dipturus laevis North Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN2603 no*
Dipturus laevis western North Atlantic Ocean GN4699 no
Dipturus pullopunctatus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7190 AF 37 yes
Dipturus pullopunctatus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7191 AF 38 yes
Dipturus pullopunctatus Indian Ocean South Africa GN7163 AF 9 yes
Spiniraja whitleyi Bass Strait Australia GN2472 no

- Spiniraja whitleyi South Pacific Ocean GN2473 no

Raja sp. 1 Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN6181 TW 10 ye
Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5690 GOA 11 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5695 GOA 16 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5716 GOA 46 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5720 GOA 54 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5756 GOA 126 no Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5686 GOA 5 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5733 GOA 102 no Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5715 GOA 45 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5717 GOA 47 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5693 GOA 14 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1615 GOA 44 yes Raja rhina eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6713 yes*
Raja rhina eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6712 no*
Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5741 GOA 111 no Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5743 GOA 113 no Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5696 GOA 17 yes Raja rhina Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean Ulaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5739 GOA 108 no

Raja binoculata California Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2267 no



Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2134 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2133 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2142 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2143 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2137 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2135 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2136 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2141 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2139 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2167 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2174 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2186 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2170 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2164 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2138 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2187 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2175 no Rajella fyllae North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4156 no Rajella fyllae North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4155 no Rajella fyllae North Atlantic Ocean GN2166 no Rajella fyllae North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4158 no Rajella sp. Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4664 no*
Rajella sp. Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4665 no*
Rajella caudaspinosa Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7370 no
Rajella caudaspinosa Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7371 no
Rajella leopardus Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7365 no
Rajella leopardus Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7368 no Rajella leopardus Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7366 no Rajella leopardus Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7369 no Rajella leopardus Atlantic Ocean South Africa GN7372 no Rajella kukujevi North Atlantic Ocean GN2165 no Rajella kukujevi North Atlantic Ocean GN2122 no Rajella kukujevi North Atlantic Ocean GN2121 no Rajella kukujevi North Atlantic Ocean GN2123 no Dipturus linteus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4150 no Dipturus linteus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4151 no Dipturus linteus North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4149 no Dipturus linteus North Atlantic Ocean GN2179 no
Dipturus linteus North Atlantic Ocean GN2177 no Amblyraja radiata North Atlantic Ocean GN2178 no Amblyraja radiata North Atlantic Ocean GN2189 no - Amblyraja radiata Atlantic Ocean England GN5914 SCOT 37 no 1 Amblyraja radiata Atlantic Ocean England GN5919 SCOT 43 no - Amblyraja radiata North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4146 no Amblyraja radiata North Atlantic Ocean GN2176 no I Amblyraja radiata North Atlantic Ocean GN2188 no
L Amblyraja radiata North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4147 no Amblyraja radiata North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4148 no - Amblyraja radiata North Sea Atlantic Ocean Norway GN4154 no Amblyraja radiata Atlantic Ocean England GN1962 SCOT 42 no - Amblyraja radiata North Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN2602 no* - Amblyraja radiata western North Atlantic Ocean GN4700 no Amblyraja radiata western North Atlantic Ocean GN4681 no Amblyraja sp. Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4666 no* Amblyraja hyperborea Barents Sea Arctic Ocean GN2298 no Amblyraja hyperborea Barents Sea Arctic Ocean GN2297 no Amblyraja badia North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6681 no* Amblyraja hyperborea Barents Sea Arctic Ocean GN2299 no Amblyraja hyperborea Tasman Sea Australia GN4650 no* Amblyraja hyperborea Barents Sea Arctic Ocean GN2300 no

- Amblyraja sp. Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4667 no* Amblyraja jenseni Mid-Atlantic Ocean GN5040 no* - Amblyraja doellojuradoi southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2380 no [L Amblyraja doellojuradoi southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2379 no Amblyraja doellojuradoi southwestern Atlantic Ocean GN2381 no
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Bathyraja interrupta Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5691 GOA 12 yes Bathyraja kincaidi/interrupta eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6496 no Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5734 GOA 103 no Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5697 GOA 18 yes Bathyraja violacea eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6707 no* Bathyraja interrupta eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6689 no* Bathyraja interrupta Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN1614 GOA 10 yes Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5707 GOA 30 yes Bathyraja kincaidi/interrupta eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6497 no Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5698 GOA 19 yes Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5699 GOA 20 yes Bathyraja mariposa eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6694 no*

- Bathyraja kincaidi interrupta Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6493 no Bathyraja taranetzi Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2426 no Bathyraja taranetzi Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2433 no Bathyraja taranetzi Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2431 no Bathyraja taranetzi British Columbia Strait of Juan de Fuca Pacific Ocean Canada GN5045 AB 1 no Bathyraja sp. Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5703 GOA 26 yes
Bathyraja kincaidi/interrupta eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6491 no Bathyraja minispinosa eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6696 no*
Bathyraja minispinosa eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6697 no*
L Bathyraja minispinosa eastern North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6698 no*
- Bathyraja pallida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN4668 no*

Bathyraja pallida Mid-Atlantic Ocean GN5039 no*
Bathyraja pallida Barents Sea Pacific Ocean GN2294 no Bathyraja pallida central North Atlantic Ocean GN4649 no
Bathyraja shuntovi Chatham Rise Pacific Ocean New Zealand GN5617 CR 48 no
Bathyraja trachura Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5751 GOA 121 no
Bathyraja aleutica Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2432 no

- Bathyraja aleutica Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5722 GOA 58 no

Rhinoraja macloviana South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2372 no Rhinoraja macloviana South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2360 no Rhinoraja macloviana South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2373 no Rhinoraja albomaculata South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2367 no Rhinoraja albomaculata South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2365 no L Rhinoraja albomaculata South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2366 no Bathyraja brachyurops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2354 no
 Bathyraja brachyurops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2368 no Bathyraja brachyurops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2357 no Bathyraja brachyurops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2369 no Rhinoraja magellanica South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2364 no Bathyraja sp. 1 South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2385 no Bathyraja sp. 1 South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2386 no Bathyraja sp. 1 South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2387 no Bathyraja griseocauda South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2362 no Bathyraja griseocauda South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2378 no Bathyraja scaphiops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2382 no Bathyraja scaphiops South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2384 no Bathyraja cf. taranetzi Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2436 no Rhinoraja multispinis South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2377 no Rhinoraja multispinis South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2376 no Rhinoraja multispinis South Atlantic Ocean Falkland Islands GN2374 no Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2427 no Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2435 no Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2430 no Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2434 no Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2428 no Bathyraja parmifera North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6699 no* Bathyraja parmifera Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2429 no Bathyraja parmifera North Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN6721 no* Bathyraja smirnovi Pacific Ocean Japan GN5788 JN 23 yes Bathyraja bergi Pacific Ocean Japan GN5789 JN 24 yes
Bathyraja maculata Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2437 no Bathyraja maculata Alaska Gulf of Alaska Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN5761 GOA 133 no Bathyraja maculata Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2438 no Bathyraja maculata Alaska Bering Sea Pacific Ocean U.S.A. GN2439 no



Fig. 78. Distribution of mean p-distances (\%) for NADH2; within species (black); within genera (grey).


Fig. 79. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus sealei, C. cf. sealei, C. dussumieri, and C. cf. dussumieri color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B). For this illustration and all successive illustrations, circle diameter corresponds to haplotype frequency; number of base pair differences between haplotypes is indicated in red; only differences $\geq 3 \mathrm{bp}$ are indicated.


Fig. 80. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus obscurus and C. galapagensis color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 81. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus sorrah and C. cf. sorrah color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 82. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus limbatus, C. cf. limbatus, C. amblyrhynchoides, and C. tilstoni color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).

## A Phenotype

$\square$ Carcharhinus leucas
$\square$ Carcharhinus cf. leucas 1
$\square$ Carcharhinus cf. leucas 2
$\square$ Carcharhinus amboinensis 1Carcharhinus amboinensis 2


Fig. 83. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus leucas, C. cf. leucas 1, C. cf. leucas 2, C. amboinensis 1, and C. amboinensis 2 color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


## B Geography



Fig. 84. Parsimony haplotype network for Carcharhinus plumbeus, C. cf. plumbeus, and C. altimus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 85. Parsimony haplotype network for Rhizoprionodon acutus, R. cf. acutus $1, R$. cf. acutus 2, and $R$. cf. acutus 3 color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 86. Parsimony haplotype network for Scoliodon macrorhynchus, S. laticaudus, and S. cf. laticaudus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 87. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Sphyrindae color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 88. Parsimony haplotype network for Galeocerdo cuvier and G. cf. cuvier color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).

## A Phenotype

lago cf. omanensis 2lago garricki

B Geography
$\square$ Gulf of Oman
Red Sea
South China SeaSulu Sea
Fig. 89. Parsimony haplotype network for Iago omanensis, I. cf. omanensis 1, I. cf. omanensis 2, and I. garricki color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).

## Phenotype

Poroderma pantherinumPoroderma africanum

Fig. 90. Parsimony haplotype network for Poroderma pantherimum and P. africanum color coded by phenotype.

A Phenotype
$\square$ Isurus oxyrinchus
B Geographywestern North Atlantic OceanGulf of MexicoSouth Africaeastern Pacific OceanWestern AustraliaVietnam


Fig. 91. Parsimony haplotype network for Isurus oxyrinchus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 92. Parsimony haplotype network for Chiloscyllium punctatum and C. cf. punctatum color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


## B Geography

$\square$ Gulf of Mexico
$\square$ western North Atlantic OceanGulf of California


Fig. 93. Parsimony haplotype network for Ginglymostoma cirratum and G. cf. cirratum color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 94. Parsimony haplotype network for Himantura cf. gerrardi 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 95. Parsimony haplotype network for Himantura uarnak 1, 2, 3, and 4, and H. undulata and H. leoparda color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 96. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Pastinachus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 97. Parsimony haplotype network for Neotrygon kuhlii 1, 2, 3, and 4, and N. picta and N. cf. ningalooensis color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).

## A Phenotype

$\square$ Taeniura lymma 1
$\square$ Taeniura lymma 2


## B Geography

Kalimantan
$\square$ Sabah
Philippines
$\square$ Vietnam
$\square$ Gulf of Carpentaria
$\square$ Sulawesi


Fig. 98. Parsimony haplotype network for Taeniura lymma 1 and 2 color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 99. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Rhinoptera color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 100. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Aetomylaeus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


Fig. 101. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Aetobatus color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).

A Phenotype
$\square$ Gymnura cf. poecilura 1
$\square$ Gymnura cf. poecilura 2
$\square$ Gymnura zonura
$\square$ Gymnura sp. 1
$\square$ Gymnura australis
$\square$ Gymnura crebripunctata
$\square$ Gymnura marmorata
$\square$ Gymnura micrura
$\square$ Gymnura altavela

B Geography
$\square$ Sarawak
$\square$ Sabah
$\square$ Kalimantan
$\square$ Gulf of Oman
$\square$ Persian GulfSingapore
$\square$ Philippines
$\square$ western North Atlantic Ocean
$\square$ Arafura Sea
$\square$ Gulf of California
$\square$ Senegal


Fig. 102. Parsimony haplotype network for species of Gymnura color coded by phenotype (A) and geography (B).


[^0]:    On the cover: Prionace glauca in open water, photo-
    GRAPHED by ANDY MURCH

[^1]:    Pristidae Pristidae
    ajidae ajidae発 Rajidae
    Rajidae Rajidae ：発： Rajidae ： 춫 シ ：苞 ： シ
    器 シ ：
     ：范 ․․発： $\stackrel{\%}{\approx}$

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a member of Bathyraja spp. complex; }}$
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ member of Amblyraja hyperborea, A. jenseni, and A. badia complex;
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ member of Dipturus batis and D. oxyrhinchus complex.

[^3]:    10 Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN461 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Mississippi Horn Island Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5855 MS05 458 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN433 no

    - Carcharhinus brevipinna Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6213 MS05 4 yes

    L Carcharhinus brevipinna Mississippi Horn Island Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN5853 MS05 456 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN87 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Mississippi Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN6214 MS05 5 yes
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN142 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN452 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN445 no

    - Carcharhinus brevipinna Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN450 no

    Carcharhinus brevipinna East Kalimantan Makassar Strait Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4177 KA 4 yes
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3407 BO 137 yes
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN219 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN220 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Visayas South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN326 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3214 SE 61 yes
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Atlantic Ocean Sierra Leone GN218 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna South China Sea Pacific Ocean Vietnam GN7002 VN 4 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1655 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1630 no Carcharhinus brevipinna West Kalimantan Java Sea Pacific Ocean Indonesia GN4417 KA 123 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN953 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Sarawak South China Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3474 BO 257 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN941 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2938 BOD 71 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN2937 BOD 70 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN311 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Atlantic Ocean Senegal GN3215 SE 81 yes Carcharhinus brevipinna South China Sea Pacific Ocean Philippines GN271 no Carcharhinus brevipinna Western Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN952 no - Carcharhinus brevipinna Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1654 no

    Carcharhinus brevipinna Kerala Indian Ocean India GN1653 no
    Carcharhinus brevipinna Sabah Celebes Sea Pacific Ocean Malaysia GN3406 BO 136 yes

    - Carcharhinus brevipinna Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN152 no Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN636 no Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN635 no Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus Madeira Atlantic Ocean Portugal GN6628 MMF 39543 no* Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN676 no Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN634 no - Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN672 no Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus Cape Province Indian Ocean South Africa GN710 no
    Carcharhinus cf. brachyurus KwaZulu-Natal Indian Ocean South Africa GN673 no
    Carcharhinus brachyurus South Australia Great Australian Bight Indian Ocean Australia GN3 no
    Carcharhinus brachyurus Pacific Ocean Taiwan GN964 no
    Carcharhinus acronotus Alabama Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN163 no
    Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN435 no
    Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN442 no Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN70 no
    Carcharhinus acronotus Florida to Massachusetts Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN5801 KC 5 yes Carcharhinus acronotus Florida St. Andrews Bay Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN76 no Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN77 no Carcharhinus acronotus South Carolina Bulls Bay Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN373 no Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Gulf of Mexico U.S.A. GN78 no Carcharhinus acronotus Florida Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN431 no Carcharhinus acronotus South Carolina Atlantic Ocean U.S.A. GN902 no

[^4]:    - 0.01 substitutions/site

[^5]:    - 0.01 substitutions/site

[^6]:    60 Urobatis concentricus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2275 BJ 443 yes Urobatis concentricus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2279 BJ 609 yes Urobatis concentricus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2280 BJ 728 yes Urobatis concentricus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5274 BJ 395 no L Urobatis concentricus Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5408 BJ 735 yes Urobatis maculatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5374 BJ 658 no Urobatis maculatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1581 BJ 617 yes Urobatis maculatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5349 BJ 619 yes Urobatis maculatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5172 BJ 52 no
    L Urobatis maculatus Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5340 BJ 605 yes
    Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1578 BJ 554 yes Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1579 BJ 618 yes Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5314 BJ 559 yes Urobatis halleri Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5207 BJ 225 no Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5348 BJ 616 yes - Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5369 BJ 652 no Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN2281 BJ 557 yes Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5220 BJ 216 no
    Urobatis halleri Baja California Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5315 BJ 560 yes
    [ Urotrygon rogersi Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5250 BJ 309 yes*

    - Urotrygon rogersi Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN5411 BJ 744 yes Urotrygon cf. simulatrix Baja California Sur Gulf of California Pacific Ocean Mexico GN1585 BJ 804 no
    Urobatis jamaicensis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Cayman Islands GN5911 SAB 1 no
    Urobatis jamaicensis Caribbean Sea Atlantic Ocean Cayman Islands GN5912 SAB 2 no Potamotrygon cf. motoro 1 Madre de Dios Madre de Dios River Peru GN5881 PU 20 yes* Potamotrygon cf. tatianae Madre de Dios Madre de Dios River Peru GN5886 PU 27 yes
     Potamotrygon cf. motoro 2 Madre de Dios Madre de Dios River Peru GN5887 PU 28 yes Potamotrygon sp. 1 (pet store) Peru GN2725 PU 1 no
    Potamotrygon sp. 1 (pet store) Peru GN2726 PU 2 no
    Potamotrygon sp. 2 (pet store) South America GN6168 TP 1 no
    Potamotrygon sp. 2 (pet store) South America GN6169 TP 2 no Paratrygon aiereba Madre de Dios Madre de Dios River Peru GN5874 PU 10 yes* Himantura schmardae Atlantic Ocean Guyana GN6488 no*

