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ABSTRACT

The Holarctic coelotine spiders are revised at the generic level. Coelotine morphology, particularly genitalic morphology, is described, the subfamily and generic limits are defined, and a cladistic hypothesis of generic relationships is presented.

The subfamily Coelotinae is defined to include 20 genera and 277 species. The 20 genera are: *Ambanus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, with 18 species from far eastern Russia, northeastern China, Japan, and Korea (including 6 new combinations); *Asiacoelotes*, new genus, with 15 East Asian species (all new combinations, with 3 new syn­onyms); *Bifidocoe­lo­tes*, new genus, with 2 species from China (both new combinations); *Coelotes* Blackwall, 1841, with 123 species from Europe and Asia (including 2 new syn­onyms); *Coras* Simon, 1898, with 15 North American species and 2 species from China; *Coronilla* Wang, 1994, with 2 species from China (including 2 new syn­onyms); *Draconarius* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, with 26 Asian species (including 25 new combinations and 1 new synonym); *Euro­coelotes*, new genus, with 11 European species (all new combinations); *Femoracoelotes*, new genus, with 2 species from Taiwan (both new combinations); *Himalcoelotes*, new genus, fully revised here, with 10 species from the Himalayas (including 2 new combinations and 8 new species); *Leptocoelotes*, new genus, with 2 species from China (both new combinations); *Longicoelotes*, new genus, with 1 new species from China; *Paracoelotes* Brignoli, 1982, with 16 European and Asian species (including 5 new combinations and 5 new synonyms); *Platocoelotes*, new genus, with 4 species from China (all new combinations); *Robusticoelotes*, new genus, with 1 newly combined species from China; *Spiricoelotes*, new genus, with 2 East Asian species (both new combinations); *Tegecoelotes* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, with 5 species from far eastern Russia, northeastern China, Japan, and Korea (including 4 new combinations); *Tonsilla* Wang and Yin, 1992, with 3 species from China; *Urocoras* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, with 5 species from East Europe (including 2 new combinations); and *Wadotes* Chamberlin, 1925, with 11 species from North America and 1 from China.

INTRODUCTION

The first known coelotine, *Paracoelotes segestri­formis* (Dufour, 1820), was described from France as *Drassus*. Blackwall (1841) described the new genus *Coelotes*, in the Agelenidae, for the species *Clubiona saxatilis* Blackwall, 1833, which was later placed as a junior synonym of *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer, 1830). Several other coelotines were described before 1842 but were not placed in the genus *Coelotes*: *Drassus trucidator* Walckenaer, 1830, and *Clubiona tigrina* Walckenaer, 1842 (both are junior syn­onyms of *C. atropos*); *Amaurobius subter­raneus* C. L. Koch, 1837, and *Amaurobius tigrinus* C. L. Koch, 1837 (both are junior syn­onyms of *C. terrestris*); *Amaurobius ros­cidus* C. L. Koch, 1834 (a junior synonym of *P. segestri­formis*); and *Tegenaria nemorensis* Blackwall, 1841 (a junior synonym of *Coras medicinalis* Hentz, 1821). From 1855 to 1898, a series of about 43 new species of coelotines were described from Europe (Koch, 1855, 1868, 1878; Kroneberg, 1875; Simon, 1870, 1875, 1876, 1880b, 1891; O. P.-Cambridge, 1873; Kulczyn’ski, 1887, 1898; Chyzer and Kulczyn’ski, 1897), Asia (Simon, 1880a; Karsch, 1879, 1881; O. P.-Cambridge, 1885), and North America (Simon, 1898; Keyserling, 1881, 1887; Emer­ton, 1889; Banks, 1892, 1895, 1898; F.O.P.-Cambridge (1893) proposed the subfamily Coelotinae, in the family Agelenidae, to in­clude the genus *Coelotes*. Simon (1898) pro­posed the genus *Coras* with the North American coelotine, *C. medicinalis*, as type species.

During the 1900s, more than 250 new coelotines were described, mostly in the genus *Coelotes*; however, some were put in the gener­a *Amaurobius* (Kulczyn’ski, 1906; Dren­sky, 1915; Schenkel, 1925), *Tegenaria* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906), and *Agelena* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906; Charitonov, 1969). In 1925, Chamberlin proposed a third coelotine genus, *Wadotes*, that is distributed in the eastern part of North America. It was re­vised by Muma (1947) and Bennett (1987), with 11 species recognized. A fourth coelotine genus, *Paracoelotes*, was proposed by Brignoli (1982), with the type species *Coelotes armeniacus* Brignoli, 1978, from Tur-
key, to accommodate some 10 European and Asian species. The genera *Tonsilla* Wang and Yin, 1992, and *Coronilla* Wang, 1994, were established with 3 and 2 species, respectively, from China. In 1999, Ovtchinnikov recognized four new genera: *Ambanus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, from northern China, Korea, and far eastern Russia, with 11 species; *Dracconarius* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, from Middle Asia, with only 1 species; *Tegecoelotes* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, from northeastern China, far eastern Russia, Japan, and Korea, with 5 species described; and *Urocoras* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, from eastern Europe, with 3 species included.

The most comprehensive species-level revisions of Palearctic coelotines were those of de Blauwe (1973) and Deltshev (1990), who revised coelotines from the Mediterranean region and Bulgaria, respectively. Coelotines from other Palearctic regions have been treated only in isolation. Many species are known only from one sex, and many may be synonyms. The genera included in Coelotinae have never been revised. Although Lehtinen (1967) and Brignoli (1982) exposed problems existing in Coelotinae taxonomy, thus far no work has been done on the world genera and species.

In addition to problems existing in defining and recognizing coelotine genera and species, the phylogenetic relationships of the group in relation to other taxa were not resolved. F.O.P.-Cambridge’s subfamily Coelotinae was not accepted by Simon (1898) and Roewer (1954). Lehtinen (1967) was the first to list *Coelotes*, *Coras*, and *Wadotes* in Coelotinae, although he pointed out that coelotines strongly resemble the cribellate amaurobiid genera *Amaurobius* C. L. Koch, 1837, *Tamgrinia* Lehtinen, 1967, and *Taira* Lehtinen, 1967, in general appearance and also in habits (i.e., sheet web is reduced to a mere dwelling tube). Wunderlich (1986) synonymized Amaurobiidae and Agelenidae. Unfortunately, no evidence for this was given in his paper. Platnick (1989) did not accept the synonymy of Agelenidae and Amaurobiidae, but he (Platnick, 1989, 1993, 1997) followed Wunderlich in considering *Coelotes* and allied genera to be more closely related to *Amaurobius* than to *Agelena*, and therefore listed coelotines under the family Amaurobiidae.

In this paper, 20 valid coelotine genera are recognized, of which 10 are newly described. The genera are diagnosed, composition and distribution information is provided, and the type species are described. The relationships among coelotine genera are analyzed.

**NATURAL HISTORY**

The Holarctic spider subfamily Coelotinae is one of the most common spider taxa in North America west to the Rocky Mountains and north to southern Canada, in Europe north to southern Sweden and Finland, and in Asia north to far eastern Russia and south to Nepal and northern Vietnam (fig. 1). Coe-
Coelotines occur from sea level to as high as 4500 m in the Himalayas, and are found on cliffs, under logs, stones, bark, leaf litter, and other objects, in corners, holes, and crevices of buildings, and in caves. Some species, such as Coelotes terrestris, can be found in densities of as much as 1.2 webs per square meter in an ideal microclimate, such as in hedges with the ground covered by litter (Petto, 1990). All species appear to build small funnel webs with several retreats beneath objects, usually deep into tree holes, rock crevices, walls, or ground slopes (figs. 2–4).

Female coelotines make oval egg sacs attached to their webs. The egg sacs of Coras species can be collected either in late fall or in spring. A female of Coras juvenilis (Keyserling, 1881), collected in October, made its egg sacs in late October and November in the lab oratory, and 82 young were hatched in a single egg sac. Numerous females of Coras lamellosus (Keyserling, 1887) were collected together with egg sacs in March from Mississippi. A female of Coras medicinalis (Hertz, 1821) was collected in April from Connecticut, made its egg sac in May with about 75 eggs inside, and died in July. Another female Coras medicinalis, collected in June from Connecticut, made 4 egg sacs, and it died the following January.

Coelotine life history is poorly known. Catley (1992) found that Coelotes atropos is winter-active, and juveniles were capable of silk production at $-5^\circ$C. The biology of Coelotes terrestris (Wider, 1834) was studied by Tretzel (1961; from Petto, 1990): “The lifecycle of the females is biennial and of the male annual. After mating in the autumn most males die. Females hibernate and then
build one or two egg-cocoons in May or June of the following year. Spiderlings hatch about four weeks later, stay in their mother’s web for some weeks and feed on her prey during this time. Based on collections examined and fieldwork, adults may be found throughout the year. In North America, many adult males and females may survive from September to the following spring. In Europe and Middle Asia, mass occurrences of adult coelotines seem to begin as early as July and last to late fall. Published collection dates of coelotines from China show that adult males and females occur in large numbers in September in the north and in late October in the south. An observation of pitfall collections (Wang et al., 2001) shows that adult coelotines in central Taiwan may occur year-round but are most abundant from October to January.

The prey of coelotines includes active arthropods captured on the sheet web (Tretzel, 1961). The prey composition of C. terrestris in habitat such as hedges with ground litter included more than 60% beetles and about 20% Diptera (Petto, 1990).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The morphology, including somatic and genitalic characters, of Coelotes atropos (Walckenaer, 1830) is described in detail. The type species of each genus (except for that of Draconarius, which was not available) is described based on available type specimens. Due to similarities in coelotine somatic morphology, descriptions of the type species of each genus use only variable informative structures, such as eyes, chelicerae, spinnerets, and male and female genitalic structures, with special emphasis on genitalic structures in redescriptions of existing species. Eyes, legs, and body lengths are given in the new species descriptions.

All measurements are in millimeters. Leg measurements are shown as: total length (femur, patella + tibia, metatarsus, tarsus). All scale lines are 0.2 mm long except where indicated otherwise. Eye sizes are measured as the maximum diameter from either above or in front. Leg spines are described for the dorsal (d), prolateral (p), ventral (v), and retrolateral (r) surfaces.

Tracheae were examined by first dissecting off the dorsal cuticle of the abdomen, boiling in about 10% KOH for a few minutes, and then cleaning carefully in water. Male palps were expanded by first being immersed in about 10% KOH for about 24 hours, and then transferred to water for complete expansion. All materials observed under SEM were either critical point-dried or air-dried and then sputter-coated.

ABBREVIATIONS

AC aciniform gland spigots
ALE anterior lateral eyes
ALS anterior lateral spinneret
AME anterior median eyes
C conductor
CD copulatory duct
CDd conductor dorsal apophysis
CL conductor lamella
CY cylindrical gland spigots
EM embolus
EMb embolic base
MA median apophysis
mAP minor ampullate gland spigots
MAP major ampullate gland spigots
PI piriform gland spigots
PLE posterior lateral eyes
PLS posterior lateral spinneret
PME posterior median eyes
PMS posterior median spinneret
RTA retrolateral tibial apophysis
S spermathecae
SH spermathecal head
ST subtegulum
T tegulum
TS tegulum sclerite

MORPHOLOGY

Coelotes atropos (Walckenaer)

No coelotine has ever been described in detail. A representative species, Coelotes atropos, which is a relatively common European species and the type species of the genus Coelotes, was chosen here for detailed description.

Body form (fig. 5): Ecirbellate spiders. Total length about 9.00–12.0 (female) and 8.00–9.50 (male). Carapace elongate, reddish brown, moderately narrowed in ocular area, sparsely covered with short, long black setae; few strong setae on clypeus, ocular area, and middle carapace; longitudinal fovea moder-
Fig. 5. *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer), female body, dorsal view.

**Eyes** (fig. 6): From front, anterior eye row slightly procurred, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smaller than ALE, ALE slightly larger than PLE, PME subequal to PLE, slightly larger than AME; AME may be separated by their diameter or slightly less than their diameter, AME-ALE slightly shorter than AME-AME, PME separated by their diameter, PME-PLE widely separated by 1.5–2.0 PLE diameter, lateral eyes close together; AME-PME separated by PME diameter.

**Clypeus** (fig. 6): Height two times AME diameter, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless.

**Chelicerae** (figs. 6–8, 12–19): Three promarginal teeth, with middle one largest, and three retromarginal teeth, subequal in size (fig. 8); condyle large (figs. 7, 8); cheliceral glands open distally near base of fang on both dorsal and ventral sides, gland openings situated inside striated grooves (figs. 13, 14); dorsal chelicerae covered with long setae, with strongly elevated base (figs. 6, 7); chelicerae ventrally flat, with proximal short setae and inner long, fine setae (figs. 8, 12, 15, 16); fangs moderately long (figs. 8, 18, 19); venom gland large, reaching middle of thorax; anterior face of chelicerae covered with dense, long, strong setae (figs. 8, 17).

**Endites** (fig. 9): Rectangular, with anterior scopula and linear serrula.

**Labium** (fig. 9): Rectangular, slightly longer than wide, slightly notched distally.

**Sternum** (fig. 11): Shield-shaped, sparsely covered with long dark setae (setae not in figure), heavily sclerotized.

**Legs** (figs. 20–30): Legs medium to long, I, IV longest, almost subequal, leg III shortest; length of 1st leg patella+tibia shorter than carapace length; trochanters not notched or with very weak notch; tibiae with about four rows of trichobothria; metatarsi and tarsi with one row of trichobothria, their length gradually increasing distally; trichobothria with large hoods transversely striated, small hoods smooth. Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, slightly anterior of most distal trichobothrium. Tarsi with three claws, superior tarsal claws with 10–12 teeth, inferior tarsal claws usually with 2–3 teeth; scopulae absent; leg spination often varies among individuals, typical leg spination pattern: femur: I p0–0–2, d1–1–1(0); II p0–0–1, r0–0–2, d1–1–1(0); III p0–1–2, r0–0–1, d1–1–0; IV p0–0–1, d1–1–0; patella: p1(0), r1(0), d1(0); tibia: I p0–0–0(1), r0–0–2, v2–2–2; II p1–1–0, v2–2–2; III, IV p1–1–0, r1–1–0, v2–2–2; metatarsus: I p0–0–0(1), v2–2–2; II p0–1–2, v2–2–2; III p1–2–2, r1–2–2, v2–2–2; IV p1(2)–2–2, r1(2)–2–2, v2–2–2; tarsus: III, IV p1–1–0, r1–1–0.

**Trachea** (fig. 31): Simple, limited to abdomen; median spiracle situated close to spinnerets and connected to relatively narrow atrium from which two lateral and two median tubes arise.

**Spinnerets** (figs. 32–37): Colulus absent; ALS cylindrical, apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP) at mesal margins, 15–30 piriform gland spigots; PMS with spigots situated on distal half of segment, both sexes PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), 30–50 aciniform gland spigots (AC), female PMS with 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLs with second segment about same length as first segment, with 30–40 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes, and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female.

**Female genitalia** (figs. 38–43): Epigynal teeth short, situated on lateral atrium; atrium reduced to atrial slits; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads short, broad, situated anteriorly; spermathecae longitudinally elongated with indistinct stalks and bases.

**Male genitalia** (figs. 44–54): Palpal femur long, with three strong spines dorsally, femoral apophysis absent; patella short, with long, strong dorsal spine; patellar apophysis broad; tibia short, almost same length as patella, with three conspicuous strong spines on prolateral side; retrolateral tibial apophysis (RTA) extended along tibia length, with distal end slightly extended beyond tibia; a small apophysis, called lateral tibial apophysis here, arises from tibia retrolaterally on dorsal side of RTA, and differs both in morphology and position with the dorsal tibial apophysis in other taxa, such as some phyzelidine species (Griswold, 1990) and amaurobiid species (Leech, 1972); cymbium long,

strongly extended anteriorly beyond alveolus, with many conspicuous, long spines on distal and prolateral surfaces; cymbial furrow short, less than half cymbial length, with dorsal edge slightly concave; a rectangular sclerotization of the distal tegular surface, called tegular sclerite here, is present and unique for coelotines; treated tegular sclerite as radix (Bennett, 1987) was not appropriate because radix usually refers to the proximal segment connecting the embolus to the tegulum (Gering, 1953; Comstock, 1910); conductor short; an apophysis arises dorsally on the conductor, which has been described as terminal apophysis (Bennett, 1987), is here called the conductor dorsal apophysis; terminal apophysis often related to embolus rather than to conductor (Comstock, 1910; Sierwald, 1990); conductor dorsal apophysis broad, with rough surface; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus moderately long, prolateral in origin; median apophysis spoonlike, short, rounded, with smooth distal end.

**COELOTINAE GENERAL MORPHOLOGY**

Within coelotines, both male and female somatic structures are relatively uniform; this condition offers few characters for identification and phylogenetic analysis of species and genera. However, a few characters vary within coelotines, as discussed here.

*Eyes:* Coelotines often have AME smaller than ALE. AME are much smaller than ALE (e.g., *Bifidocoelotes*, fig. 90) or slightly smaller (e.g., *Himalcoelotes martensi*, fig. 270). In *Coras*, AME are larger than ALE (fig. 147).

*Cheliceral teeth:* In most coelotines, the promargins of the chelicerae are relatively constant with three teeth, but in *Leptocoelotes*, *Robusticoelotes*, and *Spiricoelotes*, there are more than four teeth (figs. 301, 356, 365).
The cheliceral retromargin varies from two (e.g., Ambanus and Wadotes, figs. 63, 418) to three (e.g., Coelotes and Paracoelotes, figs. 8, 329), four (e.g., Coronilla and Lephtocoelotes, figs. 170, 301), or even more than five teeth (e.g., Robusticoelotes and Spiricoelotes, figs. 356, 365).

*Trichobothria and tarsal organ:* The distribution of trichobothria in coelotines is like that of *C. atropos*. The tarsal organ is usually situated close to the distal end of tarsus and anterior to the most distal trichobothrium (as in most coelotines, figs. 28, 172, 391). However, there are variations. In examined species of Draconarius, the tarsal organ is situated far from the distal end and close to the second most distal trichobothrium or even farther proximally (figs. 186, 202); in Femoracoelotes (figs. 234, 235), the tarsal organ is near the third most distal trichobothrium; in Lephtocoelotes, it is near distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 305, 306). The tarsal organ was not seen in examined specimens of Platocoelotes (figs. 343, 345).

*Spinnerets:* The types of spigots are constant in coelotines, but the number varies among genera and species and between sexes: the number of piriform gland spigots on the ALS varies from about 15 to 110, and the number of aciniform gland spigots on the PMS and PLS also varies from 20 to 120; both the PMS and PLS usually have 2 cylindrical gland spigots, but Paracoelotes has 3 or 4 cylindrical gland spigots on PMS (fig. 334), and 3 cylindrical gland spigots were seen on Ambanus PLS (fig. 66).

**COELOTINAE GENITALIC MORPHOLOGY**

**Female**

In Platocoelotes, the sclerotized epigynum is strongly enlarged to occupy most of the

front epigastric furrow (fig. 336). In Coronilla, the posterior margin of the epigynum is strongly expanded posteriorly (figs. 158, 159). The epigynum is characterized by the atrium, epigynal teeth, and epigynal hoods.

**Atrium:** The atrium here refers to the cavity on the epigynum plate which leads to the copulatory ducts of the vulva. It may be large (e.g., Ambanus and Paracoelotes, figs. 57, 323) or merely an atrial slit (e.g., Wadotes and Asiacoelotes, figs. 410, 70). In most coelotines, the atrium is situated medially or more or less anteriorly on the epigynal plate, but in Coelotes rufulus (Wang et al., 1990), the atrium originates posteriorly on the tonguelike posterior extension of the epigynum. The anterior atrial margin may be strongly extended posteriorly, as in Tonsilla and Paracoelotes (figs. 384, 323). In Coronilla, the middle part of the atrium may form a transverse carina (figs. 158, 159). In most coelotines, the lateral atrial margins are more or less parallel and widely separated, whereas in Coras, the lateral atrial margins are more widely separated anteriorly than posteriorly and enclose a vase-shaped area (figs. 137, 139, 141, 142).

**Epigynal teeth:** These are present in most coelotines but absent in some (e.g., Coronilla and Longicoelotes, figs. 158, 310). There may be a single, medially situated epigynal tooth, as in Bifidocoelotes and Wadotes (figs. 86, 410), or teeth may be paired (most coelotines). If present, it or they may be extremely long (e.g., Himalcoelotes and Urocoras, figs. 265, 400), broad (e.g., Leptocoeletes and Tegecoelotes, figs. 296, 375), or slender. In Asiacoelotes, epigynal teeth occur anteriorly on epigynal plate (fig. 70), whereas in Tonsilla, epigynal teeth are located close together on the anterior atrial margin (fig. 384).

**Epigynal hoods:** These are a pair of small, sclerotized, hairless plates that are usually situated laterally on the epigynal plate (e.g., Ambanus, fig. 57). In Platocoelotes (fig. 336), however, the hoods are located posteriorly and close to the epigastric furrow. A pair of large muscles, which are attached inside the hoods, may be important in female genitalic movement during copulation. The hoods can be either strongly depressed, as in Spiricoelotes (figs. 360, 362), or only moderately depressed.

**Fertilization ducts:** These are very small in all coelotines and arise from the posterior end of the spermathecae.

**Copulatory ducts:** These are usually membranous and may be large and broad (e.g.,
Paracoelotes, fig. 324) or small (e.g., Tegecoelotes, fig. 376). The copulatory ducts may originate anteriorly, as in most coelotines, or posteriorly as in Draconarius (figs. 182, 193).

Spermathecae: These are the structures between copulatory ducts and fertilization ducts. The spermathecae can be either strongly elongated as in Asiacoelotes (fig. 71), strongly elongated and highly convoluted as in many Coras species (figs. 138, 140), or short and simple as in Coelotes (fig. 39).
Many coelotines possess more or less complex spermathecae of three distinct parts: spermathecal heads, stalks, and bases. Spermathecal heads are the apical, dilated parts of the spermathecae that connect to the spermathecal bases through the spermathecal stalks; they arise near the copulatory ducts and are usually situated anteriorly (figs. 324, 376); the size varies between species. Spermathecal stalks may be elongated, convoluted, and distinct from their bases (e.g., Coras, fig. 138). However, in many coelotines, stalks may be short or indistinct from the ba-

Male

**Femur:** The femur has, in most coelotines, three distinctive strong spines dorsally (figs. 47, 48). In *Eurocoelotes gasperinii* (Simon, 1891), 5 or 6 short strong spines arise only distally and 1 arises medially. Most coelotines have no femoral apophysis, but in *Femoracoelotes* a femoral apophysis arises distally (figs. 229, 230), and prolaterally there is a cluster of numerous short, strong setae (fig. 231).

**Patella:** In *Tegecoelotes*, the patella is elongated to at least twice the tibial length (figs. 377–380). A long strong spine always arises distally on the dorsal patella, and most coelotines have a relatively short spine proximally (figs. 45, 403). A patellar apophysis may be absent (e.g., *Ambanus*, fig. 60) or may vary in number from one (as in most coelotines, figs. 146, 313), two (as in *Coronilla*, figs. 162, 165, 168), to three (as in *Robusticoelotes*, figs. 353, 354). The apophysis may be small (as in *Paracoelotes*, fig. 326) or broad (as in *Coelotes*, figs. 45, 49). In the *Coelotes charitonovi* species group, the patellar apophysis is large, broad, and strongly...
Figs. 40–43. *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer), female vulva. 40. Vulva. 41. Spermathecal head, double arrows show the pores. 42. Spermathecae, lateral view, showing the large pore at lateral side of spermathecal base. 43. Large pore at spermathecal base, enlarged. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

modified (fig. 118). In *Spiricoelotes*, the distal part of the apophysis is sharply bent dorsally (fig. 368). In the genus *Longicoelotes*, the apophysis is strongly elongated, longer than the tibia (fig. 313).

**Tibia:** The tibia is short, almost the same length or slightly longer than the patella. The retrolateral tibial apophysis (RTA) usually occupies most of the tibia. In *Paracoelotes tianchiensis* (Wang et al., 1990), the tibia is very long and the RTA occupies only one-fourth to one-fifth of the tibial length. The tip of the RTA varies between species: it can be blunt, only slightly extended (fig. 368), or strongly extended anteriorly (fig. 378). Lateral tibial apophyses are present in most coelotines, with the size ranging from large (e.g., *Tegecoelotes*, fig. 378) to small (e.g., *Leptocoelotes*, fig. 304) or absent (e.g., *Coronilla* and *Femoracoelotes*, figs. 162, 168, 230). In *Ambanus* (fig. 60) and *Wadotes* (fig. 413), the lateral tibial apophysis is located distally on the tibia and is strongly modified into a small concavity. In *Robusticoelotes* (fig. 354) and some species of *Coras* (figs. 144, 146), the retrolateral tibial surface is also strongly modified. Three strong spines always occur on the prolateral tibial surface (figs. 46, 49, 412).

**Cymbium:** The retrolateral side of the cymbium is modified into a cymbial furrow in all coelotines. Similar furrows are also present in other spider families, such as *Campostichomma* Karsch, 1891 (Miturgidae,
see Griswold, 1993) and Mallinella Strand, 1906 (Zodariidae, see Wang et al., 1999), but with quite different palpal structures and apparently not closely related. The coelotine cymbial furrow may be short and shallow (e.g., Ambanus, Coronilla, and Longicoelotes, figs. 60, 162, 314) or strongly elongated to more than half the cymbial length, with a strongly concave dorsal edge (e.g., Asiacoelotes and Bifidocoelotes, figs. 73, 89). In all coelotines, three to six strong spines occur on the ventral distal cymbium, and four to six strong spines occur on the prolateral cymbium (figs. 44, 49), although they are not always so strong as the distal spines. In Wadotes, the basal cymbium bears retrolateral and prolateral apophyses (figs. 412, 414, 415). Trichobothria are usually absent on the cymbium, but many Himalayan species of both the genus Himalcoelotes and Draconarius have a row of two to five trichobothria on the retrolateral side, just anterior to the cymbial furrow.

**Basal hematodocha, subtegulum and median hematodocha:** All coelotines possess a large basal hematodocha with a well-developed petiolus on the retrolateral side (figs. 49, 167). The subtegulum is sclerotized, funnel-shaped, and contains the fundus of the sperm duct and three to five anelli prolaterally (figs. 49, 166); distally it is highly sclerotized. A short and relatively indistinct median hematodocha connects the distal subtegulum to the tegulum (fig. 49).

**Tegulum:** The tegulum and its structures differ considerably among species and genera. The ringlike wall of tegulum is highly sclerotized. The distal inflatable surface of the tegulum is relatively membranous and houses some distinct structures, namely the tegular sclerite, conductor, embolus, and median apophysis.

**Tegular sclerite:** In most coelotines, the tegular sclerite is simple, but some species-specific structures do occur. In Asiacoelotes xinhuiensis, a small sharp apophysis arises from its retrolateral side (fig. 72). In some Coras species, the anterior tegular sclerite is

Prolaterally expanded and forms a broad apophysis (fig. 143). In *Ambanus*, the tegular sclerite is weakly sclerotized (fig. 59).

**Conductor:** This arises prolaterally on the anterior tegular sclerotized ring and is closely associated with the anterior part of the tegular sclerite. The conductor base is usually modified to form a membranous lamella and varies from small and moderately developed (e.g., *Coelotes* and *Paracoelotes*, figs. 44, 46,

Fig. 49. *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer), male palp, expanded.
Fig. 50–54. *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer), male palp. 50. Bulb, ventral view. 51. Enlarged, showing conductor lamella (CL), conductor (C), and conductor dorsal apophysis (CDd). 52. Enlarged, showing embolus (EM) apex. 53. Enlarged, showing median apophysis (MA). 54. Tibia, showing retrolateral tibial apophysis (RTA) and tibial trichobothria (indicated by arrows). Other abbreviations: EMb, embolic base; ST, subtegulum; T, tegulum; TS, tegular sclerite. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

325), to large and well developed (e.g., *Asiacoelotes* and *Draconarius*, figs. 72, 74, 183, 184). The conductor dorsal apophysis may be absent (e.g., *Asiacoelotes* and *Paracoelotes*, figs. 73, 326) or present (as in most coelotines, figs. 44, 213). In some *Coras* species, an apophysis, here called the paraconductor, arises between the conductor and the conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 143, 144). The paraconductor is similar and parallel to the conductor. In *Coelotes atropos*, the conductor is very short, whereas the conductor dorsal apophysis is broad and strong (fig. 44). In *Draconarius sinualis*, both the conductor and its dorsal apophysis are slender and long (fig. 198). The conductor of *Paracoelotes* is extremely long, flat, spiral, and forms one or two loops, but it lacks a conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 325, 326). In the genus *Wadotes*, the conductor dorsal apophysis is strongly modified into a long, toothed process (figs. 413, 414, 416). The conductor is the male palpal structure that varies both in size and shape between taxa.

*Embolus:* This arises from the posterior tegular ring and is closely associated with the posterior tegular sclerite. The ejaculatory duct of the sperm duct lies inside the embolus. In coelotines, the embolus is usually linear and slender, but it can also be broad and strong (e.g., *Ambanus and Robusticoelotes*, figs. 59, 351). In most coelotines, the embolus is moderately long (e.g., *Coelotes*, fig. 44), but it can be very long (e.g., *Asiacoelotes*, fig. 72). The apex of the embolus usually lies inside the longitudinal groove of the conductor. The embolus may be prolateral (e.g., *Coelotes* and *Eurocoelotes*, figs. 44, 213) or posterior in origin (e.g., *Asiacoelotes* and *Spiricoelotes*, figs. 72, 367).

*Median apophysis:* The median apophysis in coelotines is a sclerotized structure located in the retrolateral membranous tegular surface (Bennett, 1987). In most coelotines, the
median apophysis is spoonlike, but it can be either a very small apophysis (e.g., most Longicoelotes, fig. 313) or large but not spoonlike (as in Coronilla, fig. 162). In some species, the median apophysis is absent (e.g., Femoracoelotes). The spoonlike median apophysis may be rounded with a smooth distal end as in Coelotes (fig. 44) or with a more or less extended distal end as in Paracoelotes (figs. 325, 326). In Himalcoelotes, the median apophysis is small, rounded, medially notched, and strongly extended (figs. 267, 268). In some species, a small tegular carina may be present between the median apophysis and tegular sclerite. In Coras, the tegular carina is well developed and extends either transversely or longitudinally (figs. 143, 144).

RELATIONSHIPS

Lehtinen (1967) “defined” the subfamily Coelotinae as a monophyletic group characterized by the “strange modification of the cymbium” and further suggested that the coelotine species strongly resemble those of the cribellate amaurobiid genera Tamgrinia, Taira, and Amaurobius, both in general appearance and habits. However, few taxonomic studies have been done on coelotine genera, and none has discussed relationships among the coelotine genera.

This study is the first generic revision and phylogenetic analysis for the Coelotinae, based on 31 characters and 22 taxa (see fig. 55), including 2 outgroup taxa.

TAXA

For the ingroup, all 20 coelotine genera were included in the analysis. Two putatively closely related amaurobiid genera, Tamgrinia and Amaurobius, were chosen as outgroup taxa to root the tree.

CHARACTERS

For the character analysis, taxa from the Amaurobiidae, Agelenidae, Dictynidae, Habniidae, Phyxelididae, and Titanocicidae were examined. This permitted a better understanding of coelotine characters and helped determine which are unique to the subfamily Coelotinae. After extensive search for characters that might resolve relationships of the coelotine genera, 31 characters were used.

Character coding for each terminal is mainly based on an exemplar species, which in most cases is the type species of the genus. Exceptions are the amaurobiid genus Tamgrinia (type species with only the male described) and the coelotine genus Dracomicrus (type species not available). As a result, T. laticeps (Schenkel, 1963) and D. wudingensis (Chen and Zhao, 1997) were chosen as exemplars. All multistate characters were treated as unordered. Missing or inapplicable data were coded as −. A list of characters follows:

Character 0. Cheliceral promarginal teeth: (0) three; (1) four or more. State 1 is present in Robusticoelotes, Spiricoelotes, Leptocoelotes, and Amaurobius.

Character 1. Cheliceral retromarginal teeth: (0) two; (1) three; (2) four; (3) five or more. State 1 is present in most coelotine species, including Tegecoelotes, Coelotes, Eurocoelotes, Urocoras, Coras, and Paracoelotes. State 2 is known only in Coronilla, Femoracoelotes, and Aisacoelotes. Robusticoelotes, Leptocoelotes, and Spiricoelotes have more than four retromarginal teeth. All other coelotines known have two retromarginal teeth.

Character 2. AMS: (0) cribellum; (1) hairs. All known coelotines are ecribellate spiders.

Character 3. Calamistrum: (0) present; (1) absent. Coelotines lack a calamistrum.

Character 4. PLS with second segment: (0) short; (1) long. Ecribellate coelotines have the second segment of PLS at least as long as the first segment or longer.

Character 5. PMS cylindrical spigots: (0) two; (1) three or four. Paracoelotes has at least three cylindrical gland spigots on PMS, and Tamgrinia has four.

Character 6. Epigynal atrium: (0) large (fig. 57); (1) small. The large atrium occurs in Coronilla, Ambanus, and Robusticoelotes. Femoracoelotes, Tonsilla, Paracoelotes, and Dracomicrus also have a large atrium, although it is not as big as in the former three genera. All other known coelotines have the atrium reduced to atrial slits.

Character 7. Posterior extension of anterior atrial margin: (0) absent; (1) present (fig.
323). State 1 occurs only in Tonsilla and Paracoelotes.

Character 8. Atrial septum: (0) absent; (1) present. State 1 is present only in Tamgrinia and Coronilla (figs. 158, 159).

Character 9. Epigynal teeth: (0) absent; (1) present. State 1 is present in most coelotines (fig. 38), but is absent in Coronilla, Femoracoelotes, Ambanus, Robusticoelotes, Longicoelotes, Platocoelotes, and Spiricoelotes.

Character 10. Epigynal teeth: (0) one; (1) two. Only Bifidocoelotes and Wadotes have one epigynal tooth.

Character 11. Epigynal teeth: (0) short; (1) strongly elongated. State 1 is found only in Himalcoelotes and Urocoras (fig. 265).

Character 12. Epigynal teeth: (0) slender; (1) broad. Only Tegecoelotes and Leptocoelotes have broad epigynal teeth (figs. 296, 375).

Character 13. Copulatory ducts: (0) small; (1) large. Copulatory ducts small in Longicoelotes, Leptocoelotes, Tegecoelotes, Coelotes, Himalcoelotes, Coronilla, Asiacoelotes, Platocoelotes, and Spiricoelotes (fig. 39). Other known coelotines have large copulatory ducts (fig. 324).

Character 14. Spermathecae: (0) short (fig. 39); (1) strongly elongated, highly convoluted together; (2) strongly elongated, loosely convoluted. State 1 found only in Coronilla (fig. 138). State 2 found only in Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, Bifidocoelotes, Spiricoelotes, and Platocoelotes (fig. 71).

Character 15. Patellar apophysis: (0) absent; (1) present. In these data, state 1 is found in all Coelotinae (fig. 45) except Ambanus and Eurocoras.

Character 16. Lateral tibial apophysis: (0) absent; (1) present. In these data, state 1 is found in all coelotines (fig. 304), except Coronilla and Robusticoelotes.

Character 17. Anterior part of lateral tibia: (0) normal; (1) modified with small concavity. State 1 is present in Ambanus and Wadotes (figs. 60, 413).

Character 18. Tibia lateral surface modification: (0) absent; (1) present. State 1 found only in some Coras species and Robusticoelotes (figs. 146, 354).

Character 19. Cymbial furrow: (0) absent; (1) present. State 1 found in all known Coelotinae (fig. 45).

Character 20. Cymbial furrow: (0) short, less than half cymbial length (fig. 45); (1) long, more than half cymbial length in Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, Bifidocoelotes, Spiricoelotes, and Platocoelotes (fig. 89).

Character 21. Tegular sclerite: (0) absent; (1) longitudinally situated on tegulum. State 1 found in all known Coelotinae (fig. 44).

Character 22. Conductor lamella: (0) absent; (1) present. State found in all known Coelotinae (figs. 72, 108).

Character 23. Conductor lamella: (0) small (fig. 108); (1) large. State 1 found only in Wadotes, Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, Bifidocoelotes, Spiricoelotes, and Platocoelotes (fig. 72).

Character 24. Conductor dorsal apophysis: (0) absent in Leptocoelotes, Paracoelotes, Urocoras, Asiacoelotes, and Spiricoelotes; (1) present in most coelotines (figs. 44, 213, 214).

Character 25. Embolic base: (0) prolateral or anterior in origin (fig. 44); (1) posterior in origin. State 1 found only in Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, Bifidocoelotes, Spiricoelotes, and Platocoelotes (fig. 72).

Character 26. Embolus: (0) short (fig. 44); (1) extremely long. State 1 found only in Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, Bifidocoelotes, Spiricoelotes, and Platocoelotes (fig. 72).

Character 27. Embolus: (0) linear; (1) broad, as in Femoracoelotes, Ambanus, Robusticoelotes, and Urocoras (fig. 59).

Character 28. Median apophysis: (0) absent; (1) present. Most coelotines have a median apophysis (figs. 44, 162, 386), but it is absent in Femoracoelotes, Leptocoelotes, Platocoelotes, and Spiricoelotes (figs. 303, 304).

Character 29. Median apophysis: (0) not spoonlike (fig. 162); (1) spoonlike, as in most coelotines, including Coelotes, Eurocoras, Coras, Himalcoelotes, Urocoras, Tonsilla, Paracoelotes, Wadotes, Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, and Bifidocoelotes (fig. 44).

Character 30. Spoonlike median apophysis: (0) with smooth, rounded distal end (fig. 44); (1) with strongly extended distal end, as in Coras, Tonsilla, Paracoelotes, Wadotes, Draconarius, and Asiacoelotes (fig. 386).
Fig. 55. Character matrix for 22 genera. See text for character description.

The data (fig. 55) were first run using Hennig86, version 1.5 (Farris, 1988) using the mh* and bb* tree-generating algorithms and branch swapping, which produced a total of 2102 cladograms (length 70, CI 0.48, RI 0.63). This suggests that some characters are homoplasious, and as a result do not deserve as much weight as others. Two rounds of successive approximations weighting (Farris, 1969) produced a stable solution of 60 cladograms, with length 202, CI 0.87, and RI 0.94. Among these resulting cladograms, 19 have the genus *Tegecoelotes* sister to node 5 (of the preferred tree, fig. 56), but they were supported only by a highly homoplasious character (presence of three retromarginal cheliceral teeth). Of the remaining 41 trees, 20 of them support a sister-group relationship between the genera *Leptocoelotes* and *Tegecoelotes* based on broad epigynal teeth. The broad epigynal teeth of *Tegecoelotes* are strong, highly sclerotized, whereas the genus *Leptocoelotes* has weak, slightly sclerotized epigynal teeth. The differences between these two genera in epigynal teeth and male palp suggest that they may not form a monophyletic group.

In 8 of the remaining 19 trees, a highly homoplasious character (presence of three retromarginal cheliceral teeth) weakly supports either the relationship among *Coras*, *Coelotes*, *Eurocoelotes*, node 12, or the relationship among *Coelotes*, *Eurocoelotes*, node 12. In 9 other trees, the genus *Coras* and node 11 (genera *Tonsilla* and *Paracoelotes*) remain unresolved either with *Coelotes*, *Eurocoelotes*, node 12, or with node 8.

The differences between the remaining four cladograms are only in the relationship of node 9 taxa. Two show *Bifidocoelotes* as sister to *Platocoelotes* + *Spiricoelotes*, but they were weakly supported by the smooth distal end of the median apophysis (median
apophysis absent in both *Platocoelotes* and *Spiricoelotes*). Two remaining cladograms, after collapsing an unsupported clade, are the same topology, which was chosen as the preferred tree for final analysis (Fig. 56).

Implied weighting (Goloboff, 1993a) using PeeWee, version 2.6 (Goloboff, 1997), with the concavity function settings 1–5 of the homoplasy, found the same eight trees, and one of them is same as figure 56 except that *Asiacoelotes* is weakly supported as sister group to *Platocoelotes* + *Spiricoelotes* by the presence of small copulatory ducts. The concavity function setting 6 also found 8 trees but three of them are different from the setting 1–5 trees. One of the “setting 6 trees” is same as figure 56 except that *Tegecoelotes* is weakly supported as sister group to node 5 by the presence of three retromarginal cheliceral teeth, and *Asiacoelotes* is weakly supported as sister group to *Platocoelotes* + *Spiricoelotes* by the presence of small copulatory ducts. The remaining cladograms show no improvements when compared to the preferred tree (Fig. 56).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The subfamily Coelotinae is resolved at node 1, supported by loss of the cribellum and calmistrum and by the presence of the elongated PLS second segment, the patellar apophysis (secondarily lost in *Ambanus* and *Eurocoelotes*), the cymbial furrow, the lon-
gitudinally extended tegular sclerite on the tegulum, the conductor lamella at the conductor base, and the conductor dorsal apophysis (lost in Leptocoelotes, Paracoelotes, Urocoras, Eurocoelotes, and Asiacoelotes).

Within the Coelotinae, Coronilla and Femoracoelotes are united at node 14 as the sister group of all other coelotines; this is supported by the presence of four cheliceral retromargin teeth (paralleled in Asiacoelotes).

Within the Coelotinae, Coronilla and Femoracoelotes are united at node 14 as the sister group of all other coelotines; this is supported by the presence of four cheliceral retromargin teeth (paralleled in Asiacoelotes).

Within the Coelotinae, Coronilla and Femoracoelotes are united at node 14 as the sister group of all other coelotines; this is supported by the presence of four cheliceral retromargin teeth (paralleled in Asiacoelotes).

The presence of a small apophysis on the lateral side of the tibia (lateral tibial apophysis) unites the remaining coelotines at node 2.

Ambanus + Robusticoelotes (node 13) is supported by the broad embolus (parallel in Femoracoelotes and Urocoras), and is the sister group to other node 2 coelotines.

Two characters, reduced atrium (reversed to large atrium in Draconarius, Tonsilla, and Paracoelotes) and small copulatory ducts (reversed to large copulatory ducts in Eurocoelotes, Urocoras, Wadotes, Draconarius, Bifidocoelotes, Tonsilla, and Paracoelotes), support a group (at node 3) including Longicoelotes plus all coelotines with epigynal teeth at node 4.

Node 4 is supported by presence of epigynal teeth on the female epigynum (lost in Platocoelotes and Spiricoelotes) and by the presence of three cheliceral retromarginal teeth (highly homoplasious, reversed to two, four, or five teeth in nine genera).

Node 4 comprises most coelotine species, with Leptocoelotes and Tegecoelotes remaining unresolved. Although both Leptocoelotes and Tegecoelotes have broad epigynal teeth, they may not be homologous. In fact, the broad epigynal teeth of Leptocoelotes are very weak, less sclerotized, whereas those of Tegecoelotes are robust and highly sclerotized.

The remaining node 4 coelotines cluster together at node 5 by presence of a spoonlike median apophysis and slender, normal epigynal teeth. Coelotes and Eurocoelotes remain unresolved here with node 12 and node 6.

The presence of strongly elongated epigynal teeth clusters Himalcoelotes and Urocoras at node 12.

Node 6 is supported by strongly extended distal end of the median apophysis (reversed to smooth, rounded distal end in the genus Bifidocoelotes).

Node 11 and node 8 are united together at node 7 by presence of large copulatory ducts (reverse to small copulatory ducts in Asiacoelotes, Platocoelotes, and Spiricoelotes). Tonsilla and Paracoelotes are monophyletic, supported at node 11 by presence of a large atrium and posteriorly extended anterior atrial margin.

Node 8 is supported by presence of two cheliceral retromarginal teeth (reversed to four or five teeth in Asiacoelotes and Spiricoelotes) and by strongly developed, enlarged conductor lamella at the conductor base. This node supports the sister-group relationship between Wadotes and the node 9 coelotines.

Node 9 is supported by strongly elongated spermathecal tubes, long, strongly extended cymbial furrow, posteriorly originating embolic base, and long embolus. The genera Draconarius, Asiacoelotes, and Bifidocoelotes remain unresolved. The absence of both epigynal teeth and median apophysis unites Platocoelotes and Spiricoelotes at node 10.

SYSTEMATICS

Coelotinae F.O.P.-Cambridge

DIAGNOSIS: Ecribellate spiders. Coelotines differ from other amaurobiids by the following combination of characters: the presence of patellar apophyses, a cymbial furrow, the tegular sclerite, a conductor lamella, the spoonlike median apophysis (in most species) of males (figs. 44–46), and the distinct epigynal teeth (in most species) of females (fig. 38). The female spinnerets have 2 cylindrical gland spigots on the PMS (except some species of Paracoelotes, with 3 or 4 cylindrical spigots) and 2 on PLS (except some species of Ambanus, with 3 cylindrical spigots). The PLS are long, with the second segment at least the length of the first segment (figs. 31, 81, 82, 373, 374).

DISTRIBUTION: Coelotine spiders are common in North America west to the Rocky Mountains and north to southern Canada, in
Europe north to southern Sweden and Finland, and in Asia north to southern far eastern Russia and south to Nepal and northern Vietnam (fig. 1).

**Composition:** Twenty genera, including 10 new genera.

**Key to Genera**

**Males**

1. Femoral apophysis absent .......................... 2
   - Femoral apophysis present (fig. 230) ........ 1

2. Median apophysis simple, not spoonlike (figs. 162, 378) or absent (fig. 314) ........ 2
   - Median apophysis spoonlike (figs. 44, 213, 268, 386) .......................... 3

3. Patella strongly elongated, at least twice tibial length (fig. 378) .......................... 4
   - Patella normal, about same length as tibia .......................... 5

4. Patellar apophysis present .......................... 5
   - Patellar apophysis absent (fig. 60) ......... 6

5. Lateral tibia strongly modified; embolus broad; patella with three apophyses (figs. 351, 354) ................. 7
   - Lateral tibia not modified; embolus linear, patella with only one or two apophyses (fig. 162) .......................... 8

6. Median apophysis long, with apex slightly curved (figs. 161, 162), lateral tibial apophysis absent .......................... 9
   - Median apophysis short, not pronounced (fig. 313) or absent (figs. 304, 339, 368), lateral tibial apophysis present (figs. 339, 304) ... 10

7. Conductor with a long, strong, posteriorly extended conductor posterior apophysis (figs. 338, 340), patella usually with two patellar apophyses (sometimes one of them very small) (fig. 339) ................. 11
   - Conductor without conductor posterior apophysis, patellar always with one patellar apophysis (figs. 304, 314, 368) ........ 12

8. Conductor complex, strongly bifurcated; patellar apophysis with apex straight (figs. 303, 304, 313) ................. 13
   - Conductor simple, spiral, anteriorly extended; patellar apophysis with apex sharply curved dorsally (figs. 367, 368) ......... 14

9. Patellar apophysis strongly elongated to at least tibial length or longer, extended anteriorly (figs. 313, 314) ... 15
   - Patellar apophysis short, extended dorsally (fig. 304) ................. 16

10. Cymbium with proximal cymbial apophysis (figs. 412, 413) .......................... 17
    - Cymbium without proximal cymbial apophysis .......................... 18

11. Spoonlike median apophysis small, rounded, medially notched, and extended strongly (figs. 267, 268) ........ 19
    - Spoonlike median apophysis normal (figs. 109, 213, 325) ................. 20

12. Cymbium furrow more than half of cymbial length; embolic base with posterior origin; embolus extremely long (figs. 72, 73, 88, 89, 184, 185, 198, 199) .......................... 21
    - Cymbium furrow short; embolic base with prolateral origin; embolus short ........ 22

13. Conductor dorsal apophysis absent (figs. 72, 73) ................. 23
    - Conductor dorsal apophysis present (figs. 89, 184, 185, 198, 199) ........... 24

14. Conductor simple (figs. 184, 198) ................. 25
    - Conductor broad, spiraled, with bifid apex (fig. 88) .......................... 26

15. Conductor strongly elongated, broad, flat, spiral with one to two apparent loops (figs. 325, 326) ................. 27
    - Conductor with posterior lobe; patellar apophysis long, dorsally curved; conductor dorsal apophysis slender (figs. 386, 387); AME < ALE (fig. 389); cheliceral retromargin with 2 teeth (fig. 390) ................. 28
    - Conductor without posterior lobe; patellar apophysis short, if long then not dorsally curved; conductor dorsal apophysis broad (figs. 143, 144); AME > ALE (fig. 147); cheliceral retromargin with 3 teeth (fig. 148) ................. 29

**Females**

1. Epigynal teeth absent (figs. 57, 349, 360) ................. 30
   - Epigynal teeth present (figs. 57, 349, 360) ................. 31
Epigynal teeth present (figs. 38, 265, 296, 375) ................................. 8
2. Atrium large (figs. 57, 158, 227, 349); copulatory ducts large (figs. 58, 160, 228, 350) .................................................................................. 3
   Atrium small (figs. 310, 336, 360); copulatory ducts short (figs. 311, 337, 361) . . 6
3. Epigynum with posterior margin strongly expanded posteriorly; atrial septum present, transversely extended (figs. 158, 159); spermathecae close together (fig. 160) .................................................. Coronilla
   Epigynum with posterior margin not expanded; atrial septum absent; spermathecae widely separated .................. 4
4. Spermathecae slender, long, with distinct stalks (fig. 350) ........ Robusticoelotes
   Spermathecae broad, short, with stalks and bases indistinct (figs. 58, 228) ..... 5
5. Spermathecae longitudinally extended (fig. 228) ................. Femoracoelotes
   Spermathecae transversely extended (fig. 58) .................................. Ambanus
6. Epigynum strongly enlarged to occupy most epigynal plate; epigynal hoods situated posteriorly close to epigastric furrow (fig. 336) ................. Platocoelotes
   Epigynum and epigynal hoods situated anteriorly .............................. 7
7. Epigynum modified to form a round, sclerotized plate (fig. 310); spermathecae moderately long (fig. 311) ..... Longicoelotes
   Epigynal normal, without median plate (fig. 360); spermathecae strongly elongated, highly convoluted (figs. 361, 363) ........ Spiricoelotes
8. Epigynum with single tooth (figs. 86, 410) ......................... 9
   Epigynum with two teeth .................................. 10
9. Epigynal tooth broad, with apex not bifid or slightly bifid (fig. 410); spermathecae short, rounded (fig. 411) .......... Wadotes
   Epigynal tooth slender, with apex strongly bifid (fig. 86); spermathecae strongly elongated longitudinally (fig. 87) ................. Bifidocoelotes
10. Epigynal teeth strongly elongated (figs. 265, 400) ............. Urocorus
    Epigynal teeth normal length (figs. 38, 323, 296, 375) .................. 11
11. Copulatory ducts large (fig. 401) .. ............................. Urocorus
    Copulatory ducts small (figs. 251, 255), or not visible (fig. 266) ..... Himalcoelotes
12. Epigynal teeth broad (figs. 296, 375) . . 13
    Epigynal teeth small or slender (figs. 38, 211) ............... 14
13. Broad epigynal teeth strongly sclerotized, apparent (fig. 375) ....... Tegecoelotes
    Broad epigynal teeth slightly sclerotized, weak (fig. 296) .......... Leptocoelotes
14. Lateral atrial margins wider apart anteriorly, and convergent posteriorly (fig. 137) .......... Coras
    Lateral atrial margins parallel to each other, or more or less wider apart posteriorly (figs. 38, 181, 211, 323, 384), or not apparent (fig. 70) .................. 15
15. Copulatory ducts large (figs. 182, 212, 324, 385) .................. 16
    Copulatory ducts short (figs. 39, 71) . . 19
16. Copulatory ducts with posterior origin (figs. 182, 193) .......... Draconarius
    Copulatory ducts with median or anterior origin (figs. 212, 324, 385) .......... 17
17. Anterior atrial margin with posterior extension (figs. 324, 384) .......... 18
    Anterior atrial margin without posterior extension (fig. 211) .... Eurocoelotes
18. Epigynal teeth situated close together on anterior atrial margin (fig. 384) ................. Tonsilla
    Epigynal teeth widely separated (fig. 323) .......... Paracoelotes
19. Epigynal teeth situated anteriorly (fig. 70); spermathecae strongly elongated, convoluted (fig. 71) .......... Asiacoelotes
    Epigynal teeth situated laterally (fig. 38); spermathecae short, not strongly convoluted (fig. 39) .......... Coelotes

**Ambanus Ovtchinnikov**

*Ambanus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 63.

**Type species:** *Ambanus mandzhuricus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, by original designation.

**Diagnosis:** Females resemble *Robusticoelotes* in having a large epigynal atrium, broad copulatory ducts, and in lacking epigynal teeth, but they have short, posteriorly situated spermathecae (figs. 57, 58). Males lack a patellar apophysis and have a modified lateral tibial apophysis (figs. 59–61). Both sexes have only three promarginal and two retromarginal cheliceral teeth (fig. 63), unlike those of *Robusticoelotes*, which have more than five promarginal and retromarginal cheliceral teeth.

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** Northeastern China, far eastern Russia, Japan, Korea (map 1).

**Composition:** Eighteen species are included, including 6 new combinations.

1. *Ambanus amurensis* Ovtchinnikov, 1999: female holotype from Khabarovsk, far eastern Russia, in CSO, not examined.

2. *Ambanus bifidus* (Paik, 1976): female holotype from Mt. Kaya, Korea, in Kyungpook National University, Korea, not examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

3. *Ambanus circinalis* (Gao et al., 1993): One male paratype from Liaoning, China, in IZB, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).


7. *Ambanus kayasanensis* (Paik, 1972): male holotype from Korea, in Kyungpook National University, Korea, not examined.

8. *Ambanus kimi* (Paik, 1974): male ho-
lotype and paratypes from Mt. Kaya and Mt. Pargong, Korea, in Kyungpook National University, Korea, not examined.


10. Ambanus mandzhuricus Ovtchinnikov, 1999: male and female paratypes from Kedrovaya Pad Reservation, S-Primorie, far eastern Russia, in SZM, examined.

11. Ambanus meniscatus (Zhu and Wang, 1991): female holotype and paratypes from Tonghua, Jilin, China, in NBUMS, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

12. Ambanus napolovi Ovtchinnikov, 1999: male and female paratypes from Jasnoje viu, Przhewalsky Mts. East end, S-Primorie, far eastern Russia, in AMNH, examined.


15. Ambanus paikwunensis (Kim and Jung, 1993): male holotype and female paratype from Mt. Paikwunsan Valley, Ildongmyon, Pochon-gun, Korea, in KAI, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

16. Ambanus quadrativulvus (Paik, 1974): male holotype, male and female paratypes from Paikrok-dam, Mt. Hanra, Quelpart Isl., Korea, in Kyungpook National University, Korea, not examined. Male and female specimens from Cheju-do, Korea, in KAI, examined.

17. Ambanus rostratus (Song et al., 1993): male paratype from Huanren, Liaoning, China, in IZB, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). One female specimen from Liaoning, China, in IZB, examined.

Map 1. Records of *Ambanus*. 
18. Ambanus terdecimus (Paik, 1978): female holotype from Waheyonri, Geojedo, Kyungnam, Korea, in Kyungpook National University, Korea, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

*Ambanus mandzhuricus* Ovtchinnikov

*Ambanus mandzhuricus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 63, figs. 1–5 (2 male and 2 female paratypes from Kedrovaya Pad Reservation, S-Primorie, far eastern Russia, in SZM, examined).

**DIAGNOSIS:** This species is similar to *A. paiki* Ovtchinnikov but can be distinguished by the shape of copulatory ducts and the dorsally situated spermathecal heads of females (fig. 58) and by the different shape of the embolus and the broad conductor of males (figs. 59–61).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length 10.0–12.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurred, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, about half ALE size or slightly larger, ALE subequal to PLE or slightly larger, PME slightly smaller than PLE; AME-AME subequal to AME-ALE, ALE-PLE close together, PLE-PME roughly PLE diameter, PME-PME roughly AME diameter (fig. 62). Clypeal height slightly more than twice AME diameter; chilum present, divided, elongated. Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retro marginal teeth (fig. 63). Labium slightly longer than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Tarsal organ and trichobothria not examined. Tarsal organ of *Ambanus napolovi* (from far eastern Russia) situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 67–69).

Spinerets not examined. Female spinnerets of *A. napolovi*: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 20 piriform gland spigots; PMS with at least 57 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY), and 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP); PLE with at least 78 aciniform gland spigots and 3 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 64–66). Spinnerets of *A. rostratus* also showed 3 cylindrical gland spigots on female PLS.

Female epigynum without epigynal teeth; atrium large, deep; copulatory ducts broad; spermathecal heads small, situated laterad of spermathecae; spermathecae short, slightly convoluted, with indistinct stalks and bases (figs. 57, 58). Male palp with patellar apophysis absent; RTA moderately long, with dis-
Figs. 67–69. *Ambanus napolovi* Ovtchinnikov, female. 67. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 68. Tarsal organ. 69. Trichobothrium.

Material Examined: Russia: Far East: S-Primorie, “Kedrovaya Pad” Reservation, August 31, 1978, 1 male and 1 female paratype (D. Sherbakov, SZM); S-Primorie, “Kedrovaya Pad” Reservation, June 27, 1976, 1 male and 1 female paratype (B. P. Zakharov, SZM).

**Asiacoelotes, New Genus**

Type Species: *Coelotes xinhuiensis* Chen, 1984.

Etymology: The generic name derives from its similarity to *Coelotes* and distribution in East Asia, and is masculine in gender.

Diagnosis: This genus resembles *Draconarius* in having an elongated cymbial furrow, a long, slender embolus, and long, strongly convoluted spermathecae. Females can be distinguished by the broad, closely situated spermathecae (figs. 70, 71), males by the absence of the conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 72–74).

Description: See description of type species (below).

Distribution: China, Japan, Korea (map 2).

Composition: Fifteen species are included, all are new combinations. Three new synonyms are recognized.

1. *Asiacoelotes acco* (Nishikawa, 1987): male holotype, male and female paratypes from Komori-ana Mine, Utobara, Futtsu-shi, Chiba, Japan, in NSMT and in the Arachnological Society of Japan, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

2. *Asiacoelotes dicranatus* (Wang et al., 1990): female holotype and male paratype from Jiangsu, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

The species *Coelotes cinctus* Zhu et al., 1993, with male and female paratypes from Dandong, Liaoning, China, in IZB, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *A. dicranatus* (because of the identical male and female genital morphology). NEW SYNONYMY.

The species *Coelotes paradicranatus* Song
et al., 1993, with male paratypes from Qing-gyuan, Liaoning, in IZB, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *A. dicranatus* (because of the identical male genitalic morphology). NEW SYNONYMY.

3. *Asiacoelotes ensifer* (Wang and Ono, 1998): male holotype and female paratype from Nantou, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

4. *Asiacoelotes illustratus* (Wang et al., 1990): male and female paratypes from Changsha, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

5. *Asiacoelotes insidiosus* (L. Koch, 1878): male holotype from Japan, depository unknown, not examined. Male and female specimens from Japan, in CAS, AMNH, and SMF, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

The species *Coelotes curtus* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906): female holotype from Saga, Japan, in SMF, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *A. insidiosus* (L. Koch) (because of the identical female genitalic morphology). NEW SYNONYMY.

6. *Asiacoelotes interunus* (Nishikawa, 1977): male holotype, male and female paratypes from Minoo, Osaka, Japan, in the Osaka Natural History Museum and in the Arachnological Society of Japan, not examined. One male specimen from Japan, in ZMB, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

7. *Asiacoelotes longus* (Wang, Tso and Wu, 2001): male holotype from Nantou, Taiwan, in THU, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

8. *Asiacoelotes montivagus* (Wang and Ono, 1998): male holotype and female paratype from Taichung, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

9. *Asiacoelotes pengi* (Ovtchinnikov, 1999): female holotype from Yuelushan, Changsha, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*). This species was initially described as *Coelotes ovatus* by Peng and Wang (1997), p. 330, and is a homonym of *C. ovatus* Paik, 1976.

10. *Asiacoelotes songminjae* (Paik and Yaginuma, 1969): female holotype from Yeongchi-gul Cave, Korea, in NSMT, not examined. Male and female specimens from Korea, in KAI and AMNH, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

11. *Asiacoelotes tengchihensis* (Wang and Ono, 1998): female holotype from Mt. Tengchih, Tao-Yuan-hsinang, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

12. *Asiacoelotes tropidosatus* (Wang and Zhu, 1991): female holotype from Changch-
un, Jilin, China, in NBUMS, not examined.
NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from
Coelotes).

female holotype and female paratype from
Xinhui, Guangdong, China, in HTC, exam-
ined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here
from Coelotes).

14. *Asiacoelotes yaeyamensis* (Shimojana,
1982): male holotype, male and female par-
types from Banna-dake, Ishigaki, Okinawa,
Japan, in the Arachnological Society of Ja-
pan and in Shimojana’s personal Collection,
Japan, not examined. NEW COMBINATION
(transferred here from Coelotes).

15. *Asiacoelotes yushanensis* (Wang and
Ono, 1998): female holotype from Tatakia,
Nantou, in NSMT, examined. NEW COMBINA-
TION (transferred here from Coelotes).

*Asiacoelotes xinhuiensis* (Chen)
Figures 70–85

*Coelotes xinhuiensis* Chen, 1984: 3, figs. 9, 10
(female holotype and female paratype from
Xinhui, Guangdong, China, in HTC, exam-
ined). – Song et al., 1999: 78, figs. 216N, O,
226M, N.

*Coelotes atratus* Wang et al., 1990: 227, figs. 115,
116 (female holotype from Damingshan, Gu-
angxi, China, in HBI, examined). First synon-

DIAGNOSIS: This species resembles *A.
songminjae* (Paik and Yaginuma) (in Paik et
al., 1969; Paik, 1971) but can be distin-

Figs. 70, 71. *Asiacoelotes xinhuiensis* (Chen), female. 70. Epigynum. 71. Vulva.
Figs. 72, 73. *Asiacoelotes xinhuensis* (Chen), male palp. **72.** Ventral view. **73.** Retrolateral view.

Figs. 74–76. *Asiacoelotes xinhuensis* (Chen). **74.** Male palp, prolateral view. **75.** Eyes, dorsal view. **76.** Chelicera, ventral view.
guished by the distinct shape of spermathecae of females (figs. 70, 71) and by the presence of a small apophysis retrolaterally on the tegular sclerite of males (figs. 72, 73).

Description: Small, total length 4.50–8.00. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved. Eye sizes and arrangements: AME slightly smaller than ALE, ALE subequal to PLE, PME subequal to AME or slightly larger than AME; AME separated by roughly their radius, AME-ALE and ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE separated by roughly PLE diameter, PME-PME separated by roughly their diameter. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter or slightly less; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 75). Chelicerae with three promarginal, four retromarginal teeth (fig. 76). Labium slightly wider than long. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia subequal to carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 77–79). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 28–33 piriform gland spigots (PI) in both sexes; PMS with 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP) in both sexes, about 9–10 aciniform gland spigots (AC) in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female; PLS with about 13–16 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 80–85).

Female epigynum with epigynal teeth short, anteriorly situated; atrium reduced to atrial slit; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads small; spermathecae with stalks strongly elongated, highly convoluted; spermathecal bases small (figs. 70, 71). Male palp with one patellar apophysis; RTA long, occupying almost tibial length, with distal end extended well beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow strongly elongated, with distal end strongly extended beyond cymbium, dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor long, slender; conductor dorsal apophysis absent; conductor lamella large; embolus posterior in origin, extremely long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with distal end sharply pointed (figs. 72–74).

Material Examined: China: Guangdong: Xinhui, November 27, 1981, female holotype and female paratype (Z. H. Zhang. HTC); Guangzhou, campus of the Southern China Teachers University, August 29, 1997, later molted to adult, 1 male (X. P. Wang, AMNH); Dinghushan, January 1982, 1 male (A. Payne, MCZ); Xiquio, January 1982, 1 male (A. Payne, MCZ). Guangxi:
Figs. 80–82. *Asiacoelotes xinhuiensis* (Chen), female spinnerets, ventral view, left. 80. ALS. 81. PMS. 82. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

Figs. 83–85. *Asiacoelotes xinhuiensis* (Chen), male spinnerets, ventral view, left. 83. ALS. 84. PMS. 85. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

Damingshan, August 10, 1982, female holotype of *Coelotes atratus* (J. F. Wang, HBI). **Hong Kong**: Tianpingshan, October 14, 1997, later molted to adults, 1 male and 3 females (X. P. Wang, 1 male and 2 females in HTU; 1 female in MCB). **Taiwan**: Taipe, Quan In-shua, March 26, 1961, 1 female (E. Schlinger, AMNH); Nantou Hsien, Tatacha, 2480 m alt., March 4, 1991, 1 female (H. Ono, NSMT, NSMT-Ar.3424); Taichung Hsien, Mt. Chuanhsing-shan, 1590 m alt., March 2, 1991, 1 female (H. Ono,
Bifidocoeotes, NEW GENUS

Type Species: Coelotes bifida Wang, Tso and Wu, 2001

Etymology: The generic name derives from its similarity to Coelotes and from the bifurcated epigynal teeth of females, and is masculine in gender.

Diagnosis: Females can be easily recognized by their bifurcated epigynal teeth (fig. 86); males have a broad, bifid conductor and a small, rounded spoonlike median apophysis (figs. 88, 89). Both sexes have three promarginal and two retromarginal cheliceral teeth (fig. 91).

Description: See description of type species (below).

Distribution: China (map 3).

Composition: Two species are included. Both of them are new combinations.

1. Bifidocoeotes bifida (Wang, Tso and Wu, 2001): female holotype and male paratype from Nantou, Taiwan, in THU, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

2. Bifidocoeotes primus (Fox, 1937): female holotype and female paratype from Hong Kong, China, in AMNH and USNM, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).
Map 3. Records of *Bifidocoelotes*.

*Bifidocoelotes bifida*
(Wang, Tso, and Wu, 2001)
Figures 86–100

*Coeolotes bifida* Wang, Tso and Wu, 2001: 128, figs. 1–4 (female holotype and male paratype from Nantou, Taiwan, in THU, examined).

**Diagnosis:** Females differ from *B. primus* by the anteriorly situated copulatory openings, the different shape of bifurcated epigynal teeth, the large copulatory ducts, the short spermathecal heads, and the longitudinally elongated spermathecae (figs. 86, 87), males have the broad, bifid conductor and small, rounded spoonlike median apophysis (figs. 88, 89).

**Description:** Total length 4.00–9.50. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, about half ALE size or smaller, ALE largest, PLE slightly smaller than ALE, PME slightly smaller than PLE; AME-AME slightly shorter than their diameter, AME-ALE and ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE almost subequal to PME-PME or slightly longer, about size of PLE radius. Clypeal height slightly more than twice AME diameter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 90). Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retromarginal teeth (fig. 91). Labium slightly shorter than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 98–100). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 8–13 piriform gland spigots (PI) in both sexes; PMS with 7–10 aciniform gland spigots (AC) in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female, both sexes PMS with minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP) not apparent; PLE with 7–11 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 92–97).

Female epigynum with single bifurcated epigynal teeth; atrium small, situated anteriorly; copulatory ducts large; spermathecal heads situated mesad of spermathecae; spermathecae elongated, convoluted, widely separated, with indistinct stalks and bases (figs. 86, 87). Male palp with large patellar apophysis; RTA long, with distal end broad, not extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis small; cymbial furrow long, with dorsal edge strongly concave and distal end slightly extend beyond cymbium; conductor strong, more or less spiral, with bifurcated apex; conductor dorsal edge with broad membranous extension; conductor dorsal apophysis small; conductor lamella large; embolus posterior in origin, very long; median apophysis spoonlike, small, with distal end smooth (figs. 88, 89).

**Material Examined:** CHINA: Taiwan:

Nantou County, Huei-Sun Experimental Forest, elevation 1680 m, March 31, 1998, female holotype (Hai-Yin Wu; THU, THU-Ar-990017); Nantou County, Huei-Sun Experimental Forest, March 31, 1998, 1 male paratype (Hai-Yin Wu; THU, THU-Ar-990020); Nantou County, Huei-Sun Experimental Forest, elevation 1680 m, March 31, 1998, 1 male (Hai-Yin Wu, THU, THU-Ar-990019); Nantou County, Huei-Sun Experimental For-
**COELOTES BLACKWALL**


**TYPE SPECIES:** *Clubiona saxatilis* Blackwall, 1833, by original designation, which is a junior synonym of *Drassus atropos* Walckenaer, 1830. Male neotype and female paraneotypes of *C. atropos* designated by de Blauwe (1973), deposited in Collection W. Kulczynski, l’Institut de Zoologie P.A.N. de Varsovie, Poland, not examined.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Females resemble *Eurocoelotes* but have lateral epigynal teeth, a small atrium, and short copulatory ducts (figs. 38, 39, 101, 102, 113, 114, 127, 128). Males also resemble *Eurocoelotes* in having a conductor dorsal apophysis and a short, rounded median apophysis, but have a broad patellar apophysis (figs. 45, 107, 116, 130).

**DESCRIPTION:** See description of type species in Morphology section (above).

**DISTRIBUTION:** Europe, East Asia (map 4).

**COMPOSITION:** Four species groups are recognized within *Coelotes*: the *atropos* group, *exitialis* group, *pseudoterrestris* group, and *charitonovi* group, in total about 38 species. In addition, 85 coelotine species are not well studied and temporarily remain in *Coelotes* (see appendix).

**The atropos Group**

**DIAGNOSIS:** Females have a reduced atrium, reduced copulatory ducts, and a short, less convoluted spermathecae (figs. 38, 39); males generally have a broad patellar apophysis, a small lateral tibial apophysis, a short conductor, and a broad conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 44, 45).

This species group is well represented in Europe.

There are at least 19 species in the group. Some species, such as *C. atropos* (Walckenaer, 1830) and *C. pastor* Simon, 1875, show remarkable intraspecific variation, particularly in female genitalia.

1. *Coelotes alpinus* Polenec, 1972: male holotype and female paratypes from Alps, Europe, in Prinodoelovni Muzej Slovenije,
Ljubljana, Slovenia, not examined. Male and female specimens from Italy, in MZF and MCV, examined.

2. *Coelotes atropos* (Walckenaer, 1830): male neotype and female paraneotype from Europe, in the Collection W. Kulczyn’ski l’Institut de Zoologie P.A.N. de Varsovie, Poland, not examined. Male and female specimens from England, France, Denmark, Poland, Switzerland, Russia, in CAS, AMNH, MCZ, NHMB, HEC, and COLL.WALTER, examined.

3. *Coelotes atropos anomalus* Hull, 1955:
female described from England, type not indicated and depository unknown, not examined. Judged by the illustration, this species may be a junior synonym of _C. atropos_. Further examination of possible type(s) is needed.

4. _Coelotes atropus silvestris_ Hull, 1955: female described from England, type not indicated and depository unknown, not examined. Judged by the illustration, this species may be a junior synonym of _C. atropos_. Further examination of possible type(s) is needed.

5. _Coelotes italicus_ Kritschener, 1956: 1 male paratype from Italy, in MCV, examined. Males and females from Italy, in MCV, MCB, and AMNH, examined.

6. _Coelotes mediocris_ Kulczyn’ski, 1887: type(s), depository unknown, not examined. Males and females from Italy, in NHMB, MCV, MCB, AMNH, IRSNB, COLL.MAURER, examined.

7. _Coelotes osellai_ de Blauwe, 1973: male holotype, male and female paratypes from Italy, in MCV, examined.

8. _Coelotes pabulator_ Simon, 1875: male lectotype and female paralectotype from France, in SMNH, examined.

9. _Coelotes pastor_ Simon, 1875: male lectotype and female paralectotype from France, in MNHN, not examined. Males and females from France and Italy, in MNHN, MCZ, MCV, and AMNH-CU examined.

10. _Coelotes pastor carpathensis_ Ovtchinnikov, 1999: male holotype, male and female paratypes from Middle Asia, in CSO, not examined.

11. _Coelotes pastor cooremansi_ de Blauwe, 1975: male and female paratypes of _C. cooremansi_ de Blauwe from Italy, in MCV, examined. Maurer (1982) reduced the species _C. cooremansi_ de Blauwe, 1975 to this subspecies. Male types are _C. rudolfi_.

12. _Coelotes pastor pirinicus_ Drenski, 1942: types unknown, not examined.

13. _Coelotes pastor pickardi_ O.P.-Cambridge, 1873: types unknown, not examined. The species _C. pickardi_ O.P.-Cambridge, 1873 was reduced to this subspecies by Maurer (1982). Males and females from Italy and Switzerland, in NHMB, COLL.MAURER, HEC, MCB, and AMNH examined.

14. _Coelotes pastor tirolensis_ (Kulczyn’ski, 1906): types, unknown, not examined. Males and females from Switzerland, Italy, Austria, in NHMB, COLL.MAURER, MCB, and MCV, examined.

The species _Coelotes pastor lessinensis_ Maurer, 1982, with female holotype and male paratype from Italy, in MCV, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of _C. p. tirolensis_ (Kulczyn’ski) (because of the identical male and female genitalic morphology). **NEW SYNONYMY.**

15. _Coelotes poleneci_ Wiehle, 1964: male holotype from Slovenia, in SMF; examined.
16. Coelotes poweri Simon, 1875: female lectotype and male paralecotype from Italy, in MNHN, examined.

17. Coelotes rudolphi (Schenkel, 1925): male and female types from Switzerland, in NHMB, not examined. Male and female specimens from Switzerland, in AMNH and COLL.MAURER, examined.

18. Coelotes solitarius L. Koch, 1868: male neotype and female paraneotype from Europe, in Collection W. Kulczyn'ski l’Institut de Zoologie P.A.N. de Varsovie, Poland, not examined. Females from Austria, Italy, Germany, in MCB, MZF, ZMB, and HEC, examined.

19. Coelotes terrestris (Wider, 1834): male neotype and female paraneotype from Europe, in Collection W. Kulczyn’ski l’Institut de Zoologie P.A.N. de Varsovie, Poland, not examined. Male and female specimens from Europe, in AMNH, COLL.MAURER, NHMB, HEC, MCZ, AMNH-CU, and ZMB, examined.

Coelotes atropos (Walckenaer)
Figures 5–54


Clubiona saxatilis Blackwall, 1833 (types unknown): 436. – Blackwall, 1834: 332; – Blackwall, 1841: 618, figs. 6–8; – Walckenaer, 1847: 441; – Blackwall, 1861: 169, fig. 109.

Clubiona tigrina Walckenaer, 1842 (types unknown): 483.


Amaurobius saxatilis: Simon, 1864: 139.

Amaurobius trucidator: Simon, 1864: 139.


Diagnosis: This species is similar to C. terrestris but can be distinguished by the different shape of the spermathecae of females (fig. 39) and by the relatively large, rough conductor dorsal apophysis of males (fig. 44).

Description: See descriptions in Morphology section (above) and also by de Blauwe (1973).

Material examined: DENMARK: 1 male and 1 female (CAS). ENGLAND: Oxford Shire, 1 female; Lake District, 1 male (AMNH); Oxfordshire, Oxford, July 23, 1958, 1 female (H. and L. Levi, MCZ); Settle, West Riding Yorkshire, April, 1948, 3 females (B. Percy, AMNH); Yorkshire, July, 1948, 1 male (B. Malkin, AMNH); West Riding Yorkshire, April, 1948, 2 females (B. Percy, AMNH); Yorkshire, 2100 ft, July 9, 1948, 5 females (B. Alkin, AMNH); Wales, Llangoed Castle, Breconshire, July 5, 1959, 3 females (B. Malkin, AMNH). FRANCE: 1 male and 1 female (MCZ); 1 female (MCZ); Paris, 1 male (J. H. Emerton, MCZ). POLAND: Babia Gora, mountain top, 1700 m, 1 female (B. Malkin and A. Kamocki, AMNH); Babia Gora, 1450 m, July 25, 1975, 1 male (B. Malkin and A. Kamocki, AMNH); Bialewieza, Narewka River, August 26, 1959, 4 males and 1 female (B. Malkin, AMNH); Bialewieza; Białowieza, Narwewka River, August 26, 1959, 4 males and 1 female (B. Malkin, AMNH); Białowieza, Natl. Pk., September 23–26, 1982, 2 males and 5 females (B. Malkin, AMNH); Roztocze Natl. Pk., Bukowa Gora, June 20, 1987, 1 female (B. and H. Malkin, AMNH). RUSSIA: Biolower, 1 female (Ed. Reimoser, MCZ). SWITZERLAND: Zinal, Val d’Anniviers, unter Steinwall, 1690 m, July 19, 1979, 2 females (J. Walter, COLL. WALTER); Mase, Val d’Herens, Fichten-Larchen-Wald, 1900 m, July 16, 1980, 1 male (J. Walter, COLL. WALTER); Marchairuz, 1 male and 1 female (NHMB, 610a); 1 male and 1 female (HEC, B.437, t.45).

The *exitialis* Group

**Diagnosis:** Females have lateral atrial margins, broad copulatory ducts, and long, convoluted spermathecae (figs. 101–104); males generally have a well-developed lateral tibial apophysis, a long conductor, and a slender conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 107–109).

This species group is well represented in Japan. Nine species are here included in the group.

1. *Coelotes antri* (Komatsu, 1961): female holotype from Japan, depository unknown, not examined. Male and female from Shikoku, Japan, in AMNH, CAS, and SMF, examined.


3. *Coelotes exitialis* L. Koch, 1878: male and female types from Japan, depository unknown, not examined. Male and female from Japan, in AMNH, CAS, and MCZ, examined.


5. *Coelotes kitazawai* Yaginuma, 1972: female holotype from Fuji-san, Japan, depository unknown, not examined.

6. *Coelotes micado* Strand, 1907: types from Japan, depository unknown, not examined.
Coelotes exitialis Koch

7. Coelotes musashiensis Nishikawa, 1989: female holotype, male and female paratypes from Tokyo, Japan, in the Arachnological Society of Japan and in NSMT, not examined. Two females from Japan, in ZMB, examined.

8. Coelotes yaginumai Nishikawa, 1972: female holotype and male paratype from Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan, in the Arachnological Society of Japan, not examined.

9. Coelotes yodoensis Nishikawa, 1977: male holotype, male and female paratypes from Minoo, Osaka, Japan, in the Arachnological Society of Japan and in the Osaka Natural History Museum, Japan, not examined.

Coelotes exitialis L. Koch

Figures 101–112

Coelotes exitialis L. Koch 1878: 755, figs. 17–19 (types from Japan, depository unknown, not examined). — Uyemura, 1936: 10, figs. unnum-
**D I A G N O S I S:** Females of this species can be recognized by the broad epigynal teeth and the anteriorly situated copulatory ducts (figs. 101, 102), and males by the broad, slightly bifid patellar apophysis and the long, slender, slightly spiral conductor (figs. 107–109).

**D E S C R I P T I O N:** See also description of Koch (1878). Total length 8.00–15.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, ALE largest, PLE slightly smaller than ALE, PME subequal to PLE; AME-AME, AME-ALE, and ALE-PLE subequal or AME-ALE slightly longer, PLE-PME wider than PLE diameter, PME-PME slightly wider than AME-AME. Clypeal height slightly more than twice AME diameter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 105). Chelicerae with three promarginal and four retromarginal teeth (fig. 106). Labium slightly longer than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, slightly anterior of distalmost trichobothrium. Only female spinnerets examined: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 45–50 piriform gland spigots; PMS with about 47 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY), 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP); PLS with 56 aciniform gland spigots and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 110–112).
Female epigynum with epigynal teeth broad, may vary in shape and position (Nishikawa, 1974); atrium reduced, with more or less apparent lateral atrial margins; copulatory ducts broad; spermathecal heads situated anteriorly; spermathecae elongated, convoluted (figs. 101–104). Male palp with patellar apophysis large, slightly bifid, may vary within species (Nishikawa, 1974); RTA long, with distal end sharply extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow short; conductor long, more or less spiral, slender, its shape may vary within species (Nishikawa, 1974); conductor dorsal apophysis long; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus basal origin, moderately long; median apophysis spoon-like, rounded, with distal end smooth (figs. 107–109).

**Material Examined:** JAPAN: Kobu (Kobe?), February 1909, 1 female (together with a male *P. luctuosus*) (S. C. Thompson, CAS); Shizuoka Pref., Izu Peninsula, 100–400 m, August 10, 1962, 1 male (K. Sekiguchi and A. Ogura, MCZ); Fuji Mt., SE slope of Mt. Fuji, February 18, 1955, 2300 m, floor mat., 1 female (D. C. Lowrie, AMNH).

**Distribution:** Japan.

The *charitonovi* Group

**Diagnosis:** Females of this group have the epigynal teeth situated anteriorly, close to each other (fig. 113); males have the strongly modified, broad patellar apophysis (figs. 115–117).

This species group is well represented in Middle Asia.

There are 8 species in the *charitonovi* group.


2. *Coelotes caudatus* de Blauwe, 1973: female holotype and paratypes from Liban (Lebanon), in MNHN, examined.

3. *Coelotes charitonovi* Spassky, 1939: female holotype from Uzbekistan, depository unknown, not examined. One male and 1 female from Tadzhikistan, in AMNH, examined.

4. *Coelotes coenobita* Brignoli, 1978: female holotype and paratypes from Sumela (Macka), Trabzon, Turkey, in MHNG and MCV, examined.

5. *Coelotes juglandicola* Ovtchinnikov, 1984: male holotype, male and female paratypes from Kizghizia, depository unknown,
not examined. One male and 1 female from Kizghizia, in AMNH, examined.

6 Coelotes nenilini Ovtchinnikov, 1999: male holotype, male and female paratypes from Kazakhstan, in CSO, not examined.


Coelotes charitonovi Spassky
Figures 113–126
Coelotes charitonovi Spassky, 1939: 141, fig. 4 (types from Uzbekistan, depository unknown, not examined). – Ovtsharenko and Fet, 1980: 446; – Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 74, figs. 34, 35.
Agelena bucharensis Charitonov, 1969: 81, fig. 3. First synonymized by Ovtsharenko and Fet, 1980: 446.


Diagnosis: This species is similar to C. juglandicola, but females can be distinguished by the closely situated epigynal teeth and the broad, anteriorly situated spermathecal heads (figs. 113, 114), males by the different shape of conductor and the small median apophysis (figs. 115–117).

Description: See also Spassky (1939). From front, anterior eye row slightly procured, posterior row also slightly procured; eye sizes and arrangements: ALE largest, AME, PLE, and PME subequal or PME slightly smaller; AME-AME about two-thirds AME diameter, AME-ALE and ALE-PLE close together, PLE-PME subequal to PME-PME. Clypeal height roughly 1.5 times AME diameter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 120). Chelicerae with five promarginal and five retromarginal teeth (fig. 119). La-
bium shorter than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, slightly anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 121–123). Female spinnerets with apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 13 piriform gland spigots (PI); PMS with roughly 27 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY), 1 reduced ampullate gland spigot (mAP); PLE with 34 aciniform gland spigots and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 124–126).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth large, situated anterad of atrium, close together; atrium reduced; copulatory ducts short, situated mesad of spermathecae; spermathecal heads large, anteriorly situated; spermathecae broad, with stalks and bases indistinct (figs. 113, 114). Male palpal patellar apophysis broad, strongly modified into deep concavity and apophyses on inner side; RTA long, with distal end strongly extend beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis reduced; cymbial furrow short; conductor short; conductor dorsal apophysis broad; embolus prolateral in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis small, situated relatively posteriorly (figs. 115–117).

**Material Examined:** TADZHIKISTAN: Near Khovaling town, 1000 m alt., November 7, 1987, 1 male and 1 female (S. Ovchinnikov, AMNH-donation of S. Ovtchinnikov).

**Distribution:** Tadzhikistan.

The *pseudoterrestris* Group

** Diagnosis.** Females of this group have a distinct anterior atrial margin, broad copulatory ducts, and long, convoluted spermathecae (figs. 127, 128); males generally have a
Figs. 117–120. *Coelotes charitonovi* Spassky. 117. Male palp, retrolateral view. 118. Male palp, dorsal view, showing patellar apophysis. 119. Chelicera, ventral view. 120. Carapace, front view.

relatively less broad patellar apophysis, a well-developed lateral tibial apophysis, a long conductor, and a slender conductor dorsal apophysis (129–131).

This species group is well represented in southern China.

There are at least two species in the *pseudoterrestris* group.


The species *Coelotes sacratus* Wang et al., 1990, with male and female paratypes from Xishan, Kunming, Yunnan, China, in HBI, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *C. pseudoterrestris* Schenkel (because of the identical female genitalic morphology). **NEW SYNONYMY.**

2. *Coelotes yunnanensis* Schenkel, 1963: female holotype from Yunnan, China, in MNHN, examined.

Lehtinen (1967) synonymized *C. yunna-
nensis with Paracoelotes spinivulvus (Simon, 1880). Examination of type specimen shows that this species is not a synonymy of Paracoelotes spinivulvus.

Coelotes pseudoterrestris Schenkel
Figures 127–136
Coelotes pseudoterrestris Schenkel, 1963: 286, fig. 161 (female holotype from Lo Thoei Tong, China, in MNHN, examined).

Coelotes sacratus Wang et al., 1990: 222, figs. 103–107 (male and female types from Xishan, Kunming, Yunnan, China, in HBI, examined).
– Song, et al., 1999: 378, figs. 224N, 224O, 226T, 228B.

Diagnosis: Females resemble C. yunnanensis but can be distinguished by the small epigynal teeth, the different shape of copulatory ducts, and the short, laterally situated spermathecal heads (figs. 127, 128). Males can be recognized by the shape of patellar apophysis, the long, slightly curved conductor, and the long, slender conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 129–131).

Description: See also Schenkel (1963) and descriptions of C. sacratus by Wang et al. (1990). Total length 9.00–18.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurred, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME slightly smaller than ALE, PLE and PME subequal, slightly smaller than AME; AME-AME and AME-ALE subequal, about two-thirds AME diameter, ALE-PLE close together, PLE-PME roughly 1.5 times their diameter, PME-PME slightly shorter than PME diameter. Clypeal height roughly twice AME diameter or slightly less; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 132). Chelicerae with three promarginal and three or four retromarginal teeth (fig. 133). Labium longer than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Female spinnerets: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 30 piriform gland spigots; PMS with 22 acinous gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY), 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP); PLE with about 23 acinous

gland spigots and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 134–136).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth short, laterally situated; atrium reduced, only with more or less distinct anterior atrial margin; copulatory ducts broad, slightly sclerotized, with distinct shape; spermathecal heads situated laterad of spermathecae; spermathecae broad, convoluted, with indistinct bases and stalks (figs. 127, 128). Male palpi patellar apophysis relatively large, with apex slightly narrowed and curved ventrally; RTA long, with the distal end strongly extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis short, broad; cymbial furrow short; conductor long, slightly curved posteriorly; conductor lamella moderately developed; conductor dorsal apophysis long, slender, with sharp apex; embolus prolateral in origin, moderately long; median apophysis spoonlike, rounded, with no sharp distal end (figs. 129–131).

**NOTES**

The locality of this species, Lo Thoei-Tong (Schenkel, 1963), has long been confusing. Brignoli (1983) wondered if it was in China. Zhu (1983) did not include this species (also *C. denisi*) in his list of spiders of China. The types of this species and *C. denisi* can be confidently regarded to be distributed in Yunnan (China) because (1) later large collections of this species were taken from Yunnan, and (2)

the types of *C. yunnanensis*, which has the close collection date (February 26, 1925) with *C. pseudoterrestris* and *C. denisi* (March 2, 1925), were collected from Yunnan fu, Yunnan.

**Material Examined:** **China:** Yunnan: Lo Thoei-Tong, March 2, 1925, 1 female holotype type (MNHN, B2011 bis), 1 female co-type (NHMB, 1990a); Kunming, Xishan, October 24, 1986, 2 male and 2 female types of *C. sacratus* (J. F. Wang, HBI); Kunming, Xishan, Dragon Gate, 2000–2400 m, December 26, 1988, 1 male and 1 female (P. Beron, COLL. DEELEMAN-REINHOLD); Kunming, bamboo temple, December 29, 1988, 1 female (P. Beron, COLL. DEELEMAN-REINHOLD); Menzi County, v. Wulichong, January 6, 1989, 1 male and 1 female (P. Beron, COLL. DEELEMAN-REINHOLD); Menzi County, under stones near the village on the peathean, 1600–1800 m, January 31, 1989, 1 male (P. Beron, COLL. DEELEMAN-REINHOLD); Baoshan Prefecture, Pass over Gaoligongshan at 2100 m alt., Nankang, 36 air km SE TengChong, 24°50′N, 98°47′E, native forest, November 4–7, 1998, 6 males (C. Griswold, D. Kavanaugh, C.L. Long, CAS); Baoshan Prefecture, pass over Gaoligongshan at 2300 m, Luoshuidong, 28 air km E TengChong, 24°57′N, 98°45′E, native forest, October 26–31, 1998, 6 females and 8 males (C. Griswold, D. Kavanaugh, C.L. Long, CAS).

**Distribution:** China.
DIAGNOSIS: Easily recognized by the large AME (larger than ALE) (fig. 147), combined with the anteriorly wide apart, posteriorly converging lateral atrial margins and the anterolaterally situated epigynal teeth of females (figs. 137–142) and by the presence of tegular carina in males (figs. 143–146).

DESCRIPTION: See description of type species (below).

DISTRIBUTION: Eastern North America, from Nova Scotia to Florida (map 5).

COMPOSITION: Seventeen species are currently included. Some species show strong intraspecific variations in the genitalia of both sexes. A number of synonyms may exist.

The Chinese species *C. rugosus* Wang, Peng and Kim, 1996 and *C. globasus* Wang, Peng and Kim, 1996 are apparently not *Coras* species, and are only temporarily listed here.

3. *Coras angularis* Muma, 1944: male holotype and female paratype from Maryland, in AMNH, examined.
4. *Coras cavernorum* Barrows, 1940: female holotype from Waynesville, North Carolina, in OSU, examined.
5. *Coras crescentis* Muma 1944: female holotype from Dans Rock, Maryland, in AMNH, examined.
8. *Coras juvenilis* (Keyserling, 1881): female juvenile types from Haunted Cave, Kentucky, in MCZ, examined. Males and females from eastern North America examined.
10. *Coras lamellosus* (Keyserling, 1887): types (not designated) not examined. Males

**CORAS SIMON**


**TYPE SPECIES: Tegenaria medicinalis**

Hentz, 1821, by original designation.

and females from eastern North America, in AMNH, examined.


13. *Coras parallelis* Muma, 1944: female holotype from Princess Anne, Maryland, in AMNH, examined.


*Coras medicinalis* (Hentz)

Figures 137–157

*Tegenaria medicinalis* Hentz, 1821: 53, T. 5, fig. 1. – Hentz, 1847: 462, T. 24, fig. 21; – Hentz, 1867: 107, fig. 110; – Emerton, 1902: 99, figs. 233–240, 244.

*Clubiona medicinalis*: Walckenaer, 1837: 607.

*Tegenaria nemorensis* Walckenaer, 1842: 10.

*Coelotes urbanus* Keyserling, 1887: 467, T. 6, fig. 31.

*Coras medicinalis*: Simon, 1898: 258, fig. 250; – Comstock, 1912: 592, fig. 672; – Chamberlin, 1925: 122; – Comstock, 1940: 610, fig. 672; –

Map 5. Records of *Coras*. 

Coras montanus: Roth and Brame, 1972: 27, fig. 35 (female, misidentified).

Coras juvenilis: Roth and Brame, 1972: 27, fig. 38 (male, misidentified).

**DIAGNOSIS:** Females of this species can be distinguished from *C. furcatus* only by the presence of semicircular markings on the epigynal plate and from *C. parallelis* by the position of the lateral atrial margins (figs. 137, 139, 141). Males can be recognized by the short, nonbifid patellar apophysis (fig. 144).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length about 6.00–13.0, with considerable variation among individuals of the same species (Muma, 1946). From front, anterior eye row more or less straight, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME largest, ALE subequal or slightly larger than PLE, PME smallest; AME may be separated by roughly their radius, AME-ALE close together and subequal to ALE-PLE, PME-PLE about 1.20–1.50 PME diameter, PME-PME slightly shorter than PME-PLE. Clypeal height about AME diameter, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless, long (fig. 147). Chelicerae with three promarginal and three retromarginal teeth (fig. 148). Labium subequal in width and length or slightly wider, slightly notched distally.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, slightly anterior of most distal trichobothrium (figs. 149–151). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 25–40 piriform gland spigots in both sexes; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP) and 40–45 aciniform gland spigots.
(AC) in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female; PLS with about 65 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 152–157).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth short; lateral atrial margins wider apart anteriorly, convergent posteriorly; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads slightly elongated; spermathecal stalks large, strongly convoluted; spermathecal bases relatively small, strongly convoluted (figs. 137–142). Male palp with one patellar apophysis; retrolateral tibial surface strongly modified with lobes and concavity; RTA long, occupying most of tibia, with distal end slightly extended be-
Figs. 149–151. *Coras medicinalis* (Hentz), female. 149. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 150. Trichobothrium. 151. Tarsal organ.

yond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis small; pro-lateral tibia with three strong setae; cymbial furrow moderately long, with distinct dorsal edge; tegular sclerite with prolateral apophysis; conductor long, slender, with paracon-ductor between conductor and conductor
dorsal apophysis; conductor dorsal apophysis strong; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus basal in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with extended distal end but not sharply pointed; tegular carina (the apophysis on te-
gulum between median apophysis and tegular sclerite) situated parallel relative to tegular sclerite and median apophysis (figs. 143–146).

**Material Examined:** Numerous males and females from eastern North America (for details, see generic revision by Platnick and Wang, in prep.).


**Coronilla Wang**


**Type Species:** *Coronilla gemata* Wang, 1994, by original designation. Female holotype and male allotype from Mt. Zhangjiajie, Dayong, Hunan, China, in HTU, examined.

**Diagnosis:** Females of *Coronilla* can be easily distinguished by the absence of epigynal teeth, the presence of a broad, transverse atrial septum, and the posteriorly expanded epigynal posterior margin (figs. 158, 159), males by the presence of two patellar apophyses and a reduced lateral tibial apophysis (figs. 161–168).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** China, Vietnam (map 6).

**Composition:** Two species are included.


**Coelotes huansangensis** Peng et al., 1998: 77, figs. 1–6 (female holotype and male allotype from Huansang, Suining, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined). – *New Synonymy.*

from *C. sigillata* by the different spermathecal shape (fig. 160), males by the relatively small conductor, the broad conductor ventral apophysis, and the short conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 161-163).

**Description:** Total length 5.00-13.0, with considerable intraspecific variation. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurred, posterior row procurred; AME slightly smaller than ALE or subequal, PLE subequal to PME, slightly smaller than AME and ALE; AME separated by about their radius and slightly longer than AME-ALE, ALE-PLE close together, PME separated by about their diameter, separated from PLE by about 1.25-2.00 times their diameter; clypeal height about 1.50 times AME diameter, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless, long (fig. 169). Chelicerae with three promarginal and four retromarginal teeth (fig. 170). Labium longer than wide. Length of 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Trachea examined, simple (fig. 171). Tar-

Coronilla gemata Wang, male palp. 163. Prolateral view. 164. Retrolateral view of tibia and patellar, showing variation of patellar apophyses length. 165. Tibia and patellar, showing variation of patellar apophyses length.

Epigynum with large atrium; atrial septum broad, transversely situated; copulatory ducts large; spermathecal heads large, situated anteriorly; spermathecal stalks short, with lateral apophyses; spermathecal bases relatively large, transversely situated, more or less convoluted (figs. 158–160). Male palpal patellar apophyses with ventral one slender and long (occasionally short), dorsal one short and strong; RTA slightly shorter than tibia; lateral tibial apophysis absent; cymbial furrow moderately long; tegular sclerite apparent; conductor short; conductor ventral apophysis long, strong; conductor dorsal apophysis broad, relatively short; median apophysis slender, with distal end slightly curved or spiral (figs. 161–168).

**Synonyms:** The length of the male patellar ventral apophysis varies even in specimens from the same locality. The male of Coelotes huangsangensis has a relatively short ventral patellar apophysis. This situation also occurs in some males of C. gemata from the type locality. The female (allotype) genitalia of Coelotes huangsangensis, which is not fully sclerotized, is the same as C. gemata. As a result, Coelotes huangsangensis is considered to be a junior synonym of C. gemata. The species C. yoshikoae (types not examined), judged by the illustrations, is also considered to be a junior synonym of C. gemata.

**Material Examined:** CHINA: Hunan:
Dayong, Mt. Zhangjiajie, November 5, 1985, female holotype, male allotype, 6 female and 7 male paratypes (J. F. Wang, HTU); Tianpinshan, October 16, 1986, 4 females and 4 males (J. F. Wang, HTU); Suining, Huangsang, October 14, 1996, female holotype and male allotype of *C. huangsangensis* Peng et al., 1998 (M. X. Liu, HBI). Sichuan: E-meishan, September 27, 1975, 2 females (C. D. Zhu, NBUMS, 75–2172); Chongqing, September 26, 1997, molted to adult later October, 1 male (X. P. Wang, AMNH).

**Distribution:** Known from China (Hunan, Sichuan) and Vietnam (Vinh Phu).
**DRACONARIUS OVTCHINNIKOV**

*Dracornarius* Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 70.

**Type Species:** *Draconarius venustus* Ovtchinnikov, 1999, by original designation.

**Diagnosis:** Resembles *Asiacoelotes* in having an elongated cymbial furrow, a long, slender embolus, and long, strongly convoluted spermathecae. Females can be distinguished by the posteriorly originated copulatory ducts and the widely separated spermathecae (figs. 182, 193); males by having a conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 184, 185, 198).

**Description:** See description of *Draconarius wudangensis* (Chen and Zhao).

**Distribution:** Tadzhikistan, Bhutan, Nepal, China, Korea (map 7).

**Composition:** Twenty-six species are included. All are new combinations except the type species.

The species *Draconarius wudangensis* (Chen and Zhao, 1997) and *Draconarius sinualis* (Chen, Zhao and Wang, 1991) are described here. The male of *D. sinualis* is described for the first time.

1. *Draconarius arcuatus* (Chen, 1984): female paratypes from Huanglongdong, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China, in HTC, examined. **New Combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

2. *Draconarius aspinatus* (Wang et al., 1990): female holotype, male and female paratypes from Huangshan, Anhui, China, in HBI, examined. **New Combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

3. *Draconarius baccatus* (Wang, 1994): male and female paratypes from Xiangfan, Hubei, China, in HBI, examined. **New Combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

4. *Draconariuss baronii* (Brignoli, 1978): male holotype only, female paratype is a different species (of the genus *Himalcoelotes*). Male holotype from Dorjula, Bhutan, in...
NHMB, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).


8. *Draconarius davidi* (Schenkel, 1963): female holotype from Inkiaphou, Shensi, China, in MNHN, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).


The species *Coelotes lama* Brignoli, 1976: female holotype from Nepal, in ZII, examined, has the identical genitalic morphology and is placed as a junior synonym of *D. gurkha* (Brignoli). NEW SYNONYMY (transferred here from Coelotes).

10. *Draconarius infilatus* (Wang et al., 1990): female holotype and 1 female paratype from Tianshushan, Zhejiang, China, in HBI, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).


12. *Draconarius lutulentus* (Wang et al., 1990): male and female paratypes from Zhangjiajie, Dayong, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

13. *Draconarius molluscus* (Wang et al., 1990): female paratypes from Lushan, Ji-

Figs. 175–177. *Coronilla gemata* Wang, female spinnerets, ventral view. 175. ALS, left. 176. PMS, left. 177. PLS, left. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

angxi, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

14. *Draconarius neixiangensis* (Hu, Wang and Wang, 1991): female holotype and male and female paratypes from Neixiang, Henan, China, deposited in Shandong University, Jinan, China, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

15. *Draconarius potanini* (Schenkel, 1963): female holotype from Gansu, China, in MNHN, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Cybanus*).
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Figs. 178±180. Coronilla gemata Wang, male spinnerets, ventral view. 178. ALS, right. 179. PMS, right. 180. PLS, left. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.


18. Draconarius sinualis (Chen, Zhao and Wang, 1991): female holotype from Jinding, Wudangshan, Hubei, China, in HUW, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). Both male and female specimens from China (Hubei), in IZB, examined.

19. Draconarius stemmleri (Brignoli, 1978): female holotype and paratype from Sha Gogona, Bhutan, in NHMB and MCV, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

20. Draconarius striolatus (Wang et al., 1990): female holotype from Yuzhong, Gansu, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).


22. Draconarius venustus Ovtchinnikov, 1999: male holotype and female paratype from Yachsu River valley, Khazratisho Mt., Tadzhikistan, in CSO, not examined.

23. Draconarius wenzhouensis (Chen, 1984): female holotype and paratype from Xueshan, Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China, in HTC, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

24. Draconarius wudangensis (Chen and Zhao, 1997): male and female paratypes from Jinding, Wudangshan, Hubei, China, in HUW, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).


26. Draconarius yosiianus (Nishikawa, 1999): female holotype and paratype from Jiabao Dong, Xingren Cun, Jiazhuan Xiang, Bama Xian, northwestern Guangxi, China, in NSMT, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

Draconarius wudangensis (Chen and Zhao) Figures 181–191

Coelotes wudangensis Chen and Zhao, 1997: 87, figs. 1–4 (male and female paratypes from Jind-

Diagnosis: This species is similar to *D. venustus* but can be distinguished by the large, anteriorly situated spermathecal heads of females (fig. 182), the relatively short RTA, the less extended cymbial furrow, and the different shape of embolic base of males (figs. 183–185).

Description: Total length 6.00–12.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, ALE largest, PLE slightly larger than PME; AME-AME slightly shorter than their diameter, AME-ALE separated by roughly AME radius, ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE separated by more than their diameter, PME-PME slightly wider than their diameter. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter or slightly less; chilum divided, elongated. Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retromarginal teeth. Labium slightly shorter than wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated dorsally, away from distal end of tarsus, close to second or third
Figs. 186–188. *Draconarius wudangensis* (Chen and Zhao), female. 186. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 187. Trichobothrium. 188. Tarsal organ.

distal trichobothrium (figs. 186–188). Female spinnerets examined: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 46 piriform gland spigots; PMS not well prepared, with 1 minor ampullate gland spigots visible, at least 20 aciniform gland spigots, and 1 cylindrical gland spigot (CY) observed; PLS with about 34 aciniform gland spigots and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 189–191).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth short, widely separated, situated anterior of atrium; atrium small; copulatory ducts originated posteriorly, mesal of spermathecae, more or less elongated; spermathecal heads apparent; spermathecae broad, elongated, convoluted, with indistinct stalks and bases (figs. 181, 182). Male palp with patellar apophysis long; RTA short, occupying about half tibial length, with distal end extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow long, with distal end extended beyond cymbium, dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor moderately long; conductor dorsal apoph-
**Draconarius sinualis** (Chen, Zhao and Wang)

**Figures 192–210**

Coelotes sinualis Chen, Zhao and Wang, 1991: 10, figs. 3, 4 (female holotype from Jinding, Wudangshan, Hubei, China, in HUW, examined); – Song et al., 1999: 378, figs. 224T, 224U.

**Diagnosis:** Females can be distinguished by the strongly elongated, highly convoluted spermataecae, and the long, spiral copulatory ducts (fig. 192, 193), males by the long, slender conductor and the slender conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 198, 199).

**Description:** Eye pattern similar to *D. wudangensis*. Chilum divided (fig. 200). Chelicerae with three promarginal and three retromarginal teeth (fig. 201). Epigynum with epigynal teeth short, widely separated, situated anteral to atrium; atrium small; cop-

---

**Material Examined:** **China: Hubei:** Wudangshan, Jinding, August 1996, 1 male and 1 female paratype (J. Chen, HUW); Wudangshan, Jinding, September 24, 1997, 7 females (X. P. Wang, IZB); Wudangshan, Nanya to Jinding, September 24, 1997, 1 male and 16 females (X. P. Wang, IZB). **Shaanxi:** Taibaishan, Haoping, August 11, 1989, 1 male and 1 female, 1 male and 1 female, 1 male and 1 female, 1 male (X. P. Wang, AMNH and MCB); Taibaishan, Mingxinshi, Aug. 8, 1989, 1 female (X. P. Wang, IZB). **Shanxi:** Yongji, July 20, 1980, 1 female (M.S. Zhu, HUB, No-044).

**Distribution:** China (Hubei, Shanxi, Shaanxi).

Tarsal organ situated dorsally, away from distal tarsus, close to second distal trichobothrium (figs. 202–204). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 29 piriform gland spigots in female and 18 in male; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots in both sexes (not apparent), about 19 aciniform gland spigots in female and 12 in male, only 1 cylindrical gland spigot (CY) visible in female; PLS with about 20 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 205–210).

**Material Examined:** CHINA: Hubei: Wudangshan, Jinding, April 23, 1982, female holotype (HUW); Wudangshan, Jinding, September 24, 1997, 3 females, 1 male and 2 females (X. P. Wang, AMNH); Wudangshan, Nanya to Jinding, September 24, 1997, 1 male and 1 female, 11 females and 3 males (X. P. Wang, IZB); Wudangshan, Zhixiao to Nanya, September 23, 1997, 3 females and 7 males (X. P. Wang, MCB); Hongping, September 21, 1997, 2 males and 9 females (X. P. Wang, IZB). Shaanxi: Huxian, Cuihuashan, October 18, 1989, 1 male and 1 female (X. P. Wang, IZB).

**Distribution:** China (Hubei, Shaanxi).

**Eurocoelotes, new genus**

**Type Species:** *Coelotes inermis* (L. Koch, 1855).

**Etymology:** The generic name is derived from its similarity to *Coelotes* and from the occurrence in Europe, and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females resemble *Coelotes* but have posteriorly situated epigynal teeth, an large atrium, and large copulatory ducts (figs. 211, 212). Males also resemble *Coelotes* in having a conductor dorsal apophysis occupying half tibia, with distal end extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow strongly elongated, with distal end extended beyond cymbium, dorsal edge strong concave; conductor long, slender, slightly curved; conductor dorsal apophysis slender, long; conductor lamella strongly developed; embolus posterior in origin, extremely long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with distal end sharply pointed (figs. 198, 199).
and short, rounded median apophysis, but have no patellar apophysis (figs. 213–216).

DESCRIPTION: See description of type species (below).

DISTRIBUTION: Europe (map 8).

COMPOSITION: Eleven species are included. All are new combinations.

1. Eurocoelotes anoplus (Kulczyn’ski, 1897): female lectotype from Croatia, Yugoslavia, in MNHN, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from the genus Coelotes).
Figs. 208–210. **Draconarius sinualis** (Chen, Zhao and Wang), male spinnerets, ventral view, right. 208. ALS. 209. PMS. 210. PLS.

2. **Eurocoelotes brevispinus** (Deltshev and Dimitrov, 1996): male and female paratypes from Hambar dere, Slavyanka, Bulgaria, in IZS, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

3. **Eurocoelotes deltshevi** (Dimitrov, 1993): male holotype, male and female paratypes from Bulgaria, in IZS and Naturhistorisches Museum, Wien, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

4. **Eurocoelotes drenskii** (Deltshev, 1990): male holotype from Bulgaria, in IZS, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

5. **Eurocoelotes falciger** (Kulczyn’ski, 1897): types, depository unknown, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). Male and female specimens from Bulgaria, in MCB, MCV, and ZMB, examined.

6. **Eurocoelotes gasperinii** (Simon, 1891): male and female lectotypes from Dalmatia, Yugoslavia, in MNHN, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

7. **Eurocoelotes inermis** (L. Koch, 1855): female neotype from Krakow, Poland, in SMNH, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

8. **Eurocoelotes jurinitschi** (Drensky, 1915): types, depository unknown, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). Male and female specimens from Bulgaria, in IZS, examined.

9. **Eurocoelotes karlinskii** (Kulczyn’ski, 1906): types, depository unknown, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). Male and female specimens from East Europe, in IZS, examined.

10. **Eurocoelotes kulczynskii** (Drensky, 1915): types, depository unknown, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes). Male and female specimens from Bulgaria, in IZS, examined.

11. **Eurocoelotes microlepidus** de Blauwe, 1973: female holotype from Montecchio, Italy, in MCV, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

**Eurocoelotes inermis** (L. Koch) 
Figures 211–226

*AMAurobius inermis* L. Koch, 1855: 161, fig. 1 (female neotype from Krakow, Poland, in SMNH, examined). – Miller, 1971: 175, figs. 13–15.

*Coelotes inermis*: L. Koch, 1868: 33, figs. 15, 16. – Simon, 1875: 45; – Kulczyn’ski, 1887: 341, fig. 57; – Becker, 1896: 189, fig. 1; – Chyzer and Kulczyn’ski, 1897: 157, fig. 16; – Bösenberg, 1902: 222, fig. 315; – Kulczyn’ski, 1906:

**Diagnosis:** This species is similar to *E. karlinskii* but can be distinguished by the laterally originated, strong spermathecal heads of females (figs. 211, 212) and by the presence of a small tooth on the distal conductor and a broad conductor dorsal apophysis in males (fig. 213).

**Description:** Total length about 8.00–10.5. General somatic characters same as *Coelotes atropos*. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest and slightly smaller than ALE, ALE subequal to PLE and PME; AME-AME subequal to AME-ALE, slightly shorter than AME diameter, ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE slightly longer than PLE diameter, PME-PME slightly shorter than PME diameter. AME-PME slightly shorter than AME diameter. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter or slightly less, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless, long (fig. 217). Chelicerae with three promarginal and three retromarginal teeth (fig. 218). Labium about as long as wide, slightly notched distally. Length of 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Tarsal organ not seen on examined speci-

Map 8. Records of *Eurocoelotes*. 
mens (figs. 219, 220). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 18–20 piriform gland spigots in both sexes; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), one of them more or less reduced, about 43 aciniform gland spigots in female and at least 60 in male, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female; PLS with about 60 aciniform gland spigots in female and about 78 in male, and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 221–226).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth short, situated posterad of atrium; atrium small, anteriorly situated; copulatory ducts broad, membranous, anteriorly situated; spermathecal heads long, situated anterad of spermathecae; spermathecae longitudinally elongated, convoluted, widely separated, with indistinct bases and stalks (figs. 211, 212). Male palp with patellar apophyses absent; RTA large, with distal end strongly extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow short; tegular sclerite apparent; conductor strong, with small tooth near apex; conductor dorsal apophysis broad; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus basal to prolateral in origin, moderately long, linear, relatively strong; median apophysis spoonlike, with smooth distal end, not pointed (figs. 213–216).

Material Examined: Austria: Graz, September 1875, 1 male (H. Emerton, MCZ). Bulgaria: C. Balkan, C. Tuzha, 1500 m, August 10, 1996, 2 males (C. Deltchev, IZS). France: 2 females (MCZ). Italy: Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Arta Terme, 440 m, September 7, 1963, 1 female (Bianchi Valle, MCB); Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Paluzza, 600 m, September 9, 1963 (Bianchi Valle, MCB); Bolzano, Planca di Sotto, August 25, 1972, 1 female (Rallo, MCV); Basel, 1 male and 1 female (AMNH). Germany: Nurnberg, 1 male and 1 female (SMNH, Coll. Thorell, 227/1383c); between Deutz and Siegen, August 9, 1964, 1 female (USNM); 1 male (HÉC, B.438, t.100); Neiderwall a Rhein, 1 female (CAS). Poland: Krakow, 1 female neotype (SMNH, Coll. Thorell, 227/1383a); Roztocze Natl. Pk., Bukowa Gora, June 20, 1987, 3 females (B. and H. Malkin, AMNH). Pachow, Pow. Wadowice. Woj. Krakowskie, September 8, 1974, 1 female (B. Malkin and...

M. Mlynarski, AMNH). **SWITZERLAND:** Umgebung Basel, Franmatt VII, 6 males and 17 females (Keine Angaben, NHMB); Alpes, 1 male and 1 female (SMNH, Coll. Thorell, 227/1383b); Basel, 1 male and 1 female (Schenkel, AMNH); Predigerholz, SW of Neumunchenstein, 340 m, under bark of oak log, September 2, 1973, 1 female (B. Malkin and H. and I. Hurlimann, AMNH). Solothurn, Oensingen-Schloss, June 13, 1980, 1 female (B. and H. Malkin, AMNH); Rutetten, May 1–2, 1980, 2 males (B. Malkin, AMNH); May 1976, 1 female (B. Malkin, AMNH); Oberdorf, August 1973, 1 female (B. Malkin, AMNH). **YUGOSLAVIA:** Fra Gospic e Karlobag, August 17, 1969, 1 male and 3 females (Bianchi Valle, MCB). Passo di Kupras, August 11, 1970, 1 male (MCB); August 11, 1970, 1 male (Mc Brigamo, MCV). Slavenia, Kranj Forest, 1960, 3 males and 3 females (A. Polenec, MCZ). **EUROPE:** 2 males (AMNH-CU, Lot. 581, Sub. 499). 1 male and 2 females (Marx Collection, USNM, No. 242); Seyde Osterzgeb, Vieneide, November 21, 1967, 1 female (ZMB, Kat.-Nr. 28823); 1 male, 2 females, 1 female,
Figs. 224–226. *Eurocoelotes inermis* (Koch), male spinnerets, ventral view, right. 224. ALS. 225. PMS. 226. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

1 male, 3 females, 2 females, 1 male and 1 female, 1 female (ZMB); 2 females (ZMB, Dahl 1162); 3 males (ZMB, Kat.-Nr. 14016); 1 male and 1 female (ZMB, 5169); 8 males (ZMB, Kat.-Nr. 14094); 1 male and 1 female (ZMB, 4698); 2 males and 4 females (ZMB, 14473); 4 males (ZMB, Kat.-Nr. 14013); 1 male and 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 2073); Tharaud, F. Fichtenwald, 3 males and 1 female (ZMB); 1 male and 2 females (ZMB, 4696); 1 male (ZMB, Dahl 877); 1 male (ZMB, 14478); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 928); 3 males (ZMB, 14471); 2 males (ZMB, 14475); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl, 926); 1 female (ZMB, 1218); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 1636); 1 male (ZMB, Dahl 2120); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 2358); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 1343); 1 female (ZMB, 14480); 6 males (ZMB, 14018); 1 male (ZMB, 14480); 6 males (ZMB, 14018); 1 male (ZMB, 14470); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 2074); 1 female (ZMB, Dahl 2119); 2 males (ZMB, 14476); 2 females (ZMB, Dahl, 2135); 1 male (ZMB, 14477); 1 male (ZMB, 14474); 4 males (ZMB, 14472).

**FEMORACOELOTES, NEW GENUS**

**Type Species:** *Coelotes platnicki* Wang and Ono, 1998.

**Etymology:** The generic name is derived from *Coelotes* and the special femoral characteristics of the male palp (the presence of distal apophysis and of a cluster of tiny setae prolaterally), and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females of this genus can be easily recognized by the absence of epigynal teeth and the broad copulatory ducts (figs. 227, 228), males by the presence of a femoral apophysis and the absence of a median apophysis (figs. 229–231).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** Bulgaria, France, Germany, Italy, Poland, Switzerland, Yugoslavia.

**Composition:** Two species are included in this genus.

1. **Femoracoelotes latus** (Wang, Tso, and Wu, 2001): male holotype, male and female paratypes from Nantou, Taiwan, in THU, examined. **New combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

2. **Femoracoelotes platnicki** (Wang and Ono, 1998): male holotype and female paratype from Mt. Tengchih, Paoshan-tsun, Tao-yuan-hsiang, Kaohsiung-hsien, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined. **New combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).
Femoracoelotes platnicki (Wang and Ono)

Figures 227–241


Diagnosis: Differs from F. latus in the shape of the atrium and the broad copulatory ducts of females (figs. 227, 228) and by the slightly bifid femoral apophysis and the different conductor shape of males (figs. 229–231).

Description: Total length 6.00–8.00. From front, anterior eye row slightly procured, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, PLE largest, PME slightly smaller than PLE, ALE slightly smaller than PME; AME-AME subequal to AME-ALE, ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE separated by roughly PME diameter or slightly less, PME-PME subequal to AME-AME or slightly longer. Clypeal height roughly 1.5 AME diameter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 232). Chelicerae with three promarginal and four retromarginal teeth (occasionally five) (fig. 233). Labium with length subequal to width. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.
Tarsal organ situated away from distal end of tarsus, close to third distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 234, 235). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 24–25 piriform gland spigots in both sexes; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP) in both sexes, about 16–18 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female; PLS with about 16 aciniform gland spigots in female and 21 in male, 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female, 1 spigot of examined male PLS also shaped like cylindrical gland spigot (figs. 236–241).

Epigynum without epigynal teeth; atrium relatively small; copulatory ducts broad, membranous; spermathecal heads apparent; spermathecae short, convoluted, widely separated, with indistinct stalks and bases (figs. 227, 228). Male palp with femoral apophysis distally, a cluster of tiny setae prolaterally; patellar apophysis short, ventrally curved; RTA almost as long as tibia, with distal end not extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis absent; cymbial furrow short; conductor broad, complex, strongly modified; conductor lamella small; conductor dorsal apophysis relatively ventrally situated, long, slender; median apophysis absent; embolus basal in origin, strong, moderately long, with apex modified (figs. 229–231).

**Material Examined:** CHINA: Taiwan: Kaohsiung-hsien, Taoyuan-hsiang, Paoshan-tsun, Mt. Tengchih, 1550–1800 m alt., November 1, 1989, 5 males and 7 females (H. Ono, NSMT, NSMT-Ar.3421); Kaohsiung-hsien, Taoyuan-hsiang, Paoshan-tsun, Mt. Tengchih, 1550–1800 m alt., November 1, 1989, male holotype and female paratype (H. Ono, NSMT, NSMT-Ar.3421).

**Distribution:** China (Taiwan).

**HIMALCOELOTE**, NEW GENUS

**Type Species:** Himalcoeletes martensi, new species.

**Etymology:** The generic name refers to its similarity to *Coelotes* and to the locality of type species, and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females resemble those of *Urocoras* in having elongated epigynal teeth, but differ in having short copulatory ducts (fig. 265, 266); males resemble those of *Draconarius* in having the patellar apophysis and the conductor dorsal apophysis, but differ in the shape of the median apophysis (small, rounded, medially notched and then strongly extended) (figs. 244, 267, 268).

1 Results of the Himalaya Expeditions of J. Martens, no. 239. For no. 238, see Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 232: 1–136, 2001. Note: J. Martens was sponsored by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst and Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.
Figs. 234, 235. *Femoracoelotes platnicki* Wang and Ono, female. 234. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothria (single arrow). 235. Tarsal organ (double arrows) and third distal trichobothrium (single arrow).

**DESCRIPTION**: See description of type species (below).

**DISTRIBUTION**: Himalayas (map 10).

**COMPOSITION**: Ten species are included.

**KEY TO SPECIES OF HIMALCOELOTES**

**Females**

1. Spermathecae small, rounded, not convoluted (figs. 249, 283, 293) 2
   - Spermathecae otherwise (figs. 243, 266) 4

2. Copulatory ducts broad, situated mesad of spermathecae (fig. 249)  *bursarius*
   - Copulatory ducts not visible or barely visible in dorsal view (figs. 283, 293) 3

3. Anterior atrial margin incomplete; copulatory ducts not seen (figs. 282–287)  *sherpa*
   - Anterior atrial margin complete; copulatory ducts small (figs. 292, 293)  *subsherpa*

4. Spermathecal large, anteriorly extended; spermathecal heads situated mesally or posteriorly (figs. 255, 266) 5
   - Spermathecal moderately large, not anteriorly extended; spermathecal heads situated anteriorly (figs. 243, 251, 279) 6

5. Spermathecal heads situated mesally; copu-
Figs. 239–241. *Femoracoelotes platnicki* Wang and Ono, male spinnerets, ventral view, right. 239. ALS. 240. PMS. 241. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

- Spermathecae longitudinally extended, not curved (figs. 247, 253, 279) .......... 8
- Epigynal teeth long, slender; copulatory ducts short, not visible in dorsal view (figs. 242, 243) .................. *aequoreus*
- Epigynal teeth short, broad; copulatory ducts visible in dorsal view (figs. 294, 295) .................. *syntomos*

7. Atrium apparent; copulatory ducts visible in dorsal view (figs. 250, 251, 278, 279) ........
- Atrium reduced to atrial slit; copulatory ducts not visible in dorsal view (figs. 246, 247) .................. *brignolii*

8. Spermathecae strongly curved, semicircle-shaped (figs. 243, 295) ..........
- Spermathecae longitudinally extended, not curved (figs. 247, 253, 279) .......... 8

9. Spermathecae separated, copulatory ducts extended mesally (figs. 251, 253) ........
- Spermathecae close together, copulatory ducts extended anteriorly (fig. 279) ........

**Himalcoelotes aequoreus**, new species

Figures 242–245

TYPE: Female holotype (SMF, no.24) from forest clearing, Thaksang above Tukche, 3100–3300 m, Mustang Dist., Nepal (July 5–10, 1970; J. Martens); male paratype (SMF, no.18) from Tukche, in house, 2600 m, Mustang Dist., Nepal (October 1969; J. Martens), deposited in SMF.

- Spermathecae strongly curved, semicircle-shaped (figs. 243, 295) .......... 7
- Spermathecal heads situated posteriorly; copulatory ducts originated laterally (fig. 255) .................. *martensi*

Males

1. Cymbial furrow more than half of cymbial length (figs. 245, 258) .......... 2
- Cymbial furrow less than half of cymbial length (figs. 268, 289, 281, 291) .......... 3

2. RTA strongly extended anteriorly; patellar apophysis curved ventrally (fig. 258) ........
- RTA slightly extended; patellar apophysis curved dorsally (fig. 245) .......... *Aequoreus*

3. Embolus prolateral in origin (figs. 267, 268) ........
- Embolus basal in origin (figs. 280, 290) .......... 4

4. Conductor broad; patellar apophysis much shorter than patellar length (figs. 267, 268) ........
- Conductor slender; patellar apophysis broad, as long as patellar length (figs. 288, 289) ........

5. Conductor with apex broad, no tooth (figs. 280, 281) .......... *pirum*
- Conductor with apex slender, with small tooth (figs. 290, 291) ........ 
  .......................... *subsherpa*
**Himalcoelotes**


**ETYMOLOGY:** The specific name refers to the smooth epigynum and less apparent atrium.

**DIAGNOSIS:** This new species is similar to *H. primus* but differs in the less apparent atrium, the small copulatory ducts, and the strongly convoluted spermathecae of females (figs. 242, 243), and by the strongly elongated cymbial furrow and the different shape of the RTA of males (figs. 244, 245).

**DESCRIPTION:** Female (holotype): Total length 8.50. Carapace 3.90 long, 2.36 wide. Abdomen 4.60 long, 3.20 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.16, ALE 0.19, PME 0.18, PLE 0.20; AME-AME 0.07, AME-ALE 0.04, PME-PME 0.17, PME-PLE 0.24, AME-PME 0.17. Clypeus height 0.28. Leg measurements: I, 10.2 (2.84, 3.60, 2.40, 1.40); II, 9.28 (2.62, 3.14, 2.26, 1.26); III, 8.44 (2.34, 2.70, 2.20, 1.20); IV, 11.0 (2.94, 3.58, 3.00, 1.44). Epigynal teeth long, situated anteriorly, with bases close together; atrium small; copulatory ducts short, not visible in dorsal view; spermathecal heads small, situated anteriorly; spermathecae broad, convoluted (figs. 242, 243).

Male (paratype): Total length 8.60. Carapace 4.40 long, 3.00 wide. Abdomen 4.20 long, 2.80 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.23, ALE 0.23, PME 0.20, PLE 0.21; AME-AME 0.07, AME-ALE 0.04, PME-PME 0.17, PME-PLE 0.24, AME-PME 0.17. Clypeus height 0.25. Leg measurements: I, 14.6 (3.78, 4.60, 3.84, 2.34); II, 13.5 (3.52, 4.20, 3.60, 2.14); III, 11.8 (3.20, 3.60, 3.24, 1.72); IV, 15.2 (4.02, 4.60, 4.52, 2.02). Palpal patellar apophysis moderately long; RTA long, occupies most of tibia, with distal end slightly extended; lateral tibial apophysis small; cymbial furrow long, occupies most of cymbial length, with dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor long, with slender apex; conductor dorsal apophysis broad, short; conductor lamella large; embolus posterior in origin; median apophysis small, modified (figs. 244, 245).

**OTHER MATERIAL EXAMINED:** NEPAL: Parbat Dist., Gorapani (= Ghorepani) pass, 2850–2900 m, December 10–14, 1969, 1 female (J. Martens, SMF, no.31); Mustang Dist., Dambush Valley above Tukche, alpine dwarf bushes, 3770–4100 m, October 7–13,

1969, 2 females (J. Martens, SMF, no. 21); Kaski Dist, near Dobang, ca. 2500 m, bamboo forest, October 23, 1985, 1 female (J. Coddington, USNM).

**DISTRIBUTION**: Nepal.

*Himalcoelotes brignolii*, new species

Figures 246, 247

*Coelotes baronii* Brignoli, 1978a: 42, figs. 19, 20, female only (female paratype from Dorjula, Bhutan, in NHMB, examined). Mismatched.

**TYPE**: Female holotype (female paratype of *Coelotes baronii* Brignoli, 1978) from Dorjula, 3100 m, Bhutan (June 6, 1972), in NHMB (2302b), examined.

**ETYMOLOGY**: The specific name is a patronym in honor of the late Prof. P. M. Brignoli.

**DIAGNOSIS**: The females are similar to those of *H. diatropos* but differ in the less apparent atrium and the different shape of spermathecae (figs. 246, 247).

**Himalcoelotes bursarius**, new species

**Figures 248, 249.**

- **248.** Epigynum.
- **249.** Vulva.

**Description:** The female was described by Brignoli (1978a, as female paratype of *Coe-loletes baronii*). Epigynum with atrium shallow, less apparent; epigynal teeth long, situated medially on epigynum, with posterior ends almost reach epigastric furrow; spermatic heads small, slender, situated anteriorly; spermaticae broad, convoluted (figs. 246, 247).

**Male:** Unknown.

**Other Material Examined:** None.

**Distribution:** Bhutan.

**Diagnosis:** The females are similar to

**Himalcoelotes diatropos**, new species

**Figures 250–253.**

- **250.** Epigynum (holotype).
- **251.** Vulva (holotype).
- **252.** Epigynum (showing variation).
- **253.** Vulva (showing variation).

**Type:** Female holotype and 8 female paratypes from Sindhu Palchok Dist., pass Ting Sang La above Barabise, mixed forest, 3400 m, Nepal (April 13–15, 1973; J. Martens), in SMF (no.42), examined.

**Etymology:** The specific name refers to the presence of apparent copulatory ducts in dorsal view.

**Diagnosis:** The females are similar to

**Description:** Female (holotype): Total length 10.5. Carapace 5.30 long, 3.46 wide. Abdomen 5.30 long, 3.60 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.21, ALE 0.24, PME 0.20, PLE 0.23; AME-AME 0.12, AME-ALE 0.11, PME-PME 0.20, PME-PLE 0.33, AME-PME 0.33. Clypeus height 0.35. Leg measurements: I, 14.4 (3.92, 4.94, 3.60, 1.96); II, 13.4 (3.62, 4.56, 3.40, 1.80); III, 12.1 (3.40, 3.80, 3.20, 1.66); IV, 15.0 (4.00, 4.82, 4.26, 1.92). Epigynal teeth long; anteriorly situated, widely separated; atrium with apparent anterior atrial margin; copulatory ducts broad, apparent in dorsal view; spermathecal heads long, slender; spermathecae small, rounded, not convoluted (figs. 248, 249).

**Male:** Unknown.

**Other Material Examined:** None.

**Distribution:** Nepal.

**Himalcoelotes diatropos**, new species

Figures 250–253

**Type:** Female holotype and 1 female paratype from Gosainkund, Syng Gyangm, 3200 m, mixed rhododendron–coniferous forest, Rasuwa Dist., Nepal (April 26, 1973; J. Martens), in SMF (no. 41), examined.

**Etymology:** The specific name derives from the variation of atrium size of female epigynum.

**Diagnosis:** The females are similar to those of *H. brignolii* but can be distinguished by the presence of a large atrium and the broad spermathecae (figs. 250–253).

**Description:** Female (holotype): Total length 11.2. Carapace 4.80 long, 3.40 wide. Abdomen 6.40 long, 5.00 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.21, ALE 0.25, PME 0.24, PLE 0.23; AME-AME 0.10, AME-AME 0.10, AME-ALE 0.11, PME-PME 0.21, PME-PLE 0.30, AME-PME 0.27. Clypeus height 0.31. Leg measurements: I, 12.4 (3.60, 4.24, 2.84, 1.72); II, 11.2 (3.20, 3.80, 2.66, 1.58); III, 10.1 (2.72, 3.30, 2.68, 1.42); IV, 13.1 (3.58, 4.28, 3.62, 1.66). Epigynal teeth long, anteriorly situated, widely separated; atrium with anterior atrial margin (one specimen with much small atrium, fig. 252); copulatory ducts broad, originating mesally; spermathecal heads small; spermathecae broad, convoluted (figs. 250–253).

Male: Unknown.

**Other Material Examined:** NEPAL: Gorkha Dist., Darondi Khola to above Barpak, 3600–3450 m, August 10, 1983, 1 female (J. Martens and W. Schawaller, SMF, no. 242); Gorkha Dist., NW pass Rupina La, Tabruk Kharka, 4000 m, August 7–8, 1983 (J. Martens and W. Schawaller, SMF, no. 237).
**Himalcoelotes gyirongensis** (Hu and Li), female spinnerets, ventral view, left.

**Figs. 262–264.** *Himalcoelotes gyirongensis* (Hu and Li), female spinnerets, ventral view, left. 262. ALS. 263. PMS. 264. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

**DISTRIBUTION:** Nepal.

**Himalcoelotes gyirongensis** (Hu and Li), new combination

Figures 254–264

*Coelotes gyirongensis* Hu and Li, 1987: 279, figs. 19.1–19.5 (female holotype, deposited in Shan-dong University, Ji-Nan, China, not examined). – Song et al., 1999: 375, figs. 217U, 217V.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Resembles *H. martensi* in having large, anteriorly extended spermathecae, but can be easily distinguished by the widely separated, posteriorly situated atria and the laterally originating, posteriorly situated copulatory ducts of females (figs. 254, 255), and by the short, ventrally curved patellar apophysis, the elongated cymbial furrow, and the posteriorly origin of the embolus in males (figs. 256–259).

**DESCRIPTION:** Epigynal teeth long, slender, anteriorly situated, widely separated; atria small, situated posteriorly near epigastric furrow, widely separated; copulatory ducts originated laterally, widely separated; spermathecal heads long, slender, situated posteriorly to ventral spermathecae, extended laterally; spermathecae strongly elongated anteriorly, strongly convoluted, close together (figs. 254, 255).

Female spinnerets with apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 37 piriform gland spigots; PMS with 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), about 30 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLS with about 27 aciniform gland spigots and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 262–264).

Male (Parbat Dist. specimen): Total length 10.5. Carapace 4.48 long, 3.16 wide. Abdomen 6.00 long, 2.80 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.23, ALE 0.21, PME 0.20, PLE 0.21; AME-AME 0.10, AME-ALE 0.10, AME-ALE 0.07, PME-PME 0.19, PME-PLE 0.25, AME-PME 0.20. Leg measurements: I, 17.7 (4.52, 6.00, 4.80, 2.40); II, 15.7 (4.32, 5.08, 4.16, 2.12); III, 12.8 (3.76, 4.24, 3.80, 1.76); IV, 17.4 (4.52, 5.60, 5.20, 2.12). Palpal patellar apophysis short, broad, with apex slightly curved ventrally; RTA long, occupies most of tibia, with distal end extended anteriorly; lateral tibial apophysis apparent; cymbial furrow long, occupies most of cymbial length, with dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor relatively long, slender; conductor dorsal apophysis broad (fig. 259); conductor lamella well developed; embolus posterior in origin, long; median apophysis small, modified (figs. 256–259).

**NOTES:** The original descriptions and illus-
trations of this species (Hu and Li, 1987) were not detailed enough for species identification, especially regarding the morphology of atria, copulatory ducts, and spermathecal heads. The types are not available. Specimens examined here are considered *H. gyirongensis* because of their similarity in spermathecal morphology. Comparison of this material with the types of *H. gyirongensis* is needed to confirm this identification.

**Material Examined:** **NEPAL:** Parbat Dist., near Ghorepani (= Gorapani), 2850 m, October 27, 1985, 1 male and 1 female (J. Coddington, USNM); Kaski Dist., Bhichuk-Landrung Trail, streamside, ca. 1650 m, October 20, 1985, 1 male (J. Coddington, USNM); Kaski Dist., rhododendron–bamboo forest near Khuldighar, 2400 m, October 22, 1985, 1 male (J. Coddington, USNM).

**Distribution:** Tibet, Nepal.

---

**Himalcoelotes martensi**, new species  
*Figures 265–277*

**Type:** Female holotype and 1 male paratype from Dambush Khola near Tukche, pitfall traps, 2900 m, Kaski Dist., Nepal (October 17–November 28, 1969; J. Martens), in SMF (no. 20), examined.

**Etymology:** The specific name is a patronym in honor of the collector of the specimens, Prof. J. Martens.

**Diagnosis:** This new species can be easily recognized by the reduced atrium, the position of spermathecal heads, and the large, anteriorly extended spermathecae of females (figs. 265, 266), and by the broad conductor and the prolateral origin of the embolus of males (figs. 267, 269).

**Description:** Female (holotype): Total length 10.0. Carapace 4.60 long, 2.86 wide. Abdomen 5.40 long, 3.40 wide. Eye sizes...

and interdistances: AME 0.21, ALE 0.23, PME 0.20, PLE 0.21; AME-AME 0.12, AME-ALE 0.09, PME-PME 0.20, PME-PLE 0.24, AME-PME 0.20. Clypeus height 0.26 (fig. 29). Leg measurements: I, 11.7 (3.20, 4.00, 2.82, 1.72); II, 10.7 (2.98, 3.58, 2.60, 1.56); III, 9.40 (2.64, 3.08, 2.46, 1.22); IV, 12.5 (3.44, 4.12, 3.40, 1.50). Clypeal height slightly longer than AME diameter; chilum divided, hairless (fig. 270). Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retromarginal teeth (fig. 271). Labial about as long as wide. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length (4.0/4.6). Epigynum with long epigynal teeth, anteriorly situated close together; atrium less apparent; hoods more or less apparent; copulatory ducts short, not visible in dorsal view; spermathecal heads long, slender, situated mesally; spermathecae convoluted, strongly elongated anteriorly, with indistinct stalks and bases (figs. 265, 266).

Male (paratype): Total length 7.90. Carapace 4.10 long, 2.72 wide. Abdomen 3.80 long, 3.60 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.20, ALE 0.19, PME 0.18, PLE 0.20; AME-AME 0.09, AME-ALE 0.06, PME-PME 0.11, PME-PLE 0.20, AME-PME 0.17. Clypeus height 0.28. Leg measurements: I, 14.0 (3.68, 4.64, 3.66, 2.02); II, 12.9 (3.46, 4.08, 3.48, 1.92); III, 11.9 (3.14, 3.60, 3.40, 1.72); IV, 14.6 (3.86, 4.40, 4.34, 2.02). Male palp with moderately long patellar apophysis; RTA slightly longer than half tibial length, with distal end not strongly extended; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow short, not strongly elongated; conductor broad, with apex slightly bifid; conductor dorsal apophysis broad; conductor lamella not well developed; embolus prolateral in origin; median apophysis small, rounded, modified with deep notch and sharp extension (figs. 267, 269).

Tarsal trichobothria with large hood trans-
versely striated, small hood smooth (fig. 272); tarsal organ small, rounded (fig. 273), situated on distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (fig. 274, double arrows). Trachea not examined. Examined female spinnerets not in good condition, particular PLS. Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 24 piriform gland spigots; PMS with at least 8–10 aciniform gland spigots, 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), and 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLE with at least 10 aciniform gland spigots, only 1 cylindrical gland spigot seen on observed specimen (figs. 275–277).

**Himalcoelotes pirum**, new species

Figures 278–281

**TYPE**: Female holotype (no. 139) from Marsyandi Valley, above Bagarchap, Acer–Quercus mixed forest, Manang Dist., Nepal (April 13–14, 1980; J. Martens and Ausobsky), and male paratype (no. 138) from Marsyandi Valley, above Bagarchap, Acer–Quercus mixed forest, Manang Dist., Nepal (April 12–13, 1980; J. Martens and Ausobsky), in SMF, examined.

**ETYMOLOGY**: The specific name refers to the pear-shaped spermathecae.

**DIAGNOSIS**: This species is similar to *H. aequoreus* but can be distinguished by the large atrium and the pear-shaped, less convoluted spermathecae of females (figs. 278, 279), and by the short cymbial furrow of males (figs. 280, 281).

**DESCRIPTION**: Female (holotype): Total length 10.5. Carapace 4.90 long, 3.00 wide. Abdomen 5.60 long, 4.00 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.16, ALE 0.21, PME 0.20, PLE 0.20; AME-AME 0.12,
Figs. 272–274. *Himalcoelotes martensi*, new species. 272. Trichobothrium. 273. Tarsal organ. 274. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow).

AME-ALE 0.12, PME-PME 0.18, PME-PLE 0.25, AME-PME 0.19. Clypeus height 0.30. Leg measurements: I, 12.0 (3.36, 4.16, 2.76, 1.70); II, 10.7 (3.06, 3.60, 2.50, 1.58); III, 9.70 (2.78, 3.02, 2.48, 1.42); IV, 12.9 (3.44, 4.20, 3.50, 1.72). Epigynal teeth long, anteriorly situated, close together; atrium with apparent anterior atrial margin; copulatory ducts short, situated anteriorly between spermathecae, barely visible in dorsal view; spermathecal heads short, situated anteriorly; spermathecae broad, close together (Figs. 276–279).

Male (paratype): Total length 9.20. Carapace 5.00 long, 3.20 wide. Abdomen 4.20 long, 3.00 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.19, ALE 0.23, PME 0.20, PLE 0.23; AME-AME 0.10, AME-ALE 0.07, PME-PME 0.16, PME-PLE 0.20, AME-PME 0.19. Clypeus height 0.34. Leg measurements: I, 13.8 (3.62, 4.70, 3.40, 2.06); II, 12.7 (3.40, 4.20, 3.20, 1.92); III, 9.74 (2.60, 2.96, 2.60, 1.58); IV, 14.6 (3.82, 4.60, 4.16, 2.00). Palpal patellar apophysis small; RTA broad, occupies most tibial length, slightly extended anteriorly; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow moderately long, with dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor broad; conductor dorsal apophysis short, broad; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus basal in origin; median apophysis small, modified (figs. 280, 281).


Distribution: Nepal.

Himalcoelotes sherpa (Brignoli), new combination
Figures 282–289


Diagnosis: This species can be recognized by the absence of anterior atrial margin, the small, rounded, nonconvoluted spermathecae, and the short copulatory ducts of females (figs. 282–287), and by the long, strong patellar apophysis, the slender, bifurcated conductor, and the prolaterally originating embolus of males (figs. 288, 289).

Description: Epigynum with epigynal teeth long, widely separated; anterior atrial margin less developed, with atria widely separated; copulatory ducts short, invisible in dorsal view; spermathecal heads long, slender, situated anteriorly; spermathecae small, rounded, not convoluted (figs. 282–287).

Male with examined specimen in poor so-
matic condition. Palpal patellar apophysis relatively long, strong; RTA broad, with distal end extended anteriorly; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow short; conductor slender, bifurcated; conductor dorsal apophysis short, broad; embolus prolateral in origin; median apophysis short, modified (figs. 288, 289).


DISTRIBUTION: Nepal.

**Himalcoelotes subsherpa**, new species

Figures 290–293

TYPES: Male holotype and female paratype from Thodung near Those, 3200 m, Ramechhap Dist., Nepal (April 3–9, 1973; J. Martens), in SMF (no. 48), examined.

ETYMOLOGY: The specific name refers to its similarity to the species *H. sherpa*.


Fig. 296, 297. *Leptocelotes pseudoluniformis* (Zhang, Peng and Kim), female. 296. Epigynum. 297. Vulva.

**Diagnosis:** This new species is similar to *H. sherpa* but can be distinguished by the small patellar apophysis, the basally originated embolus, and the presence of a small tooth on distal conductor of males (figs. 290, 291), and by the differences of their atrium and the laterally originated copulatory ducts of females (figs. 292, 293).

**Description:** Male (holotype): Total length 8.80. Carapace 4.60 long, 3.20 wide. Abdomen 4.20 long, 2.80 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.23, ALE 0.22, PME 0.20, PLE 0.21; AME-AME 0.08, AME-ALE 0.06, PME-PME 0.13, PME-PLE 0.25, AME-PME 0.19. Clypeus height 0.26. Leg measurements: I, 15.0 (4.00, 5.00, 3.80, 2.20); II, 14.0 (3.78, 4.56, 3.66, 2.00); III, 12.7 (3.36, 4.00, 3.56, 1.78); IV, 15.8 (4.14, 5.10, 4.58, 2.00). Palpal patellar apophysis short, small; RTA broad, long, occupies most of tibia, with distal end slightly extended; lateral tibial apophysis large; cymbial furrow moderately long; conductor slender, with small tooth on distal end; conductor dorsal apophysis short, broad; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus basal in origin; median apophysis small, modified (figs. 290, 291).

Female (paratype): Total length 11.0. Carapace 5.25 long, 3.75 wide. Abdomen 5.70 long, 4.20 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.26, ALE 0.28, PME 0.24, PLE 0.28; AME-AME 0.11, AME-ALE 0.10, PME-PME 0.22, PME-PLE 0.33, AME-PME 0.28. Clypeus height 0.40. Leg measurements: I, 15.3 (4.20, 5.20, 3.78, 2.12); II, 13.9 (3.84, 4.64, 3.46, 1.96); III, 12.3 (3.42, 3.98, 3.34, 1.60); IV, 15.8 (4.20, 5.18, 4.40, 2.02). Epi-
gynal teeth strong, anteriorly situated, widely separated; atrium with more or less apparent anterior atrial margin; copulatory ducts short, situated laterally, barely visible in dorsal view; spermathecal heads long, slender, situated anteriorly; spermathecae small, rounded, not convoluted (figs. 292, 293).

**OTHER MATERIAL EXAMINED:** NEPAL: Dolakha Dist., Jiri Valley with Hanumante Mt., 2900 m, March 28–29, 1973, 1 female (J. Martens, SMF, no. 46).

**DISTRIBUTION:** Nepal.

**Himalcoelotes syntomos,** new species  
Figures 294, 295  

**TYPE:** Female holotype from Kathmandu Valley, Phulchoki Mt., 2900 m, oak forest, Lalitpur Dist., Nepal (January 25–30, 1970; J. Martens), in SMF (no. 34), examined.

**ETYMOLOGY:** The specific name derives from the relatively short epigynal teeth.
Map 11. Records of *Leptocoelotes*.

DIAGNOSIS: Females of this species can be easily recognized by the relatively short, broad epigynal teeth, the shape of the epigynum, and the medially situated copulatory ducts (figs. 294, 295).

DESCRIPTION: Female (paratype): Total length 6.30. Carapace 3.10 long, 1.80 wide. Abdomen dried, 3.20 long, 1.80 wide. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.09, ALE 0.18, PME 0.17, PLE 0.17; AME-AME 0.08, AME-ALE 0.09, PME-PME 0.09, PME-PLE 0.11, AME-PME 0.12. Clypeus height 0.15. Leg measurements: I, 7.37 (2.10, 2.49, 1.70, 1.08); II, 6.48 (1.92, 2.10, 1.51, 0.95); III, 6.04 (1.70, 1.94, 1.60, 0.80); IV, 8.37 (2.28, 2.70, 2.30, 1.09). Epigynal teeth relatively short, broad, anteriorly situated; atria more or less separated by median septum; copulatory ducts short, situated mesally, anteriorly extended; spermathecal heads short, situated mesally; spermathecae broad, convoluted, wide apart posteriorly and anteriorly adjacent (figs. 294, 295).

Male: Unknown.

Map 12. Records of *Longicoelotes*. 
**OTHER MATERIAL EXAMINED:** None.

**DISTRIBUTION:** Nepal.

**LEPTOCOELOTES, NEW GENUS**

**TYPE SPECIES:** *Coelotes pseudoluniformis* Zhang, Peng and Kim, 1998.

**ETYMOLOGY:** The generic name is derived from its similarity to *Coelotes* and the weak epigynal teeth, and is masculine in gender.

**DIAGNOSIS:** Females can be easily recognized by the broad but weakly sclerotized epigynal teeth, a shallow atrium, and short copulatory ducts (figs. 296–299), and males by the complex conductor, the absence of a conductor dorsal apophysis, and the absence of median apophysis (figs. 302–304). Both sexes have four to five promarginal and retromarginal teeth (fig. 301).

**DESCRIPTION:** See description of type species (below).

**DISTRIBUTION:** China (map 11).

**COMPOSITION:** Two species are included.

1. *Leptocoelotes edentulus* (Wang and Ono, 1998): female holotype from Ilan, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

2. *Leptocoelotes pseudoluniformis* (Zhang, Peng and Kim, 1998): female holotype from Tiantong, Zhejiang, China, in HBI, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

*Leptocoelotes pseudoluniformis* (Zhang, Peng, and Kim)  
Figures 296–309

*Coelotes pseudoluniformis* Zhang, Peng and Kim, 1998: 293, figs. 6, 7 (female holotype from Tiantong, Zhejiang, China, in HBI, examined).

**DIAGNOSIS:** Female of this species can be recognized from *L. edentus* by the laterally
situating hoods, the anterior origin of the copulatory ducts, and the relatively elongated spermathecae (figs. 296–299), and males by the tiny lateral tibial apophysis, the strongly bised conductor, and the absence of both a conductor dorsal apophysis and a median apophysis (figs. 302–304).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length about 5.00–8.50. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest and slightly smaller than ALE, ALE subequal to PLE and PME; AME-AME slightly shorter than AME diameter, ALE-AME close together, subequal to ALE-PLE, PME-PLE subequal to PME-PME, about PME radius, AME-PME about PME radius. Clypeal height about 1.5–2 times AME diameter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 300). Chelicerae with five promarginal and four to five retromarginal teeth (fig. 301). Labium with width slightly wider than length. Length of 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated away from distal end of tarsus, about same position as most distal trichobothrium (figs. 305, 306). Female spinnerets with apex of ALS with 2 major amouple gland spigots (MAP), 19 piriform gland spigots; PMS with 1 or 2 minor amouple gland spigots (mAP), 7 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLS with about 12 aciniform gland spigots and 2 cylindrical spigots (figs. 307–309).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth broad but weakly sclerotized; atrium broad but shallow, not apparent; copulatory ducts short, situated anterolaterad of spermathecae; spermathecal heads situated anterad of spermathecae; spermathecae slightly elongated, convoluted, widely separated, with indistinct bases and stalks (figs. 296–299). Male palpal patellar apophysis small, strongly curved dorsally;
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Figs. 320–322. *Longicoelotes karschi*, new species, female spinnerets, ventral view, left. 320. ALS. 321. PMS. 322. PLS. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

RTA small, with distal end extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis tiny; cymbial furrow moderately long; conductor complex; conductor dorsal apophysis absent; conductor lamella short; embolus basal in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis absent (figs. 302–304).

**Material Examined:** **China:** Zhejiang: Tiantong, January 20, 1988, female holotype (Y. J. Zhang, HBI). **Hunan:** Changsha, Yuelushan, December 22, 1982, 2 males and 4 females (J. F. Wang, HBI).
Map 13. Records of *Paracoelotes*.

**Longicoelotes, New Genus**

**Type Species:** *Longicoelotes karschi* Wang, new species.

**Etymology:** The generic name is derived from its similarity to *Coelotes* and to the strongly elongated patellar apophysis, and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females can be easily recognized by the absence of epigynal teeth and the distinct shape of the epigynum (Figs. 310, 311), and males by the strongly elongated patellar apophysis, the complex conductor, and the absence of median apophysis (Figs. 312–314).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** China (map 12).

**Composition:** Only the type species.

**Longicoelotes karschi,** new species

*Figures 310–322*

*Coelotes mollendorffi*: Schenkel, 1963: 280, fig. 158. – Chen and Zhang, 1991: 187, fig. 185 (misidentification).

*Coelotes moellendorffi*: Song et al., 1999: 376, figs. 221E, 221F, 222M, 224A (misidentification).

**Type:** Male holotype from Zijin (Purple) Mt., 350–450 m, Nanjin, China (September 13, 1997; X. P. Wang), and female paratype from same locality (October 9, 1988; P. Beron), deposited in AMNH.

**Diagnosis:** This species can be easily identified by the absence of epigynal teeth, the distinct shape of the epigynum, the short copulatory ducts, and the distinct spermathe-
cal stalks and bases of females (figs. 310, 311), and by the strongly elongated patellar apophysis, complex conductor, and the small median apophysis of males (figs. 312–314).

**DESCRIPTION:** Male (holotype): Total length 7.70. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.15, ALE 0.18, PME 0.18, PLE 0.17, AME-AME 0.08, AME-ALE 0.03, ALE-PLE 0.03, PME-PME 0.10, PME-PLE 0.12, AME-PME 0.15. Leg measurements: I, 13.5 (3.42, 4.46, 3.40, 2.18); II, 12.1 (3.20, 3.84, 3.10, 1.92); III, 11.3 (3.00, 3.40, 3.26, 1.62); IV, 15.6 (4.00, 4.80, 4.60, 2.20). Male palp with patellar apophysis strongly elongated; RTA long, with distal end slightly extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis situated relatively dorsally; cymbial furrow short; conductor short, broad, bifurcated, with dorsal one broad and ventral one slender; conductor lamella less developed; conductor dorsal apophysis broad; median apophysis small, not spoonlike; embolus basal in origin, moderately long, linear (figs. 312–314).

Female (paratype): Total length 7.80. Eye sizes and interdistances: AME 0.15, ALE 0.20, PME 0.19, PLE 0.19, AME-AME 0.10, AME-ALE 0.04, ALE-PLE 0.05, PME-PME 0.11, PME-PLE 0.17, AME-PME 0.16. Leg measurements: I, 13.5 (3.24, 4.20, 2.86, 1.82); II, 10.8 (3.00, 3.60, 2.60, 1.58); III, 10.1 (2.80, 3.10, 2.72, 1.44); IV, 13.9 (3.60, 4.46, 4.00, 1.80). Clypeal height roughly twice AME diameter or slightly shorter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 315). Chelicerae with three promarginal, two retromarginal teeth (fig. 316). Labium slightly wider than long. Length of fe-

---

male 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length. Epigynum with epigynal teeth absent; middle part of epigynum relatively elevated with clear lateral edges; atrium reduced, not apparent; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads pointed laterally; spermathecae with distinct stalks and bases; spermathecal stalks situated medially, close to each other; spermathecal bases large, widely separated (figs. 310, 311).

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of
Fig. 336.  *Platocoelotes impletus* (Peng and Wang), female.  
Fig. 337. Vulva.

Notes: Examination of the types of *C. mollendorffi* (3 female syntypes from Peking, China, in ZMB, examined) shows that *C. mollendorffi* is in fact a junior synonym of *Paracoelotes spinivulvus* (Simon, 1880).

Other Material Examined: **China:**  
Zhejiang: West Tschenkiang, April 1872, 1 female (A. David, MNHN, B2011 bis); Hangtscheou, 1925, 3 females (MNHN, B2011 bis); Lin-An, October 19, 1974, 3 males and 3 females (C. D. Zhu, NBUMS).

Distribution: China (Jiangsu, Zhejiang).

**Paracoelotes Brignoli**


Type Species: *Coelotes armeniacus* Brignoli, 1978, by original designation.

Map 14. Records of *Platocoelotes*. 

**Diagnosis:** Females can be recognized by the large epigynal atrium, the long, laterally situated epigynal teeth, and the broad copulatory ducts (Figs. 323, 324), and males by the long, looped conductor and the absence of a conductor dorsal apophysis (Figs. 325–327).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** Europe and Asia (map 13).

**Composition:** The genus *Paracoelotes* comprises 16 species, including 5 new combinations.


3. *Paracoelotes cottarellii* (Brignoli, 1978): female holotype and paratypes from Sinop, Turkey, in MHNG and MCV, examined. **New combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

4. *Paracoelotes fedotovi* (Charitonov, 1946): female holotype from Ishkent, Uzbekistan, depository unknown, not examined. Females from Uzbekistan, in PSU and AMNH, examined.


6. *Paracoelotes involutus* (Wang et al., 1990): male and female paratypes from Xishan, Kuming, Yunnan, China, in HBI, examined. **New combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

7. *Paracoelotes luctuosus* (L. Koch, 1878): type depository unknown. Males and females from East Asia, in AMNH, HBI, IZB, CAS, SMF, and ZMB, examined.

male paratypes from Xiaguan, Yunnan, China, in NBUMS, not examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*). Males and females from Yunnan, China, in CAS and HBI, examined.

9. *Paracoelotes major* (Kroneberg, 1875): type depository unknown. Female specimens from Middle Asia, in AMNH and PSU, examined.


11. *Paracoelotes segestiformis* (Defour, 1820): male neotype and female paraneotype

from Haute Garonne, France, in MNHN, examined.


*Coelotes qinlingensis* Peng, Gong and Kim, 1996, with female holotype, male and female paratypes from Louguantan, Shaanxi, China, in HBI, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *P. spinivulvus* (Simon) because of the identical female genital morphology. NEW SYNONYMY.

*Coelotes caraftensis* Kishida, 1924, with types from Sakhalin, Russia, depository unknown, not examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *P. spinivulvus* (Simon). Judged by the illustrations, this species is identical with *P. spinivulvus* (Simon) in both male and female genitalic morphology. NEW SYNONYMY.

*Coelotes fascinatus* Wang et al., 1990, with male and female paratypes from Yuzhong, Gansu, China, in HBI, examined, is placed as a junior synonym of *P. spinivulvus* (Simon) because of the identical genitalic morphology. NEW SYNONYMY.

Coelotes subluctuosus Zhu and Wang, 1994, with female holotype, male and female paratypes from Taishan, Shandong, China, in NBUMS, not examined, is placed as a junior synonym of P. taishanensis. Judged by the illustrations and the locality, this species is identical with P. taishanensis in male and female genitalia. New synonymy.

15. Paracoelotes taiwanensis Wang and

Ono, 1998: male holotype and female paratype from Tatachia, Nantou Hsien, Taiwan, in NSMT, examined.

16. Paracoelotes tianchiensis (Wang et al., 1990): male and female paratypes from Mt. Tianshan, Xinjiang, China, in HBI, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from Coelotes).

Paracoelotes armeniacus (Brignoli)  
Figures 323–335

Coelotes armeniacus Brignoli, 1978b: 527, figs. 117–123 (male holotype, male and female paratypes from Zigana gecidi, Gumushane, Turkey, in MCV and MNHG, examined).


DIAGNOSIS: Females are similar to those of P. major but can be distinguished by the long spermathecal heads (fig. 324). Males resemble those of P. garibaldii but can be recognized by the relatively large patellar apophysis and the less extended RTA (figs. 325–327).

DESCRIPTION: Total length about 8.00–14.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurred, posterior row procurred; eye sizes and arrangements: AME small, about
Figs. 357–359. Robusticoelotes pichoni (Schenkel), female. 357. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 358. Tarsal organ. 359. Trichobothrium.

three-fourths ALE size, slightly smaller then PME, ALE largest, subequal or slightly bigger than PLE; AME may be separated by roughly their diameter or slightly less, AME-ALE about AME diameter, ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE about 1.5 PLE diameter, PME-PME slightly longer than PME diameter. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter or slightly less, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless, long (fig. 328). Chelicerae with three promarginal, three retromarginal teeth (fig. 329).

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsi, slightly anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 330–332). Only female spinnerets examined: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), at least 29 piriform gland spigots; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), about 40 aciniform gland spigots, at least 4 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLS with about 40 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots observed (figs. 333–335).

Epigynum with epigynal teeth situated anterolaterally, long; atrium large, with anterior margin extended posteriorly; copulatory ducts broad, membranous; spermathecal heads large, anteriorly situated; spermathecae longitudinally elongated, convoluted, widely separated, with indistinct bases and stalks (figs. 323, 324). Male palp with one small patellar apophysis; RTA with distal end blunt, slightly extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis small; cymbial furrow moderately long; conductor long, spiral with one apparent loop; conductor dorsal apophysis absent; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus prolateral in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with strongly extended distal end (figs. 325–327).

Material examined: Turkey: Gumushane: Zigana gecidi, 2100 m, July 12, 1971, male holotype and 6 female paratypes (P. Brignoli, MNHG), Zigana gecidi, 2025 m alt., June 12, 1968, 3 female paratypes (P. Brignoli, MNHG); Zigana gecidi, 2100 m, July 12, 1971, 2 male and 7 female paratypes (G. Osella, MCV); Soganli gecidi, 2400 m, July 11, 1971, 15 females (G. Osella, MCV). Artvin: Savsat, 2450 m, July 6, 1971, 9 females (Vigna, MCV, Coll. Brignoli).

Distribution: Turkey (Gumushane, Artvin).

PLATOCOELOTES, NEW GENUS

Type species: Coelotes impletus Peng and Wang, 1997.

Etymology: This generic name is de-
Map 16. Records of *Spiricoelotes*.


 Derived from its similarity to *Coelotes* and to its broad epigynum, and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females can be easily recognized by the absence of epigynal teeth, the presence of an anteriorly situated epigynal cavity, the deep, posteriorly situated epigynal hoods, and the long, strongly convoluted spermathecae (figs. 336, 337), and males by the presence of two patellar apophyses (one strongly reduced in some specimens), the elongated cymbial furrow, the presence of a conductor posterior apophysis, a long embolus, and the absence of a median apophysis.

(figs. 338–340). Both sexes have 3 promarginal and 2 retromarginal cheliceral teeth (fig. 342).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** China (map 14).

**Composition:** Four species are included in this genus.

1. *Platocoelotes impletus* (Peng and Wang, 1997): female holotype, male and female paratypes from Zhangjiajie, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

2. *Platocoelotes icohamatooides* (Peng and Wang, 1997): female paratype from Naer Mt., Fenghuang, Hunan, China, in HBI, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

3. *Platocoelotes icohamatus* (Zhu and Wang, 1991): female holotype, male and female paratypes from Sichuan, China, in NBUMS, not examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

4. *Platocoelotes lichuanensis* (Chen and Zhao, 1998): male holotype from Lichuan, Hubei, China, in HUW, examined. **NEW COMBINATION** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

**Platocoelotes impletus** (Peng and Wang)

Figs. 336–348


**Diagnosis:** Females are similar to those of *P. icohamatooides* but can be distinguished by
the different shape of epigynum and the long spermathecal stalks (figs. 336, 337). Males can be distinguished from those of *P. lichuanensis* only by the size of the conductor apex apophyses (figs. 338–340).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length 5.00–12.0.

about 1.5 PME diameter, PME-PME separated by roughly their radius. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter or slightly less; chilum divided (fig. 341). Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retromarginal teeth (fig. 342). Labium about as wide as long. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length.

Tarsal organ not seen under SEM in examined specimens (figs. 343, 344). Further examination of other species also failed to locate tarsal organ (fig. 345). Female spinnerets examined: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 64 piriform gland spigots; PMS with at least 27 aciniform gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY), and 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP); PLS with at least 44 aciniform gland spigots, cylindrical gland spigots not clear in examined specimen (figs. 346–348).

Epigynum without epigynal teeth; hoods situated posteriorly near epigastric margin; atrium longitudinally elongated, shallow; epigynum with anteriorly situated, shallow cavity; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads small; spermathecae with stalks strongly elongated, highly convoluted; spermathecal bases small (figs. 336, 337). Male palp with two small patellar apophyses; RTA long, occupying almost tibial length, with distal end strongly extended beyond tibia;

Figs. 381–383. *Tegecoelotes bicaudatus* (Paik), female spinnerets, ventral view. 381. ALS, left. 382. PMS, right. 383. PLS, right. Abbreviations are spelled out on p. 6.

lateral tibial apophysis small; cymbial furrow long, with distal end strongly extended, slightly beyond cymbium; conductor broad, with long posteriorly extended apophysis and anteriorly extended finger-like apophyses; conductor dorsal apophysis broad; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus posterior in origin and then curved prolaterally, long, linear; median apophysis absent (figs. 338–340).


**Distribution:** China (Hunan).

**Robusticoelotes**, New Genus

**Type Species:** *Tegenaria pichoni* Schenkel, 1963.

**Etymology:** The generic name is derived from *Coelotes* and the strongly developed patellar apophyses, conductor, and embolus, and is masculine in gender.

**Diagnosis:** Females resemble *Ambanus* in having a large epigynal atrium, broad copulatory ducts, and in lacking epigynal teeth,

Map 18. Records of *Tonsilla*.
but have long, anteriorly extended spermathecae (figs. 349, 350). Males can be easily recognized by the presence of three patellar apophyses and the strongly modified retrolateral surface of the palpal tibia (figs. 351–354). Both sexes have six or seven promarginal and five or six retromarginal teeth (fig. 356), whereas most coelotines have three promarginal and less than four retromarginal teeth.

**DESCRIPTION:** See description of type species (below).

**DISTRIBUTION:** China (map 15).

**COMPOSITION:** Only *R. pichoni*.

*R. pichoni* (Schenkel), new combination

**Figures** 349–359


**DIAGNOSIS:** This species can be easily recognized by lacking epigynal teeth, the broad atrium, the broad copulatory ducts, the large, anteriorly situated spermathecal heads, and the long, slender spermathecae of females (figs. 349, 350), and males by having three patellar apophyses, the tibial modification, the strong, spiral, bifid conductor, the broad, membranous median apophysis, and the strong embolus (figs. 351–354).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length 6.01–8.44. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; AME, ALE, PLE subequal or ALE slightly larger, PME slightly smaller; AME-AME about AME radius, AME-ALE and ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE wider than their diameter, PME-
PME shorter than their diameter. Clypeal height roughly twice AME diameter or slightly shorter; chilum divided, elongated (fig. 355). Chelicerae with six or seven promarginal and five or six retromarginal teeth (fig. 356). Labium slightly longer than wide. Length of female first leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 357–359). Spinnerets not examined.

Epigynum without teeth; atrium large, with apparent anterior and lateral atrial margins; lateral atrial margins convex toward each other; hoods posteriorly situated; copulatory duct broad; spermathecal heads large, situated anteriorly; spermathecae stalks long, slender, widely separated, not convoluted, covered by copulatory ducts in dorsal view; spermathecal bases more or less elongated, not convoluted (figs. 349, 350). Male palp with three patellar apophyses, two strong, one small; RTA short, with distal end not extended; lateral tibial apophysis present; lateral tibial surface strongly modified with lobes and concavity; cymbial furrow short; conductor strong, broad, spiral, with bifid apex; conductor lamella less developed; conductor dorsal apophysis short; median apophysis membranous, broad, not spoonlike; embolus basal in origin, strong, long (figs. 351–354).

**Material Examined:** **China:** Zhejiang: Hangtsehew (Hangzhou), 1925, 1 male and 1 female type (MNHN, B-1979); Lin-an, October 19, 1974, 1 male (C. D. Zhu, NBUMS).

Jiangsu: Nanking, April 1925, 1 female (C. Ping, AMNH).

**Distribution:** China (Zhejiang, Jiangsu).

**SPIRICOELOTES** New Genus

**Type Species:** *Coelotes zonatus* Peng and Wang, 1997.

**ETYMOLOGY**: The generic name is derived from *Coelotes* and the spiral conductor, the strongly spiral, convoluted spermathecae, and is masculine in gender.

**DIAGNOSIS**: Females can be easily recognized by the long, strongly convoluted spermathecae and the absence of epigynal teeth (figs. 360, 362), males by the strongly curved patellar apophysis, the elongated cymbial furrow, the absence of a conductor dorsal apophysis, and the slender, anteriorly extended, spiral conductor (figs. 366–368).
Both sexes have five teeth on both cheliceral margins (fig. 365).

DESCRIPTION: See description of type species (below).

DIAGNOSIS: This species can be distinguished from S. urumensis by the strong spermathecae of females (fig. 361) and the relatively short conductor of males (fig. 367).

DESCRIPTION: Total length 6.00–8.00. From front, anterior eye row slightly procured, posterior row procured; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, slightly smaller than ALE, ALE, PLE and PME subequal; AME separated by roughly three-fourths their diameter, AME-ALE and ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE separated by roughly three-fourths their diameter, PME-PME subequal to AME-AME or slightly longer. Clypeal height slightly longer than AME diameter; chilum divided (fig. 364). Chelicerae with five teeth on both margins (fig. 365). Labium wider than long. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia longer than carapace length.

Tarsal organ situated anterior of most distal trichobothrium (figs. 369–371). Only female spinnerets examined: apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), about 23 piriform gland spigots; PMS with spigots with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), about 20 acicular gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLS with about 30 acicular gland spigots, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (figs. 372–374).
Map 19. Records of *Urocoras*.

Epigynum with epigynal teeth absent; atrium reduced to atrial slits; hoods well developed; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads small, apparent; spermathecae with stalks long, slender, spiral twice around copulatory ducts, then down close together; spermathecae bases slightly looped (Figs. 360, 361). Male palpal patellar apophysis long, with distal end sharply curved dorsally; RTA long, with distal end broad, not extend anteriorly; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow elongated, with distal end strongly extended beyond cymbium, dorsal edge strongly concave; conductor long, slender, extended anteriorly; conductor dorsal apophysis absent; conductor lamella small; embolus posterior in origin, long, linear; median apophysis absent (Figs. 366–368).


Distribution: China (Hubei, Hunan, Jiangsu, Sichuan).

Tegecoelotes Ovtchinnikov

Tegecoelotes Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 68.

Type Species: Coelotes bicaudatus Paik, 1976, by original designation.

Diagnosis: Females can be distinguished by the broad epigynal teeth, the reduced atrium, and the short copulatory ducts (Figs. 375, 376), males by the elongated patella and the long, but not spoonlike median apophysis (Figs. 377–380).

Description: See description of type species (below).
DISTRIBUTION: China, Japan, Korea, Far eastern Russia (map 17).

COMPOSITION: Five species are included in this genus.

1. *Tegecoelotes corasides* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906): male and female types from Saga, Japan, in SMF, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).


3. *Tegecoelotes ignotus* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906): two female types (not further designated) from Japan, in SMF, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

4. *Tegecoelotes michikoaee* (Nishikawa, 1977): female holotype, male and female paratypes from Minoo, Osaka Prefecture, Japan, deposited in the Osaka Museum of Natural History, Osaka, and in the Arachnological Society of Japan, Ohtemon-Gakuin University, Osaka, Japan, not examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

5. *Tegecoelotes muscicapa* (Bösenberg and Strand, 1906): male holotype from Saga, Japan, in SMF, examined. NEW COMBINATION (valid species, transferred here from *Coelotes*).

*Tegecoelotes bicaudatus* (Paik)
Figures 375–383
*Coelotes erraticus* Nishikawa, 1983: 125, figs. 1–6 (male and female types from Mt. Koma-gatake, Tazawako-cho, Senboku-gun, Akita, Ja-
Figs. 407–409. Urocoras longispinus (Kulczynski), female. 407. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 408. Tarsal organ. 409. Trichobothrium.
like, long, spiral, with distal end grooved and supported conductor apex; base of median apophysis membranous, slightly expanded (figs. 377–380).

Material Examined: **Russia**: Far East: S-Primorie, “Kedrovaya Pad” Reservation in a living house, June 12–December 24, 1977, 2 males and 2 females (B. P. Zakharov, SZM). **South Korea**: Moon-Kyang-Sae-Jae, August 20, 1990, 1 male (J. P. Kim, KAI).

**Distribution**: Korea, far eastern Russia.

**Tonsilla Wang and Yin**


**Type Species**: *Tonsilla truculenta* Wang and Yin, 1992, by original designation.

**Diagnosis**: Females can be easily recognized by the large epigynal atrium, the posterior extension of the anterior atrial margin, the medially situated epigynal teeth, and the large copulatory ducts (figs. 384, 385), and males by the long, dorsally curved patellar apophysis and the short, lobed conductor (figs. 386–388). Both sexes have three promarginal and two retromarginal cheliceral teeth (fig. 396).

**Description**: See description of type species (below).

**Distribution**: Central China (map 18).

**Composition**: Four species are included in the genus *Tonsilla*.


4. *Tonsilla variegatus* (Wang et al., 1990): female holotype and male paratype from Huangshan, Anhui, China, in HBI, examined. **New combination** (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

**DIAGNOSIS:** Females can be distinguished from those of *T. eburniformis* and *T. imitata* by the weak, overlapping epigynal teeth (figs. 384, 385). Males can be recognized by the long patellar apophysis and the broad, lobed conductor (figs. 386–399).

**DESCRIPTION:** Total length 7.00–16.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row strongly procurved; AME smallest, ALE subequal to PLE, PME slightly smaller than PLE; AME-AME, AME-ALE subequal to AME diameter; ALE-PLE close together; PLE-PME widely separated; PME-PME subequal to AME-AME, slightly shorter than PME diameter; AME-PME separated by roughly AME diameter or slight longer; clypeus height about twice AME diameter; chilum divided, long (fig. 389). Chelicerae with three promarginal, two retro marginal teeth (fig. 390). Labium longer than wide.

Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 391–393). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 46–50 piriform gland spigots (PI) in both sexes; PMS with 1 or 2 minor ampullate gland spigots (mAP), about 58–71 aciniform gland spigots (AC) in both sexes, female PMS with 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY); PLS with about 83–138 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 394–399).

Epigynum with slender epigynal teeth, situated close together anteromedially; atrium large; septum present; copulatory ducts broad, situated mesad of spermathecae; spermathecal heads large; spermathecae longitudinally elongated, convoluted, with stalks and bases indistinct (Figs. 384, 385). Male palp with long, dorsally curved patellar apophysis; RTA long, with distal end strongly extended; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow short, with dorsal edge slightly concave; tegular sclerite present; conductor moderately long, lobed; conductor dorsal apophysis slender; conductor lamella short, less developed; embolus basal in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with extended distal end (Figs. 386–388).

**Material Examined:** CHINA: Hunan: Sangzhi, Tianzishan, October 27, 1989, female holotype, 1 male and 7 female paratypes (J. F. Wang, HTU).

**Distribution:** China (Hunan).

**Urocoras Ovtchinnikov**

*Urocoras* Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 68.

**Type Species:** *Coelotes longispinus* Kulczyn’ski, 1887, by original designation.

**Diagnosis:** Females can be recognized by the long epigynal teeth, the large, anteriorly extended copulatory ducts, and the small spermathecae (Figs. 400, 401), and males by the absence of a conductor dorsal apophysis, the strong embolus, and the smooth, rounded median apophysis (Figs. 402–404).

**Description:** See description of type species (below).

**Distribution:** Eastern Europe (map 19).

**Composition:** Five species are included in the genus *Urocoras*.

1. *Urocoras longispinus* (Kulczyn’ski, 1897): male lectotype and female paralectotype from Hungary, in MNHN, examined.


3. *Urocoras munieri* (Simon, 1880): male holotype from Sebenino, Yugoslavia, in MNHN, examined. Female specimens from Yugoslavia and Italy examined.

4. *Urocoras nicomedis* (Brignoli, 1978): female holotype and paratypes from Turkey, in MHNG and MCV, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

5. *Urocoras phthisicus* (Brignoli, 1978): female holotype from Ballidag, Kastamonu, Turkey, in MNHG, examined. NEW COMBINATION (transferred here from *Coelotes*).

*Urocoras longispinus* (Kulczyn’ski)

Figures 400–409

*Coelotes longispina* Kulczynski, 1897: 158, fig. 13 (male lectotype and female paralectotype from Hungary, in MNHN, examined).

*Amaurobis longispina*: Kulczynski, 1906: 471, figs. 16, 39, 55.

*Coelotes longispina*: Drensky, 1942: 40, fig. 5a. – Heimer and Nentwig, 1991: 356, fig. 926. – Loska, 1969: 107, figs. 73A, 75C, 76A.

*Urocoras longispinus*: de Blauwe, 1973: 58, figs. 49–53. – Ovtchinnikov, 1999: 68, figs. 21, 22.

DIAGNOSIS: This species can be easily recognized by the strongly elongated, close epigynal teeth and the reduced atrium of females (fig. 400), and by the broad, deeply grooved conductor and the presence of a pro-lateral tegular sclerite apophysis in males (figs. 402–404).

DESCRIPTION: Total length about 8.00–9.00. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: ALE largest, AME, PLE, PME subequal, slightly smaller than ALE; AME may be separated by roughly their radius, AME-ALE subequal to AME-AME, ALE-PLE close together, PME-PLE slightly longer than their diameters, PME-PME slightly shorter than PME diameter. Clypeal height slightly longer than AME diameter; chilum divided (fig. 405). Chelicerae with three teeth on both margins (fig. 406). Labium slightly longer than wide.
Tarsal organ situated close to distal end of tarsus, slightly anterior of most distal trichobothrium (figs. 407–409). Spinnerets not examined.

Epigynal teeth strongly elongated, slightly curved; atrium reduced to atrial slit; copulatory ducts large, situated anterad of spermathecae; spermathecal heads situated anteriorly; spermathecae small, convoluted, with stalks and bases indistinct (figs. 400, 401). Male palp with bifurcated patellar apophysis; RTA long, with distal end slightly extended beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis present; cymbial furrow short; tegular sclerite with prolateral apophysis; conductor long, broad; conductor dorsal apophysis absent; conductor lamella moderately developed; embolus prolateral in origin, strong; median apophysis spoonlike, with distal end smooth, not pointed (figs. 402–404).

**Material Examined:** **Bulgaria:** Black Sea, Albena, August 5, 1995, 1 male (V. Popenov, IZS). **Hungary:** male lectotype and 1 female paralectotype (E. Simon, MNHN, Bocal 2.001, tube n 12.198); 1 female (CAS).

**Distribution:** Bulgaria, Hungary.

**WADOTES CHAMBERLIN**


**Type Species:** Wadotes daxiensis Chamberlin, 1925, by original designation.

**Diagnosis:** Wadotes can be easily recognized by the single, medially situated epigynal tooth of females (fig. 410), and by the presence of proximal cymbial apophyses in males (figs. 412–416). All Wadotes species examined have small AME, and three promarginal and two retromarginal cheliceral teeth (figs. 417, 418).
DESCRIPTION: See description of type species (below).

DISTRIBUTION: Eastern North America, from Nova Scotia to Georgia (map 20).

COMPOSITION: Twelve species were recognized in a recent revision (Bennett, 1987). One of them, *Wadotes primus* Fox, 1937, was transferred to the genus *Coelotes* by Wang et al. (2001) and is placed here as a member of *Bifidocoeolotes*.

1. *Wadotes bimucronatus* (Simon, 1898).
2. *Wadotes calcaratus* (Keyserling, 1887).
5. *Wadotes dixiensis* Chamberlin, 1925.

*Wadotes dixiensis* Chamberlin

Figures 410–428

*Wadotes dixiensis* Chamberlin, 1925: 120 (male holotype from Lee Co., Alabama, deposited in MCZ, not found).

*Wadotes bimucronatus*: Muma, 1947: 5, figs. 8, 9, 20, 21, 39, 40 (misidentification).

DIAGNOSIS: Females of this species can be distinguished by the broad spermathecal duct (fig. 411), and males by the shape of conductor dorsal apophysis (figs. 413, 414, 416).

DESCRIPTION: Total length about 5.00–12.0. From front, anterior eye row slightly procurved, posterior row procurved; eye sizes and arrangements: AME smallest, about half ALE size, ALE slightly bigger than PLE, PME about 1.5 AME size; AME may be separated by roughly their diameter, AME-ALE about one-half AME diameter and subequal to ALE-PLE, PME-PLE about 1.5 PME diameter, PME-PME slightly shorter than PME diameter, AME-PME about PME diameter. Clypeal height about twice AME diameter, covered with long, strong setae; chilum divided, hairless, short (fig. 417). Chelicerae with three promarginal and two retromarginal teeth (fig. 418). Labium about as long as wide, slightly notched distally. Length of female 1st leg patella + tibia shorter than carapace length.

Figs. 420–422. *Wadotes daxiensis* Chamberlin, female. 420, 422. Tarsus, showing position of tarsal organ (double arrows) and the distalmost trichobothrium (single arrow). 421. Trichobothrium.

Tarsal organ very small, situated close to distal end of tarsus, anterior of distalmost trichobothrium (figs. 420–422). Trachea simple (fig. 419). Apex of ALS with 2 major ampullate gland spigots (MAP), 13–20 piriform gland spigots in both sexes; PMS with 1 or 2
minor ampulla gland spigots (mAP) which may be more or less reduced and 37–38 aciniform gland spigots (AC) in both sexes, 2 cylindrical gland spigots (CY) in female; PLS with about 42–48 aciniform gland spigots in both sexes and 2 cylindrical gland spigots in female (figs. 423–428).

Female epigynum with single, median, broad epigynal tooth; atrium reduced to atrial slit; copulatory ducts short; spermathecal heads anterolaterally situated; spermathecal stalks long, enclosed in single, broad bursa; spermathecal bases small, bifid, laterally sit-
uated (figs. 410, 411). Male palp with one patellar apophyses, short; RTA long, occupying most of tibia, but not beyond tibia; lateral tibial apophysis transversely extend, formed small concavity on distal tibia; cymbial furrow moderately long, with distinct dorsal edge; tegular sclerite with prolateral apophysis; conductor long, strong; conductor lamella large; embolus basal in origin, moderately long, linear; median apophysis spoonlike, with distal end not sharply pointed; tegular carina not apparent (figs. 412–416).

**Material Examined:** USA: Alabama: Dekalb Co., DeSoto Park, December 1937, 1 male (AMNH); Colbert Co., Wolf Den Cave, September 25, 1940, 1 male (Jones and Archer, AMNH); Walker Co., Johns of Warrior River, October 20, 1912, 1 male (AMNH); Shelby Co., Oak Mt. State Park, May 9, 1947, 1 female (A. F. Archer, AMNH); Colbert Co., Spring Cave, near Maud, September 25, 1940, 1 female (Jones and Archer, AMNH); De Kalb Co., Ravine W. of Mentone, July 27, 1950, 1 female (A. F. Archer, AMNH). Georgia: Ft. Benning, November 20, 1943, 1 male (D. E. Beck, AMNH).

**North Carolina:** Macon Co., Wayali Bald., June 18, 1950, 1 female (A. F. Archer, AMNH); Aquane, W83.39, N32.12, 1 male (AMNH); Highland, April 5, 1929, 1 male (S. C. Biship, AMNH); Coly Co., April 29, 1938, 2 females (J. H. Hubbell, AMNH); Coly Co., April 29, 1938, 1 male and 3 females (J. H. Hubbell, AMNH).

**Distribution:** North Carolina, Tennessee, Georgia, Alabama.
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APPENDIX

List of Other Coelotes Species

From China

1. Coelotes acidentatus Peng and Yin, 1998
2. C. adligansus Peng and Yin, 1998
3. C. amplilamnis Saito, 1936
5. C. argenteus Wang et al., 1990
7. C. bituberculatus Wang et al., 1990
8. C. brachiatus Wang et al., 1990
9. C. brunneus Hu and Li, 1987
10. C. carinatus Wang et al., 1990
13. C. denisi Schenkel, 1963
14. C. digitusiformis Wang et al., 1990
16. C. galeiformis Wang et al., 1990
17. C. guttatus Wang et al., 1990
18. C. gypsarpageus Zhu and Wang, 1991
20. C. hangzhouensis Chen, 1984
22. C. jiangyongensis Peng, Gong and Kim, 1996
23. C. jucundus Chen, 1997
24. C. kulianganus Chamberlin, 1924
25. C. lyratus Wang et al., 1990
27. C. magniceps Schenkel, 1936
28. C. magnidentatus Schenkel, 1963
29. C. mastrucatus Wang et al., 1990
30. C. microps Schenkel, 1963
31. C. modestus Simon, 1880
32. C. nanyuensis Peng and Yin, 1998
33. C. nariceus Zhu and Wang, 1994
34. C. ningmingensis Peng et al., 1998
35. C. noctulus Wang et al., 1990
36. C. ornatus Wang et al., 1990
37. C. polinitropus Zhu and Wang, 1994
38. C. penicillatus Wang et al., 1990
39. C. pervicax Hu and Li, 1987
40. C. plancyi Simon, 1880
41. C. prolrixus Wang et al., 1990
42. C. quadratus Wang et al., 1990
43. C. robustus Wang et al., 1990
44. C. rufoloides Zhang, Peng and Kim, 1997
45. C. rufulus Wang et al., 1990
46. C. saccatus Peng and Yin, 1998
47. C. septus Wang et al., 1990
48. C. shuangpaiensis Peng, Gong and Kim, 1996
49. C. streptus Zhu and Wang, 1994
50. C. strophadatus Zhu and Wang, 1991
51. C. syzygiatus Zhu and Wang, 1994
52. C. tautispinus Wang et al., 1990
53. *C. terebratus* Peng and Wang, 1997
55. *C. triatus* Zhu and Wang, 1994
56. *C. triglochinatus* Zhu and Wang, 1991
58. *C. uncinitus* Wang et al., 1990
59. *C. undulatus* Hu and Wang, 1990
60. *C. wangi* Chen and Zhao, 1997
61. *C. yadongensis* (Hu and Li, 1987)

From Japan
1. *Coelotes amamiensis* Shimojana, 1989
2. *C. cavicola* (Komatsu, 1961)
3. *C. decolor* Nishikawa, 1973
4. *C. hamamurai* Yaginuma, 1967
5. *C. hexommathus* (Komatsu, 1957)
6. *C. inabaensis* Arita, 1974
7. *C. kintaroi* Nishikawa, 1983
8. *C. kumensis* Shimojana, 1989
9. *C. obako* Nishikawa, 1983
10. *C. okinawensis* Shimojana, 1989
11. *C. personatus* Nishikawa, 1973
12. *C. tarumii* Arita, 1976
14. *C. uenoi* Yamaguchi and Yaginuma, 1971
15. *C. unicatus* Yaginuma, 1977

From Europe
1. *C. luculli* Brignoli, 1978
2. *C. obesus* Simon, 1875
3. *C. rhododendri* Brignoli, 1978
4. *C. simoni* Strand, 1907
5. *C. taniacus* Brignoli, 1977
6. *C. vallei* Brignoli, 1977

From Himalayas and India
1. *C. simplex* O. P.-Cambridge, 1885
2. *C. stylifer* Caporiacco, 1935
3. *C. tegernarioides* O. P.-Cambridge, 1885